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‘I am a virgin woman and a virgin woman’s child’

Critical plant theory and the maiden mother conceit in early medieval riddles

Alaric Hall and Shamira Meghani

Abstract

While early medieval riddles in Old English and, to a lesser extent, Latin, have been studied extensively from ecocritical perspectives in recent years, the large corpora of riddles in other languages of western Eurasia have yet to benefit from or feed back into these methodological developments. Meanwhile, ecocritical research generally has focused on animals at the expense of plants. We respond to both problems by providing the first extensive study of riddles whose solutions are plants, through the lens of one recurrent conceit in ancient and medieval verse riddles in Arabic, Greek, Latin, Old Norse and, we argue, Hebrew. The conceit is that a plant is a virgin woman who nevertheless reproduces. By examining different permutations of this motif, we show how these riddles use plants to comment on human gendering, and how, while usually fundamentally patriarchal in their world-views, they register patriarchal anxiety at women’s reproductive capabilities, acknowledge critiques of patriarchal constraints on women, and queer gender-norms in other ways; inter alia we note that the Old Norse riddle studied here may be the only explicit (albeit metaphorical) representation of female homosexual eroticism in the Old Norse corpus. However, we also draw on critical plant theory to explore how the riddles situate plants in medieval Abrahamicate cultures, uncovering implicit recognitions in several of these riddles of the dynamic and reciprocal relationships between human farmers and their family structures, the plants that domesticate them, people’s and plants’ mutual shaping of the ecosystems they inhabit or colonise, and the economies that these interactions constitute.
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Introduction

This article examines a single conceit in early medieval riddles from the Mediterranean basin and the Atlantic seaboard: the idea that a thing might be a mother, yet a virgin. The solution to these riddles is not Mary, the mother of Jesus, but various species of plant. By analysing this conceit, this article looks at early medieval culture from a number of unusual angles. Perhaps most importantly, we contribute to critical plant studies, a relatively young movement within the more established field of ecocriticism. Within critical plant studies, as the recent survey of Allor and Swenson
emphasises, the Middle Ages are relatively neglected, and the work that is being done focuses on
trees. The texts on which we focus here are about roses, artichokes, palms, angelicas, and
grapevines, calling attention to the interactions and interdependencies between medieval culture
and other kinds of vegetal life. We also work to move research on medieval riddles beyond Old
English and Latin. The last two decades have seen an explosion of insightful, increasingly
ecologically orientated research on these riddles. Yet the substantial early medieval corpora of
riddles in other languages have enjoyed far less attention. Showing that the maiden mother conceit
was found on both sides of the Indo-European/Semitic language divide in early medieval riddling
— and also, we suggest, resolving some doubt as to the correct solutions to a couple of riddles —
we identify a small but well defined corpus of true riddles (that is, riddles whose puzzle depends on
metaphor rather than puns or other linguistic play) across Greek, Arabic, Hebrew, and Old Norse.
Our linguistic limits have constrained us to these languages, but other examples are certainly found
in medieval riddle-like Persian verse, and the conceit might have a wider distribution again. The
demonstrable participation of those riddles that we have studied in a shared literary culture
indicates the validity of comparing those texts in other ways. We build on work on Old English
riddles to start to write an cultural-ecological history reaching beyond the redoubts of Eurocentric
medieval studies and the Anglophone focus of much ecocritical literary research. And while there
is burgeoning ecotheological research on medieval Christian culture, there is little — at least in
English — on Judaism and Islam. This study hints at the possibilities that await a more exploratory
Abrahamic ecotheology.

In Culture and Imperialism, Edward W. Said enjoined his readers to understand territories and
regions as overlapping, rather than segmented by borders or into recent national projects, and to
understand the knowledge produced in them as a product of exchange. We argue that it also serves
the notion of ecology and plant studies to think about literary texts as cross-fertilised through
shared literary forms. More recently, contemplating the possibilities for studying the ‘Muslim
world system’ within global history, Francis Robinson has advocated the investigation of its
‘shared worlds of knowledge and experience’, highlighting the merits of studying ‘the world of
storytelling’, ‘astrology’, and ‘commodities of global impact’. We can readily extend Robinson’s
ideas to contemplate an ‘Abrahamic world system’ incorporating those parts of the world where
Abrahamic religions were culturally dominant, and adapt to this purpose Marshall Hodgson’s
term Islamicate, which Hodgson used to denote ‘the social and cultural complex historically
associated with Islam and the Muslims, both among Muslims themselves and even when found
among non-Muslims’, a complex that was not narrowly defined by religion but also non-religious
cultural contiguities. Some of the riddles that we address here can usefully be read as being
Abrahamic — purposefully meditating on Abrahamic religious ideas — but most or all can be read
as Abrahamicate. Although Robinson’s interest in astrology is not addressed in this article —
notwithstanding the fact that heavenly bodies fall firmly within the purview of early medieval
riddling across Eurasia — the present article does study Robinson’s ‘world of storytelling’.
Moreover, our plant-riddles also afford food for thought regarding the long-distance transmissions
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of agricultural crops and practices to which Robinson adverted when advocating the study of
global commodities. Just as riddles have the power to defamiliarise and re-enchant the mundane,
they allow us to look again at the banal observation that international folkloric motifs travel widely
and that Abrahamicate cultures had a lot in common and instead trace historical particularities in
the continuities and disjunctions of those cultures.

Mapping the maiden mother conceit

We begin by establishing our primary-source corpus, and with a riddle whose solution past
scholarship has found obscure. It is the last of ten two-line riddles attributed to the seminal
medieval Hebrew poet (and husband to probably the only known female Hebrew-language poet of
the Middle Ages), Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi (920×925-after 985).7 Following spells in both the
Maghreb and Iraq, Dunash was writing in al-Andalus.8 The earliest manuscript of the riddle is the
Cairo Geniza fragment Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania, Center for Advanced Judaic
Studies Library, Cairo Genizah Collection, Halper 317, f. 2v (lines 29-30), from between the tenth
and twelfth century.9

Explain to me, my son, what are the virgins * that never receive a man.
They return beautiful, intact, * enclosed with fenced gardens.

Editing the same riddle as the second of seven which they attributed to the eleventh-century
Shelomo ben Yehuda ibn Gabirol (an attribution no longer accepted), Hayim Nahman Bialik and
Yehoshua Hana Rawnitzki recorded earlier speculation that the riddle might concern agriculture.
Bialik and Rawnitzki did not develop this suggestion, however, while Aluny offered ‘new verses or
ideas’ as the solution.10 But Aluny did not make a substantive case for this, and Rodríguez, in his

---

7 With the exception of recent authors whose names are conventionally spelled otherwise, we
romanise all non-Latin scripts using the American Library Association-Library of Congress ALA-LC
Romanization Tables: Transliteration Schemes for Non-Roman Scripts at
8 Delgado, Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi.
9 Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi, חידות, ed. Aluny, 146. Translations are, unless otherwise stated, our
own; in this case our translation draws on Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi, דוואן, trans. Rodríguez, 228.
Rodríguez’s translation reads ‘Explicame, hijo mío, cuáles son las vírgenes * que jamás reciben
varón. | Hermosas tornan, íntegras, * cerradas con jardines cercados’. Aluny’s edition is impressively
cryptic, giving no call numbers for the three manuscripts it cites and only patchy bibliographical
information on secondary sources; Rodríguez refrained from trying to unravel these problems,
contenting himself with translating Aluny’s edition of Halper 317 (which happily is now available in an
open-access facsimile: http://openn.library.upenn.edu/Data/0002/html/h317.html). If our ongoing
endeavours to fill in the blanks left by Aluny succeed, we will add the information to
10 Shelomo ben Yehudah ibn Gabirol,שירי שלמה בן יהודה ابنGabiro, ed. Bialik and Rawnitzki, V 35 (text), 41 (commentary).
11 Dunash Ben Labrat’s riddles, 146.
Some debate also surrounds the solution to a Greek riddle, first attested as verse forty-two of book fourteen of the Palatine Anthology (preserved now as the disiecta membra Heidelberg, Universitätsbibliothek Heidelberg, Cod. Pal. grae. 23 and Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Supplément grec. 384), copied in Constantinople between about 850 and 950. The riddle reads:

παρθένος εἰμί γυνή, καὶ παρθένου εἰμὶ γυναικός,
καὶ κατ’ ἔτος τίκτω παρθένος οὖσα γυνή.

I am a virgin woman and a virgin woman’s child,
and being a virgin woman I bring forth every year.

Medieval Greek riddles are fond of family metaphors, including fathers begetting children without mothers, but the formulation here, which parallels Dunash’s image of maidens reproducing without men, is distinctive. The antiquity of this riddle is uncertain; Milovanović did not include it in her collection of Byzantine riddles, perhaps because its elegiac form suggests pre-Byzantine composition. The Palatine Anthology records no solution to the riddle, but the margin of Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Plutei 32.16, folio 382v, copied by the Byzantine monk Maximus Planudes in the last two decades of the thirteenth century, gives the solution, in what looks like the same ink as the manuscript’s rubrications, as ‘βάλανος φοινίκων’ (balanōs phoinikōn, literally ‘acorn of the Phoenicians’, meaning ‘fruit of the date-palm’). The manuscript does not record a solution for every riddle and it is not certain why Maximus included this one — did he add it because he was confident as to the answer to this riddle, but not sure of some of the others? Or, conversely, did he find this riddle obscure, thinking that his readers would be grateful if he offered his own guess? Either way, Paton endorsed the date-solution in his Loeb translation, with the observation that date-palms are unique in that the trees are either male (pollen-producing) or female (flower- and fruit-producing): since, in the wild, the pollen is carried by wind rather than insects, the female trees might be thought ostensibly to reproduce self-sufficiently. However, Konrad Ohlert, in the first edition of his major study of Greek riddling, was for some reason dissatisfied with the evidence of Plutei 32.16 and suggested ‘grapevine’ on the grounds that this is the solution to Symphosius riddle 53, which also uses the maiden mother conceit (and is discussed below). Meanwhile, Félix Buffière disliked both solutions and proposed that this riddle shares its solution with the two that precede it in the Palatine Anthology, which are agreed to be on ‘day and night’.

---

14 Milovanović-Barham, Aldhelm’s Enigmata and Byzantine riddles, 55-56.
15 Βυζαντινα αινιγματα.
16 Milovanović-Barham, Aldhelm’s Enigmata and Byzantine riddles, 53 n. 10.
17 The manuscript is available in facsimile at http://mss.bmlonline.it/s.aspx?Id=AW0Ifkll1A4r7GxMILA#book; cf. Ohlert, Rätsel und Rätselspiele der alten Griechen, 152 n. 2; Diccionario griego-español, s.v. βάλανος.
18 Rätsel und Rätselspiele der alten Griechen, 152.
19 Anthologie Grecque, 177.
What at least some of these commentators appear not to have known is that the conceit of the maiden who reproduces is well attested in early medieval riddles; moreover, the solutions to these riddles are recorded in the manuscripts, and are usually plants. In this conceit, the fact that virgins are people indicates that the solution to the riddle will not be a person; the fact that the virgins reproduce hints that the subject will be a life-form; and the fact that the reproduction does not ostensibly involve sexual intercourse indicates that the life-form will be a plant. Admittedly the conceit is not always used in this way: the Bern Riddles (on which more below) also use it of an egg. But its deployment for plants is widespread. The earliest example of which we are aware occurs as the fifty-third of the hundred Latin riddles of Symphosius, thought to have been composed in the late fourth or early fifth century, possibly — but far from certainly — in North Africa.

I do not want to be joined in marriage, although being married is pleasing.
I do not want a husband for my bedchamber: my offspring is born through me.
I do not want to encounter tombs: I know how to bury myself in the earth.

Like Greek riddlers, Symphosius made extensive use of family metaphors, but the maiden mother conceit here is distinctive in his oeuvre. The conceit recurs in the riddle ‘de rosa’ (‘on the rose’) in the so-called Bern riddles, no doubt composed under the direct textual influence of Symphosius, probably in the Mediterranean region (and perhaps specifically northern Italy) around the seventh century. In the critical edition of Glorie, the riddle runs:

Mollis ego duro de corde genero natos;
In conceptu numquam amplexu uiri delector.
Sed dum infra meis concrescunt fili latebris,
Metum quisque nascens disrumpit uulnere corpus.
Postquam decorato uelantes tegmine matrem
Saepe delicati frangunt acumine fortes.

---

20 *Aenigmata in Dei nomine Tullii*, ed. Glorie, 554 (no. 8).
21 Symphosius, *Aenigmata*, ed. and trans. Leary, 46, 157; on the riddles’ origins see 1-6 and Sebo, *Was Symphosius an African?*
22 Mogford, *The moon and stars*, 231-32.
24 *Aenigmata in Dei nomine Tullii*, ed. Glorie, 599 (no. 52).
Soft as I am, I produce sons from my hard heart;
in conceiving I am never delighted by the embrace of a man.
But as my sons grow strong beneath my hiding-places,
each ruptures my body with a wound as it is born.
Thereafter, covering their mother with an ornate blanket,
the weak often break the strong with a sharp point.

The manuscript witnesses to this poem are quite divergent and problematic, so some of Glorie’s editorial decisions might be disputed: the readings mollis and duro in the first line, for example, are not certainly the best on offer. As Neville Mogford has recently discussed, the metaphors of the Bern Riddles sometimes become convoluted, and it is hard to be sure precisely what is going on in Riddle 52. On balance the riddle seems most likely in lines 1-3 to be describing the formation of seeds in rose-hips, which burst in line four. In this reading, the riddle goes on in the remaining two lines to describe the beautiful flowers of the new plants which (implicitly the next summer) cover their parent rose (line 5), but which are also associated with the rose’s defensive thorns (line 6): though the delicate flowers of the rose-plant are ostensibly ‘delicati’ (‘weak’), its thorns are able to break the plant’s stronger assailants (‘fortes’ — perhaps animals? And perhaps specifically human ones?). Quite why, within the metaphorical world of the poem, sons would cover their mother with a blanket is not obvious to us: Mogford suggests in personal communication that the poem is evoking real-world sons covering their late mother with a funeral shroud. If this interpretation is right, it implies that roses change sex from being sons in youth to being mothers as they reproduce, but whatever the precise meaning of the riddle, line two clearly presents the conceit of the self-generating maiden mother.

Two riddles whose solutions are plants exist in the Old Norse riddle-corpus, both in the probably thirteenth-century Heiðreks saga, in a riddle-contest between the god Óðinn and the protagonist Heiðrekr which accounts for nearly all attested Old Norse riddles. While one of us has previously opined that the riddles were composed especially for the saga, Burrows has pointed out that the stylistic diversity of its riddles, its demonstrable predilection for integrating pre-existing poems, and the existence of a few other riddles elsewhere in the Old Icelandic corpus make it more likely that the saga drew on pre-existing written riddles — a conclusion with which, on reflection, we agree. One runs as follows:

Hverjar eru þær rýgjar á reginfjalli,
elr við kván kona?
Mær við meyju mög um getr,

---

26 Hall, Changing style and changing meaning, 9-10.
Heiðrekr konungr, hyggðu at gáta!

Who are those ladies on the great mountain;
a woman gives birth by a wife?
A maid by a maiden has a son,
and those wives do not have husbands?

King Heiðrekr, consider solving that!

Heiðrekr — at this point in the story famed for his wisdom — is quick to present the solution: ‘þat eru hvannir tvær ok hvannarkálfr á milli þeira’ (‘those are two angelicas, and a young angelica in between them’), reflecting the fact that angelicas can in certain conditions reproduce by putting out side-shoots.\(^{29}\) Christopher Tolkien once asserted that, with only two exceptions, ‘there are no parallels to’ the Heiðreks saga riddles ‘in the riddle-literature of any other country’\(^{30}\) — a claim to which more recent commentators have deferred.\(^{31}\) But the participation of this riddle in the maiden mother tradition means that we must raise the count of exceptions to three (and for a fourth, see Hall forthcoming).

Our final early medieval attestation of the maiden mother conceit is closer to Dunash’s home and literary sphere, in the form of a poem ‘fī ḥarshūfa’ (‘on the artichoke’) by the eleventh-century ‘Abd Allāh ibn al-Ṭallā’. It is recorded in the anthology Rāyāt al-mubarrizīn wa-ǧhāyāt al-mumayyazīn by ibn Saʿīd al-Maghribī (1213-86), who was widely travelled but most closely associated with al-Andalus:\(^{32}\)

It is worth noting that the verb \(yurajjī\) is masculine: although ‘anyone’ is the most literally correct translation of the subject of this verb, the verb connotes a male challenger to the damsel’s fortress.

---

\(^{29}\) *Hervarar saga ok Heiðreks*, ed. Burrows, 430.

\(^{30}\) *The Saga of King Heidrek the Wise*, ed. and trans. Tolkien, xix.

\(^{31}\) Hall, Changing style and changing meaning, 9; *Hervarar saga ok Heiðreks*, ed. Burrows, 407.

\(^{32}\) Ibn Saʿīd al-Maghribī, رِياَتُ الْمُبْرِزِينَ وَغَيَايَتُ الْمُمِيزِينَ, ed. Dāyah, 268-69 (with full vocalisation added by us).
The text of this riddle, like the Bern rose riddle, is not entirely settled: despite working from the same unique manuscript as Dāyah, Gómez’s edition reads khidrin (‘veil’) where Dāyah’s reads jundin (‘troop’). Gómez’s reading inspired A. J. Arberry to render the poem’s last four words with the elegant couplet ‘bashfully she peers | through her veil of spears’, but Dāyah’s reading is clearly the more direct. We have not been able to consult the manuscript; what is important for our purposes is that, either way, the white virgin is the edible artichoke heart, which forms as part of the flower at the top of the plant’s long stalk. The spears of the final hemistich reflect the scales that cover the bud; here, in a turn characteristic of the last word of Arabic epigrammatic poems, the poem plays with the meaning of al-asalu, which within the world conjured up by the poem’s metaphors must have the poetic and somewhat arcane meaning of ‘spears’; but the usual meaning of al-asalu is ‘slender twigs, shoots’, which hints at the vegetal solution to the riddle. How far the cultivated artichokes of eleventh-century al-Andalus had diverged in form from the wild cardoon of which they are a cultivar is a rather vexed question, but it is worth noting that cardoon scales can boast an covering of thorn-like spines that would fit ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s image of spears admirably.

Ibn al-Ṭallāʾ does not provide a straightforward attestation of the maiden mother conceit: the artichoke is certainly a chaste virgin, but the text is not explicit that she has daughters. Rather, the maiden/artichoke-heart’s ‘jūdu’ (‘abundance’) can be read as the maiden/artichoke-heart herself. But the ‘continual’ nature of this abundance can be read to reflect how the artichoke is a perennial, returning year after year, the chaste virgin in a sense the mother of the next year’s growth. Alternatively, the riddle can also be read, like some of the others here, as contemplating the artichoke heart as the flower and subsequently seed-head that it will become if not harvested. Ibn al-Ṭallāʾ was evidently at least exploring similar ideas to the maiden mother motif in a riddle in the Arabic-speaking Mediterranean of the eleventh century.

‘Artichoke’ strikes us as the likeliest solution to Dunash’s riddle as well as ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s. As a topic for a riddle, it is paralleled elsewhere in the Mediterranean region: of the three true riddles whose solutions are plants in Milovanović’s collection of Byzantine riddles, one is on agkinara, which might be translated either as ‘cardoon’ or specifically as ‘artichoke’. Milovanović’s edition corresponds to the text in the Palatine Anthology.

I have a brain without a head, and I am green 
and rise from the earth by a long neck.

---

33 Ibn Saʿīd al-Maghribī, راﯾﺎت اﻟﻤﺒﺮزﯾﻦ وﻏﺎﯾﺎت اﻟﻤﻤﯿﺰﯾﻦ, ed. Gómez, 110 [verse 305].
34 Ibn Saʿīd al-Maghribī, راﯾﺎت اﻟﻤﺒﺮزﯾﻦ وﻏﺎﯾﺎت اﻟﻤﻤﯿﺰﯾﻦ, trans. Arberry, 182.
36 Lane, Arabic-English Lexicon.
37 Wright, Did the Ancients know the artichoke?, Weingarten, Artichokes and cucumbers, 59-63.
38 Βυζαντινα αινιγματα, ed. Milovanović, 19 [no. 6]
I am like a ball placed on a flute, and if you search within my flanks I have there my mother’s father.

Moreover, Milovanović noted that the second half of this text circulated as a riddle in its own right, while consultation of Plutei 32.16, folio 382v reveals that the manuscript gives the first couplet alone, showing that it too circulated independently. Since it is unusual for a riddle to describe the same parts of its subject twice, switching metaphors as it does so, it may be that the four-line riddle in the Palatine Anthology represents the conflation of two two-line riddles on the same subject, which would evidence greater salience again of the cardoon in medieval Greek riddling. Dunash’s ‘they return beautiful, undamaged,* enclosed with fenced gardens’ fits the perennial cardoon. Likewise, the enclosure of the virgins by fenced gardens would within the metaphorical world of Dunash’s riddle denote the enclosure of the artichoke heart by its scales or spines, while playfully making a literal statement about how artichokes grow in gardens.

Meanwhile, there is no longer need to doubt that the Greek riddle exhibiting the reproducing maidens conceit is, as most commentators have recognised, about a plant. The motif is used of far too wide a range of species for us to read it as being particularly well suited to the date palm, but there is no reason to doubt the relatively early manuscript evidence in favour of this solution. The date palm was, after all, an especially popular topic for early medieval plant riddles: it is the solution to the only riddle plausibly attributed in the hadīth to the Prophet, to one of two riddles on plants by the later tenth-century Abū Abdallāh al-Ḥusayn ibn Aḥmad al-Mughallis, and appears several times in the Latin tradition.

The maiden mother conceit could have travelled between the literary cultures represented by these riddles at least in part in writing. The Greek example is potentially the earliest, but as far as we know, there is no strong evidence for links specifically between the Greek date-riddle and the other riddles examined here. Greek influence on epigrammatic Arabic writing seems to be negligible, but it is not impossible that Greek writing influenced Anglo-Latin riddles. Symphosius’s vine riddle was almost certainly known to the composer of the Bern rose riddle, and Hall has shown elsewhere that a literary link between the Bern Riddles and those of Heidreks saga is possible (forthcoming). And we might speculate that there were opportunities for the riddles of Symphosius influence Arabic and Hebrew-speakers in early medieval al-Andalus; although ibn Saʿīd associated ibn al-Ṭallāʾ with Mahdia, in what is currently Tunisia, sources also associate him with Iberia. The depictions of earth, enclosure and defences in the maiden mother riddle by ibn al-Ṭallāʾ (and to a lesser extent Dunash) recall Symphosius’s portrayal of the rose in his riddle 45:

*Censeo sum terrae, pulchro perfusa rubore,*

saeptaque, ne violer, telis defendor acutis.

o felix, longo si possim vivere fato!

40 *Βυζαντινα αινιγματα*, ed. Milovanović, 126.
41 Keegan, Islamic legal riddles, 221-22.
43 Talib, *How Do You Say ‘Epigram’ in Arabic?*, 159-60.
44 Cf. Milovanović-Barham, Aldhelm’s *Enigmata* and Byzantine riddles.
I am the purple of the earth, steeped in a beautiful blush,
and, being hedged around so that I am not attacked, I am defended by sharp weapons.
Happy, indeed, if I were able to live a long life.

On the other hand, the conceit could just as well have travelled orally (through international riddle-culture of the kind examined by Antti Aarne’s *Vergleichende Rätselsforchen*,). It is not uninteresting that Symphosius might plausibly have been composing in North Africa, later the home of ibn al-Ṭallā: both writers might reflect the *longue durée* of riddle culture in that region. For the most part, then, it is at least as easy to explain the shared conceit of the maiden mother as a product of oral riddle-culture as one arising from written transmission.

**Plants on gender**

Though work in critical plant theory spells out convincingly the ecological value of developing plant-centric understandings of the world, that does not mean that we will necessarily find such perspectives in early medieval riddles, and despite the vegetal solutions to the maiden mother riddles, the metaphors from which those riddles are woven tell us first and foremost about people. It is worth exploring this anthropomorphic dimension both for its intrinsic value as evidence for human culture and *en route* to ascertaining what, if anything, the riddles can tell us about plants. In particular, the exploration of gender and sexuality is inherent in the maiden mother conceit, as, however light-heartedly, the motif harnesses plants’ obvious drive to grow and reproduce along with their ostensible lack of sexual desire and sexual intercourse to the contemplation of women’s reproduction and the role of reproduction in gendering. This initial focus on what the riddles tell us about people will show, however, that from a plant-theory point of view, even in cultures deeply embedded into the Abrahamic positioning of humans on a hierarchy of being apart from animals and even more so from plants, and in cultures which produce profoundly anthropocentric literature, we can see poetry imagining analogies between plant and human life with great fluency.

Notwithstanding the close association between Mary the mother of Jesus and the date tree in Islamic tradition (and the willingness of early medieval Arabic poets to make risqué allusions to this association), none of the maiden mother riddles takes up the challenge thrown down by Abu ʿl-Najm Minūchihrī Dāmghānī in Ghaznavid Persia around the 1030s, in a long *qaṣīda* on wine, to relate the maiden mother conceit to Mary:

بي شوى شرد آستن جون مريم عمران *وين قسه بسي خويتر و خوشتِ از اانست

like Mary, ʿImrān’s daughter, she’s pregnant with no husband, * yet her tale is stranger and sweeter than the tale of Mary.

But the riddles put the conceit to a great deal of other work.

---

46 Cf. Keetley, Six theses on plant horror, 13-16; Salvador Bello, The sexual riddle type.
Of the examples of the maiden mother conceit presented above, ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s is the most remarkable for the range of cultural themes it encapsulates: the artichoke is revealed in the riddle not only through environmental conditions, but through familial relations; greed and the guarding of wealth by weapons; skin-colour and perceptions of beauty; and gender and sexual desire.\(^{48}\) If the artichoke seemed to ibn al-Ṭallāʾ an exotic and perhaps high-status vegetable,\(^{49}\) that might account for its characterisation as a Byzantine damsel, but the key factor is more likely the stereotypical reputation of Byzantine people in Arabic literature — and especially women — for exhibiting great beauty and, specifically, white complexions,\(^{50}\) evoking both the white heart of the artichoke and the pigmentocracy operative in early medieval Arab culture.\(^{51}\) A racial dimension is not apparent in the other poems.\(^{52}\)

All of the riddles comment on gender, however, if only as a necessary corollary of using the maiden mother device. El-Cheikh’s account of medieval Arab attitudes to the women of Byzantium emphasises how they were imagined not only as beautiful but also as wanton.\(^{53}\) None of this comes through in ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s riddle; like the other maiden mother riddles studied here, it focuses on the paradoxical contrast between its subject’s fecundity and her chastity. Nevertheless, the power of the beautiful Byzantine virgin to provoke jealous desire is part of how ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s riddle constructs the desirability of the artichoke: the consumer’s breaching of the artichoke’s defences is construed as a violation, both of the artichoke herself and of the maiden through whom she is represented. And yet by invoking men’s desire to violate the chastity of beautiful maidens and access their ‘abundance’, the poem implicitly adverts to the possibility that the artichokes would rather be left to thrive in peace; however patriarchal and anthropocentric, the riddle is an acknowledgement of sorts of both female and vegetal subjectivity. Dunash’s riddle constructs its subject in a similar way to ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s, focusing on associating beauty with chastity and both with enclosure. Dunash’s riddle, in noting that (in our reading) the perennial plants will return the next year ‘kelulot’ (‘intact’), implicitly contrasts this state with the impending violation of the artichokes that stand before the riddler’s eyes in the poem’s narrative present. Ultimately, given that the consumption of artichokes must have been seen as a perfectly acceptable undertaking, the riddle serves to normalise this violence.

The maiden’s desire to be spared the attentions of men comes through far more explicitly, however, in Symphosius’s riddle — this time with a cheerful sketch of a vivacious and wilful vine, straining against cultivation, surely evoking the licentiousness associated with wine and perhaps evoking Symphosius’s integration into (or at least debt to) non-Abrahamic religious culture. Leary has pointed out that the first hemistich (rendered in his translation above as ‘I do not want to be

---

\(^{48}\) Meghani, Seeing the obscured.

\(^{49}\) Watson, The Arab agricultural revolution, 24-25.

\(^{50}\) El-Cheikh, Byzantium Viewed by the Arabs, 123-24.

\(^{51}\) On which see Hunwick, A region of the mind; Sharawi, African in Arab culture.

\(^{52}\) It is tempting to seek a racial dimension in the Old Norse angelica riddle. Burrows has read the word \(rýgjar\) (‘ladies’) in the opening line as meaning not only ‘ladies’ but also ‘giantesses’: Burrows, Enigma variations, 203-4; Wit and wisdom, 125; Hervarar saga ok Heiðreks, ed. Burrows, 429-30. In turn, giants stand as mythological proxies for racial others in Old Norse texts: Cole, In pursuit of an Æsirist ideology. But the only time in Old Norse when this word and its compounds do not straightforwardly denote mundane and culturally Norse women is in one eclectic, inventive, and alliterative list of names and epithets for \(tróllkonur\) (‘witches/troll-women’): Anonymous Pulpur, ‘Trollkvenna heiti’ 5, ed. Gurevich, 730; cf. Sveinbjörn Egilsson, Lexicon poeticae antiquæ linguæ septentrionalis, s.v. \(rýgr\); Dictionary of Old Norse Prose, s.v. \(rýgr\). Accessed on 28 December 2020: https://onp.ku.dk/onp/onp.php. This is hardly evidence that, as a common noun, \(rýgr\) meant ‘giantess’.

\(^{53}\) Byzantium Viewed by the Arabs, 123-29.
joined in marriage’) can also be understood to mean ‘I do not want to be joined [to the vine-support] with a tie-for-binding-vines’, while the last line may refer in its first hemistich (‘I do not want to encounter tombs’) to a custom of planting grape vines around tombs, and in its second (‘I know how to bury myself in the earth’) to a method of training the plant by running a vine along a furrow and burying all but the tip, which then takes root and grows from that new location.\textsuperscript{54} The vine is emphatic that it wants to grow in its own way, in its own place (and away from the dead); the human woman who provides the metaphor for the vine implicitly feels much the same: neither wants to be tied down.

The Old Norse riddle takes this challenge to patriarchal control of women to another level again. Since angelica was important as a food plant and noted for its sweetness, the riddle may be evidence for a mutual association of plant and mother with fertility.\textsuperscript{55} But its representation of gender is more complex than this. All the riddles evoke (only to foreclose) the subversive fantasy of women who are able to reproduce without men, but Óðinn’s riddle further challenges heteronormativity by imagining that the reproduction takes place through women’s sexual congress. Since representations even of friendships between women are rare in Old Norse literature, this is in its way momentous;\textsuperscript{56} however metaphorical, the riddle is to our knowledge the only representation of female homosexual sex in the corpus. Of course, since the point of the riddle is to set up a paradox which has to be resolved, it is depicting women reproducing with each other precisely to negate the real-world possibility of that, and implicitly the same-sex relationship it depicts is portrayed as no more possible than homosexual reproduction. And yet, as with other riddles in Heiðreks saga, the metaphors in this riddle have a literary resonance that suggests that more than this is at stake. It might first be noted that sagas are more forthcoming about intimacy between kinswomen, including women who are kin by marriage, than about non-kin friendship, and in a sense the riddle is consonant with this.\textsuperscript{57} Burrows translated the third line (‘elr við kván kona’, translated above as ‘a woman gives birth by a wife’) as ‘woman begets with woman’, but while kona is both the usual word for a woman and for a wife, kván certainly means ‘wife’, making it clear that the riddle imagines married women.\textsuperscript{58} Meanwhile, the challenges that this riddle poses to the gender norms of Abrahamicate cultures fit with how the riddles of Heiðreks saga evoke and play with non-Christian Scandinavian mythological traditions. Hannah Burrows has explored Heiðreks saga’s evocative series of ‘wave-riddles’, in which the waves of the sea are personified as powerful and dangerous women, and has shown the subtle interplay of this series with non-Christian mythology, which reveals how the waves are, ‘like Ægir’s daughters, both seductive—a source of food and of adventure—and dangerous and unpredictable, taking lives at will’.\textsuperscript{59} The angelica riddle likewise participates in an intense interest in gender-transgression in medieval Icelandic writing: the primeval giant Ymir’s asexual reproduction and the transformation of the male god Loki into a sexually active female horse in the early thirteenth-century Snorra Edda; a well-established discourse for expressing one man’s power over another through the language of male homosexual sex; warrior maidens, of whom one of the most renowned is Hervör Angantýsdóttir,\textsuperscript{60} preceived as a more than usual kind of fantasy.

\textsuperscript{54} Symphosius, Aenigmata, ed. and trans. Leary 2014, 157-59.
\textsuperscript{55} Teixidor-Toneu, Kjesrud and Kool, Sweetness beyond desserts, 296-97.
\textsuperscript{56} Van Deusen, Sworn Sisterhood?
\textsuperscript{57} Van Deusen, Sworn Sisterhood?, 66-67.
\textsuperscript{58} Sveinbjörn Egilsson, Lexicon poeticum antiquae linguæ septentrionalis, s.vv. kona, kván; cf. Sveinbjörn Egilsson, Lexicon poeticum antiquae linguæ septentrionalis, s.vv. kona, kvánfang.
\textsuperscript{59} Enigma variations, 213.
\textsuperscript{60} Meulengracht Sørensen, Preben, The Unmanly Man.
the mother of the man to whom the riddle is posed;\textsuperscript{62} and the misogamous maiden-kings of fourteenth- and fifteenth-century Icelandic romance.\textsuperscript{63} Indeed, medieval Icelandic romances, which enjoy fantastic Continental settings, are a little more forthcoming on female friendship than sagas set in Iceland, and do sometimes interrupt their fixation on male homosocial relationships for long enough to gesture to homosocial relationships between women, by far the strongest example being the (arguably homoerotic) friendship between the princesses of France and India in the perhaps fourteenth-century \textit{Nitíða saga}.\textsuperscript{64} Thus, although the angelica riddle fundamentally negates the homosexuality it portrays, the fact that it represents homosexuality amidst a wide-ranging probing of gender-norms in medieval Icelandic writing forbids us from accepting too readily the riddle’s implication that women having sex with one another is no more possible than women reproducing with one another. Our surviving evidence affords us only the slightest glimpses into the medieval reception of \textit{Heiðreks saga}’s riddles,\textsuperscript{65} but we can contemplate that, like many erotic riddles, for at least some listeners to the saga, the angelica riddle served to acknowledge taboo realities rather than to deny them.\textsuperscript{66}

At the same time, the children of the union between the angelicas are ‘mög’ (‘sons’) — unlike the clearly female offspring in the Greek fig riddle, and the Symphosius and Dunash riddles. The desirability of sons over daughters in Old Norse culture positions this homosexual reproduction as especially fruitful, but in doing so it reinserts patriarchal gender expectations: it positions sons as the prototypical offspring. Perhaps, just as in \textit{Heiðreks saga} marriage and childbirth marks the end of Hervör Angantýsdóttir’s career as a male viking, the birth of a son returns the queer angelicas to the patriarchal fold. Several ancient and medieval texts from India contemplate reproduction through two women having sex, on the one hand positing this possibility, yet on the other describing how men begotten in such a way are born deformed (specifically through bonelessness).\textsuperscript{67} Were similar assumptions or anxieties to have circulated in medieval Iceland then, arguably, the success of homosexual women in producing sons accentuates the paradoxes at the heart of the angelica riddle, as if sons are an even more surprising product of the union of two women than daughters would have been. On the one hand, the riddle gives the stamp of reproductive success to the angelicas’ supposed coupling; on the other, it again circumscribes its own radicalism with patriarchal measures of success.

It is the Bern rose riddle that focuses most intently on offspring, and here too they are masculine (\textit{natos}), apparently growing up over their mother and enfolding her into heteronormativity — yet implicitly the sons become mothers in turn as they produce their own seeds. Hard though this riddle is to interpret, the sinister image of the sons’ birth constituting the wounding of their mother points more than the other riddles analysed here to the alienness of plants, and, however light the tone, the final comment about the rose’s thorns defending it emphasises the rose’s antagonistic relationship with its environment.\textsuperscript{68}

In portraying plants as human maidens and mothers, these riddles explore, in their different ways, women’s reluctance to participate in patriarchal sexual relationships (even if the riddles ultimately normalise those relationships). In its simplicity, even the Greek \textit{parthénos} riddle is heroically insistent on the date-palm’s self-sufficiency and the unnecessariness of male intervention in its

\begin{footnotes}
\item[62] On whom see Clover, \textit{Warrior maidens and other sons}.
\item[63] Kalinke, \textit{Bridal-Quest Romance in Medieval Iceland}.
\item[64] McDonald Werronen, \textit{Popular Romance in Iceland}, 171-93; Murath, \textit{Invisible kingship}.
\item[65] Cf. Hall, Changing style and changing meaning.
\item[66] Cf. Salvador-Bello, \textit{The sexual riddle type}.
\item[67] Vanita, \textit{Born of two vaginas}.
\item[68] On the alienness of plants, see Keetley, \textit{Six theses on plant horror}.
\end{footnotes}
production of fruit. The Latin, Arabic, and Hebrew examples develop this theme by positioning
the plant as a woman or girl facing unwanted sexual attention, or even predation, from men. On
the other hand, the Old Norse angelica riddle both highlights the gender-conservatism of most of
the plant riddles studied here and the capacity of the peripheral Icelandic culture to cast up
subversive perspectives on medieval Abrahamicate norms. All the riddles hint at a patriarchal envy
at women’s capacity for reproduction, or indeed, in the plants’ more alien moments here, fear of it;
for all their mentions of chastity, the riddles implicitly recognise that the women have desires, and
options.

Critical plant studies

After this long exposition of how riddles write plants as people, it may seem otiose to say that they
indicate a readiness to see plants and humans as similar forms of life. Yet recent work in critical
plant studies has demonstrated how profoundly Judaeo-Christian theology, western philosophy,
and most ecocriticism have turned away from reading plants as a life-form on a continuum with
animal, and specifically human, existence. As Matthew Hall emphasised in his analysis of plants
in the Tanakh/Bible, ‘where plants are ascribed qualities such as perception and sensation, it is
clear that these metaphors firmly locate such attributes first and foremost in the human being
when they appear’, and this is undeniably true of the metaphorical logic of the maiden mother
riddles: riddles present plants in human terms precisely because plants are recognised not to be
human. And where the Bible talks about plants, it tends to focus on plants cultivated for human
consumption, and this anthropocentric focus on cultivated plants extends too to most of the
maiden mother riddles. Nevertheless, it is hard to read the portrayals of plants given above without
concluding that early medieval commentators perceived and empathised with plants’ vivacity. The
triple repetition of parthénos (‘virgin woman’) in the Greek date-riddle alone constitutes an
insistence on personhood, and the other riddles take this much further. As Dale has emphasised
regarding trees in Old English riddles, even an anthropomorphic portrayal of plants is a basis — to
some extent a necessary one — for empathy. Perhaps correspondingly, the riddles for the most
part tack away from emphasising the alien dimension of vegetal life — for example, they tend to
focus on individual plants rather than a ‘multiplying mass’ of plants, which is liable to evoke
horror. Through this intimate focus on individual plants, the riddles implicitly position plants as
being to (human) animals what women are to men: restricted in movement and speech, subject to
the gaze or violence of their more vocal and mobile dominators — but having their own
subjecthood nonetheless, as well as a capacity for reproduction denied to their oppressor and
needed by them. Moreover, since the subjects of most of the maiden mother riddles are food crops,
the riddles enable us to contemplate how humans and plants constitute each other through the
coevolutionary interspecies relationships that are agricultural economies, and in turn the way that
those economies shape space and constitute place.

Notwithstanding its broad brush-strokes, Matthew Hall’s sketch of plants’ place across a range of
(mostly pre-modern) cultures plausibly identifies a trend in pre-Christian Graeco-Roman
mythology and in Old Norse accounts of non-Christian Scandinavian mythology whereby plants
(admittedly primarily trees) are accorded greater animacy than in Judaeo-Christian culture, and

69 E.g. Hall, *Plants as Persons*; Nealon, *Plant Theory*.
70 Hall, *Plants as Persons*, 63.
71 Hall, *Plants as Persons*, 64-65.
73 Keetley, Six theses on plant horror, 14.
74 Cf. Price, *Human and NonHuman in Anglo-Saxon and British Postwar Poetry*, 91-102; Sheshia
Galvin, Interspecies relations and agrarian worlds, 242-44.
our corpus of riddles chimes with this.\textsuperscript{75} Picking up on the Old Icelandic angelica riddle again: it is not known how intensively angelica was managed or cultivated by people in medieval Iceland — or even whether it was first brought there by human settlers or birds — but it was certainly found on mountainsides in the Middle Ages as in the present day,\textsuperscript{76} and the emphasis of the riddle is firmly on awe at an untamed environment. The riddle opens panoramically, picturing women on a \textit{reginfjall}. \textit{Fjall} straightforwardly means ‘mountain’, but the word \textit{reign} in Old Norse is rich in metaphysical valences: it means ‘powers’, and was also a traditional term for ‘pagan gods’ and even ‘the world’. As the first element of a compound (as here) it generally means ‘mighty, great’ or sometimes ‘holy’; \textit{regnaf}, for example, means ‘open ocean’ (as opposed to the simplex \textit{haf}, ‘sea’), while in modern Icelandic \textit{reginfjall} means ‘a wild fell, mountain wilderness’\textsuperscript{77}. Correspondingly, the image of the angelica plants as women on a mountainside implies the plants’ mobility, wandering beyond the farmstead (as would the human gatherers of angelica itself). And in \textit{Heiðrekr’s} solution to the riddle, the young angelica plant is a \textit{hvannarkálfr}, literally an ‘angelica calf’. All this evokes not only a putative heritage of pre-Christian animacy in medieval Scandinavian culture, but also the riddle’s production in a society which was predominantly pastoral rather than agricultural.\textsuperscript{78} Human settlement on Iceland was determined by access to grazing; grazing in turn reshaped the island’s ecology; and the angelicas, eking out their survival in this new ecosystem, are read in terms of the animals — human and bovine — with which they contend, gaining a kind of parity with them, and are shown to survive through modes of reproduction alien to their mammalian neighbours.

Meanwhile, Leary’s recent edition and translation of Symphosius’s riddles expounds thoroughly the degree of viticultural detail which Symphosius wove into his portrayal of the vine as a young woman.\textsuperscript{79} In Symphosius’s world, imbued with non-Christian Roman culture, the vine, in her first-person voice, experiences yet strives against intensive training and propagation: though far from the pastoral setting of the angelica-riddle, this poem likewise generates a more animate subject than the Abrahamicate Mediterranean texts studied here.

Let us accept the hypothesis that Dunash ben Labrāṭ’s maiden mother riddle indeed concerns food plants. If so, the riddles of ibn al-Ṭallāʾ and Dunash, by contrast with the riddles of Symphosius and \textit{Heiðreks saga}, emphasise plants’ sessile nature: respectively the artichoke flower as the virgin at the top of a tower and plants as enclosed maidens. Both riddles, of course, can also be read against the grain: although the enclosure is ostensibly for their protection, the implicit effort to contain these virgins implies that they might be both willing and able to break out of these constraints, for plants are not as sessile as at first glance they might seem, and especially in their reproduction. Nevertheless, it is easy to read these poems as evoking the intensive regime of Mediterranean gardening and cultivation, perhaps specifically in the context of Andrew Watson’s celebrated vision of ‘The Arab Agricultural Revolution and Its Diffusion, 700–1100’, in which the artichoke enjoys a significant (if contested) role.\textsuperscript{80} Ibn al-Ṭallāʾ’s representation of the artichoke as the daughter of water and \textit{turbu} does not merely present a marriage of water and earth (as our


\textsuperscript{76} Teixidor-Toneu, \textit{Kjesrud and Kool, Sweetness beyond desserts}.


\textsuperscript{80} Cf. Watson, \textit{Agricultural Innovation in the Early Islamic World}; Wright, \textit{Did the Ancients know the artichoke?}; Squatriti, \textit{Of seeds, seasons, and seas}, 1209.
translation above would suggest), but specifically ‘dust, dry earth’.\(^{81}\) while resonating with the 
elemental and therefore the cosmic, the simplicity of the image of water bringing fertility to dry soil 
in the context of the poem’s tight focus on the individual plant expresses the care and attention 
paid by the agriculturalist to the plant and its environmental conditions of life.

Dunash, we suggest, presents the artichoke heart surrounded by its scales or spikes as a virgin 
fenced into a garden, but the fact that artichokes might be fenced into a garden in reality is one of 
his clues to the reader as to the solution to the riddle. Gardens are fabulously rich sites of symbolic 
adventure in the Hebrew Andalusi poetry of Dunash and his successors,\(^{82}\) and Dunash’s maiden 
mother riddle could be contextualised in relation to this. But here we look instead to a comparison 
with a different part of his diwān, a fragmentary verse found in the Cairo Geniza on a letter by 
Ḥasdai ibn Shaprut (c. 915-70), thought to be a complaint from Dunash to his master Ḥasdai, 
following what appears to have been an enforced separation of Dunash from his wife and son. S. J. 
Pearce has emphasised the degree to which the poems attributed to Dunash and his wife at the 
moment of their parting contemplate their mutual affection in economic terms through their 
exchange of arm-rings — key repositories of moveable wealth in the early medieval world — and 
the following poem by Dunash follows that trajectory with reference to commerce in agricultural 
produce.\(^{83}\) The first two lines are illegible; the remainder read:\(^{84}\)

\[
egin{align*}
\text{בינתו לֻּבְבָךְ יִּאֶמֶסֶתָּ יָדָה} \\
\text{סחוּרַת אָם כִּי לְעָבָדָךְ} \\
\text{ןְמַלְלָה} \\
\text{בּֽיָגוָלֶקְץ אָכְטָא} \\
\text{וַל לֵלֶא אֲעוּא} \\
\text{בִּתּוֹבוּא הַצְּרִיתוּ} \\
\text{סֶפֶר נְעוּרִים} \\
\text{בְּאֵבָגַדִּי יָלְדָה} \\
\text{שֶר אֲבָנַי} \\
\text{וּעָזַבְתִּי} \\
\text{נַחֲלָתוּ} \\
\text{שְּתִי} \\
\text{נָטַל} \\
\text{I served you in sorrow, for all your wares are loathsome.} \\
\text{I will glean no grapes, nor will I gather corn.} \\
\text{I betrayed a young wife and sent her a writ of divorce.} \\
\text{I left my home, and abandoned the son that she bore.} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The composition of this complaint could have been widely separated in time from the 
composition of Dunash’s maiden mother riddle; there is nothing to say which was composed first; 
and there is no demand to read Dunash’s riddle as addressing an actual son. Yet the comparison 
with the riddle is striking. The riddle is the only one of the ten in Halper 317 to specify the 
addressee as bni (‘my son’). The relationship between the implicit speaker and his son stands in 
contrast with the maidens who are the subject of the riddle, the men’s gaze ranging over the 
enclosed women, their words defining them as they contemplate chaste women who nonetheless 
‘return, undamaged’, in what we have read as literal agricultural fecundity and metaphorical 
human women’s reproductive self-sufficiency. By contrast, in the poem to Ḥasdai, Dunash

---

\(^{81}\) Lane, Arabic-English Lexicon, s.v. ﻠُرِاب.  
\(^{82}\) E.g. Decter, Iberian Jewish Literature, 79-95.  
\(^{83}\) Bracelets are for hard times.  
\(^{84}\) Edited by Fleischer, 218; הלולאות ישירות המחלubiית בומייד בראשית, trans. by Hoffman and Cole, Sacred Trash, 181.
positions himself as severed from his son, while still contemplating a young single mother, and rather than associating the woman with fruitfulness, the estranged husband conversely associates his abandonment of his wife and son with either a refusal or inability on his part to reap a harvest. The conceptual structure here is along the same lines as the injunction of Qurʾān 2:223: ‘nisāʿukum ḥarthun lakum fatū ḥarthakum annā shiʾtum waqaddimū li-anfusikum’ (‘your wives are [like] your fields, so go into your fields whichever way you like, and send [something good] ahead for yourselves’).85 There are several hierarchical relationships implied in Dunah’s poem: Ḥasdai has some kind of mastery over Dunash; Dunash has (or had) a patriarchal superiority over his wife and son; and the men in the poem also view themselves as standing above grapes and corn in the divine order of things. Reading Dunash’s complaint against the riddle, we can glimpse men blurring admiration for women’s capacity to reproduce with enthusiasm for reaping agricultural bounty, and a man lamenting his severing from his progeny through an alienation from the harvest whose commodification has demanded their separation. When agriculture ceases primarily to be about sustaining the material needs of Dunash’s family and their proximity in space, the metaphorical bond between the human and the cultivated plant breaks. In these ways, Dunash positions his superiority over wife and child as metaphorically equivalent to superiority over crops — but turns away from presenting his own state of oppression in the same terms, presenting himself as a frustrated harvester rather than in any sense a harvest. Together, his recognition of the suffering of his wife and son and, in the riddle, his reading of plants as maidens whose harvest is, implicitly, a violation, implies a recognition of plants’ suffering — but in both cases, Dunash reads that relationship through a patrician lens of superiority and responsibility.

Conclusions

This study, the first extensive examination of riddles whose solutions are plants, has, if nothing else, established a few useful facts in the study of early medieval riddles — a genre which, beyond Old English and Latin, has enjoyed scant attention. Across Latin, Arabic, Greek, Old Norse and, we argue, Hebrew riddles on plants, we can see the circulation of a conceit whereby the plant is construed as a maiden who is paradoxically a mother. By moving our primary-source scope beyond Latin and English — the hegemonic languages of the Western academy — to range across the early medieval riddle corpus, we have shown that there is no need to doubt the manuscript solution to the Greek date-riddle; that a plausible solution is available for one of Dunash ben Labraṭ’s that has hitherto perplexed scholars; and that the Old Norse riddles of Heiðreks saga are not as isolated from wider Eurasian riddling traditions as has been supposed, while also drawing attention to the angelica riddle as possibly the only portrayal of female same-sex coupling in the Old Norse corpus.

One of the various Arabic terms for ‘riddle’ is muʿammā, which literally means ‘obscured, blinded’, a term which engages us with the problem of perception: not being able to see what is nevertheless there, or seeing only what gives the obscured object shape. Crucially, it invites us to reveal the unseen. The relevance of the riddles we have studied here to ameliorating what Wandersee and Schussler labelled ‘plant blindness’ will be obvious. While critical plant theory has focused — justifiably — on enabling human understanding of the Earth’s current ecological crisis, these plant-riddles also remind us of the extensive diffusions in Western Eurasian history and pre-history of cultivable plants and agricultural technologies, and likewise the degree to which linguistically, culturally, and geographically disparate people nonetheless participated in shared literary cultures and means for exploring human culture through reflections on the plants with which they co-existed.

85 Qurʾān, ed. Quranic Arabic Corpus; trans. Abdel Haleem.
The riddles studied here are on the whole far shorter than most of their Old English counterparts, making it harder to see in them the sprawling, rhizomatic assemblages that ecocritically minded scholars have come to celebrate in Old English riddles. Their neglect thus recalls Adam Talib’s argument that scholars steeped in Western poetic traditions have struggled to come to grips with the short poems of the epigrammatic traditions found in Arabic and beyond. Yet we have shown how dense and evocative these short riddles can be, and how concertedly they explore human relations — particularly gender and its relationship with movement and reproduction. And we have been able to show that these riddles are not merely anthropocentric. The sample of literature determined for us by a particular motif provides a small but independent correlation for Matthew Hall’s survey of the ideological position of plants in pre-modern cultures (and has extended his focus from Christianity some distance into wider Abrahamic culture). By drawing on his and other recent work in critical plant theory we have been able to find implicit recognitions in several of these riddles of the dynamic and reciprocal relationships between human farmers and their family structures, the plants that domesticate them, their mutual shaping of the ecosystems they inhabit or colonise, and the economies that these interactions constitute.

The dominant tone in the riddles is perhaps the patrician one that we have identified in Dunash ben Labrat’s work, whereby men see themselves as above both women and plants, reading the two subjected groups as metaphorically equivalent, gazing upon them and gathering their offspring to themselves, and understanding themselves as bearing a responsibility towards them. Yet these riddlers cannot help recognising the restrictions that this hierarchy places on the oppressed, and the desire of plants and women to flourish and grow (and reproduce) without constraint or violence. And as we probe the edges of the Abrahamic culture — Symphosius, chronologically at the beginning of its extension through the Mediterranean, Heiðreks saga, geographically at its periphery — we see a less patrician tone, the plants livelier, queering gender from their own distinctive ecologies.
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