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In Islamic mysticism and theurgy, the Seven Seals represent in graphic form the Greatest Name of God; in Jewish Kabbalah, the Seals bear individual Divine Names which collectively form a “Great Name.” We review and compare the primary interpretations and secondary associations for each Seal in Islam and Judaism, from which it is clear that the two traditions have developed largely independent understandings of the individual symbols. Nevertheless, points of convergence – such as the interpretation of the fourth Seal as a ladder and an ascent to/of goodness – do exist. Conversely, the attributes of the third Islamic and seventh Jewish Seals have a surprising amount in common. Collectively, the Seals have been linked via word- and letter-counting to key affirmations of each religion: the Islamic ones to the Shahāda, the Jewish ones to Psalm 46:7,11. In contrast to the Islamic Seals, individual correspondences are rarely given for the Jewish Seals and are inconsistent across sources. Kabbalistic amulets are more likely to employ the Names of the Seals than their symbols, and when present the latter are often much degraded; in contrast, Islamic talismans make frequent use of the symbol series. In Islamic magic, the Seven Seals are associated with the seven Ṭahāṭīl Names, which exhibit possible similarities to the Names of the Seals in Kabbalah. Intriguing overlaps of the Jewish Seal Names with Egyptian mythology and Vedic Sanskrit are explored, but ultimately it is thought more likely that the seven Names derive from the Names of God’s fingers and eyes (five plus two, respectively) in the Shi‘īr Qōmah of the Hekhalot literature. Fittingly, exegesis of the Seals in both Judaism and Islam contains general themes of hands/fingers and sight/blindness.

Introduction

The Seven Seals (Fig. 1a) are a series of arcane symbols that feature prominently in Islamic mysticism, magic texts and talismans. Although they are sometimes called the Seven Seals of Solomon, their discovery is traditionally attributed to ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib (d. 661 CE), cousin and son-in-law of the Prophet Muḥammad, who is said to have found them inscribed on a rock and to have recognized them as the Greatest Name (al-Ism al-Aʾzam) of Allāh. The poem describing the symbol series usually reads as shown below (p.3, top); for clarity, a number giving the position of each Seal (Fig. 1a) has been placed in angle-brackets after its description.

---

* Formatted for the journal Studia Occulta Islamica, which unfortunately ceased operation without publishing an issue. Its website has long been defunct, but its 2011 transliteration guidelines are archived at https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/view/15836185/download-the-transliteration-guidelines-directly-societas-occulta-. In an exception to these instructions, the Hebrew letter "ש" is in this paper represented by "sh" rather than "š", to better match the transliteration ("sh") of the cognate Arabic letter, "ش".
Fig. 1. The Seven Seals. Series (a)-(c) and (f) are Islamic, whereas (d)-(e) are Jewish. Like Arabic/Hebrew text, the symbol series are read from right to left. Here, the canonical Seals for (a) and (c)-(e) are numbered above (a) and below (e). (a) Examples of canonical Islamic Seal series. (i) Eight-membered series where the initial/final symbols are hexagrams rather than a pentagrams.10 (ii) Seven-membered series commencing with a pentagram, with extended tails on the sixth and seventh Seals.11 (iii) Seven-membered series without extended tails, as found in the Shams al-Maʿārif and Manbaʿ Uṣūl al-Hikma of the Corpus Būnianum. (b) Prototype Seal sequence in the Diwān of Ṭiṣḥ (Brit. Mus. 577 Add. 7534). For this series, the numbering system at top/bottom of the figure breaks down beyond position 4; the four strokes are formally equivalent to the fifth Seal, and the small circle to the sixth. (c) Series from the Fātimid Ismāʿīlī Risālat al-Ism al-ʾAzīm (Treatise on the Greatest Name). (d) A representative composite of the Jewish Seal series, as found in the Kabbalah manuscripts listed in fn 28. (e) Seal series from the printed Kabbalah book Toldot ʾĀdām, by Eliahu ben Moshe Loans and Joel ben Isaac Halpern, Section 158. (i) Second Seal series, second edition (1872 CE). (ii) First Seal series, second edition, but here repaired to include the missing sixth Seal. The latter is as presented as it appears in first Seal series in the first edition (1720 CE). (f) One of several Seal series with an interpolated gīm in a handwritten Mujarrabāt from ca. 1930 CE.12
Three rods <2> positioned after a seal <1>,
Above their heads, something like a straightened lance;
And a mīm <3>, blind and maimed, then a ladder <4>
To all that is hoped for, yet it is not a ladder.
And four objects like fingers lined up <5>
Pointing to good deeds, but without the rest of the hand.
Then a divided hāʾ <6>, and an upside-down wāw <7>
Like the siphon tube of a blood-letter, nevertheless it is not a cupping-glass.
This is the name of Allāh, praised for its supreme power,
If you did not know it before, know it now.

The Seals were espoused by the Ṣūfī schools of both Sunni13 and Shi`a Islam.14 However, the belief that the Seven Seals were discovered by `Alī mean that the symbols have always held particular significance for Shi‘ī mystics. For example, one of the earliest discourses on the Seals is found in a Fātimid Ismā‘īlī work titled Risālat al-Ism al-Aʿzam (Treatise on the Greatest Name),15 seemingly from the early twelfth century CE,16 and `Alī’s poem about the Seals is included in Muḥammad al-Tirānī’s (d. 1970 CE) standard reference text on Shi‘a Islam.17 The Seals have also attracted keen interest from pioneers of the Bābī, Shaykhī, Bahāʾī and related movements.18,19,20

While most prominent in the context of Islam and its offshoots, the Seven Seals are also known to Judaism,21 with Kabbalistic use of these symbols dating back at least to the thirteenth century CE.22 Here the glyphs23 bear individual Names which collectively comprise a “Great Name,”24 while the symbols themselves are “letters that God carved in the Creation and upon which He built the world,” so that “upon each single letter there is the Name of God.”25 Although a covenant of seven seals would probably have been regarded as special by medieval Kabbalists (as discussed below), the Jewish Seven Seals are often referred to rather prosaically by terms such as “seven symbols of the great Rabbi,” with many alternate identities proposed for the sage in question.26 The usual sequence of Jewish symbols (Fig. 1d,e) is the same as in the canonical Islamic series (Fig. 1a,c). In Judaism, the term “Seal of Solomon” was applied not to the ensemble of seven symbols but rather to an individual pentagram or hexagram, i.e. to the symbol that commences the canonical Islamic series (Fig. 1a).27 Surprisingly, primary Jewish sources do not use either of these geometries for the first Seal, but instead employ a simple circle or square, or (rarely) a triangle (Fig. 1d,e).28 More will be said of this in the next section.

The prominence with which the Seven Seals feature in the eighteenth century CE book Kanz al-Khavāṣṣ, Kanz al-Yahūd,29 which allegedly focuses on talismanic magic of Jewish origin used by Muslims in Persia, encourages the impression that the Seals were a Jewish innovation. Independently of this, the Bahāʾī scholar Stephen Lambden feels little doubt that “these graphic signs are examples of Islamo-biblica or Isrāʿīliyyāt (‘Israelitica’) rooted material reflecting pre-Islamic Abrahamic-Judaic traditions which have been assimilated into Islam.”30 On the other hand, Gershom Scholem and Gideon Bohak – two leading scholars of Kabbalah and Jewish magic – are of the opinion that the Seal series in its mature form (as seen in Fig. 1d) entered Judaism from Islam.31
It may not be possible to decide unequivocally whether the symbols’ ultimate origins lie in Judaism or Islam. For example, Bohak points to the hidden propagation of esoteric material within Judaism for up to 1500 years. When speaking of the ninth to twelfth centuries CE, Steven Wasserstrom observed that “the ‘creative symbiosis’ between Muslim and Jew extended deeply into the magical realm,” so precursors of the Seals may have trafficked repeatedly between the two religions, all the while continuing to evolve. In any case, the symbols may reflect older writing systems foreign to both cultures. Deeper study is clearly warranted, so a comparison of the Seals’ origins and transmission in each tradition – both apocryphal and historical – is the focus of the next section.

Origins and propagation

Emilie Savage-Smith considers the Islamic Seven Seals to have been assembled in the twelfth century CE. However, the talisman of Archduke Rainer, which shows a rudimentary form of the Seven Seals, has been dated to the tenth/eleventh centuries CE, and the Seals reportedly feature in an amulet against the Qarīna dating from the same period. Imām ʿAlī is credited as the author of the traditional poem that describes the shapes of the Seal symbols, but realistically the verses are more likely to have originated much later with his descendant, al-Ṣharīf al-Murtaḍā (d. 1044 CE). Another early prototype of the symbol series (Fig. 1b) – with a matching version of the poem – is preserved in the Dīwān of ʿAlī (Brit. Mus. 577 Add. 7534), but did not become widely diffused. Degraded forms of this prototype seem to have survived in certain repeat-letter ciphers which also claim to represent the Greatest Name. ʿAlī’s verses describing the Seals are often found as a component of the Jaljalūtīah, one of the great oral conjurations of Islamic magic. While the entire rite is sometimes attributed to ʿAlī, its full chain of transmission alleges that it was revealed by the angel Jibrāʾil (Gabriel) to Muḥammad and then passed via ʿAlī and six others to Ḥusayn al-Ghazālī (d. 1111 CE), who “made it known” along with the Seal symbols. Extant manuscripts of this early source usually show the Seals in their now standard sequence (Fig. 1a). The Seals are reportedly referred to in the Sirr al-maktūm fī mukhātabat al-nujūm attributed to Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 606/1209), but the symbols are not shown.

The canonical Seal series was popularized throughout the Islamic world by the Egyptian-based Sūfī teacher Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn Yūsuf al-Būnī (d. ca. 1225 CE), who included the glyphs and their purported meanings and uses in his influential Shams al-Maʿārif wa-Latāʿ if al-ʿAwārif. Thence they came to feature in other works of the “Corpus Būnīānum” whose connection to al-Būnī is probably more thematic than historical, such as the Manbaʿ Usūl al-Hikmā (e.g., Fig. 1a(iii)) and the expanded/late Shams al-Maʿārif al-Kubrā. The symbols are accompanied by cognate versions of ʿAlī’s poem, whose verses appear either in isolation or as part of the Jaljalūtīah, including the short/early invocation of 70 couplets (al-taṭriqa al-sughrā). al-Būnī’s lead was followed in subsequent popular compendia of magic, such as the Shumūs al-Anwār of Ibn al-Ḥājj al-Tilimsnā (d. 1336 CE). An erroneous ordering of the Seal series in a lithographed version of the Shumūs has unfortunately been copied extensively in Western works. Later, the Corpus Būnīānum was again the main source of
information on the Seals found in the *Fatḥ al-Malik al-Mājūd* of Ahmad ibn ʿUmar al-Dayrābī (d.1738/9 CE), which is sometimes called *Mujarrabāt al-Dayrābī*.63

One feature common to very early Islamic series64 and to many handwritten Jewish Seal series is the use of small circles to depict both the first and sixth canonical Seals (Fig. 1b-d). Hans Winkler, who examined only Islamic sources, considered the former trait to be a hallmark of very early series, with the use of five- or six-pointed stars for the first Seal (Fig. 1a) being a later development. Early material indicates the original forms of both the first and sixth canonical Seals to be the Arabic letter ḥāʾ, with respective origins in the isolated (ۧ) and initial/medial (۶, ۷) forms of this letter.65 The persistent use of a circle or square rather than a penta- or hexagram for the first Seal in Jewish series66 suggests that the transfer from Islam must have occurred early in the known history of the seven-membered series, seemingly after the Dīwān of ʿAlī prototype (Fig. 1b) had fallen out of favour but before the Seals’ promotion – along with the use of five- or six-pointed stars for the first Seal – by al-Būnī (Fig. 1a). This would place it around the time of composition of the Fātimid Ismāʿīlī treatise mentioned above, whose symbols and sequence (Fig. 1c) closely approximate the oldest Jewish series (Fig. 1d). After its import into Kabbalah, the symbol series seems to have developed an autonomous existence within esoteric Judaism,67 where it remained largely impervious to the stylistic developments occurring to its Islamic counterpart.

In Judaism, the special nature of a covenant of seven seals would probably have been familiar to medieval Kabbalists from earlier sources, such as the texts of Aramaic incantation bowls of the third to eighth centuries CE68 or the (very Jewish) Book of Revelation that concludes the Christian Bible.70 In *Maʿaseh Merkavah*, a central text of Merkabah/Hekhalot mysticism (200-700 CE), seven seals are placed on the meqūbal’s body to coerce the Angel of the Countenance to descend to earth.71,72 In *Ḥekhalot Rabbaṭī*, another central work, different seals are required to negotiate each of the seven stages of mystical ascent to God’s chariot-throne, the *merkavah*.73,74,75 In both cases, the tokens appear to be Names composed of Hebrew letters rather than graphic designs.76 In the later *Ḥekhalot Zūṭartī*, seals or rings bearing Divine Names are again required for safe passage during the ascent,77 but now the goal is not so much the vision of the *merkavah* as the acquisition of the ultimate “spell and seal,” which in turn grants the meqūbal unlimited control over heaven and earth.78 It is difficult not to connect this concept with Islam’s expression of the “Greatest Name” as an incantation/poem describing a group of Seals whose magical power was considered supreme. Since the Kabbalistic Seven Seals grant access to the supernal realms,79 it is not surprising that some modern authors identify them with the tokens required for ascent to the *merkavah*.80,81,82 But, as we shall see below when considering their Names, the Seven Seals may have a more direct connection with the ultimate spell/seal of *Ḥekhalot Zūṭartī*.

Despite such highly potent antecedents in pre-Kabbalah mysticism, the collective term for the Jewish Seven Seals is often an understated phrase such as “seven symbols of the great Rabbi,” where the individual is variously identified as Rav Huna,83 Abraham av Beth Din,84 an unknown “Nohaniel Gaon,”85 Nachmanides,86 Isaac ben Samuel of Acre,87 or simply “the sages of Israel.”88 The sheer diversity of alleged originators, who span the
third to fourteenth centuries CE, could be seen as additional evidence for a non-
indigenous origin. While on stylistic grounds the Jewish Seals seem to date to the twelfth
century CE, the alleged source most likely to have introduced them into Jewish circles is
Isaac of Acre, a Palestinian Kabbalist of the thirteenth/fourteenth century CE, who spent
time in Spain and North Africa \(^{89}\) and was influenced by \(\text{Ṣūfī} \) concepts. \(^{90,91}\) Rabbi Isaac
was an adherent of Nachmanides and “was an expert in composing the sacred Names
(\(\text{ẓerufim} \), i.e., letter combinations), by the power of which angels were forced to reveal to
him the great mysteries.” \(^{92}\) The Seals and their Names are given in the name of Rabbi
Isaac in the earliest extant Hebrew manuscript to show them, Moscow-Günzburg 775. \(^{93,94}\)
One of its five Seal series is presented alongside a talismanic square containing the word
“\(\text{อลลัฮъ} \) (‘\(\text{ʾAllah} \)), which may reflect an Islamic connection for the material. \(^{95}\)

The Seals and Names appear again in the \(\text{Sefer ha-Razīm} \) section of the Byzantine
ms. NYPL Heb. 190 (1464-8 CE). \(^{96}\) They also appear in \(\text{Shōshān Yēsōd ha-ʿOlām} \), \(^{97}\) a
collection of magical documents assembled by the itinerant Rabbi Joseph ben Elijah
Tirshom in the fifteenth or early sixteenth century CE, probably in Ottoman Turkey or
Greece. \(^{98}\) There, the Seal series “is for the keeping of the way, and there is nothing like it;
and it is tested by all the Rabbis.” \(^{99}\) Subsequently the Seals – and, much more often, their
Names alone – appear in \(\text{Shorshē ha-Shemōt} \), \(^{100}\) an encyclopedia compiled in the
seventeenth century CE by Rabbi Moses ben Mordecai Zacuto, the RaMaZ, who was
mainly active in Italy (d. 1696 CE). This grimoire of practical Kabbalah, which lists
thousands of magical Names of God, was widely circulated in manuscript, especially in
North Africa. \(^{101}\) The Seals and their names also appear in a 17th century CE Ashkenazi
manuscript. \(^{102}\) The first printed Kabbalistic book to include the Seal symbols and their
Names was \(\text{Tōldōt ʿĀdām} \), a text composed in the seventeenth century CE by Eliahu ben
Moshe Luanetz/Loans, Baʿal Shem of Virmyze (Worms, Germany), and his student Joel
ben Isaac Heilprin/Halpern, the Baʿal Shem of Zamoshtch (Zamost, Poland). \(^{103}\) The
former was supported by the Kabbalist later credited with creating the famous Golem of
Prague. \(^{104,105,106}\) The text was printed anonymously in 1720 at Zolkiev (Zhovkva, Ukraine)
by Baʿal Shem Joel ben Uri Heilprin/Halpern of Satanow (Sataniv, Ukraine), the
grandson of Joel ben Isaac, and later reprinted in 1872 at Lemberg (Lviv, Ukraine). \(^{107}\)
The book, which is narrowly focused on curing conjugal and reproductive problems, \(^{108}\)
presents the Seal Names and two versions of the symbol series (Fig. 1e). \(^{109}\) The shift from
manuscript to printed page was reportedly accompanied by much self-censorship. \(^{110,111}\)

**Primary and secondary meanings**

The core Islamic and Jewish interpretations of each Seal are presented in Table 1. The
Islamic material focuses on descriptions of the symbols’ shapes, with a moral dimension
most evident in the description of the fifth. The most obvious agreement between the two
traditions is for the fourth Seal, the ladder of ascent. A major difference is that, in
Judaism, each of the Seven Seals has a specific name: from the first to seventh, \(\text{Yṭḥ th Ṭḥ Ṭḥ S’sṭṭ Sʾṭṭṭḥḥʾ Ṣʾṛṭḥḥʾ Marʾṃ Shmrʾʾ ṫl} \). While these are clearly Divine Names,
even the earliest Kabbalistic document to mention the Seals also explains most of them as
acronyms (Table 1, column 4). The Names will be explored in detail below. As a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Islamic Description</th>
<th>Jewish Description</th>
<th>Acronym/Name with Expansion/Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[Ring] A ring, without beginning or end,(^4) like the Lord. With His power he revives / res[rupts the world to recognize the Gates of Intellect / to know His praises.</td>
<td>Yiṯaṯ; Yiṯaṯ; Y tath + Yiṯaṯ; Y tath + W tath; [Yatath]; “God, good, living” or “God, the line/series of resurrection.” (Jer. NLI Ms. Heb. 8°330 gives the final word as “you will be”). The Seal is called ’ezqta’: a signet ring or seal ring (’izqet’, Dan 6:17); Aramaic (ezqta).(^6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Three rods; above their heads, something like a straightened lance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tath; [Tath]: “Good, mortality/death” Cf. Tath, The Giver! Compare also with the prefix tath-, meaning sub- or hypo-, i.e., under, below.(^8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A mīm, blind and maimed, without a tail</td>
<td></td>
<td>S’īṯ; [Satit]: “Secret, good, hand, good” (NYPL Heb. 190 substitutes “God” for “hand.”) Cf. Sēṭ, sēṯīm,(^p) deviation, transgression (Ps. 101:3, Hos. 5:2); hence sāʿīṭ, deviant, adulteress (Num. 5:19-20).(^p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A ladder to all that is hoped for, [in its centre are two rungs that belong together]; yet it is not a ladder;</td>
<td>S’īṯ yāḥ / S’īṯ yāḥ; S’īṯ yāḥ; S’ēṯēyāḥ + S’īṯyāḥ; Sīṯyāḥ; [Satitya]. “The secret is pure and will rise; its/his goodness will ascend&quot; or “...will elevate its/his being.(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Four objects like fingers lined up, pointing to good deeds [and to the living as well], but without the rest of the hand</td>
<td>‘Aгрēp f’ī; ‘Aгрēf f; ‘Aгрēp f + ‘Aгрēf f; ’Agref f; OR ‘Aгрē p f; ‘Aгрāp f; ’Agrip f + ’Aгрāp f; ’Agref p; [Agrept].(^a) “Air/Mighty, greatness, one-fourth, sides, good, God/he will be,” Cf. ’igrēfīt: I clenched [my hand into] a fist; ’egrōf; fist (Exod. 21:18; Isa. 58:4).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A divided hā’</td>
<td>Marōm; Mārōm; M’rām + Marōm; Mērūn + Mērōm; [Marom]; Cf. Mārōm: height (Mic. 6:6, Jer. 17:12).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Over every figure is the lofty and holy One with neither beginning nor end.

| 7 | A [crooked or upside-down wāw, [which because of the secrecy is back-bent] like the siphon tube of a blood-letter; yet not a cupping-glass. | Crooked mēm A bent/crooked mēm. Understanding and searching all the world / mysteries and all the thoughts / reins of the hearts, revealing all the deep and secret things. Nothing is concealed from before His eyes, for He is the keeper, the observer, and the seer. | Shamrē el / Shōmrē el or Shāmrē el; Shamrē el; Shamrē el [Shamriel]: “God Almighty watches and sees [all], and all will behold Him” or “...and [to Him] hearts will be revealed.” Shamrē el is an angelic guardian (see text). |

---

a Hans Winkler; information from Jaljālūdah (in square brackets), Winkler.

b Bibliographic details for primary sources are in fn 28 (with folio numbering for Moscow-Günzburg 775 explained in fn 93); for Kaplan, see elsewhere.

c Aryeh Kaplan’s description of the Seal symbol is given first, in square brackets. The remainder (in normal type) is that in Moscow-Günzburg 775 f.37b (see above), with occasional supplementation as described elsewhere. Much of this material is repeated in Shōshan Yeṣōd ha- Ōlām; phrases unique to the latter are given in white-on-grey type. Both Hebrew sources were translated by www.EverBurningLight.org (Providence University).

d Names, in order, are taken from the following: Moscow-Günzburg 775 f.37a (see above) and, if different, the Name from NYPL Heb. 190 p.168 is shown after a slash; Jerusalem NLI Ms. Heb. 8°330/17; Shorshē ha-Shemōt (Names from yōd sign entries 141 and 142 appear in that order, separated by a “+”); Toldōt ‘Ādam (if editions differ, the format is first edition + second edition); Kaplan’s lexicalization into English, given in square brackets. Names identical in the first five sources are given only once before Kaplan’s version. An apostrophe denotes a voiced shwā; underscored letter pairs represent a single Hebrew letter; white-on-grey type and translator details are as explained in note c.

e Moscow-Günzburg 775 f.37b (see above); Shorshē ha-Shemōt, p.335-336, yōd sign 142, translated by Translation Services USA; Shōshan Yeṣōd ha- Ōlām, p.141. Note that, unlike the others, the sixth Seal Name is not considered to be an acronym.

f Cf. Isaiah Horowitz, “The circle represents something that constantly returns without end.”

g Marek Vinklát.

h This section has slightly different (but equally challenging) syntax in Shōshan Yeṣōd ha- Ōlām, whose reference to a three-cornered world may reflect the classical world-view in which there were just three continents (Europe, Asia and Africa). More surely relevant is the Midrashic teaching of Rabbi Eliezer (ca. 45-117 CE) that the northern side of the world was never completed; he claims that God says, “Whoever believes he is a god, let him come and complete the northern side,” a point echoed explicitly in NYPL Heb. 190. From Isaiah Horowitz, one possible interpretation is that the power of the Torah enables the north – which is open toward evil – to be sealed off; “a growing thing” may thus refer to the incompleteness which is subsequently made complete by the giving of the Torah, whose observance is an ongoing work, like cultivation of the land.

i At a deeper level, Kabbalah teaches that nothing/nothingness (ʾayin) is the ultimate reality of all things, i.e. “the Nought is the Being and Being is the Nought.” Other apparent overlaps with Eastern religions are addressed later in the text.

j Tath Zel, “The Profuse Giver,” a title of Keter, the sefirot closest to God.

k Ernest Klein.
The ‘blind and amputated’ nature of the mīm may echo an oral injunction from late antique Mesopotamia that has been preserved in the inscriptions on some Mandaean magic bowls. In full, the formula reads “… bound and sealed and cut and hobbled and banned and whipped and blinded … and deafened be the curse ….”

Cf. Isa. 65:2. Also a hadith of Muhammad: “Allāh spreads out His hand at night to accept the repentance of the one who sinned during the day, and He spreads out His hand by day to accept the repentance of the one who sinned during the night, (and that will continue) until the sun rises from the west.”


Ludwig Köhler & Walter Baumgartner.

The biblical word begins with the letter sīn, whereas a sāmeq is usual in modern Hebrew (e.g. sofoh, to deviate; sʿṭīyoh, deviation, aberration) and in the Seal Name. A reference to deviancy could accord with the focus of this Seal on repentance and forgiveness (column 3).

In the Zohar, two rungs in the ladder upon which God’s angels ascend and descend (Gen 28:10-19) have special significance; that dyad signifies remembrance and keeping, male and female, and the cut and folding back of circumcision.

NYPL Heb. 190 has “fear” in place of “heat,” which makes little sense. Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾōlām has יטנור, whose meaning is unclear, in place of יטנור, “pure.”

Version in both of these documents (notes s, t, v & w) is identical and corresponds to losses at the right edge of the page in Moscow-Günzburg 775 f.37b, which at some point suffered excessive cropping. The other word listed as missing in Shorshē notes y-z is not lost by trimming but rather is illegible in Moscow-Günzburg 775 f.37b. Thus it seems that this actual manuscript leaf, compromised then as now, was the original source for the definitions of the acronyms in Shorshē; since these are given in a parenthetical addition to the main entry, they derive not from Zacuto himself but from Rabbi Abraham Alnaqar,131 whose glosses (added in Algiers, 1784 CE) are incorporated into the modern printing of the book (see fn 28, source 4). Fortunately, Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾōlām preserves the lost text from an uncompromised source. Additional detail on the variations between sources is provided elsewhere.

Version in Russian, which has not lost the word for the final letter of the acronym. For either version, Rav Kook (d. 1935 CE) speaks in similar terms of the inner spiritual light of human beings, once one knows “how to expand and rise, how to increase the good light up to the top, the making of all darkness into great light.”

In the few sources where dāgēshīm might be expected to be made explicit, the peh is not so marked; it would therefore normally carry the soft pronunciation (-f). However, since the Name is considered to be an acronym and the peh takes the hard pronunciation ( p- ) at the start of the expanded word, it is equally reasonable to transliterate it in this way, as done by Kaplan. This paper will routinely follow the latter convention.

The final word, corresponding to the final letter of the acronym, is preserved only in Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾōlām (“God”) and Jer. NLI Ms. Heb. 8°330 (“he will be”). An attempt to render the Shōshān expansion fluently might read “Great is God’s glory, a quarter of which is His goodness.”

The final expression, which is from Dan 2:22, is preserved only in Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾōlām (see note s). On searching the hearts, cf. Jer. 17:10, 1 Chron 28:9.

In NYPL Heb. 190, the name is given as Shōmīṭ ʾēl or Shāmīṭ ʾēl, which preserves the sense of “keeper;” the additional ʾ in the acronym is expanded as ṣmarʾēm, which appends “and awe-inspiring” to “Almighty.”

Cf. Rev. 1:7. This is the version in Shorshē ha-Shemōt, where the last word of the acronym is listed as missing (see notes s & y) and/or the final two letters of the acronym ( ʾēl ) are considered to come from the start of the last legible word ( ʾanna ).

Version in Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾōlām, NYPL Heb. 190 and Jer. NLI Ms. Heb. 8°330, all of which specify a word for the final letter of the acronym ( ʾēl ). Moscow-Günzburg 775 does specify a final word but the writing is unclear; it certainly begins with ʾ, and is probably the same word as in the other three manuscripts.
generalization, it seems that the first four Jewish Seals relate, respectively, to life/good, imperfection/death, repentance/forgiveness and good vs. evil (Table 1). On this basis, it seems that Deuteronomy 30:15 “See, I have set before thee this day life and good, and death and evil” may have served as a touchstone for the explanations of the first four Seals. The second, fourth and fifth Jewish Seals have in common that their descriptions (in one or more sources) contain references to heat and/or cold. The second and fifth may also be interrelated in another way, with the former focusing on the process of completion (three sides capped by a horizontal “lance”) and the latter on the state of completeness (four sides). The four “sides” of Judaism are repentance, prayer, charity and Torah, the last of which may be alluded to in the second Seal by the lance (Table 1, note h).135 On the seventh Jewish Seal as Divine eye (Table 1, columns 3-4), it is interesting to note that W.B. Stevenson long ago (and without knowledge of its Jewish counterpart) suggested an eye as the original motif for the seventh Islamic Seal.136

Meanings and properties of the Islamic Seals that are less widely diffused are given in Table 2, whose sources range from the twelfth to the fourteenth century CE. Risālat al-Ism al-Aʿzam, the Fātimid Ismāʿīlī treatise, recognizes ʿAlī’s poem, but focuses on interpreting the Seals in Imāmological terms as symbols of increasing spiritual rank. The material from the Corpus Būnianum relates mainly to the practical uses of individual Seals or pairs of Seals. That from al-Tilimsânī contains what Winkler considered to be more recent interpretations that post-date the core traditions reported by al-Būnī and his school;137 the newer ones usually extend or complement the older. In relation to the second Seal, there may be a parallel between al-Tilimsânī’s description of the One that is everything (Table 2) and the Jewish declaration that, in relation to God, everything is nothing (Table 1). The term “nothing(ess)” (ʾayin) can equally be expressed as “absolute unity;”138 with this understanding, the Hebrew statement can also be interpreted to mean that “totality is singularity,” or “all is One” (Table 1, note i).

In addition to the Seal-specific meanings detailed in Tables 1 and 2, the Islamic series has religious interpretations which are less easily tabulated. In Risālat al-Ism al-Aʿzam, the Fātimid Ismāʿīlī treatise, the seven symbols are explained in terms of the seven words of the Shahāda (Table 2), but the symbol series can also be deconstructed into twelve “letters” or elements (where each upright stroke of the second and fifth seal is counted as a separate element),139 which then correspond to the twelve letters of the Shahāda.140 Inclusion of the “lance” atop the second Seal, which has not yet been counted,141 gives a total of thirteen letters; an alternative way to this total is to follow the extension of ʿAlī’s poem given in the Shams al-Maʿārif al-Kubrā, which describes the Seals as containing four letters from the Jewish Torah, four from the Christian Gospels, and five from the Qurʿān.142 A final repeat of the penta/hexagram, as in Fig. 1a(i), brings the total to fourteen, the pleroma of Twelver Shiʿism (Muḥammad, Fāṭima and the Twelve Immaculates).143 The seven symbols or their five component elements from the Qurʿān have also been understood to represent Muḥammad, Fāṭima, and subsets of the Twelve Imāms.144 Returning to letter-counting, al-Būnī suggests that the Seven Seals may represent the seven letters of al-Raḥmān, or perhaps a palindromic seven-letter string in Sura 36:40 (kull fi fāllak) or in Sura 74:3 (rabbaka fakabbir).145
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Fātimid Ismā‘īl Risālat al-Ism al-A‘zam&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; [Second mapping to Shahāda]</th>
<th>Shams al-Ma‘ārif al-Kubrā (attribution: al-Būnī)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Shumās al-Anwār (al-Tilimsānī)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ʿAllāh; the sticks are ʾilāh</td>
<td>A seal and three strokes, this is a quick remedy for every harm that enters the body and makes us sick, and a quick way to all kinds of punishment.</td>
<td>Oh God, I implore you by the seal of the five/six corners, which are drawn through the corners/lights of your Kingdom. And by the three ʿalifs, the unified, that unify duality and unity. For everything is created in pairs: man and woman, heaven and earth, etc. And you are the absolute One and yet everything at the same time, which is the true couple. And I ask you by the ʿalif which lies above as a madda (i.e., by the lance), like an arrow that points to the perfection of your power and your superiority over all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[Lā]. A ring, symbol of the pledge around the neck of those in the Responded Circle (lowest rank)</td>
<td>And a mīm, yes, that makes flow the blood of every man who is impious (i.e., sharp sword).</td>
<td>By the blinded mīm,&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; which leads its full cycle in awe/reverence; it was blinded by the blackness of the ǧīm, for in it is the ink of the ascetic and pious.&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lā</td>
<td>Then a ladder with which the steps of the height are climbed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ladder + first 2 sticks are ʾilāh</td>
<td>Then a ladder with which the steps of the height are climbed.</td>
<td>By the ladder, whose secret meaning is the seven layers of heaven which are built like steps on top of each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Last 2 sticks are ʾilāh</td>
<td>Four strokes, which shield us from our enemy’s blows. Summon people with them, they will come quickly.</td>
<td>And by the four ʿalifs, suggestive of a quadruped and the four fingers, and by what is contained in them from the Torah, the Gospel, the Psalms and the Koran.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ʿHāʾ, another jewel of the Shahāda. The Guardian; paradise and the images of the Door; also the number 5 (&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;) and thus an everlasting and infinite circle.</td>
<td>And our seal is useful for the good, its properties are superior.</td>
<td>And by the sixth seal, which has eight corners,&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; whose hidden meaning is the mystery of the eight throne-bearers. And by the curved ʿhāʾ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt; which stands at the center of the ramparts [of knowledge], it points with the marvellous mystery of its circles to the hidden meaning of divinity. It rises in the lofty company [of archangels] and circulates from the upper to the lower, circulating in the ramparts of knowledge and instruction that permeate all living creatures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ʿWāw, last jewel of the Shahāda]. A ʿwāw with head bowed (i.e., inverted) to honor the completeness of this level of Imam, The Speaker, and to salute the travel of blessings from the First Mind to those below. Also its value, 6, the first complete number.</td>
<td>[Various practical uses when combined with the sixth Seal; harmful if their order is reversed]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An explanation of technical terms such as Caller, Guardian, etc. are given by Arun Singh; the same author observes that the mechanism and numerology of Ismāʿīlī mystical ascent share direct similarities with Jewish Kabbalah.\textsuperscript{146}

\textsuperscript{b} Hans Winkler.\textsuperscript{147}

\textsuperscript{c} Winkler.\textsuperscript{148}

\textsuperscript{d} Qurʾān 51:49

\textsuperscript{e} W.B. Stevenson explains that the descriptor ʾabtar, which is applied to the mīm, can mean either “without a tail” (Table 1) or “sharp,” and observes that Tawfiq Canaan understands the word in the sense of a sharp sword.\textsuperscript{149} It can also mean incomplete, truncated, cut off, disconnected, or childless.\textsuperscript{150}

\textsuperscript{f} W.B. Stevenson takes the descriptor ṭamīs, which is applied to the mīm, to mean “obliterated” or “transformed” (from tamasa, to efface or destroy) rather than “blind.”

\textsuperscript{g} From the same root as ʾabtar (“cut off,” the descriptor applied to the mīm) comes tabattala, meaning complete separation from the world in devotion to Allāh, which may underpin this reference to the ascetic and pious.\textsuperscript{151}

\textsuperscript{h} An octagram replaces the split- hāʾ in al-Tilimsānī’s series.\textsuperscript{152}

\textsuperscript{i} Although al-Tilimsānī refers to the final Seal in his series as a split-hāʾ, it looks more like an inverted wāw and occupies the position of the inverted wāw in al-Būnī’s series.
For its part, the Jewish Seal series is linked to the words of Psalm 46:7 and 46:11, “The Lord of Hosts is with us; the God of Jacob is our refuge. Selah.” Here too the connection is explained in terms of letter-counts. Both the seven Seal Names and the verse from the Psalm consist of 31 Hebrew letters, and (if one includes repeat occurrences) the letters of the former that are found in the latter appear 21 times in total. This was considered significant, perhaps because 21 is the numerical value of the Divine Name ʿEHYEH (“I Am,” Ex 3:14); Isaac of Acre considered this number to be the Kabbalist’s gateway to Keter (“Crown”), the sefiāh closest to God. Variant Seal Names which do not conform numerically are referred back to the canonical ones. The distributed nature of the relationship precludes a simple mapping of each Seal to an individual Hebrew word in the verse.

**Tables of correspondence**

Wider associations of the Islamic and Jewish Seals are given in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The information in columns 2/4, 3 and 6–9 of Table 3 is often presented together in Islamic manuscripts in a “magic square” format, in which the Seals form a horizontal row at or near the top of the wafq or jadwal, and data from the columns of Table 3 are written (in register) to form the lower rows (Fig. 2a). Authorities disagree as to whether this classic “table of correspondence” has talismanic power in its own right, or whether it merely serves as a resource for the magician’s reference. The sawāqīt, i.e. the letters not found in the Fāṭiha that opens the Qurʾān, are the initial letters of a subset of the Beautiful Names of Allāh (Table 3). In addition to the dominant mapping of Seals to sawāqīt, there is an old variant that maps three of the last four Seals differently to the letters (Table 3, major and minor sawāqīt, respectively). Each Seal also maps directly to one of the seven classical planets, and hence to a day of the week, an angel and a ājin (Table 3). They also correspond with the Ṭaḥātīl Names, which are discussed below. In addition to attributing component elements (“letters”) of the Seal symbols to the core scriptures of Judaism, Christianity and Islam, the Būnīan Shams al-Maʿārif al-Kubrā nominates one of the three holy books as the source of each Seal (Table 3). Associations that are less widely diffused, such as prophets’ names, physical properties (hot/cold/dry/moist) and incense resins (benzoin, mastic, sandal, etc.), tend to exhibit more variations and contradictions across sources; not all are shown in the Table.

Hans Winkler was often defeated by al-Tilimsānī’s rather opaque exegesis of the Seals. For example, the latter’s explanation that the mīm of the third Seal “was blinded by the blackness of the gām” (Table 1) left Winkler baffled as to where this gām was to be found. He proposed a confusion between the shapes of mīm and gīm on the part of North African commentators, but it is perhaps more likely that the comment relates to formulae where the Seals and their cognate sawāqīt letters (Table 3) are interleaved; such arrangements place the gīm of al-Jabbar (the Beautiful Name associated with the second Seal) immediately before the “blind mīm” of the third Seal. Occasionally one meets with Seal series where only the gīm has been interpolated (Fig. 1f).
Table 3. Correspondences for the Islamic Seals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Sawāqīt Major</th>
<th>Sawāqīt Minor</th>
<th>Letter</th>
<th>Planet</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Angel</th>
<th>Jini</th>
<th>Ţahaṭil Name</th>
<th>Book</th>
<th>Prophet, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ف al-Fard</td>
<td>ف a</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Rūqīāʾīl</td>
<td>Mudḥab</td>
<td>Lelṭahṭīl</td>
<td>Qurʿān</td>
<td>Solomon, David</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ج al-Jabbār</td>
<td>ه ج</td>
<td>Moon</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Jabrīāʾīl</td>
<td>Murra</td>
<td>Mahṭahṭīl</td>
<td>Qurʿān</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ش al-Shahīd</td>
<td>ط ش</td>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>Tues</td>
<td>Samsamāʾīl</td>
<td>Aḥmar</td>
<td>Qahṭīṭīl</td>
<td>Gospel</td>
<td>Muḥammadk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ث al-Thābit</td>
<td>ز م</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Mīkāʾīl</td>
<td>Barqān</td>
<td>Fahṭobṭīl / Fahṭīṭīl</td>
<td>Gospel</td>
<td>Idris / Enoch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ظ al-Zahīr</td>
<td>ظ ف</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>Thu</td>
<td>Sarafiaʾīl</td>
<td>Shamḥūrīsh</td>
<td>Nahhaṭṭāfīl</td>
<td>Torah</td>
<td>Lot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>خ al-Khabīr</td>
<td>ت ش</td>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>‘Anīʾāʾīl</td>
<td>Abyād</td>
<td>Jahlaṭṭāfīl</td>
<td>Torah</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ز al-Zākī</td>
<td>ذ خ</td>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>Kasfīāʾīl</td>
<td>Maymūn</td>
<td>Lakhhaṭṭāfīl</td>
<td>Torah</td>
<td>Seth; Qāʾīm / Mahdī, Bahā ʿullāh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a E.g. Tawfiq Canaan.166 The sawāqīt associated with each Islamic Seal is the first letter of the cognate Beautiful Name.

b From top to bottom: 1. The Singular/Unique/Single. 2. The Compeller/Almighty/Powerful. 3. The Witness. 4. The Stable/Firm/Solid. 5. The Visible/Evident/Helper. 6. The Shrewd/Vigilant/Informed. 7. The Pure. These interpretations are from Georges Anawati,167 Edmond Doutté,168 and Hans Winkler.169

c Underscored letter pairs represent a single Arabic letter.

d Less common sequence, as found in the short/early version of al-Būnīʾs Shams, i.e. Shams al-Maʿārif wa-Latāʾ if al-ʿAwārif, BnF ms arabe 2647.170 In such sources, the Beautiful Names are reordered accordingly.

e Secondary letter attribution from Aḥmad al-Būnī (attrib.), Manbaʿ Uṣūl al-Ḥikma, which I have discussed elsewhere.171 The origin and significance of these letters is unclear.

f Standard attributions, e.g. Canaan.172

g Consensus from Canaan,173 Anawati,174 and Doutté.175

h Consensus from al-Būnī (attrib.), Manbaʿ Uṣūl al-Ḥikma;176 short vowelling follows Frances Harrison & Nineveh Shadrach.177

i al-Būnī (attrib.), Shams al-Maʿārif al-Kubrā.178

j Individuals with direct linkages to the Seals. Unless otherwise indicated, these are from R.G. Anderson179 and cited by Tawfiq Canaan.180

k From Canaan181 and Arun Singh.182
These two variants are often difficult to distinguish when handwritten in cursive form (see text). The first version is explicit in the *Manbaʿ Uṣūl al-Ḥikma*,¹⁸³ in Harrison & Shadrach,¹⁸⁴ and in most other derivative sources. The second seems to be used at other places in the *Uṣūl*,¹⁸⁵ and definitely appears elsewhere.¹⁸⁶ Shaykhī exegesis; see text.

Bahāʾī exegesis; see text.
Table 4. Correspondences for the Jewish Seals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Name (Kaplan)</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Planet</th>
<th>Planeť</th>
<th>Angelľ</th>
<th>Divine Nameģ</th>
<th>Divine Formula</th>
<th>Hebrewh</th>
<th>Likely translation</th>
<th>Traité, Aztaraxd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yatath</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>‘Ana’ĕl</td>
<td>-WH</td>
<td>‘Anaqtamľ</td>
<td>יָא</td>
<td>Not; Whereľ</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tath</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Moon</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Mikha’ĕl</td>
<td>Ado-</td>
<td>Pastamĩ</td>
<td>יָמ</td>
<td>If; Surely notk</td>
<td>Moon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Satit</td>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>Moon</td>
<td>Gabri’ĕl</td>
<td>-naĭ</td>
<td>Paspasîmľ</td>
<td>יָא</td>
<td>Not; Whereľ</td>
<td>Venus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Satitya</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>Qafzi’ĕl</td>
<td>Shaddai</td>
<td>Dīŏnsîmĩ</td>
<td>יָנ</td>
<td>Nothingness</td>
<td>Mars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Agrepti</td>
<td>Thu</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>Zadqi’ĕl</td>
<td>Šabb’aôth</td>
<td>Kôzőĩ</td>
<td>יָמ</td>
<td>If; Surely notk</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Marom</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>Sama’ĕl</td>
<td>Ḥanîn</td>
<td>Bemûksaţi</td>
<td>יָא</td>
<td>Not; Whereľ</td>
<td>Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shamriel</td>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Rafa’ĕl</td>
<td>YH-</td>
<td>Kôzőĩ</td>
<td>יָי</td>
<td>Islandsm</td>
<td>Saturn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Day-of-week correspondence inferred from Moscow-Günzburg 775, Shōshān Yesōd ha- ’Ōlām (excluding location in note b) and Toldōt ’Ādām (see text).
b Shown in Fig. 2b; Bibliothèque de Genève (BGE), Comites Latentes 145, p.460.
c Moscow-Günzburg 775 f.36a (see fn 28 and fn 93 for bibliographic details).
d Traité des Sept Émanations Planétaires (Aztarax Liber 1851), chapter 8; p.891.187
e For simplicity, Aryeh Kaplan’s transliterations of the Seal Names are shown.188
f The angel-planet correspondence in the Shōshān table agrees with lists given elsewhere in the same work,189 as well as with Islamic sources (Table 3).
g Name(s) 2+3, Adonaĭ, Lord; 4, Almighty; 5, (Lord) of Hosts; 6, Gracious; 7+1, YHWH, the Tetragrammaton. Underscored letter pairs represent a single Hebrew letter.
h Vowelling could not be inferred with certainty and is therefore omitted from the transliteration. Like the Seal Names themselves, the glosses in Moscow-Günzburg 775 do not appear to have special significance in terms of gēmatriyāh (numerical value).
i From top to bottom, these five words constitute the 22-Letter Name of God.190
j David Tsumura.191 Another possible translation is “island,” perhaps in the sense of a refuge or place of shelter.192
k Arthur Walker-Jones.193 Another possible translation is “mother.”
l From top to bottom, these three words constitute the 14-Letter Name of God.194 They are an encoded form of YHWH ’Eloheynū YHWH, “God, our Lord, God,” from the opening of the prayer Shema’ Yisrā’el; the cipher is commonly found in mezūzōt. Each letter of the original phrase has been raised by one, i.e., substituted by the letter immediately following it in the Hebrew alphabet.
This translation is consistent with the interpretation of כ as “island,” see note j. Alternatively, the letters may be an acronym for Abraham–Isaac–Jacob, sometimes used as a charm during a difficult childbirth, or the first “word” in the acronym for Numbers 21:17, sometimes used to protect against the evil eye.
Fig. 2. Tables of correspondence. Rows are read from right to left. (a) Representative Islamic *wafiq* or *jadwal*, from a manuscript copy of *Fatḥ al-Malik al-Majīd*, a work by ʿAlīm al-Dayrābī (d.1738/9 CE) (author’s collection). *Sawāqīt* denotes the seven letters absent from the opening sura of the Qur’ān, and Names refers to the Beautiful Names of Allāh (see text). The content of the table is transcribed or translated in Table 3. (b) Table from *Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʿOlām*, Bibliothèque de Genève (BGE), Comites Latentes 145, p.460; image shown by kind permission of the BGE. The content of the table is transcribed or translated in Table 4, and its likely idiosyncrasies are discussed in the text.
Tables of correspondence for the Jewish Seals are relatively rare. The content of the one found in Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾOlām (Fig. 2b) is summarized in the center of Table 4. The correspondence of the planets/days with the angels agrees with Islamic sources (Table 3). However, because the planets are listed in the Chaldean order (starting, unusually, with Venus) and are mapped directly to the Seals in their day-of-week order (Table 3), the correspondence of the Seals with the planets/days and angels is idiosyncratic. While the first to seventh Seals are here associated with Friday, Wednesday, Monday, Saturday, Thursday, Tuesday and Sunday, respectively, most Jewish sources suggest a normal daily sequence for the seals. Thus, for the first to seventh Seals, Moscow-Günzburg 775 speaks of “seven signs, seven days.” Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾOlām spells out “day 1, day 2, … day 7,” and mentions that Rabbi David ha-Cohen composed a song about the Seals: “Seven signs, seven days, an oath, etc.” Tōldōt Ḥādām says “the duration of the act [of inscribing the tablet by an operator] should be 14 days, and each day he stamps [a Seal], and when the stamps are completed he should engrave [the corresponding Name] each day, such that he should complete all [the area] of the tablet.” This strongly implies that a day-of-week correspondence was usual for the Seal series in Judaism (Table 4, columns 1, 3 and 4), just as it was in Islam (Table 3), and suggests that the planetary assignments in the Shōshān table (Table 4, columns 1 and 5) are atypical. In support of this, a hybrid source that links each day/planet to, inter alia, one of the Jewish Seal Names (Table 4, column at far right) conforms far more closely to the Islamic planet-Seal and Seal-angel pairings than to those in the Shōshān table. The Shōshān table goes on to associate the Seals with various Divine Names and Formulae (Table 4, columns 7 and 8), but once again there are surprises which suggest that portions of the Shōshān table are unrepresentative; for example, the Seal series commences with the second half of the Tetragrammaton and concludes with its first half. Moscow-Günzburg 775 does not contain any planetary or angelic correspondences, but for one Seal series it shows Hebrew letters glossed over each symbol (Table 4, columns 9-11). These will be discussed below. In the day-of-week correspondence for the Seals (Table 4, columns 1-4), the reference to “killing and reviving” in connection with the second Seal (Table 1) may reflect its association with the moon, which also dies and revives.

In Islam, the Seals in canonical order (Fig. 1a,c) seem collectively to have a solar character, in that the standard table of correspondence (Fig. 2a) is called Jadwal Daʿwat al-Shams, i.e. the “Table of the Invocation of the Sun,” and a 7 x 7 magic square of Seals which commences with this sequence forms the “Square of the Sun.” Moreover, in Iraq and Iran the Seven Seals are sometimes known as Sharaf al-Shams, “Exaltation of the Sun.” Likewise, the Persian scholar Husayn Kāshifī (d. 910/1504-5) describes a talismanic device for military victory which included the Seven Seals and harnessed the power of the sun. It is possible that the enduring popularity of the Seals in Iran and Iraq arises in part from their solar aspect, which may tap into the surviving undercurrents of the Mesopotamian solar cult.
Names

In Kabbalah, the first to seventh Seals (in order) are called י"ט (YĪṭ), תath (Ṭath), ש"יט (Sīṭ), ש"יטyah (Sīṭyah), אגרפטי (ʾAgrēpṭī), מרום (Marōm), and שמריאל (Shamrīʾēl). The main sources agree on the consonantal spelling of the Names but often have slightly different pronunciation (Table 1). Moreover, тāw-without-dāghesh has regional differences in modern speech than in Biblical Hebrew, potentially explaining the vowel choices in Aryeh Kaplan’s transliteration (Table 1). Even more diversity can be found in Shorshē ha-Shemōt, where for example we find five possible variants of תath, many of which provide both t-sounds using only tāw.211 Thus, while the transcriptions above strive to be representative, they are not definitive.

It is interesting that the first two Jewish Seal Names, and the third and fourth ones, form doublets reminiscent of Gog and Magog (Revelation 20:8) or Hārūt and Mārūt (Qurʾān 2:102).212 Possible interpretations or contrasts for some of the Seal Names are provided in Table 1. Of these, the most secure are for the last two Seals. The sixth Name closely approximates the Hebrew word מărôm, which alludes to extreme height as a Divine attribute (as in ‘Elōhē Mărôm, “God on High;” Micah 6:6). The seventh Seal Name, שמריאל, is the name of an angelic guardian213,214 (Hebrew šemırāh: guard, protection, or shield)215 who protects against the evil eye216 and is invoked for safe pregnancy and childbirth.217,218,219 Here, embodied as the seventh Seal, he personifies the all-seeing Divine eye (Table 1). Elsewhere he appears as Samrīʾēl, the Gatekeeper of Gehenna (Hell),220 and – with no sight at all – his Jungian shadow functions as the seducer and Angel of Death, Samaʾēl / Samsamīʾēl221,222 (Hebrew samē, blind).223 The latter identity will become relevant below.

Although the Islamic Seven Seals do not bear Names in the way the Jewish ones do, they are closely associated in the Būnān Manbaʿ Usūl al-Ḥikma with a set of seven angel-like names known as the Ṭahaṭīl Names.224 The high frequency of the letters tāʾ and hāʾ in the Names is what gives the series its title, but their origin is obscure.225,226 They are included in some versions of the Ḥajjalūʾūah.227 A one-to-one comparison of the Islamic Ṭahaṭīl Names and Jewish Seal Names reveals possible similarities between the first four Names of each series (Table 5). One encouraging feature is the shift from tahṭ or tath (short a, inferred or indicated by vowel points) in the first two Names to tū (long i, given explicitly by Arabic yāʾ or Hebrew yōd) in the third Name and a variant of the fourth. With the fourth Name, an early misreading of بطط (ṭīṭ) as بطط (ṭīṭ) would have changed Fahṭīṭīl into Fahṭobṭīl,228 with loss of the signature a to i shift in the second syllable. In other sources, the signature vowel shift has been lost completely from the Ṭahaṭīl series, and all of the Name endings have become regularized to the form -ṭahṭīl.229 Such an increase in uniformity over time would suggest that – if there is a genuine relationship between them – the (highly irregular) Kabbalistic Seal Names are ancestral to the (more formulaic) Ṭahaṭīl Names.
Table 5. Relationship of the Jewish Seal Names to the Islamic Ṭahaṭīl Names and other potential cognates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Islamic</th>
<th>Ṭahaṭīl Name (Table 3)</th>
<th>Seal Name (dominant transliteration, Table 1)</th>
<th>Seal Name (Kaplan’s vowelling / alternative form, Table 1)</th>
<th>Vedic Mantra&lt;sup&gt;c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Other Sanskrit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nomina barbara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from Kitāb al-ʾAjnās&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṢṬTiya&lt;sup&gt;e,f&lt;/sup&gt;, ĀṬAT</td>
<td>LELṬAHṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Y’ṬATh, YIṬATh</td>
<td>YAṬATH, YAṬAT&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>--TAT</td>
<td>YAT--, YATAT&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṢṬ, ṢṬAT</td>
<td>MahṬAHṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ṢṬATH</td>
<td>ṢṬATH, ṢṬAT&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṢṢṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;, ShṬAT, ShṬṬṬ</td>
<td>QAHṬṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>S’TṬṬ</td>
<td>SAT--</td>
<td>SAT&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SAT&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṢṢṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;, ShṬAT, ShṬṬṬ</td>
<td>FAHṬṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; / FAHṭobṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>S’TṬṬYah</td>
<td>SAT----</td>
<td>SAT----&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SATṬITYa&lt;sup&gt;d,i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NahḥṭaṬ&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ṬAgrepṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AgrepṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ÅkṛaṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jahlaṭaṭ&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Marôm</td>
<td>Marōm / Mērum</td>
<td>Harī Om&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Merum&lt;sup&gt;m&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lakhhaṭaṭ&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;IL&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ShamrṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;EL</td>
<td>ShamrṬ&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;EL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> From top to bottom, the rows below headings show data for Seals 1-7, respectively. Foreign terms comprise the entire body of this table, so italicization has been omitted to make other emphases more conspicuous. The corresponding letter strings in each row of columns 1-4 are highlighted in bold capitals. In columns 5-6, the words are shown with formatting to match columns 3-4, using dashes to indicate Hebrew letters not matched in the Sanskrit. Sanskrit is transliterated using the International Alphabet of Sanskrit Transliteration (IAST).

<sup>b</sup> Names from the Islamic grimoire attributed by tradition to King Solomon’s vizier, ʾĀṣaf ben Barkhiya.<sup>230</sup>

<sup>c</sup> Hari Om Tat Sat, approximately “The Supreme Being is the Absolute Truth.”<sup>231</sup> Hari refers to Śākāra Brahman, the physical form of God, while Om represents Śābda Brahman, the primordial vibration or sacred sound from which the phenomenal universe evolves. For Tat and Sat, see note d.

<sup>d</sup> In Sanskrit, Tat denotes the unutterable Principle or ultimate reality from which the universe springs, as enshrined in the Upanishads’ Tat Tvam Asi, “That Thou Art”.<sup>232</sup> while Sat means Truth or Being, “That Which Truly Is.”<sup>233</sup> In the Bhagavad Gītā, Yat (Sanskrit, “which”) and Tat are found paired as relative and correlative pronoun, respectively.<sup>234</sup> while Yathā X Tatāh Y is the Sanskrit proverb format “like X, so Y.”<sup>235</sup> In Buddhist thought, the same word Tatāh (“thus”) refers to “reality-as-it-is,” called Tatathā or Yathā-bhūta;<sup>236</sup> the historical Buddha referred to himself as Tatāhagata.<sup>237</sup> More prosaically, the Seals could be described as Yatat, “to be in line” or “side by side.”<sup>238</sup>

<sup>e</sup> A better match for the Jewish Seal name when the order of the syllables is reversed.

<sup>f</sup> The co-occurrence of the pair Tat Tatiya has already been remarked as reminiscent of Yṭath Tat reversed;<sup>239</sup> cf. also Sanskrit Tat / Tathyā, the Boundless All / Reality, Truth (see note d).
Here the ṭāw-without-dāgēsh has been transliterated as pronounced in Israeli rather than in Ashkenazi/Yemenite Hebrew, i.e. as a T rather than a Th, the latter being standard elsewhere in the table.\textsuperscript{240}

Cf. Miṭṭaṭrūn from Jewish angelology; also Matagas for the pupil of God’s left eye in Siddūr Rabbah.\textsuperscript{241}

Satī is the Sanskrit word for a virtuous and faithful wife, while satīṭya means chastity and wifely devotion;\textsuperscript{242} contrast these with Hebrew root s-t-y (to deviate) and its derivative sāṭīṭ, an adulteress or wayward wife (Table 1).

Ākṛati is the Sanskrit word for shape, figure or glyph.

At one point, the Manba’ Usūl al-Ḥikma (attrib. al-Būnī) swaps this name with Mahṭāṭīl and vice versa, thus aligning MAḥṭāṭīl with MAṛōm,\textsuperscript{243} but this is most likely a coincidence.

Om in IAST, but the Hindu “sacred sound” is invariably rendered in English as Om or Aum.

Merum is the accusative form of Mount Meru, the sacred axis mundi.\textsuperscript{244}
The first four of the Jewish Seal Names and Ṭaḥṭīl Names also exhibit apparent similarities to some of the nomina barbara from Islamic grimoires such as Kitāb al-ʿAjnās (Table 5), which tradition attributes to ʿĀṣif bin Barkhiyā. In Jewish legend, Asaph ben Berachiah (1 Chronicles 6:39) was the vizier of King Solomon. Hans Winkler mentions other Arabic magic words with relevant sounds – Shataṭhash Taḥталash – in connection with a particular ḫfrīt, citing a source that is most likely the Kitāb ʿAndahriūsh al-Bāblī. Similarly, one can find related Hebrew words listed as Divine names in Kabbalah manuscripts, such as the sequence ʿAvōṭata Ἀκουβςατά Ἄτατα Ὡτατα Ὡτατα ʿAṭāʾ found in Shōshān Yesōd ha- ʿĀlōm.

The Jewish Seal Names also exhibit potential links to religious expressions in other cultures. For example, Tat/Thath (cf. Ṭat/Ṭath, Table 5) is a son and disciple of Hermes Trismegistus, a fusion of the Greek Hermes with Thoth, the ancient Egyptian god of knowledge. Since Tat is but a variant of the name Thoth, Tat is a junior version of Hermes/Thoth himself. Sat (cf. Ṣat/-/Ṣṭ- Table 5) is a phonetic form of Set/Seth, the name of the unruly Egyptian god who murdered Osiris. The Egyptian water-goddess associated with Elephantine and the inundation of the Nile is Satit or Satet, like the third Jewish Seal. In India, the Hindu concept of satitya refers to the chaste devotion of a wife; this and other apparent matches to Sanskrit terms are given in Table 5. The resemblance of the first Seal Name (Yaṭat, Table 5) to the Sanskrit Yatat, “to be in line” or “side by side” (Table 5, note d) accords with the expansion of the acronym in Shōshān Yesōd ha- ʿĀlōm, where the middle word (שומ) means a line, row, column, progression or series (Table 1). Even more intriguingly, the sounds within the Names of the sixth and first four Seals (Mārōm Ṭat Saṭ) seem to reflect those of the Vedic mantra Harī Om Tat Sat, “The Supreme Being is the Absolute Truth” (Table 5). The Seal name ʿAgrepṭī is reminiscent of ākrati, the Sanskrit word for symbol, while Marōm/Mrūm/Mērūm (Table 1) matches not only the Hebrew mārōm (“height,” Table 1) but also the Sanskrit Merum, which refers to the inconceivably high Mount Meru (Table 5). Finally, if the guardian Shamṛīʿel/Samṛīʿel is cognate (or was conflated) with the Watcher named Shamsīʿel/Samapeel (1 Enoch 6/8) – “mighty sun of God” – then, as in Sefer ha-Razīm, we find the Divine eye equated with Helios, the “reveler of secrets… [who] sees all that happens on earth.” If so, the Kabbalistic description of the seventh Seal as the all-seeing eye of God, whom all will in turn behold (Table 1), mirrors a passage from the Rig Veda, in which dawn leads on high “the Sun, that men may see the great all-knowing god […] Before the all-seeing eye, whose beams reveal his presence.” On Vedic possibilities in general, we might note that Friedrich Max Müller (1823–1900 CE) described the Vedas as “a work of seven seals,” each of which must be unlocked by the serious scholar.

Despite these intriguing overlaps with religious terms from other cultures, it seems most likely that the Jewish Seal names derive from some of the nomina barbara given to parts of the Divine Body in the Shiʿūr Qōmah, a work of Merkabah/Hekhalot mysticism thought to date originally from the seventh to eighth centuries CE. Given that the
Shīʿūr Qōmah’s influence percolated through to the design of magic amulets, a connection with the seven talismanic Seals is not improbable. The closest matches for the seven Seal Names are to the Names of God’s two eyes and five fingers (Table 6). The various manuscripts that incorporate Shīʿūr Qōmah material (such as Sefer Razīʾel and Sefer ha-Qōmah) present somewhat different names for the Divine features; of those published to date, the Seal Names most closely resemble the eye/finger Names in Sefer ha-Shīʿūr. The version of this work from which the Names in Table 6 are taken is found in the Provencal portion of a manuscript from the fourteenth-fifteenth century CE, Jewish Theological Seminary (JTS) ms. 1886.

Jointly, Tables 5 and 6 suggest that the consonants of the syllable tat and its near homophones have the following relationship, where ShQ stands for Shīʿūr Qōmah, a dashed line (---) indicates a substitution of one of the two consonants for the alternative t-sound, and a continuous line (—) indicates an exact cognate:

טט (eyes/heart, ShQ) — טﻂ (Ṭaṭīl) --- טת (ʾAjnās) — תת (Seal) --- תת (fingers, ShQ)

The left-hand end of this scheme implies that some Names in the Shīʿūr Qōmah (e.g., Matatgas in Siddūr Rabbah, Table 5, note h; Ṭaṭī in Table 6, notes b-e) may relate more directly to Ṭaṭīl Names than to Seal Names. At the right-hand end, the Hebrew prefix -תת (tath-) shares with the Arabic word taḥt the meaning of “under” (Table 1), but it could be just a coincidence that the Seal named Ṭath consists of three rods placed under a lance.

Before leaving the topic of the Names, we should make one further attempt to straddle seemingly disparate material. Above, it was mentioned that the goal of Hēkhalōt Zāṭarī is the ultimate “spell and seal that bind earth and heaven.” The Jewish Seal Names may have a specific connection to this prize, in that the passage in which it is described (§367) refers to the eye of God that sees the world from end to end, naming it ʾAṭaṭsat. This Name, which is identical to the one given to the same eye in Sefer ha-Qōmah (Table 6), has intriguing similarities to the sounds repeated in the first four Seal Names. Beyond this interesting juxtaposition, the reference to an all-seeing Divine Eye reminds us of the function of Shamrīʾēl, the seventh Seal through whom God sees all (Table 1).

**Traditional uses**

In Islamic tradition, King Solomon’s ring – from which he derived his power over all things natural and supernatural – is reputed to have been engraved with some or all of the Seven Seal symbols. The full series is also alleged to have adorned the entrance to the Kaʿba. Islamic talismans therefore make frequent use of the symbol series, which for example recurs in a popular amulet called The Seven Covenants of Solomon, effective against a Qarīna or female demon who “takes” children (umm al-ṣubyyān) and who is identified with the evil eye. The symbol series is also often repeated with regular offsets to populate “magic squares,” as for example in Fig. 3. The periodicity and direction of the offset can differ from one square to the next, making possible many different patterns. In contrast, Kabbalistic amulets are more likely to...
Table 6. Relationship of the Jewish Seal Names to Divine Names in the Shīʿūr Qōmah

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Sefer ha-Shīʿūr</th>
<th>Sefer Razīʾel</th>
<th>Sefer ha-Qōmah</th>
<th>Shīʿūr Qōmah</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finger/eye</td>
<td>Finger</td>
<td>Eye</td>
<td>Finger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIṬATh</td>
<td>WETAṬhmat</td>
<td>YATATH</td>
<td>ʾAṬAṬnasat</td>
<td>TTHmat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R1,L2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṬATH</td>
<td>TATHṣamaṣnas</td>
<td>TATHmaṣ</td>
<td>ʾAṬAṬnasat</td>
<td>TTHmat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R1,L2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SʾṬĪṬg</td>
<td>ʾṢaTATyʾel</td>
<td>ʾAṭatnaSAT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ʾṬYṭysws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SʾṬĪṬYAh</td>
<td>ʾṢaTATYʾEl</td>
<td>ʾAṭatnaSAT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ʾṬYṭysws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʾAGreptf</td>
<td>GAG</td>
<td>ʾAGagmaṣ</td>
<td>L4</td>
<td>AGagma’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MArŌM</td>
<td>MANatbag</td>
<td>AgagMAṣ</td>
<td>L4</td>
<td>ŬgMah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShAMRĪʾel</td>
<td>ShEMesh</td>
<td>ShAMRĪ</td>
<td>R5</td>
<td>GagShEMesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a From top to bottom, rows below headings show data for Seals 1-7, respectively. Foreign terms comprise the body of this table, so italicization has been omitted to make other emphases more conspicuous. Letters in column 1 with potential matches in other columns of the same row are in bold capitals, as are their matches. Tāw with and without dagesh are not distinguished in the source text, so the letter is transliterated either as T or Th to best match column 1. Short vowels follow Martin Cohen, except for replacement of e with a in the first syllable of Manat(bag). Body parts code: E, eye; F, finger; R, right; L, left.

b Cohen (note a) p.35-36 (ER term is for pupil of right eye); also Tataf twice on the heart, p.32.

c Cohen (note a) p.94 (fingers) & 100 (eye); also Tataf on the heart, p.89.

d Cohen (note a) p.146 (fingers) & 153 (eyes); also Tataf on the heart, p.141 (and three times in Merkavah Rabbah, Cohen p.62).n

e British Library ms. 10675 (Gaster ms. 187), Cohen (note a, above) p.192-195; also Tataf on the heart, p.193. In Cohen’s opinion, this tenth/eleventh century CE manuscript preserves the Urtext (p.5 & 192), which he dates to early Geonic Babylonia (p.2), ca. 600-800 CE. The two grey-filled cells in this column give Gideon Bohak’s interpolation (published in 2017) for the name of God’s right eye in “a Shīʿūr Qōmah tradition that was more ‘primitive’ than all its currently available textual witnesses.” For a list of all textual variants of the name known to Bohak, see column 5 in his Appendix 1; note especially the proximity of the version in his text b (= T-S NS 92.20), which dates to early Geonic Babylonia (p.2), ca. 600-800 CE. The two grey-filled cells in this column give Gideon Bohak’s interpolation (published in 2017) for the name of God’s right eye in “a Shīʿūr Qōmah tradition that was more ‘primitive’ than all its currently available textual witnesses.”

f Cf. Wʿṭath in the second edition of Toldōt Ḳādim, transcribed elsewhere as Vatath.

g Cf. Tūtaś, an approximation of this Name in reverse, at the end of an 8-word Name for one of the seven Seals inscribed on the meqābal’s head in Maʾaseh Merkavah.
Fig. 3. Seal-containing “magic square.” Talismanic design from an Ottoman Turkish Sufi journal from the library of the Mevleva Sufi lodge at Ayazma, Istanbul, written in the late nineteenth century CE (author’s collection). All rows of the central wafq or jadwal read right to left. Some of the peripheral inscriptions have been discussed elsewhere.
employ the Names of the Seals than their symbols, and when present the latter are often much degraded, as seen in Fig. 4. Occasionally (e.g., Fig. 4c and elsewhere\textsuperscript{284}), Jewish amulets conclude with disordered symbol sequences that display some Islamic characteristics, which suggests some (potentially quite recent) cross-cultural awareness on the part of their Kabbalist authors. Islamic amulets employing the Seals are most commonly written on a paper sheet in black and/or colored ink (Fig. 3), but the Seals can also be found engraved on silver medallions (Fig. 5a), armbands\textsuperscript{285} and finger rings (Fig. 5b),\textsuperscript{286,287} on brass plaques\textsuperscript{288} and bowls,\textsuperscript{289,290,291,292} on carnelian\textsuperscript{293} or agate gemstones,\textsuperscript{294} on walls\textsuperscript{295} and doorways,\textsuperscript{296} and even on shirts.\textsuperscript{297} Kabbalistic amulets are typically written in black ink on small vellum scrolls (Fig. 4b).

In both traditions, the amuletic use of the Seven Seals confers protection against illness, oppression, attack or disaster. In Islam, the magical uses of the symbols include exorcism, curing epilepsy, evading execution, releasing a prisoner, winning battles, finding hidden treasure, and securing respect and love.\textsuperscript{298} Writing the series at the end of a book will assist the reader to acquire its knowledge, a claim attributed to Muhîyî al-Dîn ibn ʿArabî (d. 1240 CE).\textsuperscript{299} The symbols are reputed even to forgive sins.\textsuperscript{300} Some modern amulets, whose manufacture in Mali and France was carefully documented, employed the Seals for love-magic and rainmaking.\textsuperscript{301,302,303} Although the later Shams al-Maʿârif al-Kubrâ advises that a different symbol series (²⁹⁵) should be used for malevolent purposes,\textsuperscript{304,305} al-Bûnî’s original Shams admits that the Seven Seals intimate not just goodness but suffering as well,\textsuperscript{306} much as the associated seven sawâqîṭ signify not just Beautiful Names (Table 3) but also evil and harm.\textsuperscript{307,308} Accordingly, it seems possible to use the Seven Seals negatively to punish wrong-doers or to afflict one’s rivals; with them one may burn down their houses, sink their ships, make them forsake their land, and confer upon them anxiety, insomnia, blindness, diseases and death.\textsuperscript{309} In Judaism, while “only one in a thousand knows their secret,” the Seal symbols ensure the safety of a person who carries them, and protect against misadventure by water and fire.\textsuperscript{310} Specific uses of the symbols are focused on women’s reproductive issues – for overcoming barrenness and (especially) for safety during childbirth\textsuperscript{311,312} – but they also can be hung on a ship’s mast for a speedy and secure voyage, particularly when fleeing persecution.\textsuperscript{313} A combination of Seal symbols, their Names and words from the 22-letter Name of God (Fig. 4a,b) protects against an encyclopedic assortment of ills, including fear, horror, coercion, the evil eye, witchcraft, sickness and plague.\textsuperscript{314} Reciting the Names of the Seals inspires repentance, while amulets containing them protect the bearer against all evil;\textsuperscript{315} they can also overcome female infertility and ease childbirth.\textsuperscript{316,317}

It is interesting to note that Divine Names in pre-Kabbalah Hekhalot texts (mentioned above) set precedents for the protective and sometimes punitive powers subsequently associated with the Seven Seals. Thus, in Šeḵalît Zūṭriti, the house within which the “Book of the Mysteries of the Divine Names” (approximated by the text of Šeḵalît Zūṭriti itself) is deposited “will not suffer from fire, dearth, and all sorts of other disaster,”\textsuperscript{318} just as a house containing the Seals cannot be burned.\textsuperscript{319} Likewise, the possessor of the Book is able to dry up the sea, extinguish fire, and kill whomever he desires.\textsuperscript{320}
Fig. 4. Kabbalistic amulets containing Seal symbols. (a) Amuletic template from *Shorshē ha-Shemōt*, an encyclopedia compiled by Rabbi Moses Zacuto (d. 1696 CE). The formula in the book is linear, but has here been presented in a layout matching that of the handwritten amulet in the panel below; this involved relocating the Seal symbols from their original positions (red discs) to new positions, as indicated by the red arrows. The Hebrew text includes five Seal names: Y’ṭath, Ṭath, S’ṭīṭ (line 2), S’ṭiyah (line 3) and Shamarīʾēl (line 4). It also includes three acronyms representing the Priestly Blessing (Numbers 6:24-26) (line 1); three words from the 22-letter Name of God (Table 4): Anaqtam (line 1), Pastam (line 2), and Paspasim (line 3); and the name of the angel Sandalfōn (line 3). The acronym ṣ̄̀ enclave (last line) is shown in gray at positions where it is repeated in the handwritten amulet but not in the book; it stands for “Heal her now, O God, I beseech Thee” (Numbers 12:13), and is often used against fever. (b) Detail from a protection and/or healing amulet handwritten on a vellum scroll, Morocco, late nineteenth or early twentieth century CE. While it clearly follows the template of the panel above, the degraded execution of the Seal symbols is striking. (c) End of an undated Kabbalah scroll, printed on paper and sold in Jerusalem, whose symbols may be derived from the Seven Seals. From top to bottom: the lattice resembles the form of the fourth Seal in the preceding panels; the central four wāw of the “word” below it match the fifth Seal (Fig. 1e(i)); the row below that presents (at left) the simple form of the fourth Seal, and (at right) what could be an incomplete pentagram, an Islamic form of the first Seal (Fig. 1a(ii-iii)); below them is what appears to be a fusion of the third and sixth Seals in their Islamic forms (Fig. 1a(ii)); then a figure that may be derived from the second Seal; while at bottom is a Star of David (containing Shaddaī, Almighty), which would match the hexagram form of the first/last Seal in the eight-symbol Islamic series (Fig. 1a(i)). If this is indeed a Seal series, then the symbol sequence has become disordered (largely reversed) and shows some Islamic characteristics. Items (b) and (c) are from the author’s collection.
Fig. 5. Islamic silver jewelry embossed or engraved with the Seven Seals. (a) Medallion embossed with a talismanic design from al-Būnī (attrib.), Manba’ Uṣūl al-Ḥikma, which includes a 7 x 7 waḥ姜 of the Seals; modern, struck in Indonesia. (b) Signet ring from Persia, nineteenth century CE. A Seal series is engraved on each shoulder, on either side of a gold inlay bearing a magic square (value 124, presumably for Allāh as al-Mu’īd, The Restorer). In the photograph, each Seal has been identified by an adjacent number in red. Both medallion and ring are from the author’s collection.
Developments in the last two centuries

Imāmological interpretations of the Seals did not stop with Ismāʿīlī and Twelver Shiʿīsm (p.3 & 10). In Qājar Persia, the inverted ṭaww of the seventh Seal was subjected to complex exegetic treatments by the founder of the Shaykhī school, Shaykh Aḥmad al-Aḥsāʾī (d.1826 CE), and his successor Sayyid Kāẓim Rashīf (d.1843/4 CE). They concluded that the central letter in the word ẓaww (as applied to this Seal) refers to the Qāʾim, the messianic twelfth Imām. The upside-down orientation and backwards-reaching tail of the ẓaww in the symbol series is called sirr al-tankīs li-ramz al-raʾīs, “the mystery of the inversion in the symbol of the Ruler,” and is a reference to the future re-emergence of the Qāʾim, in whose time there would be an eschatological return of “past peoples.”325 By curious coincidence, there is a grammatical construction in Biblical Hebrew called ẓaww ha-hīpūkh, literally “the ṭaww of inversion,” in which a prefixed ṭaww causes the verb forms for past and future tense to be exchanged.326 While Sayyid Kāẓim Rashīf would presumably have expected the Qāʾim to be Imām al-Mahdī (Table 3), the son of the eleventh Imām Ḥasan al-Aṣkarī (d. ca. 874 CE), subsequent Bahāʾī exegesis identified the central letter of ṭaww (i.e., the Qāʾim) as the Bāb (d. 1850 CE) and its final letter as Bahāʾullāh (d.1892 CE), the founder of the Bahāʾī religion (Table 3).327 In this view, the Seal symbol is an inverted ṭaww because – in terms of faith status – the messianic advent of Bahāʾullāh had caused the exalted ones to become lowly, and vice versa.328

Modern teachers have continued to extend and/or revise the correspondences of the Seal symbols. Nineveh Shadrach has presented a revised mapping of the sawāqīt to the Seals that better matches the “Beautiful Names” to the attributes of the Seals’ planets.329 N. Wahid Azal, founder and leader of the N.U.R. – Fatimiya Ṣūfī Order, has comprehensively rearranged the Seals’ correspondence with the angels, planets and days and provided extended interpretations for the symbols.330,331 He also relates the two pentagrams of the eight-symbol series (Fig. 1a(i)) to the “two gulfs” of Imām ʿAlī’s Khutba al-Tuṭmijiyya,332 while taking the six intervening Seals to represent the six theophanic stations of ʿAlī’s Ḥadīth al-haqīqa (Ḥadīth Kumayl).333

In the USA, spiritual healer Rabbi Miriam Maron has released a music CD entitled AngelSong,334 whose track titles and lyrics relate directly to the Names of the Jewish Seals, albeit with slight alterations out of respect for the power of the originals.335 In the album notes, the Seven Seals are identified as spirits of the Seven Earths; listed in their conventional order, the Seals correspond with Ge’, Yabashah, Ḥaravah, Arqa, Tevel, Eretz, and Adamah, a sequence which differs from the usual ones.336 The nature of each Earth is described, along with its spiritual essence and transformative power. Her collaborator,337 Rabbi Gershon Winkler, interprets the Kabbalistic “Four Worlds”338 as four parallel dimensions in which we co-exist simultaneously, and sees in the four strokes of the fifth Seal a shamanistic awareness of this “Sacred Walk.”339 He uses a ceremonial drum in whose centre are drawn five of the Seal symbols.340 In combination with a Star of David, the Seven Seals can be used in an Earth Ritual in which participants journey sequentially through the Seven Earths.341
In the remaining Abrahamic religion, the Judeo-Islamic Seven Seals have been mapped to their Christian counterparts on the “scroll with seven seals” in the Book of Revelation via shared planetary assignments, which for the seals of Revelation were inferred by way of color. The sequence of seals is the same as in Islam, but with an offset of one position throughout, i.e. the first seal of the Apocalypse is cognate with the second Seal of the eight-symbol sequence in Fig. 1a, and so on. The “Christian sequence” is therefore given by the penultimate row of the matrix in Fig. 3 and the second row of that in Fig. 5a. The location of the “three strokes” in position 1 is consistent with Hans Winkler’s suggestion that this Seal might represent the Trinity (“the Three in One”). Moreover, the “four strokes” now appear in fourth position and the subsequent two Seals occupy the positions that correspond with their numerical values ($hā'$ = 5, $wāw = 6$).

Seals as letters, sounds and words

The Seven Seals of Judaism and Islam are indisputably the same set of symbols, which probably first achieved their mature form within Islam. They did so not within the image-based and largely pre-Islamic tradition of astrological magic, epitomised by the Ghayat al-Hakîm (an eleventh century CE work known to the West as the Picatrix, which does not mention the Seals), but in the milieu of “religious letter-magic,” a word-, letter- and number-based discipline entrenched in the Corpus Bûnianum. As we know, some of the Islamic Seal symbols are held to derive from Arabic letters, which in the Ṣūfī tradition are themselves a field of Divine manifestation. The first Seal corresponds to a transformation of the Arabic letters $hā'$ (the original character at the start of the series) or 'alif (for the pentalpha or pentagram that later came to occupy this position). Muḥyī al-Dîn ibn ‘Arabî (d. 1240 CE) explains that 'alif, the letter closest to uninterrupted breath, and $hā'$, which is produced at the most interior point of the chest, are both considered to be primal. In contrast, $wāw$ is formed at the lips, the most exterior point of the mouth, and is thus the final letter in terms of articulation. As $wāw$ requires the breath to reprise the entire journey from the centre of the chest to the pursed lips, it encompasses the power of all the Arabic letters and symbolises man in perfection. Thus the seven-membered Seal series begins with a symbol based on the most primal sound and concludes with one based on the most evolved and complete sound.

There is a remarkable recurrence of parallel 'alif-like characters in the second and fifth Seals; indeed, al-Tilimsânî calls them 'alifs (Table 2). To Ibn ‘Arabî, the verticality of such strokes is the most pertinent symbol of Divinity; “the Alif possesses a vertical movement, and due to its condition of subsistent self-standingness (qayyūmiyya) everything stands in existence. […] Everything is dependent on it, while it is dependent on nothing.” The privileged status of 'alif / 'ālef also reflects its numerical value of 1 (unity) and its position as the first letter of the alphabet.

One Arabic source interprets the Seven Seals as “Ṣûryâni words” which it translates as (Seal 1) Living One (2-3) Eternal One (4) Lord of Glory/Majesty (5) and
Honor/Generosity (6-7) He/Is. Certainly, when viewed simply as Arabic letters, the penultimate and final Islamic Seal (hāʾ and wāw) together form the word Huwa (“He”), the Divine Ipseity or “God’s Selfness.” Similarly, the Hebrew word Hūʾ is taken as the Name of God in some of the Jewish Merkabah texts mentioned above and in the writings of subsequent Kabbalists, while its component heʾ and wāw are the central two letters of the Tetragrammaton. In some Jewish series, the sixth Seal is circular (Fig. 1e(i)) and resembles the isolated form of the Arabic hāʾ, in keeping with the Islamic identification of this Seal as an Arabic split-hāʾ. However, in other Jewish series the shape of the sixth Seal resembles a Hebrew mem (not shown) or an Arabic mīm (Fig. 1e(ii)), perhaps a reflection of the two mēms in its Hebrew name, Marōm. In printed books, the seventh Jewish Seal sometimes resembles a reversed final-mēm (Fig. 1e(i)). Its explicit identification in early sources as a mēm rather than a wāw (Table 1) further distances the final two Jewish Seals from the word Hūʾ.

The second Jewish Seal is explicitly described as “three wāws” (Table 1). The same letter combination is an acronym for the genealogy in Genesis 25:14, which is sometimes used as a charm for a crying child. In Hebrew printed books, the vertical strokes of the second and fifth Seals are often represented by wāws, while the third Seal is sometimes represented by the letter zayin (Fig. 1e(i)); indeed, in Shōshān Yesōd ha-Ōlām the third Seal is explicitly identified with this letter (Table 1). In one instance, the wāws of the second Seal carry vowel points, which – unusually – are supralinear (Fig. 4a, line 2) and appear to implement the long disused Palestinian vocalization scheme (eighth to eleventh centuries CE), in which the “symbol-word” would be pronounced wo-wo-wo.

The purpose of the two- or three-letter Hebrew words glossed over each Seal in Moscow-Günzburg (Table 4) is unclear; all begin with ʾālef and have sounds dominated by the letters yōd and mēm. Rabbi Isaac of Acre spoke of visualizing an ʾālef at the end of each of two sequential Tetragrammatons, “the silent ʾālef of the hidden name,” so that the two Names become linked by the last letter of the first and the first letter of the second: ʾ. This central letter-pair of the conjoined Names is the gloss provided for the first, third and sixth Seals. Its repetition calls to mind the meditative letter-permutation schemes of Abraham Abulafia and others, which begin by exploring all the combinatorial vowel possibilities of this “word.” The letter-sequences above the Seal symbols also form meaningful Hebrew words, whose focus (Table 4) seems to be on the negative and conditional – cf. the “everything is nothing” of the second Seal (Table 1). It is interesting that the gloss above the first and third Seals (“No” or “Not”) correlates with the mapping of Lā (“No” or “Not”) from the Shahāda to the same Seals in the Fātimid Ismāʿīlī treatise, Risālat al-Ism al-Aʿẓam (Table 2, columns 2 and 3).

The sounds most closely associated with the Seal series are m, h, w (or ū or ē in place of w) and, via ʾālef / ʿālīf as mater lectionis, ā. The letter mīm is important to Islamic magic, perhaps because it both begins and is repeated within the name of the Prophet Muhammad, as we have already seen, its Hebrew counterpart, mēm, begins and ends the Divine descriptor Mārōm (Table 1). The focus on h (first and sixth Islamic Seals as hāʾ), w (seventh Islamic Seal as inverted wāw, second and fifth Jewish Seals as multiple
wāws) and ā (second and fifth Islamic Seals as multiple ʿalīf, the recurring ʿālef in the Moscow–Günzburg 775 glosses) may reflect the fact that the corresponding sounds feature strongly in the most distinctive Names of God in Judaism and Islam (Yāhweh, Allāh), a trend continued in Bahāʾism (Bahāʾ).

**Reflection and refraction of a theophany**

In exegesis of the Seals we find themes common to both the Jewish and Islamic interpretations, including hands/fingers, sight/blindness, ascent to/of goodness, circles/rings/seals, and unity/duality/totality (Table 7). Sometimes the overlaps relate to the same Seal, and this is most evident when the concepts are anchored to the shapes of the symbols (e.g., the ladder, the ring, and unity/duality). At other times, each tradition associates a particular theme with a different Seal (Table 7). Most striking are the similarities in the description and associations of the third Islamic Seal and the seventh Jewish one. In this dyad, the mutilated mīm of the Islamic series (third seal, Table 1) is matched by a twisted mēm in the Jewish one (seventh seal, Table 1) (Table 7); Samsamāʾīl, the Islamic angel of the third day/planet (Tuesday/Mars; Table 3) is cognate with the Hebrew Samaʾēl (Table 4)369 and Samrīʾēl, and thus matches the seventh Jewish Seal Name, Shamrīʾēl (Tables 1 and 7);370 the third Beautiful Name of Allāh, al-Shahīd (The Witness; Table 3) matches the Jewish seventh Seal’s role as “the observer and the seer” from whose eyes “nothing is concealed” (Table 1). Paradoxically, it is this Seal pair that also has the links to blindness and darkness (Table 7). Another form of co-identification of the third and seventh Seals is found in ms. NYPL Heb. 190, which confuses the symbols for these two Seals,371 others do likewise.372

Intellectual analysis of a non-verbal theophany such as the Seven Seals can deepen our appreciation of its history and associations, but has obvious limitations. Fundamentally, the seven symbols are not signs that represent something but rather are signals – signals that do not symbolize Divine presence so much as trigger it.373 In the words of Algis Uždavinys, such symbols “are not arbitrary signs, but ontological traces of the divine.”374 Their universality is evident from the epilogue to ʿAlī’s poem, which declares the Seals true for “every creature, whether speaking or dumb”375,376 and thus for “all men, be they Arab or non-Arab,”377 a message amplified by the Būnān identification of the symbols as coming from the Torah, the Gospels and the Qurʾān (Table 3). This opinion has been amplified and extended by modern authors.378,379 As we approach the limits of reductionist logic in dissecting the kaleidoscopic reflection and refraction of the Seals within (and perhaps beyond) the Abrahamic religions, we can take comfort in the words of Mircea Eliade: “A religious symbol conveys its message even if it is no longer consciously understood in every part. For a symbol speaks to the whole human being and not only to the intelligence.”380
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme/concept/sound</th>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Islamic</th>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Jewish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seal-ring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A seal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A seal-ring</td>
<td>A ring, without beginning or end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A ring (the pledge) around the believer’s neck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singularity and totality</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Singularity and duality, the One and the everything</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Everything is nothing / unity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful / Seal Name</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>al-Shahid</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Sīṭī / Satit</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark female</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>To superiors, resembles a dark woman</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(Adulteress)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sword</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>'abtar</em>, understood by Canaan as a sharp sword</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>zayin</em>, meaning weapon, a sharp sword</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladder, ascent</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A ladder; leading [up] to paradise / heaven</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A ladder; goodness will ascend</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moon must be in an Air constellation for use</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Air and wind</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fingers of hand pointing [up] to good deeds</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(To clench the hand into a fist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A good hand / His hand is spread</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Work of His hands / In his hand to kill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Everlasting and infinite circle</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>One with neither beginning nor end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A ring, without beginning or end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>Circles Circulating in knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Master of every circle that exists; His creations revolve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol shape; Angel and Seal Name; Sight, blindness and darkness; Wounding and punishment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mutilated <em>mīm</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bent/crooked <em>mīm</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samsamāʾīl / Samāʾīl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Shamrīʾ ēl / Samrīʾ ēl / Samaʾ ēl</em></td>
<td>God Almighty watches and sees all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Witness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Samaʾ ēl (samāʾ, blind)</em></td>
<td>Samaʾ ēl, hence Death / Hell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blind <em>mīm</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Saturn, e assigned the color black</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dark station, blinded by blackness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Samaʾ ēl / Samrīʾ ēl, hence Death / Hell</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maiming, mutilation, sharp sword of punishment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Wāw</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Inverted <em>wāw</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Three <em>wāw</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>The Pure</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>The secret is pure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Four sides, i.e. completeness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secrecy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Back-bent because of the secrecy</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A good secret</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>The secret is pure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To aid readability, sources will not be provided for table content taken directly from previous tables.

b Dictionaries of Gesenius & Klein.381

c God told the letter *zayin* the following: “I will not create the world with you, for within you is […] the sharpened sword;” Rabbi Yehuda Ashlag (1885-1954 CE, author of *The Ladder / The Great Commentary to the Zohar*), “An Essay about Letters.”382

d Hans Winkler.383

e Consensus planet from Table 4.
Conclusion

While precursors of the Seven Seals may have trafficked back and forth between pre-Kabbalah and Ṣūfī schools, a mature form of the symbol series (which probably dates to the early twelfth century CE) appears to have entered Judaism from Islam. Within Kabbalah, the Seals developed an autonomous existence and gained individual Names which, to some extent, displaced the symbols. The main use of the Seals in both religions is as a protective talisman. Their scope appears to be wider in Islamic magic, where they can even be used to inflict harm on others; of course, this diversity may simply reflect their greater popularity and wider uptake in the Arab world. Appreciation of the Seals is not static or limited to the original two religions; additional associations and revisionist expositions continue to accumulate to the present day.

In both Islam and Judaism, the Seals collectively became linked to distinctive affirmations of belief, although in neither case has this linkage become widely diffused. Individual Seals are often interpreted differently by the two religions, and indeed by different schools of thought within each religion, yet points of convergence still remain. This is most evident for the fourth Seal (the ladder), but there also are thematic overlaps for the first Seal (the ring), second Seal (unity and duality/totality), and others. Unexpectedly, there seems to have been an extensive exchange between the third Islamic and seventh Jewish Seals. In contrast to Islamic practice, individual correspondences for the Jewish Seals are rarely specified and tend to be inconsistent across sources; even the relationship of the Kabbalistic Seals to the planets (and therefore to the days of the week) seems relatively fluid. In Islam, correspondences this fundamental are fixed, and variations are confined to associations that are less widely diffused.

The Names of the Seals in Judaism may be distantly reflected in the Ṭahaṭīl Names, a set of angel-like Names associated with the Seven Seals in Islamic magic. The Jewish Seal Names bear much more convincing similarities to the Names given to God’s fingers and eyes in the Hekhalot literature, so it is interesting to see that hands/fingers and sight/blindness form some of the themes common to interpretation and exegesis of the Seals in both religions.

Finally, we should recognize that logical analysis of a visual theophany can take us only so far. Rational enquiry can enhance our appreciation of this sublime Name, but we should not forget that its true purpose is to enable the human soul to re-establish a theurgic union with the Divine.
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The use of a circle or square for the first Seal is seen in the 16 historical instances in the following works.
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The use of a triangle for the first Seal occurs in the Sefer ha-Razīm section of a Byzantine manuscript: (5) NYPL Heb. 190, 1465-8 CE; New York Public Library, Dorot Jewish Division, catalog entry online at http://catalog.nypl.org/record=b16142874~S1, accessed 28 Jun, 2014; with thanks to the New York Public Library and the Institute of Microfilmed Hebrew Manuscripts, ms. R.R. Film No. F9347, IMHM record 000062327; p.33 in the numbering at bottom centre of the manuscript page. In the newly-released facsimile edition of this manuscript, the Seal series appears on p.65; see Gideon Bohak, 2014, A Fifteenth-Century Manuscript of Jewish Magic: MS New York Public Library, Heb. 190 (Formerly Sassoon 56), Cherub Press, Los Angeles. This series, which occurs in a template for an amulet to prevent miscarriage, looks at first sight quite Islamic, in that it is flanked by hexagram-like symbols. Specifically, the Seal series is followed by a proto-hexagram (formed by two intersecting triangles), with a lunettised hexagram (i.e., one bearing small cicles at its vertices, in the manner of a charaktêre) below the first two Seals and an incomplete lunettised double-hexagram below the sixth Seal. As already mentioned, the first Seal is present explicitly in the series as a small unadorned triangle, so the three large hexagram-like motifs that surround the series are probably best viewed as extraneous additions. The assembly may of course reflect some awareness of Islamic Seal series that begin and end with hexagrams or pentagrams.

29 MullāʿAbd al-Latīf Kīlānī, 1205/1790, Kanz al-Khavāṣṣ, Kanz al-Yahūd. Recent printing from Iran, ed. Hussayn Zamīnī, no date.
30 Stephen N. Lambden, 2008/9, “Translations from the Writings of Sayyid Kāẓim Rashtī (d. 1259/1843).”
36 Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.77 & 151.
59 Winkler, 2006, “In Islamic Talismans, Repeat-Letter Ciphers Representing the ‘Greatest Name’ Relate to an Early Prototype of the Seven Seals and may Link the Seals with the Pleiades,” Epigraphic Society Occasional Papers 29, 70-91; online at http://www.academia.edu/1999297/In_Islamic_Talismans_Repeat-Letter_Ciphers_Representing_the_Greatest_Name_Relate_to_an_Early_Prototype_of_the_Seven_Seals_and_may_Link_the_Seals_with_the_Pleiades, accessed 1 Dec, 2012. Hereafter, “Repeat-Letter Ciphers.”

49 Sa’id Nârsî subscribed to this view; for example, see Section IIA.2.g online at http://www.nur.org/en/nurcenter/nurlibrary/Views_on_Kalam_as_Illustrated_in_the_Risale_i_Nur_21
50 Doutté, 1908, Magie et Religion, p.138-142.
57 Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.95-113. Noah Gardiner dates the copy of al-Bûnî’s original Shams (the Shams al-Ma’ârif wa-Latâ’if al-’Awârif) that serves as the main source for Hans Winkler and myself to the late thirteenth or possibly fourteenth century CE (BnF ms arabe 2647); the earliest version of the enlarged version (Shams al-Ma’ârif al-Kubrâ) to 1508 CE (BnF ms arabe 2649), and other versions of the Shams al-Ma’ârif al-Kubrâ to the seventeenth century CE or later. Gardiner, 2012, “Forbidden Knowledge?” p.102-103, 114, 134-135.
59 Sharh al-Jaljalûtâhah al-Kubrâ (Commentary on the Long Jaljalûtâhah) is one of the four books comprising the Bûnîan Manba’ Uṣūl al-Hikma (hereafter, “Uṣūl”). In the widely-used al-Qâhirâ (Cairo) edition it occupies p.91-325. This is the edition cited by Alexander Fodor (A. Fodor, 2004, “The Rod of Moses in Arabic Magic,” In: Magic and Divination in Early Islam, ed. Emilie Savage-Smith, Ashgate Variorum, Aldershot, p.103-123), as well as by Pielow, 1995, Die Quellen der Weisheit, and is probably the Cairo 1951 printing by Maktabat Muṣṭafâ al-Bâbî al-Ḥalabî (Witkam, 2007, “Gazing at
the Sun,” 198). The different versions of the Jaljalūṭāh invocation are described by Pielow, p.88-95; the short/early version appears in the Uṣūl at p.95-97. A German translation of the lines describing the Seals appears in Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.102-105.

64 Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.151-2.
66 Moïse Schwab comments that on Hebrew talismans the (isolated) Star of David is often found “reduced to a simple square” (Moïse Schwab, 1897, Vocabulaire de l’Angélologie, Imprimerie Nationale, Paris, p.21). With the first Seal, however, it is more likely that the circular Seal originally common to both religions was preserved largely unchanged in Jewish series, transforming at most into a square, whereas it evolved considerably in Islamic ones, first into a pentagram and then into a hexagram. The same applies to the sixth Seal, which in Jewish series typically remains a circle or square (Fig. 1d,e(i) and Kaplan, 1997, Sefer Yetzirah, p.172) while developing in some Islamic series into a hexagram or even an octagram (Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.152, series 16-18).
67 For example, while speaking of the Ashkenazi interest in mysterious symbols during the Middle Ages, Gideon Bohak proposes that a medieval Jewish mystic received the seven Seals from Oriental Jewish sources and offered an elaborate explanation of each sign in an exegesis that then became widely circulated (Bohak, 2011, “The Charakṭēres in Ancient and Medieval Jewish Magic,” 37). As we shall see, the earliest extant Jewish manuscript to contain the Seal series, namely Moscow-Günzburg 775 (fn 28, source 1, and fn 93-94) is Sephardic, and thus from the far west of Europe, while the next two, namely the Sefer ha-Razīm section of NYPL Heb. 190 (fn 96) and the magical compendium Shōshān Yesōd ha-ʾOlam (fn 28, source 2), are Greek/Byzantine and Greek/Turkish/Ottoman, respectively, and thus from the eastern boundary. An Ashkenazi epicentre is certainly possible, although the strong Arabic influence in the Greek/Byzantine Sefer ha-Razīm (fn 96) means that the author probably had a direct awareness of the Seals from local Islamic sources, in addition to knowledge obtained from the Rhineland or central/eastern Europe.
70 The Seven Seals of the Apocalypse are described in Revelation 5-6.


82 Wahid Azal, “The True Greatest Name (Ism-i-A’zam) Symbol.”


85 Moscow-Günzburg 775 (see fn 28, source 1, and fn 93 for details), f.36a, attributes the Seals to a Rabbi Nōḥāni el Gaʾān, of whom Aryeh Kaplan says “no record of such a gaon exists” (Kaplan, 1997, Sefer Yetzirah, p.370). The name may be derived from Rabbi NehJunah ben ha-Qanah, a Tannaitic authority of the 2nd century CE who features in the Merkabah text Hēkalot Rabbati; Nethanʾel ben Mosheh ha-Levi, Gaʾān of Fustāṭ in Egypt (1160-1170 CE) and court physician to the last Fātimid Caliphs; or his contemporary, Nethanʾel ben al-Fayyūmi of Yemen (d. ca.1165 CE). While ha-Levi is the only actual Gaʾān, al-Fayyūmi was head of Jewry in a culture dominated by Ṭayyiḥib Ismāʾīlīs (see fn 16); his Bustān al-ʿUqlī draws heavily on Ismāʾīlī Sufism and reveals a mystical preoccupation with the number seven. Ronald C. Kiener, 1984, “Jewish Ismāʾīlīs in Twelfth Century Yemen: R. Nethanel ben al-Fayyūmi,” Jewish Quarterly Review 74 (3), 249-266.

86 The RaMaBaN (1194-1270 CE). Toldōt ʿĀdam (see fn 28, source 3, for details), Section 158; Kaplan, 1997, Sefer Yetzirah, p.370.

87 Rabbi Yiṣḥāq ben Shmūʾel dmin ʿAkkō, late 13th/early 14th century CE.

88 Moscow-Günzburg 775 (see fn 28, source 1, and fn 93 for details), f.36a, Babylon Human Translation, online service via http://translator.babylon.com/.

The manuscript Bibliothèque de Genève, Comites Latentes 145, including the Bibliographic details for the ms. are in fn 28, source 2. Versions of the Seals appear on p.141, 265, 268, 276, 322, 323, 460 & 461 of the ms., which is numbered by page in Western numerals; the second and third citations fall within the section catalogued as Maʾamar ha-Ayin (On the Evil Eye) by Meir ben Eleazar. Versions of the Names also occur on p.254 & 256, and some of the Names appear also among other Divine Names (p.146-147). In the interpretation, the third Seal is shown using the proper to the seventh one, which is missing. The complete set of Seal Names also occurs on p.245 & 256, and some of the Names appear also among other Divine Names (p.146 and 212). The manuscript was written in a Greek cursive hand between 1464-1468 CE by Moses ben Jacob ben Mordechai ben Jacob ben Moses.


99 See fn 28, source 2, for details; the quotation is from p.141.

100 See fn 28, source 4, for details. The Seal symbols feature on p.268 and 434, with possible additional occurrences on p.206, 617 and 646. The Seal Names feature on p.335-336 (two entries, yōd signs 141 and 142) and p.442, with possible variants in many other entries.


Jerusalem NLI Ms. Heb. 8°330/17, p.209a; with thanks to the National Library of Israel and the Institute of Microfilmed Hebrew Manuscripts, mss. R.R. Film. No. B 277 (330=8), IMHM record 002807514. The Seals appear individually in a version of the now-familiar explanation; they re-appear collectively as a canonical symbol series, and then appear again in a non-standard 10-symbol series that contains some duplication. Before we leave manuscript sources, it is worth mentioning that Bohak, 2014, vol. 1, p.189 fn 10 mentions two other manuscripts containing version of the Seal names, namely JTS Ms. New York 8114 (Italy, 15th century CE) and Bodleian Heb. g 8.3-14.


Shnayer Z. Leiman, 2007, Did a Disciple of the Maharal Create a Golem?

Shnayer Z. Leiman, 2007, Did a Disciple of the Maharal Create a Golem?

Shnayer Z. Leiman, 2007, Did a Disciple of the Maharal Create a Golem?

Immanuel Etkes, 2005, The BeShT: Magician, Mystic & Leader, Brandeis/Univ. Press of New England, p.35-37. In 1725/7 CE, Joel ben Uri also published Miḇal ’Eloqīm, a wider-ranging encyclopedia based again (albeit more loosely) on his grandfather’s writings (Etkes, 2005, p.35-42). This book included an incomplete — and largely reversed — list of Seal Names, but no figures (p.77 in a 1863 CE reprint by S.P. Stiller, Zolkiev, if the title page is considered to be p.1).

Both versions appear in Section 158 of the book; in addition, the Seal Names also appear in Section 92. The printed lines comprising some of the Seal diagrams in the first edition appeared somewhat disjointed, so an Appendix of corrections was added to the book; the second edition had better (i.e., more continuous) drawings and no Appendix. See fn 28, source 3, for bibliographic details.

Bohak, 2009, “Prolegomena.”

111 For a discussion of the issue that cites both the RaMaZ’s Shorshē ha-Shemōt and Joel Heilprin’s Miḇal ’Eloqīm, see Chajes, 2012, “Too Holy to Print.”

Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.94.

Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.103-104.


http://www.academia.edu/3238460/Margin_of_Error_A_search_for_words_lost_before_1784_CE_by_excessive_trimming_of_folio_37_in_the_Kabbalah_manuscript_Moscow-Gunzburg_775_14-15th_century_CE.

130 Zōhar 1:31a, Tosefta; Fishbane, 2009, As Light Before Dawn, p.138 fn 42.
131 The parenthetical material is prefaced by the acronym אאב״א, presumably for אאבע״א) (‘ʾAb”a says…”) at the start of his glosses, Abraham Alnaqar habitually identifies himself as ʾAb”a bar Yōʾel, as explained in IMHM records 000062654 and 000077375.
133 Rav Abraham Isaac Kook, Olat Reʾiyah, vol. 1, Mossad HaRav Kook, Jerusalem, p.409.
137 Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.192.
143 Lambden, 2008/9, “Translations from the Writings of Sayyid Kāẓim Rashīf.”


153 The RaMaZ; see fn 28, source 4, for details. Entry on p.335-336 (yōd sign 142).

154 Fishbane, 2009, *As Light Before Dawn*, p.247. The seven Seal Names are mentioned as a holy Name engraved on a crown in NYPL Heb. 190 (Bohak, 2014, *A Fifteenth-Century Manuscript of Jewish Magic*, vol.2, p.254) and as “a holy Name against the upper crown” by the RaMaZ (see fn 28, source 4, for details), entry on p.335 (yōd sign 141).

155 The RaMaZ; see fn 28, source 4, for details. Entries on p.335 (yōd sign 141) & p.442 (sāmeq sign 16)


157 E. A. Wallis Budge, 1978, *Amulets and Superstitions*, Dover, New York, p.40-43. I cite this reprinting of the 1930 original as it is much more readily available.


163 al-Būnī (attrib.), *Uṣūl (see fn 59 for details), p.254.


171 *Uṣūl (see fn 59 for details), p.177, reproduced by Pielow, 1995, *Die Quellen der Weisheit*, p.52. There, the secondary letters are aligned correctly with the initial letters of the *Tahāfīl* Names, but the two sets of letters have been aligned with a corrupt Seal sequence (which reads left-to-right and also has the third and fifth Seals swapped). See Graham, 2011, “Qurʾānic Spell-ing,” p.21.


200 Moscow-Günzburg 775 (see fn 28, source 1, and fn 93 for details), f.36a. Literally, “seven signs seven
202 al-Būnī (attrib.), Uṣūl (see fn 59 for details), p.254, 256 & 259; also (from another book within the Uṣūl)
204 E.g., ’Abd al-Fattāḥ al-Sayyid al-Tūkhī (printed, ca. 1970 CE) Ighāṭat al-mazlūm fī kashf’ āsrār al-
205 ulūm, al-Maktabat al-Thaqafiyya, Beirut, p.13; also, see online at http://en.roohanialoom.com/wp-
207 The relevant information is included in “Les Sept Archontes” (19 Aug, 2011), online at http://the-
209 Cited by Kaplan, 1997, Sefer Yetzirah, p.168 (Table 31, line F).
213 Arthur Walker-Jones, 2003, Hebrew for Biblical Interpretation, Society of Biblical Literature, Atlanta,
219 Saturn, Jupiter, Mars, Sun, Venus, Mercury, and the Moon; Harrison & Shadrach, 2005, Magic That Works, p.234-235. The Chaldean order (without Mercury) is found among the minor variants listed in the Sha’ar ha-Kōkhāvim of Rabbi Hayyim Vital (d. 1620 CE), but it contains no support for such a sequence to start with Venus, nor for the sequence at the far right of Table 4. See Rabbi Yosef Cohen (trans.), in Mihai Vârtejaru (2013) “Gate of the Stars: A Short Magical Treatise,” in Studies on Magic, 3 April, online at http://studies-vartejaru.blogspot.com.au/2013/04/gate-of-stars-short-magical-
221 Moscow-Günzburg 775 (see fn 28, source 1, and fn 93 for details), f.36a. Literally, “seven signs seven
daily.”
222 Shōshān Yesōd ha-’Ōlām (see fn 28, source 2, for details), p.323.
223 Shōshān Yesōd ha-’Ōlām (see fn 28, source 2, for details), p.141; translated by
225 Tōldōt ’Ādām (see fn 28, source 3, for details), from Section 158, translated by Byron Seminars Ltd.,
Yanveh, Israel. Explanatory additions by the translator are in square brackets.
226 Moreover, YH and VH, which are usually considered the seals of the sixth and seventh days (Friday and
227 Saturday), although not necessarily in that order, are here mapped to the first and sixth days (Sunday
228 and Friday), respectively. See Idel, 1988, Studies in Ecstatic Kabbalah, 51-52.
228 The “kill and revive” formula also appears (with an echo in paraphrase) in a magical handbook from the Cairo Genizah, Jewish Theological Society of America ENA 2124.28, page 1b lines 2-5: “God […] brings out his people from fire, He redeems and rescues, kills and revives, lowers and raises… pours the dew, revives the dead;” Ortal-Paz Saar, 2007, “Success, Protection and Grace: Three Fragments of a Personalized Magical Handbook,” Ginzei Qedem 3, 101-135, at 107 & 110. Although not mentioned by Saar, the first few of the pairings cited here seem to derive from 1 Sam 2:6-8, the last pairing from Isa 26:19.
229 Geert Mommersteeg, 1988, “‘He has Smitten her to the Heart with Love.’ The Fabrication of an Islamic Love-Amulet in West Africa,” Anthropos 83, 501-510, at 505. Also Doutté, 1908, Magie et Religion, p.155-155 (where the Seals are printed in the wrong order; see Graham, 2011, “In Islamic Talismans,
Repeat-Letter Ciphers…,” Fig. 1f). Doutté gives an extract from the oral Invocation of the Sun, which relates to Sura 91 of the Qurʾān, on p.133-135.

206 E.g., Harrison & Shadrach, 2005, Magic That Works, p.257


208 Exaltation” in its true sense is a technical term from astrology; its modern/lay translation is usually “honor” or “dignity.”

209 In relation to Iran, see online at http://www.realitysandwich.com/fatimiya_sufi_ayahuasca.


211 “Exaltation” in its true sense is a technical term from astrology; its modern/lay translation is usually “honor” or “dignity.”


219 Schrire, 1982, Hebrew Magic Amulets, p.122

220 Schrire, 1982, Hebrew Magic Amulets, p.122

221 This etymology was preserved in various Jewish and non-Jewish sources until the Middle Ages; see Scholem, 2008, “Samael.”


223 This etymology was preserved in various Jewish and non-Jewish sources until the Middle Ages; see Scholem, 2008, “Samael.”

224 E.g., al-Bûnî (attrib.), Ḫusûl (see fn 59 for details); p.174, 177, 179, 181, 254, 256, 259 & 264 show the Names or their acronym, LMQFNJL.

225 E.g., al-Bûnî (attrib.), Ḫusûl (see fn 59 for details), p.179; Asmâʾ al-Tahāfîl, King Saud Univ. ms (indexed as 858, ٨٥٨, ٤۷۱); Graham, 2011, “Qurʾānic Spell-ing,” Fig. 8; and similar in Stevenson, 1920, “Some Specimens of Moslem Charms,” 102-103.


227 This etymology was preserved in various Jewish and non-Jewish sources until the Middle Ages; see Scholem, 2008, “Samael.”

228 al-Bûnî (attrib.), Ḫusûl (see fn 59 for details), p.179; Asmâʾ al-Tahāfîl, King Saud Univ. ms (indexed as 858, ٨٥٨, ٤۷۱); Graham, 2011, “Qurʾānic Spell-ing,” Fig. 8; and similar in Stevenson, 1920, “Some Specimens of Moslem Charms,” 102-103.


230 Chandogya Upanishad 6.8.7. This work is a “primary Upanishad” dating to the mid-first millennium BCE, and the declaration (which is repeated in the source text) is one of the “Grand Pronouncements”
of Vedantic Sanatana Dharma. It means that the Self – in its original, pure, primordial state – is identifiable with the Ultimate Reality that is the ground of all being and origin of all phenomena.

As in Brihadaranyaka Upanishad 1.3.28.


al-Būnī (attrib.), Uṣāl (see fn 59 for details), p.177; see also fn 171.

E.g., Mahābhārata, Harivansma Parva 1.11.2 (online at http://mahabharata-resources.org/harivamsa/hv_1_11.html); also Sarvajna’s saying in Hari Jana Kanda (online at http://nitaaveda.com/All_Scriptures_By_Acharyas/Bhaktisiddhanta_Sarasvati_Thakury/Brahmana_Vaishnava/The Devotees of Hari/Hari_Jana_Kanda.htm). Both online sources accessed 4 Jan, 2013.

Available in translation as pseudo-Asaph Ben Berechiah, 2009, Grand Key of Solomon the King, Ishtar, Vancouver.


Winkler, 2006, Siegel und Charaktere, p.207.

Kitab ʿAndahriāsh al-Bāḥī, King Faisal Center for Research and Islamic Studies, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; Serial Number 12764, Conservation number 2630-FB. Although this compendium seems chronologically to be much too late, one cannot help wondering if the eponymous Babylonian is not the elusive “Handrius” whose unnamed student is, in some circles, reputed to have been the originator of the Taḥāfīl Names (Harrison & Shadrach, 2005, Magic That Works, p.47). Another possibility is offered by the 10th century Catalanian corpus of astrological treatises called the Alchandreana, whose contents are based mainly on Arabic sources (David Juste, 2007, Les Alchandreana Primitifs – Étude sur les plus Anciens Traité Astrologiques Latins d’Origine Arabe (Xe Siècle), [Brill’s Studies in Intellectual History 152 / Brill’s Texts and Sources in Intellectual History 2], Brill, Leiden). Since medieval authors such as William of Malmesbury refer to “Alhandreus” as the supposed author of the corpus [E. R. Truitt (2015) Medieval Robots: Mechanism, Magic, Nature, and Art, Univ. Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, p.77], it is easy to see how this might have been deconstructed to yield the mythic personage of al-Han-dreus or Handrius.

Shōshān Yesād ha-ʿOlam (see fn 28, source 2, for details), p.230.


253 Sopdet, a similarly-named goddess who – like Satet – is associated with Elephantine and the annual inundation, is the deification of the star Sirius. The heliacal rising of Sirius is followed by the flooding of the Nile, which presumably explains why elements of the temple of Satet at Elephantine were aligned with the position of this star. Despite their similarities, Sopdet and Satet (Sothis and Satis in Greek) are typically considered as separate goddesses [Richard H. Wilkinson, 2003, The Complete Gods and Goddesses of Ancient Egypt, Thames & Hudson, London., p.166-168; Hart, 2005, The Routledge Dictionary of Egyptian Gods and Goddesses, p.151-152]. Perhaps this is because the hieroglyphic forms of their names are quite distinct. Many orthographies of Satet (ṣtt) draw upon the verbal root ṣtt(i), meaning to pour out, shoot, throw, etc., no doubt a reference to the mythical origin of the Nile’s waters at Elephantine [Raymond O. Faulkner, 1988, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, Griffith Institute, Oxford, p.224, 252-253 & 256]. However, from the Late Period, if not earlier, there does seem to be an identification of Satet with Sopdet. [R. A. Wells, 1985, “Sothis and the Satet Temple on Elephantine: A Direct Connection,” Studien zur Ältesten Kultur 12, 255-302, at 258-259].

254 Affinities between Hinduism and Judaism have been explored in depth by Barbara A. Holdrege, 1996, Veda and Torah: Transcending the Textuality of Scripture, State University of New York Press, Albany, New York.


256 One need not be overly preoccupied with exact letter cognates, such as the ṣ-sounds. Variations in Hebrew consonantal spelling have already been noted; in addition, near-homophonic letter substitutions are common in Semitic magic (Graham, 2011, “Our ānic Spell-ing”) and even greater latitude would be required for loan-words from a non-Semitic language such as Sanskrit.

257 Circumstantial evidence for such equivalence is found in Table 6 in respect of the various Shīʿār Qōmāh Names for God’s fourth/fifth finger; the first syllables of Shamrīʾ ēl are in one source found in the Name Shamrī (cf. Hebrew shemrāḥ, guard), while in two others the match is to the Name Shemesh (Hebrew shemesh, sun). Likewise, in Shōshān Yesōd ha-Ôlām we find that Shamrīʾ ēl (the seventh Seal) has been assigned to the sun (Table 4). There is also an amuletic precedent from 1468 CE (ms. New York, New York Public Library, Dorot Jewish Division, Heb. 190) in which an invocation for the cure of all diseases consists of angelic Names with Shamshīʾ ēl in the seventh and final position; see Pinchas Roth & Eytan Zadoff, 2012, “‘Sمامی and Her Children’: An Unpublished Silver Aramaic Amulet,” online at http://www.academia.edu/1739892/smamit, accessed 16 Feb, 2013, and cited by Steven Fine, 2011, “Jewish Identity at the Limus: The Earliest Reception of the Dura Europos Synagogue Paintings,” In: Cultural Identity in the Ancient Mediterranean, ed. Erich S. Gruen, Getty Research Institute, Los Angeles, p.289-306, at p.305-306 (fn 65).

258 Davidson, 1967, A Dictionary of Angels, p.271. Some Merkabah/Hekhalot passages describe the sun as an angel of God with “ three letters of the Divine Name written on its core” (bar-Ilan, 1988, “Jewish Magical Body-Inscription”). Similar to this is the Jaljalātiʿāh-related belief that the Greatest Name of Allāh is inscribed on the heart of the sun, without which it cannot shine, and on the bodies of the angels, without which they have no power (Doutté, 1908, Magie et Religion, p.139; Imām al-Ghazālī,1987, Cēcēlīḥṭīye Dūṣi, p.8-9).


Omniscience is the property of all-knowing gods who are all-seeing because they are luminous celestial bodies [Raffaele Pettazzoni & H. J. Rose, 1956, *The All-Knowing God: Researches into Early Religion and Culture*, Methuen & Co., London, p.9]. The searching of hearts and knowing of all thoughts (Table 1, Jewish description of and expansion for the seventh Seal) are capabilities associated with the solar deity as early as the New Kingdom of ancient Egypt; for example, the Eulogy of Neferhotep (TT49) imputes to the king – the earthly image of the sun-god – just such powers, accompanied by solar imagery [Norman de Garis Davies, 1933, *The Tomb of Nefer-hotep at Thebes*, Arno Press, New York, plate I.XI–XII]. On the theme of divine knowledge and searching of hearts, Aurelian Botica writes that “the object of the verbs ‘testing/examining’ is the inward thoughts and intentions […] and scholars have pointed out parallels between this motif in the Bible and in the ancient Near Eastern texts; in particular, texts depicting the solar deities and the ‘weighing of the heart’ in Egyptian religion” [Aurelian Botica, 2014, “‘The All-Knowing God.’ Old Testament and Hellenistic Metaphors in the Genre of New Testament Apocalyptic,” *Caesura* 1.2, 3-19, at 7]. Like the Greek Helios, the Roman sun-god (Sol) was seen as “the all-knowing and the revealer of hidden and secret things,” cf. in Table 1, Shamrīʾīl/Samrīʾīl is characterised as “revealing all the deep and secret things” [Gaston H. Halsberghe, 1972, *The Cult of Sol Invictus*, Brill, Leiden, p.35].


Doutté, 1908, *Magie et Religion*, p.157. Also, in an article on Jewish magic which mentions that the Seal series was often known as the “Seal of Solomon,” Gideon Bohak comments that symbols identified by this name were likely to be seen as the secret seals necessary for commanding specific demons (Bohak, 2011, “The Charakters in Ancient and Medieval Jewish Magic,” 30-31).


A facsimile of a printed amulet of this type is given by Pielow, 1995, *Die Quellen der Weisheit*, Bild 1 (unnumbered page immediately following p.207).


E.g., Aḥmad al-Būnī (attrib.), *Shams al-Maʿārif al-Kubrā*, “al-Ḥusaynī” lithograph/printed edition (Muḥammad ʿAlī ʿṢābiyy wa-ʿAwlāḏūh, Cairo, 1345-7/1927-8), Book 1, p.86; Graham, 2012, “The Seven Seals of Judeo-Islamic Magic,” Fig. 5.
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