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Introduction

The Swiss Anabaptists of the sixteenth century played a pivotal role in the Radical Reformation and the beginning of the Anabaptist movement as a whole. They were not radicals in the sense of seeking social change solely for economic or revolutionary ends. Rather, they sought to be devoted radically to the simple teaching of the New Testament regarding what a true church should look like. Though his career as an Anabaptist was abruptly cut short, Michael Sattler was one of the most memorable and influential Anabaptist of the Swiss Brethren. In many ways, Sattler can be understood as the “actual founder of the Swiss Brethren movement.” The testimony of his life and death proved instrumental in holding together the diverse Anabaptist movement at a critical time. He served as a “bridge” between the precarious beginnings of the movement and its structured consolidation years later.

In studying Sattler, it is difficult to escape the interplay between his theological underpinnings and his dramatic life and death. Exploring this intimate link provides a window into this turbulent historical period and also into the theological pulse of a particularly significant group of Anabaptists.

1C. Arnold Snyder, “Revolution and the Swiss Brethren: The Case of Michael Sattler,” Church History 50, no. 3 (September 1981): 278.
2See John H. Yoder, The Legacy of Michael Sattler (Scottdale, PA: Herald Press, 1973), 7: “That Anabaptism survived as a viable movement with visible structures from the naïve beginnings in Zurich in the mid 1520s to the time of the synthesizers of the 1540s, was the work of Michael Sattler more than any other one person.” For Yoder, it was the “literary and organizational leadership” of the second generation of Anabaptists such as Menno Simons, Pilgrim Marpeck, and Peter Riedemann who solidified the movement. “Between these two stages,” Yoder observes, “there needed to be a bridge” (7).
3There has been considerable debate regarding the origins of the Anabaptist movement. Some argue that there is a single “Anabaptist vision” from which the entire movement springs, while others see a much more disparate picture of the origins of Anabaptism. For the former approach, see the essays in The Recovery of the Anabaptist Vision: A Sixtieth Anniversary Tribute to Harold S. Bender, ed. Guy F. Hershberger (Scottdale, PA: Herald Press, 1957). The latter approach emphasizes the broad spectrum of Anabaptist groups and highlights social issues of the time period. For example, see Hans Jürgen-Goertz, The Anabaptists (New York: Routledge,
Though Sattler was not the only Anabaptist to be put to death cruelly, his execution was certainly the most memorable, as the words he wrote and the martyrdom he endured have strengthened and edified many since his time. As one historian notes, “The impact of Sattler’s superlative witness is felt to this day.” The purpose of the following study is to explore the nature of Sattler’s legacy and to explain why his life and letters in particular gained the significance they did in the years after his death. If Sattler was a “bridge,” what did this structure look like and how was it able to withstand the turbulent floodwaters of the post-Reformation era?

**Sattler’s Early Years**

Around 1490, future Swiss Anabaptist leader Michael Sattler was born in the town of Staufen in the Breisgau region of Germany. Not much is known about his early life except that he began his religious career as a Benedictine monk at St. Peter’s monastery of the Black Forest at a young age. Though the nature and extent of Sattler’s education is unclear, he does demonstrate proficiency in Latin and offers to discuss the Scriptures “in whatever language they might be” during his trial later in life. These sources have led biographers to categorize Sattler as a “learned” man familiar with humanist modes of thought and capable of exegeting the Scriptures in their original languages. While it is clear that Sattler was literate and had some form of
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(1996); and James M. Stayer, Werner Packull, and Klaus Deppermann, “From Monogenesis to Polygenesis: The Historical Discussion of Anabaptist Origins,” *MQR* 49, no. 2 (1975): 83-121. For a discussion of the interaction between the early Anabaptists and the mainline reformers (especially Zwingli), see Abraham Friesen, “Anabaptist Origins and the Early Writings of the Reformers,” in *Reformers, Radicals, Revolutionaries: Anabaptism in the Context of the Reformation Conflict* (Elkhart, IN: Institute of Mennonite Studies, 2012), 115-25; and Abraham Friesen, “Erasmus, the Reformers, and the Birth of Swiss Anabaptism,” in *The Anabaptists and Contemporary Baptists*, ed. Malcolm Yarnell (Nashville: B&H Academic, 2013), 183-214. For the purpose of the present article, the “Swiss Brethren” refer to the group of Anabaptists that stem from Zurich and whose main concern was theological rather than political (e.g., Conrad Grebel, Felix Manz, and George Blaurock). While there is debate about whether this group is the sole stream from which Anabaptism flows, they certainly represent a particularly significant undercurrent.


6Klaus von Graveneck, “The Trial and Martyrdom of Michael Sattler” in Yoder, *Legacy*, 73. Graveneck did not understand Latin but recognized that Sattler was able to converse with the *Stadtschreiber* of Ensisheim in the language (74). Sattler also seems to have been familiar with the legal procedures of the court (See Yoder’s comment in *Legacy*, 83 n. 32).

7See for instance Bossert, “Sattler,” *ME*, 4:427: “The Hutterite chronicle relates that he was a learned man. All of his writings show that this was a fact.” Cf. Estep, *The Anabaptist
elevated education, the source of this training still eludes historians. Some posit that Sattler was able to attend lectures at the University of Freiburg near his hometown. Here he would have gained exposure to a broad range of subjects and languages, including perhaps “Lutheran and Zwinglian ideas.” Though this scenario is plausible, the precise source of Sattler’s educational training remains uncertain.

Wherever Sattler received his formal education, his stay at St. Peter’s monastery plays an important role in the interpretation of Sattler’s pre-Anabaptist life. While at St. Peter’s, Sattler rose to the elevated position of prior. According to earlier biographers, Reformation teachings swept through the Breisgau region where St. Peter’s was located. As evangelical preachers spread Reformation doctrine around the countryside, Sattler began closely studying and meditating on the Pauline epistles. As a result of this examination, Sattler came to realize the hypocrisy of his fellow monks and the inability of the monastic life to produce the personal righteousness that God desires. The intensity of Sattler’s convictions continued to grow until he experienced a “crisis,” which could only be resolved by his renunciation of Roman Catholicism and his departure from the ways of monasticism. Thus, Sattler’s primary reason for leaving the monastery and eventually joining the Anabaptists was theological.

Another line of interpretation emphasizes the social and economic factors surrounding Sattler’s departure from St. Peter’s and his eventual conversion to Anabaptism. According to this approach, the Reformation in Freiburg and surrounding areas was “an event that failed to arrive.” Contrary to the more lenient atmospheres of Zurich and Strasbourg, the authorities in Freiburg and in the Breisgau were hostile to Reformation teaching and quickly shut down any attempts to preach or spread reformed thinking.

Story, 37.

*On this issue, see Snyder, Life and Thought of Michael Sattler, 23–25. Citing (among other things) the absence of Sattler’s name on university matriculation lists and in scholarly correspondence of the day, Snyder questions the conclusions of Yoder, Bossert, and Estep regarding the nature and extent of Sattler’s formal education.
*Bossert, “Sattler,” ME, 4:427. Sattler would later say of his position in the monastery, “According to the flesh I would be a lord but it is better as it is” (Graveneck, “Trial and Martyrdom,” in Yoder, Legacy, 73).
*Cf. Estep, The Anabaptist Story, 37: “Sattler’s new-found evangelical faith finally precipitated a crisis which was only resolved with a severance of all ties with the monastery and the Church of Rome.” See also Yoder, Legacy, 10; Bossert, “Sattler,” ME, 4:427.
*For a strong articulation of this line of interpretation, see Snyder, “Revolution and the Swiss Brethren,” 276–87. Snyder argues that the Sattler story “cannot be told adequately outside of the framework of the sixteenth-century peasant unrest and its demise” (278). Much of Snyder’s historiographical work in this area is devoted to re-casting the events of the reformation period (and their traditional interpretation) in light social and political factors.
*Snyder, “Revolution and the Swiss Brethren,” 279.
*Ibid.
In this context, St. Peter’s would have probably reflected a similar policy toward Reformation ideas. The effect of this suppression of theological dialogue was that the Reformation spread in the Breisgau primarily in the rural areas among the peasantry. This development intersected with St. Peter’s on May 12, 1525, when the Black Forest peasant troop overtook the monastery as they prepared to lay siege to the nearby city of Freiburg during the Peasants’ War. This event is seen as the probable catalyst in Sattler’s departure from the monastery. In the Black Forest peasant troop were volunteers from Waldshut and Hallau, two towns that were heavily influenced by Anabaptism as a result of the preaching of Wilhelm Reublin and Hans Brotli. Accordingly, Sattler likely became exposed to Reformation ideas through the lens of the revolutionary peasants rather than through the ideas of Luther or Zwingli.

While this rendering of the events is compelling and avoids glossing over Sattler’s time at St. Peter’s, the connections and associations made are still circumstantial and rely on reconstructive history just as much as the earlier biographies. Nevertheless, this interpretation allows for a more nuanced view of the beginning of Sattler’s journey toward Anabaptism. However small a role it played, the revolutionary unrest of the common people during this period had some impact on his life. Sattler’s time at St. Peter’s was thus a period of significant social and theological reform that laid the groundwork for his later life and ministry.

Sattler’s Anabaptist Ministry

Sometime after leaving the monastery, Sattler met and married a former nun named Margaretha. Together, they traveled south as Sattler began interacting with Anabaptists around the area of Zurich in Switzerland. Though he was found in the company of many Anabaptist leaders during this time period, Sattler was not completely convinced of the Anabaptist position. He had not yet reached the point of conviction that would mark his later phase of ministry. The first direct evidence of Sattler’s presence in Zürich...
Zurich is found in official prison records in November of 1525. These indicate that after the third Disputation in Zurich, Sattler was imprisoned and only released after he abjured of any Anabaptist teaching and swore never to return to Zurich. After his expulsion from the region, Sattler traveled north and engaged in missionary activity north of Zurich, gaining and baptizing new Anabaptist converts. Perhaps due to persecution from archduke Ferdinand, Austrian ruler of the Breisgau, Sattler continued his journey north to the town of Strasbourg, where the political situation was more tolerant of reformation ideas.

During this year, Sattler’s Anabaptist convictions began to solidify as he continued to rise in prominence among the Swiss and German Anabaptists. In Strasbourg, Sattler came into contact with mainline reformers like Martin Bucer and Wolfgang Capito and also Anabaptist leaders such as Hans Denck and Ludwig Hatzel. At this point, Sattler was closer to the Reformers’ position than Denck, but further than Hatzel. Thus, Sattler was able to stay in Strasbourg when Denck was forced to flee the city, but later decided to leave while Hatzel was able to remain. Further, in Sattler’s farewell letter to Bucer and Capito, it appears that Sattler’s departure was due to his conscience rather than his jeopardized security. He calls these Reformers his “beloved brothers in God.” During his stay at Strasbourg, Sattler dialogued seriously with these Reformers and Anabaptist leaders and explored the nature of the connection between Anabaptism and the broader Reformation movement. As Sattler writes, the group of leaders spoke “in brotherly moderation and friendliness on several points, which I together with my brothers and sisters have understood out of Scripture, namely out of the New Testament.” In particular, Sattler engaged Bucer and Capito regarding their disagreements about “baptism, the Lord’s Supper, force or

early in 1525 are two references to a “brother Michael” in a “white coat” found in the Zurich archival material. However, both of these references record that this person was “ready to desist from re-baptism,” confess that he had done wrong, and finally to “recant his doctrine, which he preached concerning baptism” (translated in Yoder, Legacy, 15-16n1). There is considerable debate as to whether these refer to Michael Sattler at all. Snyder’s assertion regarding this evidence is instructive: “Thus although Sattler is demonstrably in Anabaptist company in November 1525, his actions do not demonstrate strong Anabaptist convictions, in marked contrast to his later heroism in the face of incredible torture.” See C. Arnold Snyder, “The Life of Michael Sattler Reconsidered,” MQR 52.4 (October 1978): 331.

There is evidence of Sattler’s missionary activity north of Zurich in the summer of 1526. Snyder notes in this regard that “Hanns Meyger, who was baptized in late June 1526, identifies Michael Sattler as having been one of his teachers.” C. Arnold Snyder, “Rottenburg Revisited: New Evidence Concerning the Trial of Michael Sattler,” MQR 54.3 (1980): 210n10.

Yoder makes this connection in Legacy, 18-19.

See Michael Sattler, “Letter to Martin Bucer and Wolfgang Capito” in Yoder, Legacy, 21. This letter to the Strasbourg Reformers and his letter to the congregation at Horb are the only extant epistles that are indisputably from Sattler’s hand.


the sword, the oath, the ban, and all the commandments of God.”

Late in 1526, Sattler decided to leave the company of Bucer and Capito out of conscience, realizing that his position was irreconcilable with the mainline Reformers. After Sattler left Strasbourg, he spent some time in the town of Lahr, making his presence felt as a prominent Anabaptist leader. He then traveled to the Wurttemberg along with Wilhelm Reublin and began spreading the teaching that he had come to accept in the previous year. Reublin concentrated his missionary efforts in the South, and Sattler focused on the North, where he began pastoring an Anabaptist congregation at Horb. In this atmosphere, Sattler became one of the most important leaders of the South-German and Swiss Brethren. Thus, when the Anabaptists of the region decided to hold a conference at Schleitheim on February 24, 1527, Sattler was the natural figure to take the lead.

At this conference, a group of Anabaptists drafted and produced the Schleitheim Confession, which outlined the Anabaptist position on several key issues. In these discussions, Sattler played a critical role and lent his hand to the articulation of their Anabaptist distinctives. Because of the volatile nature of the movement and the tense political situation, the confession dealt with practical issues pertaining to the existence of the church rather than formal theological categories. The Confession dealt with issues brought on by attacks from without and also from false teaching within the movement.
It set forth Anabaptist distinctives and clarified various issues related to baptism, the ban, the breaking of bread, separation from the world, pastors, the sword, and the oath. The Confession was readily accepted as truth by many Anabaptists and heavily criticized by most mainline Reformers. Zwingli attests to this widespread influence of the Confession, when he writes, “There is almost no one among you who does not have a copy of your so well founded commandments.” Risking the very real danger of being discovered, Sattler and the members of this convention produced an influential document that solidified Anabaptist teaching at a pivotal time in the movement.

Sattler’s Trial and Death

While Sattler was away at Schleitheim, the authorities of Rottenburg became aware of Anabaptist activity around Horb. Accordingly, not long after Sattler and his wife returned to Horb they were arrested along with some other Anabaptists by Count Joachim von Zollern, regent of Ferdinand of Austria who was “militantly Catholic.” A trial date was quickly set for April 12, but had to be delayed because of the strong Anabaptist presence in Horb and because finding judges willing to preside over a case that was a sure death sentence proved difficult. The authorities therefore transferred the heavily guarded prisoners to the tower of the distant town of Binsdorf and set a new trial date for May in Rottenburg further up the Neckar River.

Going into his trial, Sattler was not in a favorable position. Ferdinand thought Sattler did not even merit the semblance of a trial but should be immediately drowned in the Neckar, thus effecting the cruel irony of a “third baptism.” Because Sattler was formerly a monk, Ferdinand deemed that “it was less fitting for him to have dared the rebaptism than for a simple lay person.”
person.”37 However, the authorities in Rottenburg wanted to go through the motions of a trial in order to preserve the appearance of justice.38 On May 17, 1525, after being interrogated in a preliminary hearing, Sattler’s trial began.39 Representing his fellow Anabaptists who were on trial with him, Sattler declined the offer of a defense attorney. Addressing the judges as “servants of God” and appealing to God’s Word, Sattler questioned the validity of the court by arguing that the present trial did not have jurisdiction in matters of faith.40 He and his comrades would defend themselves armed only with the Scriptures.

The charges against the accused Anabaptists were then read. The first seven of these charges applied to everyone present, and the last two were directed specifically against Sattler. The Anabaptists were charged with 1) acting against imperial mandate, 2) teaching against transubstantiation, 3) teaching against infant baptism, 4) rejecting the sacrament of unction, 5) despising Mary and the saints, 6) rejecting oaths to the government, and 7) initiating a corrupt version of the Lord’s Supper.41 In addition to these, Sattler was charged with forsaking the monastic order by taking a wife and saying that the Ottoman Turks should not be resisted if they were to come into the land.42 This last accusation was particularly explosive due to the widespread fear of Turkish invasion.43 After briefly consulting with his “brothers and sisters,” Sattler responded “fearlessly” to each of these charges by appealing to the logic of Scripture.44 The Anabaptists did not act contrary to imperial mandate, because they had only adhered to God’s Word. Christ is not in the Supper, because he has ascended into heaven. Infant baptism is invalid, because salvation comes through faith. The sacrament of unction is wrong, because the Pope’s oil cannot make anything good. The Anabaptists do honor Mary and the saints but deny that they are advocates and redeemers. Swearing allegiance to government is misguided, because Jesus forbids swearing in the Sermon on the Mount.45

In defense of leaving the monastery and marrying, Sattler recounts how he had come to despise the “pomp, pride, usury, and great fornication of the monks and priests” after reading the letters of Paul and hearing God’s
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37 Ibid.
38 Estep, The Anabaptist Story, 40. C.F. Sattler indicates in his trial record that Ferdinand’s letter containing his intentions came after the trial had taken place. Sattler, “Sattler’s Account of the Rottenburg Trial,” 216.
41 Graveneck, “Trial and Martyrdom,” in Yoder, Legacy, 70.
42 Ibid., 70-71.
43 See Estep’s comment in The Anabaptist Story, 42: “No other power on earth struck fear in the hearts of Austrians like that of the Turks…. the authorities intended to use this as a final blow to condemn [Sattler] before the world.”
44 Graveneck, “Trial and Martyrdom,” in Yoder, Legacy, 71.
call to “testify to His Word.” Contending that he “took a wife according to the command of God,” Sattler views marriage as one of the things “God has created” to be “enjoyed with thanksgiving.” Sattler further maintains that “if the Turk comes, he should not be resisted,” but rather the people “should implore God that He might be our defense and our resistance.” Sattler would rather “take the field” against those who claim to be Christians but who “persecute, take captive, and kill true Christians,” because the Turk is “a Turk according to the flesh” but the Christians who “persecute the faithful witnesses of Christ . . . are Turks according to the Spirit.” Sattler concludes his defense by admonishing his accusers to consider what they were doing, because the Anabaptists had “done nothing counter to God and the gospel” nor had they “acted against any government in words or deeds.

Just in case the judges had not “heard or read the Word of God,” Sattler offered to discuss the issues under consideration in light of the Scriptures. If someone could demonstrate that the Anabaptist position was in error from the Scripture, then Sattler and his comrades would “gladly retract and recant” and “gladly suffer condemnation and the punishment for [their] offense.” Sattler’s “hope to God” was that the judges would “repent” and “let [themselves] be taught.” Sattler’s hope was not to be realized in this courtroom, for as soon as Sattler uttered these last words, most of the “judges laughed and shook their heads” as the Stadtschreiber of Ensisheim began to taunt and ridicule Sattler verbally. Calling him a “disreputable, desperate, and mischievous monk,” the Stadtschreiber told Sattler that the hangman would be the one to debate him. Sattler responded by assuring him that “what God wills, that will come to pass.” The heated exchange continued as the Stadtschreiber maintained that if he himself hanged this “evil doer and arch heretic,” then he would be “serving God thereby.” Sattler’s response to this particular barb typifies his mentality throughout the trial. He responded boldly, “God will judge rightly.”

After the Stadtschreiber rested his case, Sattler responded by saying that he had “not been sent to defend the Word of God in court,” but rather to “testify thereto.” Sattler then reiterated that the Anabaptists would suffer for their faith in Christ Jesus “as long as we have in us a breath of life, unless we should be convinced otherwise with Scripture.” By rejecting any legal process and demonstrating that his appeal was to Scripture alone, Sattler
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46 Ibid., 72.  
47 Ibid.  
48 Ibid.  
49 Ibid., 72-73.  
50 Ibid., 73.  
51 Ibid.  
52 Ibid.  
53 Ibid.  
54 Ibid.  
55 Ibid.  
56 Ibid.
rested his case. While the judges left the courtroom to deliberate over their decision, Sattler was again mocked and verbally attacked. Over an hour later, the judges returned to the courtroom, and Sattler’s grim verdict was read:

Michael Sattler shall be committed to the executioner. The latter shall take him to the square and there first cut out his tongue, and then forge him fast to a wagon and there with glowing iron tongs twice tear pieces from his body, then on the way to the site of execution five times more as above and then burn his body to powder as an arch-heretic.

Before being led back to prison, Sattler told the head judge that he and his fellow judges had “condemned [him] contrary to justice and without proof,” which for Sattler meant that they needed to “look out and repent” or else they would face eternal condemnation before “the judgment of God to eternal fire.” Not far from his own fiery death, Sattler remained concerned about the souls of his enemies.

Two days later, on May 20, 1525, Sattler was brought into the marketplace where the judgment he had received at the hands of his enemies was carried out to the letter. His tongue was cut out, and he was bound by chains to a cart where two pieces of his flesh were torn from his body with red-hot tongs. He was then driven to the place of execution by the gate where five more times the glowing iron tongs were applied to his body. Eyewitnesses recount that during these procedures, Sattler continually prayed for those persecuting him and urged others to do the same. Just before he was plunged into the fire, Sattler echoed the testimony of martyrs throughout Christian history as he cried out, “Almighty eternal God, Thou who art the way and the truth, since I have not been taught otherwise by anyone, so by Thy help I will testify this day to the truth and seal it with my blood.” After he was thrown into the fire with a small sack of gunpowder tied around his neck and “one despaired of his still being alive,” Sattler would cry out “with a clear voice often and constantly to God in heaven.” When the ropes that bound Sattler’s arms were burned up, he lifted them both with the first two fingers on each hand outstretched. This dramatic gesture was the symbol that he and his brothers had prearranged so that Sattler could signal to them that he was...
faithful even unto death.\textsuperscript{63}

In these gruesome actions, one can see the tragic irony of Sattler’s final moments. They cut out his tongue, but they could not stop Sattler’s voice from crying out to God on behalf of his executioners. They seared his flesh with a red-hot iron, but they could not deface the brand of Sattler’s baptism that marked him as a member of Christ’s true church. They forged his body to a wagon, but they could not stop his hands from reaching toward heaven with the signal to his Anabaptist companions that the grace of God was sufficient even for the fires of martyrdom.

Eight days after Sattler’s grisly execution, his wife Margaretha was put to death by drowning, experiencing her “third baptism” in the Neckar river.\textsuperscript{64} This former Beguine nun followed her husband’s lead and refused to recant her faith. When she was offered her freedom by the wife of the imperial regent, Margaretha “persisted in saying that the crown she wanted was the one her Lord Jesus would give” and that “she would rather have gone into the fire with her husband.”\textsuperscript{65} Wilhelm Reublin recounts that she “accepted and suffered death” with “great joy and strong faith.”\textsuperscript{66} Thus, Michael and Margaretha Sattler remained faithful to each other and to their God until death parted them, first through fire and then through water.

A Literary Snapshot of Sattler’s Theological Convictions

After Sattler’s death, the Schleitheim Confession circulated along with an account of his dramatic martyrdom. Because this was the first Anabaptist confession and due to the dramatic nature of Sattler’s death, these writings were quickly dispersed and widely read. As noted above, Zwingli attests to this widespread influence of the Confession, lamenting that “there is almost no one among you who does not have a copy of your so well founded commandments.”\textsuperscript{67} Indeed, the “strategic significance of the achievement of Schleitheim is well demonstrated” by its “rapid and wide circulation.”\textsuperscript{68}

\textsuperscript{63}Yoder provides this explanation in \textit{Legacy}, 83n42.

\textsuperscript{64}Margaretha is named as Sattler’s wife in the “Charges Read Against the Rottenburg Defendants” translated in Snyder, “Rottenburg Revisited,” 213n34: “and Margaretha, wife of Michael Sattler, of Staufen . . . .” Snyder notes that “this is the only known reference to Sattler’s wife by name.”


\textsuperscript{66}Reublin, “Report of Sattler’s Trial and Death,” in Yoder, \textit{Legacy}, 77.

\textsuperscript{67}See Yoder, \textit{Legacy}, 33. George R. Potter, \textit{Zwingli} (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1976), 193, notes that there was “in circulation at the same time a version of the Schleitheim confession in print written in the Zurich dialect, all copies of which have disappeared.” According to Potter, it was this “anonymous ‘libellus’ Von der Kindertaufe which Zwingli set out to refute” (194) in his own arguments against the Anabaptist position (\textit{In Catabaptistarum Strophas Elenchus}, “Refutation of the Tricks of the Anabaptists”). Cf. Herder, “Zwingli’s Reaction to the Schleitheim Confession,” 53: “Neither Oecolampad nor Zwingli had known anything about a meeting in Schleitheim earlier in the year, but now in April they were not only hearing about a corporate Anabaptist confession of faith but also reading it directly from handwritten copies that were being confiscated by clergy and magistrates.”

\textsuperscript{68}Yoder makes this comment about the text of the Confession in \textit{Legacy}, 32.
cause of their outlaw status, the Anabaptists could only rarely gain access to printing presses and thus the Confession was often reproduced by hand and passed along at great personal risk.\(^{69}\)

The importance of the doctrinal affirmations and ecclesial guidelines of the Confession are well known, but sometimes overlooked is the strategic role that the account of Sattler's trial and death played in these early years of the movement. Some of the earliest manuscripts of the Confession circulated along with an account of Sattler's martyrdom. The legacy of Michael Sattler is wrapped up in these two documents. Subsequent generations of Anabaptists could scarcely consider Sattler's confession without thinking of the death by which he sealed it. When Calvin argues against the Anabaptist positions outlined in the Confession, for instance, he mentions an account of "the martyrdom of some Michael."\(^{70}\) Accordingly, Sattler's testimony perhaps impacted the burgeoning movement as much as his leadership.

As noted above, the Confession circulated along with an account of Sattler's death. Two early pamphlets in particular included these documents as well as Sattler's last letter to his church members.\(^{71}\) This epistle that Sattler penned to his congregation at Horb from his cell in the tower of Binsdorf as he awaited his trial provides insight into the specific theological truths that sustained him during his ministry and martyrdom. The nature and tone of this letter gives a glimpse of what Sattler's ministry was like and why he became so influential in the Anabaptist movement.\(^{72}\) It also demonstrates the conviction that would enable him to remain faithful until his life was taken from him.\(^{73}\)

The content of this correspondence echoes some of the themes

\(^{69}\)Cf. Herder, "Zwingli's Reaction to the Schleitheim Confession," 54. Herder notes that Zwingli observed that many Anabaptists had personal copies of the Confession and wrote, "Why pray, do you not publish what are so divine and so salutary?" Herder explains, "It was a taunting comment in view of the way the Anabaptists were denied access to the printing presses, not to mention the constant confiscation of their documents, whether printed or not" (54).

\(^{70}\)See Yoder, Legacy, 14. Yoder concludes from Calvin's comment that "we know that in addition to the Seven Articles at least the martyrdom account was in the translation." Cf. Robert Friedmann, "The Schleitheim Confession (1527) and other Doctrinal Writings of the Swiss Brethren in a Hitherto Unknown Edition," MQR 16, no. 2 (April 1942): 82-98.

\(^{71}\)See Yoder, Legacy, 13. There are two extant pamphlets that contain these texts. One of them contains the Schleitheim Confession, Sattler's letter to the congregation at Horb, and a "somewhat briefer account of the martyrdom." The second pamphlet contains these three documents as well as a "tract on divorce." These two small collections thus demonstrate the organic connection between these three writings (or at least their shared reception history). They should be ground zero for Sattler studies and are the focus of the present study.

\(^{72}\)Yoder notes that this letter is the "best source of insight into the kind of ministry he exercised in South Germany between his departure from Strasbourg and the Schleitheim meeting. It includes as well clear indications of the significance which he ascribed to the Schleitheim decisions" (Legacy, 55).

\(^{73}\)For an overview and interaction with the main contours of Sattler's thinking, see Malcolm B. Yarnell, "The Anabaptists and Theological Method: 'For What They Were Concerned with Was Not Luther's, but Rather God's Word,'" in The Anabaptists and Contemporary Baptists, ed. Malcolm Yarnell (Nashville: B&H Academic, 2013), 27-48. Yarnell's main contention is that "an inductive approach to Sattler's corpus indicates that the
from the Schleitheim Confession he helped draft and also anticipates the statements he would utter during his trial and execution. Thus, the letter stands at the intersection of the two most important events in his Anabaptist ministry. In this prison epistle, Sattler exhorts his congregation to love their enemies and to persevere under persecution. He roots these admonitions in theology and eschatology.

Sattler begins his letter to his “beloved companions in the Lord” by praying that they would receive mercy “from God the heavenly Father through Jesus Christ our Lord, and the power of Their Spirit.” By beginning with this blessing, Sattler demonstrates that the God he serves is the Trinity and that his faith in God is one that coheres with centuries of Christian orthodoxy. Throughout his letter, Sattler gives his exhortations in light of the members of the Trinity. When he urges his readers to live righteously so that they might be “recognized in the midst of this adulterous generation of godless men,” he likens them to “bright and shining lights which God the heavenly Father had kindled with the knowledge of Him and the light of the Spirit.” For Sattler, those who knew the Father were led to this belief by the light of the Spirit. Further, the only way that they could hope to persevere in blamelessness would be to “walk the surefooted and living way of Christ” and be “purified through His blood.” Persecution should not ultimately trouble them because these temporary trials are like a father chastising a son in whom he delights. Sattler ends his letter by reminding his readers that their ability to exist depends upon the work of all three members of the triune God that they serve. Sattler makes it clear that it will be the “peace of Jesus Christ,” the “love of the heavenly Father and the grace of Their Spirit” that will enable the believers at Horb to persevere to the end. This understanding of the Trinity forms a foundational aspect of Sattler’s theological framework.

Sattler also undergirds his call to perseverance in eschatological realities. He urges his readers to endure all things in the hope of “the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.” Throughout the letter, Sattler sternly warns his congregation about “the wolves” among “the sheep of God” who threaten the church of Christ either by false teaching within or by merciless attacks from without. He urges, “Let no one shift your goal . . . which is sealed by the

early Anabaptists developed their theological concerns out of a gracious personal encounter with God in Christ mediated through Scripture externally and the Spirit internally, realized in the yielded human conscience in the midst of the covenantal community and manifested in a transformed life following the way of Christ’s cross, beginning with baptism, continuing with disciplined communion, and ending with a successful testimony” (28).
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blood of Christ and of many witnesses of Jesus” so that they might “be found to be the humble, fruitful, and obedient children of God.”\footnote{Ibid., 60.} The primary reason to follow this exhortation is because “the day of the Lord draws nearer.”\footnote{Ibid., 62.} Indeed, Sattler’s call to perseverance is not without an underlying context. His vision of the future realities of God’s judgment and reward undergirds his call to persevere and enables himself to do the same.

In exhorting his congregation at Horb, Sattler betrays an awareness of his own impending death. Already in the Binsdorf tower, Sattler and the other prisoners “underwent all sorts of attacks from the adversaries.” He recounts that his attackers “menaced us once with a cord, then with fire, then with the sword.” Sattler sought to respond to this physical threat by completely abandoning himself to the Lord and by readying himself “for death for the sake of His testimony.” Realizing that his time was near, Sattler prepared himself to be “released” and “with Christ to await the hope of the blessed.”\footnote{The preceding quotations in this paragraph are found in ibid., 60. Sattler viewed the persecution he and his companions endured in prison as “God’s combat.”} He describes the opposition he has seen by asserting that “the world has arisen against those who are redeemed from its error.” In this situation, “the day of the Lord must no longer tarry.”\footnote{Ibid., 61.} For Sattler, the coming kingdom is the primary incentive for remaining faithful to the end, for the end is near.

Sattler’s own boldness under persecution that he would soon demonstrate to the world came from an eschatological vision that included a Good Shepherd who would give eternal rest to those who would faithfully devote themselves to the kingdom and its purposes in this world.\footnote{Ibid., 62. Sattler draws this specific imagery from the apocryphal text of 4 Esdras 2.34-37. Sattler uses it as an illustration of truths he sees deeply rooted in the Scripture. This entire letter, much like his other letter and trial responses, is imbued with Scriptural language and imagery. All other allusions, quotations, and paraphrases of Scripture are unmarked in the letter, but the passage from 4 Esdras is both quoted at length and clearly marked out as a quotation. This might indicate that Sattler viewed this source differently than he did the biblical passages. For a further glimpse into the type of biblical engagement that characterized the Swiss Brethren, see the Anabaptist pamphlet, “How Scripture Should Be Discerningly Exposed,” in Yoder, Legacy, 150-77. As Yoder notes, “The bulk of the pamphlet is a simple series of New Testament texts, cited in full, with subtitles and glosses serving to point up the sequence of statements” (150).} At the close of his letter, Sattler again warns his congregation of the “false brothers” who would rob them of their future inheritance as those who kept the faith till the end. He reminds them that if he is in fact martyred, it is because the Lord had called him home: “for the Lord will perhaps call me.”\footnote{Ibid., 63.} For Sattler, persecution for faith in Christ and commitment to the church was bearable through “[treasuring] the jewel which the calling of God holds out . . . for
those who conquer.”\textsuperscript{87} Indeed, the ultimate explanation of Sattler’s endurance in the midst of literal trial and tribulation can be traced to Sattler’s final words of his letter to his church: “I wait upon my God.”\textsuperscript{88}

**A Concluding Reflection: Sattler’s Lasting Contribution to Baptist Heritage**

Oftentimes in contemporary Baptist life, the Anabaptists are used in the debate over Baptist origins as a piece of evidence rather than a source of inspiration. On the one hand, there are those who seek to draw a straight line from contemporary Baptists to the Anabaptists and defend an organic succession from then until now. On the other hand, there are those who reject this kinship with the Anabaptists and argue that Baptists began in seventeenth-century England from Puritan and Separatist roots. The former sometimes argue that Baptists should renounce any ties to Reformation teaching in favor of Anabaptist principles. The latter sometimes focus solely on the influences of the Reformation and Separatism to the exclusion of the Anabaptist influence. In this polemical context, the leaders of Anabaptism are oftentimes overshadowed by rhetoric, as they are either vilified or romanticized by participants in the origins debate.

Despite the reality of this situation, there is perhaps a more nuanced way forward. Because the primary Baptist distinctives are based on a close reading of the New Testament, it is no surprise that throughout church history different groups have independently reached similar conclusions. Viewed in this light, the Anabaptists can be appreciated as believers who submitted to the authority of the Bible and were willing to hold fast to their confession of faith even unto death. Further, the substance of their confessed beliefs resonate with the contemporary Baptist distinctives of a believers’ church, believer’s baptism, and religious liberty. Whether or not there is a direct historical link to these Radical Reformers, the lives of the Anabaptists can still serve as powerful examples of how a bold commitment to Scripture and a passion for the purity of the churches can greatly impact one’s life and confession. However one construes the nature and extent of Anabaptist kinship, any Baptist heritage devoid of the testimony of Sattler’s life, death, and theological conviction is an unnecessarily impoverished one.

Many have noted that Sattler served as a “bridge” connecting the early phase of the Anabaptist movement to its later development. Together, the Schleitheim Confession, Sattler’s prison epistle, and the account of his trial and death form the main planks of this bridge. They represent the paper trail that later Anabaptists picked up on as they sought to remain faithful to the radical teachings of the New Testament. Because the literary core of Sattler’s brief but substantive corpus has endured, the path of his theological legacy can still be followed.
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