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In numerous publications, including its online magazine *Dabiq* (published in several languages), ISIS has spoken of its revival of the institution of slavery as a means of improving the moral life of its fighters and as a way to fulfill one of the “signs of the hour,” indicating the imminence of the end of time.\(^1\) By sexually enslaving captive Yazidi girls, ISIS claims to believe that it is revivifying Islam, offering its followers a version of Islam that was practiced by the *salaf* (early generations of Muslims), unencumbered by hermeneutics or the accretions of historical practice. How are we to interpret these claims?

Slavery has been employed through most of human history, including during the early period of Islam. It was abolished in most countries by the end of the nineteenth century, but remained legal in a number of states in the Middle East and Africa until well into the twentieth, among them Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Oman, and the United Arab Emirates.\(^2\) Slavery is now *de jure* illegal in all nations of the world, but modern slavery—including sex trafficking, involuntary domestic servitude, and child soldiering—is a highly profitable global business, generating billions of dollars per year.\(^3\) Indeed, United Nations Secretary General Ban Ki Moon refers to human trafficking as “one of the world’s most shameful ills.”\(^4\)

There are numerous references to slavery and to concubinage in the *ḥadīth*, as ISIS claims and as Kecia Ali’s work confirms. But does that
make ISIS’ practice of enslaving its captives “Islamic”? Some analysts and scholars have said that it does, arguing that those who reject ISIS’ claim to religious legitimacy are falling into the same takfirī trap that ISIS has—taking it upon themselves to determine who is, and who is not, a real Muslim.⁵

But there is nothing uniquely Islamic about slavery. Just as Islamic texts reflect the historical period in which they were written, so too do Jewish and Christian texts. There are many references to slavery in both the Jewish and Christian bibles. For example, the book of Leviticus provides detailed instructions regarding which peoples may be taken as slaves, and rules for purchase and inheritance:

As for your male and female slaves whom you may have: you may buy male and female slaves from among the nations that are around you. You may also buy from among the strangers who sojourn with you and their clans that are with you, who have been born in your land, and they may be your property. You may bequeath them to your sons after you to inherit as a possession forever. You may make slaves of them, but over your brothers the people of Israel you shall not rule, one over another ruthlessly.⁶

Are we to refer to the practices of modern-day slave traders as Jewish or Christian because these practices are delineated in Jewish and Christian texts?

Kecia Ali proposes that ISIS’ use of tradition must be seen not as a way to purify Islam by restoring it to some historical or original essence but as a means of inventing and controlling the future—both that of its caliphate and of its victims. She urges that Muslim scholars neither practice takfir by declaring ISIS un-Islamic nor accept the view, promulgated by Bernard Haykel and others, that ISIS has as much of a claim to religious legitimacy as anyone else.

To her arguments I would add two observations. First, ISIS is by no means unique among religious terrorists in referring to sacred texts to justify violations of both national and international laws, as well as of
contemporary religious practices and norms. I have found in my interviews of religious terrorist groups, across religions, that it is common to justify illegal actions by referring to religious texts. Second, it seems likely that there is an additional, more pragmatic reason for ISIS’ “Revival of Slavery Before the Hour”: to enable them to compete successfully with rival groups fighting on behalf of Sunnis in Iraq and Syria. As ISIS implies in its own writings, it is offering an alternative to sex outside marriage for those fighters who cannot afford to marry.

In the article entitled “The Revival of Slavery Before the Hour,” to which Kecia Ali has referred, the author explains:

Finally, a number of contemporary scholars have mentioned that the desertion of slavery had led to an increase in fāhishah (adultery or fornication, etc. [technically any sex act unregulated by shari‘ah]), because the shar‘ī alternative to marriage is not available, so a man who cannot afford marriage to a free woman finds himself surrounded by temptation toward sin. In addition, many Muslim families, who have hired maids to work at their homes, face the fitnah [trial or temptation] of prohibiting khalwah (seclusion) and resultant zinā [unlawful sexual relations between unmarried persons] between the man and the maid, where if she were his concubine, this relationship would be legal.  

Thus, it would seem, enslaving women is not only a means of avoiding sin, as Kecia Ali concludes, but is also expedient for the movement, in that fighters who can’t afford to get married can be enticed to join the Islamic State with the promise of sex.

The fantasy of reviving an uncontaminated form of religious practice is not unique to ISIS. The essence of fundamentalism is longing for an imagined, purer past, an antidote to the moral and spiritual confusion of modernity. But sacred texts are filled with contradictions. Christian slaveholders and abolitionists both pointed to religious texts to justify their positions on slavery. The same can be said regarding terrorists who
kill “baby butchers” and those who, often using the same religious texts, strongly oppose violence against abortion providers. Terrorists across religions find justification in sacred texts to do what they want to do—in the case of ISIS, to rape, pillage, and plunder.

Millenarian terrorist groups are not just aiming to change or purify the world. They are also organizations qua organizations. Like any other non-profit or for-profit firm, terrorist organizations do not survive for long if they don’t attend to the emotional and physical needs of their workers. Just like McDonald’s or the March of Dimes, they need to attract capital and labor, and they need to articulate a brand. ISIS is using sexual slavery not only to compete for labor with rival groups, but also to raise revenue. Over time, many terrorist groups become more focused on the wellbeing of participants than achieving the mission, becoming “incentive-driven” organizations rather than “mission-driven” ones.9

Still, it is not clear that the practice of enslaving girls will help ISIS attract foreign fighters. Some ISIS “fanboys” in the West have refused to believe that the stories are true. One commentator, “AAibrah52,” wrote in response to an article in the New York Times that described ISIS’ practice of rape from the perspective of the victims, “What an ugly lie. You kuffar are sex obsessed.”10 Another wrote, “Media getting desperate.” When her parents revealed to the media that US government officials had discovered that ISIS’ leader, Abū Bakr al-Baghdādi, had kept the American hostage Kayla Mueller as a sex slave, some ISIS fanboys expressed doubts about the veracity of the report, claiming that it was impossible to believe that Baghdādi would have sex with a white girl.11

Terrorists who have left their profession often say that “seeds of doubt” about their leaders’ integrity or true purpose led them to defect.12 In my interviews of terrorists across religions, I have found that new recruits often believe that the purpose of the group, and the aim of its leader, is to change the world for the better. But over time, they are often disappointed to discover that one of the principal goals may be to enhance the political power or wealth of its leaders.13 Sometimes, these “seeds of doubt” may be related to the group’s ideology. Maajid Nawaz, who left Hizb ut-Tahrir, an extremist Islamist group, explained that the
“accumulated kindness of strangers,” including non-Muslims, together with his continuing Islamic education, transformed his thinking about the group that he had initially found so attractive. He came to view the ideology he once subscribed to as “totalitarian,” “stifling,” and even “un-Islamic.”

Defectors from ISIS have told journalists that they found themselves in an increasingly brutal regime, not at all like the utopian state that they had hoped to find. An analysis of fifty-eight of these defectors by Kings College in London revealed that some complained of mistreatment by their commanders; some were repulsed by ISIS’ practice of murdering civilians and hostages; while still others were disappointed that life in the “caliphate” was neither as lucrative, nor as thrilling, as they had anticipated. One defector who spoke to the BBC explained, “In the beginning ISIS used goodness with the population in order to attract the people and they provided them with what they needed in order to attract them quickly, because they suffered so much under Bashar and his regime,” he said. “Once ISIS succeeded in attracting people they changed dramatically, from being good to being cruel and harsh.” It is important to ensure that the reports of those who have seen what life in the caliphate is truly like, and have left, is shared not only with traditional media outlets, but also via the same social media outlets that ISIS uses to recruit.
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