

Mendeley

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

79.76

Variety of Features (25%)

Total: 0.90

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	0

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%)

Total: 1.00

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	1
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%)

Total: 0.81

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	10
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	10
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	7.57
Organization	7
Ease with which a resource is entered	6

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	3
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	4
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	3

Facility for Collaboration (25%) Total: 0.60

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	5
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	6
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	7

Platform availability (10%) Total 0.70

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	4
Android	4
PC	10
Mac	10

Price (10%) Price Total: 1.00

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) Accuracy Total 72.67

Score from Reference comparison

Papers

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

59.73

Variety of Features (25%) **Total: 0.80**

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	0
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	0

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%) **Total: 0.80**

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	0
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%) **Total: 0.63**

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	7
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	7
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	7.57
Organization	6
Ease with which a resource is entered	4

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	4
To create a citation	4
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	2
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	3

Facility for Collaboration (25%) **Total:** **0.70**

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	7
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	7
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	7

Platform availability (10%) **Total** **0.80**

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	8
Android	8
PC	8
Mac	8

Price (10%) **Price Total:** **0.50**

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	5
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) **Accuracy Total** **18.00**

Score from Reference comparison

Qiqqa

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

70.00

Variety of Features (25%)

Total: 0.90

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	0

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%)

Total: 0.80

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	0
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%)

Total: 0.57

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	7
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	7
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	6.29
Organization	3
Ease with which a resource is entered	5

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	5
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	7
To share resources with others	4
To share summaries/notes with others	4

Facility for Collaboration (25%) **Total:** **0.67**

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	6
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	7
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	7

Platform availability (10%) **Total** **0.50**

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	0
Android	0
PC	10
Mac	10

Price (10%) **Price Total:** **1.00**

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) **Accuracy Total** **58.44**

Score from Reference comparison

Ref Works

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

68.61

Variety of Features (25%)

Total: 0.90

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	1

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	0
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%)

Total: 0.80

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	1
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	0

Intuitiveness of Use (25%)

Total: 0.71

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	9
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	9
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	5.71
Organization	6
Ease with which a resource is entered	6

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	6
To create a bibliography	4
To get to different "projects"	2
To create a reference sharing group	4
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	10

Facility for Collaboration (25%) **Total: 0.30**

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	5
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	2
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	2

Platform availability (10%) **Total 0.30**

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	0
Android	0
PC	6
Mac	6

Price (10%) **Price Total: 1.00**

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) **Accuracy Total 74.11**

Score from Reference comparison

Zotero

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

84.38

Variety of Features (25%) **Total: 0.90**

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	1

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%) **Total: 1.00**

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	1
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%) **Total: 0.82**

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	8
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	10
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	7.00
Organization	8
Ease with which a resource is entered	8

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	4
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	6
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	4

Facility for Collaboration (25%) **Total:** **0.67**

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	6
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	8
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	6

Platform availability (10%) **Total** **0.70**

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	4
Android	4
PC	10
Mac	10

Price (10%) **Price Total:** **1.00**

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) **Accuracy Total** **89.89**

Score from Reference comparison

Mendeley

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

76.46

Variety of Features (25%)

Total: 0.90

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	0

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%)

Total: 1.00

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	1
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%)

Total: 0.67

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	7
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	6
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	7.57
Organization	7
Ease with which a resource is entered	6

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	3
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	4
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	3

Facility for Collaboration (25%) Total: 0.57

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	7
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	7
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	3

Platform availability (10%) Total 0.70

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	4
Android	4
PC	10
Mac	10

Price (10%) Price Total: 1.00

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) Accuracy Total 72.67

Score from Reference comparison

Papers

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

56.33

Variety of Features (25%) **Total: 0.80**

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	0
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	0

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%) **Total: 0.80**

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	0
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%) **Total: 0.51**

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	5
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	4
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	7.57
Organization	5
Ease with which a resource is entered	4

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	4
To create a citation	4
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	2
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	3

Facility for Collaboration (25%) **Total:** **0.63**

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	6
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	8
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	5

Platform availability (10%) **Total** **0.80**

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	8
Android	8
PC	8
Mac	8

Price (10%) **Price Total:** **0.50**

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	5
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) **Accuracy Total** **18.00**

Score from Reference comparison

Qiqqa

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

65.60

Variety of Features (25%)

Total: 0.90

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	0

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%)

Total: 0.80

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	0
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%)

Total: 0.45

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	4
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	4
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	6.29
Organization	4
Ease with which a resource is entered	4

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	5
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	7
To share resources with others	4
To share summaries/notes with others	4

Facility for Collaboration (25%) Total: 0.53

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	6
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	7
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	3

Platform availability (10%) Total 0.50

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	0
Android	0
PC	10
Mac	10

Price (10%) Price Total: 1.00

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) Accuracy Total 58.44

Score from Reference comparison

Ref Works

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

68.31

Variety of Features (25%)

Total: 0.90

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Core Features:

Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	1

Collaborative Features:

Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	0
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%)

Total: 0.80

Flat score, 1 point each for presence

Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	1
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	1
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	0

Intuitiveness of Use (25%)

Total: 0.67

Use activities: (Sliding Scale, 1 - 10, 1 low, 10 high, based on assess. of criteria)

Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	8
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	7
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	5.71
Organization	6
Ease with which a resource is entered	7

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	6
To create a bibliography	4
To get to different "projects"	2
To create a reference sharing group	4
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	10

Facility for Collaboration (25%) Total: 0.33

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	10
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	0
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	0

Platform availability (10%) Total 0.30

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	0
Android	0
PC	6
Mac	6

Price (10%) Price Total: 1.00

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) Accuracy Total 74.11

Score from Reference comparison

Zotero

Reference Management and Citation

TOTAL SCORE FOR APP

80.98

Variety of Features (25%) **Total: 0.90**

<i>Flat score, 1 point each for presence</i>	
Core Features:	
Add a reference	1
Create a citation in all required citation styles	1
Create a bibliography/works cited page	1
Organize resources into groups or projects	1
Can accommodate foreign language resources	1
Can accommodate less common resources	1
Can accommodate musical resources	1
Collaborative Features:	
Sharing resources with others	1
Sharing summaries/notes with others	1
Collaborate on resources and bibliographies	1

Secondary Features: (5%) **Total: 0.80**

<i>Flat score, 1 point each for presence</i>	
Automatic gathering of metadata for resources	1
Ability to link to resource file	1
Ability to read pdf in app	0
Ability to make notes in the app	1
Syncing across platforms	1

Intuitiveness of Use (25%) **Total: 0.70**

7\	
Language/lingo barriers: How easy is it to understand the lingo the app uses?	8
Need/ease of understanding methodological premise	7
Number of clicks needed to get to basic features* enter into chart below	7.00
Organization	6
Ease with which a resource is entered	7

<i>Click Counts (Fills in the same-colored cell above)</i>	<i>Number of clicks</i>
To add a reference	3
To create a citation	4
To create a bibliography	2
To get to different "projects"	1
To create a reference sharing group	6
To share resources with others	1
To share summaries/notes with others	4

Facility for Collaboration (25%) **Total: 0.67**

<i>Sliding Scale: 1-10 (1 low, 10 high) based on assessment of criteria</i>	
Ease of sharing resources with others	6
Ease of sharing notes and summaries	7
Ease of commenting on notes and summaries of others	7

Platform availability (10%) **Total 0.70**

<i>10 point base score; -2 for core/collab. features missing; -1 sec. features missing</i>	
Ios	4
Android	4
PC	10
Mac	10

Price (10%) **Price Total: 1.00**

<i>Find correct price range, write number of points allotted in Column B</i>	
Free, 10 points	10
less than \$20, 8 points	
Less than \$40, 6 points	
Less than \$60, 4 points	
Less than \$80, 2 points	
Less than \$90, 1 point	
more than \$90, 0 points.	

Accuracy (20%) **Accuracy Total 89.89**

Score from Reference comparison