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ABSTRACT

With the growing power of online consumers and the increased penetration of the internet around the world, online brand communities have formed an important communication platform between companies and consumers. These social media have offered consumers the opportunity to share opinions, recommendations and experiences through comments, images or videos with other users, which can be read and commented among them. In this way, this research intends to analyze if the perceived benefits are related to the obtaining of commitment or engagement on the part of the users in the social networks of the hotels. For this, a quantitative analysis has been carried out by means of a questionnaire addressed to the followers of the social networks of hotels or hotel chains. Thus, 4 hypotheses have been proposed to analyze whether the 4 identified benefits (functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits) are directly related to the 4 variables identified in the engagement (user participation, trust, affective commitment and loyalty), being able to contrast so if the benefits perceived by users in these social networks caused an increase in the 4 variables of engagement. The results specify a positive link of the socio-psychological and hedonic benefits perceived by the user with a greater engagement, however, there is more discrepancy in the functional
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benefits and, above all, to highlight the scarce transcendence of the monetary benefit to achieve a greater commitment with the users.
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**RESUMEN**

Con el creciente poder de los consumidores online y la mayor penetración de internet en todo el mundo, las comunidades online de marcas han formado una importante plataforma de comunicación entre las empresas y los consumidores. Estos medios sociales han ofrecido al consumidor la oportunidad de compartir opiniones, recomendaciones y experiencias a través de comentarios, imágenes o videos con otros usuarios, que pueden ser leídos y comentados entre ellos. De esta manera, en esta investigación se pretende analizar si los beneficios percibidos están relacionados con la obtención de compromiso o engagement por parte de los usuarios en las redes sociales de los hoteles. Para ello se ha realizado un análisis cuantitativo mediante un cuestionario dirigido a los seguidores de las redes sociales de hoteles o cadena de hoteles. Así, se han propuesto 4 hipótesis para analizar si los 4 beneficios identificados (beneficio funcional, socio-psicológico, hedónico y monetario) están directamente relacionados con las 4 variables identificadas del engagement (participación del usuario, confianza, compromiso afectivo y lealtad) pudiendo contrastar así si los beneficios percibidos por los usuarios en dichas redes sociales provocado un aumento en las 4 variables del engagement. Los resultados especifican una vinculación positiva de los beneficios sociopsicológicos y hedónicos percibidos por el usuario con un mayor engagement, sin embargo, hay más discrepancia en los beneficios funcionales y, sobre todo, destacar la escasa trascendencia del beneficio monetario para conseguir un mayor compromiso con los usuarios.

a obtenção de compromisso ou engagement por parte dos usuários nas redes sociais dos hotéis. Para isso foi realizado uma análise quantitativa mediante um questionário dirigido aos seguidores das redes sociais de hotéis ou cadeia de hotéis. Assim, propuseram 4 hipóteses para analisar se os 4 benefícios identificados (benefício funcional, sócio psicológico, hedônico e monetário) estão diretamente relacionados com as 4 variáveis identificadas do engagement (participação do usuário, confiança, compromisso afetivo e lealdade) podendo contrastar assim se os benefícios percebidos pelos usuários em tais redes sociais provocaram um aumento nas 4 variáveis do engagement. Os resultados especificam uma vinculação positiva dos benefícios sócio psicológicos e hedônicos percebidos pelo usuário com um maior engagement, embora haver mais discrepância nos benefícios funcionais e, sobretudo, destacar a escassa transcendência do benefício monetário para conseguir um maior compromisso com os usuários.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Social media has offered consumers the opportunity to share opinions, recommendations and experiences through comments, images or videos with other users, which can be read and commented among them. This has led to many tourism companies have launched out to try it and have the opportunity to both analyze the information and communicate with the consumer, giving way to sales promotion with the aim of creating a message focused on the customer (Martinez et al., 2013). Thus, social media is changing the way that tourists consume and contribute to the creation of information, assuming a radical alteration in the way information is disseminated (Potgieter and Naidoo, 2017, Rosenthal and Brito, 2017). In addition, experiences related to tourism cannot be valued until they are consumed, since they are intangible, hence social media, with the personal recommendations of users and nearby people, are very influential when taking a decision on tourism choice (Buhalis, 1998; Litvin, et al., 2008).

The characteristics of the tourism sector, in general, and the hotel sector, in particular, make greater its impact on social media. The tourism sector, like any service sector, sells intangible products that are characterized by being inseparable, perishable and heterogeneous (Sirakaya and Woodside, 2005), hence the
communication process is a vital element in the offer (Sigala, 2007). For this reason, the use of social media has allowed new communication possibilities and has provided a substantial impact on tourism demand and supply (Martínez et al., 2013).

Sahín and Sengün (2015) specify that comments on social media are important due to the large proportion of users who use the internet to decide their stay. In particular, users who want information about tourist destinations and hotel establishments are influenced to a large extent by comments on social networks, therefore, brand recognition and trust in the establishment depends on these comments. This results in the influence of social media in the hotel sector being high, allowing tourist establishments to get in touch with users and that their actions reach a large number of people in a short period of time and in an efficient manner (Bambauer-Sachse and Mangold, 2011).

This is especially relevant for hotel companies since they depend to a great extent on the client's experience, so they should be more concerned with improving engagement or commitment (Dowling, 2001). In this context, consumer engagement has emerged as a dynamic and interactive concept through which to understand the construction of strong, lasting and loyal relationships with customers. The concept of consumer engagement exceeds the individualistic perspective of traditional marketing to provide sellers with a global process that encompasses all activities, based on the brand and its motivation on customers, giving rise to emotional and close ties between the client and the company (Naumann and Lay-Hwa Bowden, 2015).

According to Cruz and Mendelsohn (2010), members of online brand communities are more committed and more likely to buy and recommend the brand to others, compared to non-members. In the same sense, Becerra and Badrinarayanan (2013) determine that members of the online community of a brand contribute to a greater extent to the dissemination of information about that brand. Therefore, it is considered of great interest to understand how users intervene in these online communities of brands and know what the benefits they perceive. In this sense, it is essential to analyze the engagement or commitment of the consumer. Knowing the consumer's engagement towards a tourist destination adds value to it, since it is based on the increase of interactivity and improvement of the relations with the consumer (Vivek et al., 2014).

In this way, the objective of this study is to analyze whether the perceived benefits are related to obtaining commitment or engagement from users in the social networks of hotels. For this, a quantitative analysis has been carried out by means of a questionnaire addressed to the followers of the social networks of hotels or hotel chains. Thus, 4 hypotheses have been proposed to analyze whether the 4 identified benefits (functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits) are directly related to the 4 variables identified in the engagement (user participation, trust, affective commitment and loyalty), being able to thus contrast if the benefits perceived by users in these social networks caused an increase in the 4 variables of engagement.
2. RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

In this section, the hypothesis of the investigation, which suppose observable facts that must be empirically tested are presented (Third, 1999).

H1: a greater functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefit is associated with greater participation in the hotel's online community.

H2: a greater functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefit is associated with greater confidence towards the hotel.

H3: a greater functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefit is associated with a greater affective commitment towards the hotel.

H4: a greater functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefit is associated with greater loyalty towards the hotel.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Next, the most relevant studies about the main concepts that have been taken into account in this research are specified: the benefits perceived by the users and the identified engagement variables.

3.1. Functional, psychological, social, hedonic and economic benefits of online communities

Several social theories have explained why people use social networks (in this case, social networks of hotel chains): to obtain information; go shopping; to communicate and interact with others; to have fun and enjoy the experience; to enter into new relationships; and to express their opinions and their identity. From the studies conducted on Kang's research (2011), Leung et al. (2015), Vivek et al. (2012) and Naumann and Bowden (2015), and observed the opinions of experts in social networks, the main variables under study have been identified and proposed several models to contrast the basic hypotheses that are related to the benefit perceived by the user and consumer commitment.

In various investigations, interest has been shown in knowing the factors that motivate the participation and commitment of users of social networks. Wang and Fesenmaier (2004) proposed a model based on the needs or benefits that users seek to satisfy in virtual communities. According to these authors, participation and commitment are driven by four types of needs or benefits: functional, social, psychological and hedonic. They argue that for virtual communities to be viable they must cater to a variety of needs or benefits of members. In the study by Dholakia et al. (2004) they identified more specific factors that motivate individuals to participate in virtual communities based on intentional action. Madupu (2006) stated that the motives of Dholakia et al. (2004) can be reconciled and related to the benefit perceived by the users.
categories proposed by Wang and Fesenmaier (2004), thus proposing a set of antecedents for participation and commitment in virtual communities (Table 1).

**Table 1. Benefits of participation and commitment in the virtual communities of a brand.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs or benefits</th>
<th>Motivational factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>Information / Utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convenience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedonic</td>
<td>Entertainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pleasure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In addition to these four variables, the monetary benefits that have been valued by other studies such as the Gwinner et al. (1998) and Lee et al. (2008) will be taken into account. This dimension is related to discounts or company events offered to the community “obtain discounts or special deals that most consumers do not have”, “obtain better prices than most consumers” and “receive free coupons for a stay in the hotel or food to become a member of the Facebook page”.

The functional benefit is understood as the ease in obtaining information relevant to the consumer’s objectives without having temporal or geographical limitations (De Vries and Carlson, 2014), as well as the facility to carry out the pertinent transactions. One of the most visible benefits of social networks is that information is publicly available and easily accessible (Hays and Buhalís, 2013). Some studies (Hiltz and Wellman, 1997) affirm that knowledge and information are a valuable asset for communities. If members can get functional benefits, such as acquiring information quickly, they are more likely to visit the online community (Al-Msallan and Alhaddad, 2016). In any case, the relationship between the functional benefits and the commitment in the community will vary depending on what the users seek to obtain from the community (for example, if they prefer entertainment or acquire information). In the study of Al-Msallan and Alhaddad (2016), the members of the Facebook page of the hotels sought communication with the rest of the members in an efficient way, as well as sharing information about their experiences with hotel brands. To fulfill these wishes, members visited social networks on a frequent basis. According to this study, the functional benefits have a direct influence with the increase of the participation of the members in the Facebook pages.
The perceived social benefits are related to emotional support, friendship or communication with other members (Chen, 2013). Some studies have revealed that virtual communities are places where people seek emotional support and a sense of permanence (Furlong, 1989; Hiltz and Wellman, 1997). Four factors of social benefit: belonging, influence and relationship, integration and satisfaction of a need, together with the connection with shared emotions, were used in the study by Kim et al. (2004), verifying that the first three had a direct effect on the purchase intention. This type of benefits derives from the feelings of connection with the members of the community and include the feeling of affiliation with other individuals (Bressler and Grantham, 2000). As a member you get information about the community and you begin to perceive a feeling of belonging to that group, which results in a symbol of identification (Wang and Fesenmaier, 2004).

The significant relationship between psychological benefits and participation in communities is shown in the studies by Ahuja and Galvin (2003), Langerak et al. (2003) and Al-Msallan and Alhaddad (2016). Thus, it is indicated that psychological benefits significantly influence the attitudes of members towards the online community, they also found a positive relationship between the sense of belonging to a community and loyalty to it. These studies have also proven the positive relationship between psychological benefits and commitment in an online community. Consumers may increase their level of participation to express their preferences, which unites them emotionally to other members of the community.

In the studies of Kang (2011) and Song and Yoo (2016) they establish that the social and psychological benefits have a high correlation between them, therefore, in both studies, both variables were combined in a renamed as “socio-psychological benefit”. Because a high correlation between these two variables has been shown, in this study they have also been combined, resulting in the variable “socio-psychological benefit” which has been studied.

It includes positive emotional states such as fun and enjoyment of the experience when participating in community activities (Wang and Fesenmaier, 2004). In online communities, members can engage in activities that cause them positive emotions such as happiness, excitement or enthusiasm (Dholakia (2004).) Some online communities allow participating in games, contests or conducting surveys, which involve fun and entertainment (Wang and Fesenmaier, 2004).

Consumers expect to obtain an economic advantage from a relationship, understood as a monetary saving (Gwinner et al., 1998). For example, obtaining discounts is one of the reasons why individuals relate to a brand (Harris et al., 2003; Gwinner et al. (1998) showed the importance of monetary benefits when trying to develop a relationship with a services enterprise. As the Al-Msallan and Alhaddad (2016) qualify, the monetary benefits need to be further investigated to determine if they can stimulate potential consumers to join the Facebook pages of the hotels. In short, in their research they show that they do not influence the level of commitment of online communities.
3.2. variables of the engagement: consumer participation, trust towards the brand, loyalty towards the brand, and affective commitment

The engagement or commitment of the consumer is considered one of the main attractions of the use of social media marketing, therefore, it is considered of interest to relate these variables of the types of benefits with those that are most identified and influence consumer commitment. After a review of the literature, the following variables that affect consumer commitment to the brand have been taken into account: consumer participation in social networks, trust towards the brand, emotional commitment and brand loyalty.

Passive members rarely get involved in community activities. The number of these users generates traffic and increases the visits of the website but does not guarantee the success of the community since they do not contribute to community activities. On the contrary, these types of members tend to pursue their own goals (Al-Msallan and Alhaddad, 2016). Wang and Fesenmaier (2004) showed empirically that hedonic and social needs are positively related to participation while functional benefits have a negative effect. According to these authors, psychological needs have no relation to participation. In the study conducted by Al-Msallan and Alhaddad (2016) a positive relationship was shown between the functional, social, psychological and hedonic benefits with participation in the community. On the contrary, the economic benefits do not have a significant relationship with participation.

Brodie et al. (2011), on the other hand, defines trust based on online communities, understanding as trust that of the members of a community, making the group efficient and resolving the problems that may arise to any of them. One of the objectives of marketing is to gain consumer confidence in the brand, since trust is important during the purchase process (Powers et al., 2012). This importance has an impact on the attitudes and behaviors that consumers adopt towards a brand (Gefen et al., 2003). Online communities are becoming sources of information since travelers have more confidence in the opinions of the rest of the members than in marketing organizations (Gretzel et al., 2008). Therefore, a priori, social and functional benefits will influence the confidence that a consumer has towards a certain brand.

McWilliam (2000) showed the impact of online communities in building lasting relationships between businesses and consumers. These strong relationships reflect the psychological union with the community and the mutual belief in the other (Morgan and Hunt, 1994). Confidence can be improved when members increase their dependence on the internet to obtain information on the products that will help them make purchasing decisions (Shankar et al., 2003). In this way, companies can use online communities, and the benefits inherent therein, to build trust and consequently commitment to their brands (Ulusu, 2010). In addition, if people value the pleasurable and functional benefits of your brand, your confidence in it will increase. (Carroll and Ahuvia, 2006; Zhou et al. (2012).

Affective commitment is defined as “the psychological consequence of an exchange or transaction, based on feelings such as identification, loyalty and
affiliation” (Verhoef et al., 2002). This psychological desire attached to the product or brand (Bansal et al., 2004) is a link that motivates the consumer to remain in a relationship with an organization. Johnson and Chang (2006) pointed out that the affective commitment determines the desire of a consumer to continue in a relationship in the future.

Affective commitment has been considered as a potential antecedent of consumer commitment by different researchers (for example, Sashi, 2012, Bowden, 2009). When a consumer feels actively committed to a product or service, it develops behavioral and emotional relationships with the brand (Guevremont and Grohmann, 2013). In addition, the affective commitment has been included in several definitions of consumer commitment. Mollen and Wilson (2010) emphasize that consumer knowledge and affective commitment are useful to maintain an active relationship with the brand personified by the web or by other measures designed to communicate the value of the brand.

The perceived benefits in social networks influence brand loyalty (Algesheimer et al., 2005). This has been defined by Peterson and Carrabis (2008) as the level of long-term interaction that the consumer has with a brand, website or product. These authors include loyalty in an index created to measure the value of consumer commitment. In fact, consumer engagement is seen as a process and not as a state because it is projected onto a variety of consumer behaviors and attitudes that result in positive consequences on the brand, such as loyalty (Verhoef et al., 2010; Bowden, 2009; Hollebeek, 2011). In other words, there are studies that measure consumer commitment and use other apparently similar concepts, such as loyalty (Kidd, 2011, Kandampully et al., 2015 and Roberts and Alpert, 2010). The truth is that consumer commitment includes several types of behaviors that result in brand loyalty (Libai, 2011).

4. METHODOLOGY

In this chapter, the research methodology used to analyze the relationship between the variables presented above has been established. The selection of the Facebook and Twitter pages of the hotels and the approach and completion of the questionnaire have been dealt with in these two points.

4.1. Selection of online communities on Facebook and Twitter

The present study has been carried out through the Facebook and Twitter pages of the hotels or hotel chains from three to five stars. These two social networks have been chosen because they are the most used by companies in the hotel sector (Hmobile, 2015, Beezhotels, 2016). In addition, many Facebook and Twitter pages of hotels have been incorporating several attractive aspects for users who follow them (such as promotions) in order to encourage the participation of members and influence other variables directly related to the commitment of the consumer towards the brand. For the study, the same treatment has been considered if the user answers about the hotel's Facebook or Twitter, as it was previously specified in the
study by Leung et al. (2013) the results obtained between Facebook and Twitter in their use as marketing and communication channels are very similar, what is really important is the use of these social networks, seeking to generate greater benefits through a better experience for users.

4.2. Proposal and completion of the questionnaire

For the construction of the questionnaire, an extensive literature search was carried out on the items that are most suitable for the study, which have been approved by other authors. Afterwards, to validate the questionnaire, a pilot test was carried out to arrive at the final set of questions and determine which are the best items for each construct.

The questionnaire was divided into three sections: (1) Information about the user’s relationship with the social networks of the hotels and the chosen hotel itself and demographic information (2) Functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits; and (3) Participation in the community, trust in the brand, emotional commitment and brand loyalty. Before beginning the first part of the survey, a brief introduction of the study was made, presenting the researcher, briefly determining the topic to be addressed, highlighting the importance of the opinion of the respondents and emphasizing that the data would be treated only anonymously and for research purposes.

Then, Table 2 shows the variables to be analyzed and the items to be measured.

**Table 2. Variables and items of quantitative research.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Measuring items</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Functional benefits    | • Get updated information about the hotel  
                          • Get attractive content  
                          • To interact directly with the hotel  
                          • See images or videos of the hotel | De Vries and Carlson (2014); Kang (2011); Wang and Fesenmaier (2004)   |
| Socio-psychological    | • Be able to share your own experiences or opinions with other members of the community  
                          • Know the experiences or opinions of other members of the community  
                          • Establish and maintain relationships with other members of the community  
                          • Create a sense of affiliation or belonging to the community  
                          • Trust more in the hotel | Kang (2011); Wang and Fesenmaier (2004) |
| benefits               |                                                                             |                                                                           |
| Hedonic benefits       | • Entertain on the hotel’s Facebook / Twitter page  
                          • Enjoy on the hotel’s Facebook / Twitter page  
                          • Have fun with other members of the community | Kang (2011); Wang and Fesenmaier (2004)    |
| Monetary benefits      | • Get discounts or special offers  
                          • Get better prices  
                          • Receive coupons  
                          • Participate in contests | Gummerus et al. (2012); Gwinner et al. (1998); Lee et al. (2008) |
Participation in the community

- I am interested in the content of the hotel's Facebook / Twitter page
- I usually "like" the content of the hotel's Facebook / Twitter page
- I usually share the content of the hotel's Facebook / Twitter page
- I usually write messages and answers on the hotel's Facebook / Twitter page
- I have interactions with other members of the community
- Providing useful information to the rest of the community members
- I usually participate in the activities offered on the hotel's Facebook / Twitter page

Trust in the brand

- The hotel is sincere in the information it offers
- I know what I can expect from the hotel
- I trust the hotel brand

Affective commitment

- I have emotional attachment to the hotel
- I have a strong commitment in my relationship with the hotel
- I identify with the hotel
- The hotel understands my needs

Loyalty towards the brand

- I consider myself loyal to the hotel
- I would recommend the hotel to other people
- The hotel would be my first purchase option in that area
- I speak positively about the hotel to other people
- I am willing to pay more for this hotel than for others for the benefits I receive

Source: Self made.

4.3. Sample design

Participants were selected by non-probabilistic method of voluntary subjects. The population for the present study is formed by the fans or followers of the Facebook or Twitter pages of hotels. For the development of this research, three or five star hotels that had a presence on Facebook or Twitter with at least 300 followers were requested by e-mail and telephone collaboration, so that they could add the link to the online survey on their social network page. Once counted with the approval of those hotels that agreed to collaborate, they added the link to the online survey on their Facebook or Twitter to make it available to users.

The online survey, being available to the social networks of the hotels and being directed to followers, ensures that this is answered by those users who have been present on said hotel page and have checked its content. Therefore, it has been distributed to users both men and women, at least 18 years of age.

Therefore, the conditions to participate in the study are: have a Facebook or Twitter account, and in turn, follow by Facebook or Twitter a company in the hotel sector.
sector. As the survey has been published by the hotels themselves, it facilitates that these conditions are met in all those who participate.

In Table 3, the technical specifications of this quantitative study are specified.

**Table 3. Technical sheet of the quantitative study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universe</th>
<th>The population for the present study is formed by the fans or followers of the Facebook or Twitter pages of hotels =&gt; 3,000,000 (annex IV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>164 valid surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence level</td>
<td>α = 95.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling error</td>
<td>7.8% (using the maximum indeterminacy assumption p = q = 50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of sampling</td>
<td>By non-probabilistic method of voluntary subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field work</td>
<td>Held in October-November 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Self made.

5. RESULTS

A total of 164 responses to the questionnaire were obtained, all of them followers of a Facebook or Twitter page of a hotel or hotel chain. For the hypothesis test, the multiple linear regression technique was used. As explained by Moore (2009), regression is a mathematical model that allows predicting the value of a dependent variable (Y) as a function of the values of the independent variable (X). In other words, the values of Y are a function of the values of X.

Next, the results for each of the relationships by the interpretation of the regression coefficients are specified.

5.1. Relationship between benefits and participation

Y = participation  
X1 = Functional benefits, X2 = Socio-psychological benefits, X3 = Hedonic benefits, X4 = Monetary benefits

The data in Table 4 confirm what was detected in the correlation analysis, the variable “monetary benefits” is not associated with participation (p = 0.242). It is also discovered that the variable “functional benefits”, which had previously revealed a low but significant correlation with participation, now shows us absence of relationship with this dependent variable (p = 0.436). Therefore, only the variables “socio-psychological benefits” and “hedonic benefits” have a significant contribution to the explanation of participation, the latter being the one with the greatest weight in the explanation of the variability of the dependent variable “participation” (Beta B.
Hedonic = 0.516). Specifically, in this model, an increase in a point in the scale of hedonic benefits produces an increase of 1.3341 points in the variable “participation”, when all other types of benefits are equal to 0, that is, they are controlled. On the other hand, an increase of one point in the socio-psychological benefits will produce an increase of 0.510 points in the variable “participation”, keeping the other factors controlled.

Table 4. Coefficients\(^a\) (participation and functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Non-standardized coefficients</th>
<th>Typified coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>S.I.G.</th>
<th>Collinearity statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Typical error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>-4,855</td>
<td>5,709</td>
<td>-850</td>
<td>.397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Benefits</td>
<td>.204</td>
<td>.262</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.781</td>
<td>.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-psychological benefits</td>
<td>.510</td>
<td>.154</td>
<td>.262</td>
<td>3.317</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedonic Benefits</td>
<td>1,344</td>
<td>.185</td>
<td>.516</td>
<td>7.283</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monetary Benefits</td>
<td>-.185</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>-.082</td>
<td>-1.176</td>
<td>.242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Dependent variable: Participation

Source: Self made.

5.2. Relationship between benefits and trust towards the hotel

Y = Trust
X1 = Functional benefits, X2 = Socio-psychological benefits, X3 = Hedonic benefits, X4 = Monetary benefits

Table 5 verifies that the variables “functional benefits”, “socio-psychological” and “monetary” have a significant contribution in explaining the variability of trust. The monetary benefits are those that have a greater influence on confidence (beta = 0.375), while the socio-psychological benefits have a smaller contribution (beta = 0.170), but significant. The hedonic benefits, however, do not reach the level of significance (p = 0.078), so their impact is not relevant in explaining the variability of confidence.

Specifically, in this model, an increase in one point in the scale of monetary benefits produces an increase of 0.260 points in the variable “confidence”, when all other types of benefits are equal to 0, that is, they are controlled. On the other hand, an increase of one point in the functional benefits will produce an increase of 0.220 points in the variable “confidence”, while an increase of one point in the socio-psychological benefits only produces an increase of 0.100 points in the confidence, maintaining in both cases the other controlled factors.
Table 5. Coefficientsa (trust and functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Non-standardized coefficients</th>
<th>Typified coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>95.0% confidence interval for B</th>
<th>Collinearity statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Typical error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>1,397</td>
<td>1,786</td>
<td>.782</td>
<td>.435</td>
<td>-2,133 - 4,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-psychological</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>.047</td>
<td>.170</td>
<td>2,148</td>
<td>.008 - .192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedonic Benefits</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.129</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>-.012 - .218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monetary Benefits</td>
<td>.260</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>5,221</td>
<td>.162 - .359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Benefits</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.201</td>
<td>2,723</td>
<td>.060 - .380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent variable: Trust

5.3. Relationship between the benefits and the affective commitment

Y = Affective commitment  
X1 = Functional benefits, X2 = Socio-psychological benefits, X3 = Hedonic benefits, X4 = Monetary benefits

In Table 6 it is verified that they only have a significant contribution to the explanation of the variable “affective commitment”, the socio-psychological benefits and the hedonic benefits.

The hedonic benefits are those that have a greater influence on affective commitment (beta = 0.289) and are followed by socio-psychological benefits (beta = 0.255). The monetary benefits (p = 0.202) and the functional benefits (p = 0.223), on the other hand, do not reach the level of significance, so their impact is not relevant in the explanation of the variability of the affective commitment.

Specifically, in this model, an increase in a point in the scale of hedonic benefits produces an increase of 0.371 points in the variable “affective commitment”, when all other types of benefits are equal to 0, that is, they are controlled. On the other hand, an increase of one point in the socio-psychological benefits will produce an increase of 0.243 points in this dependent variable, maintaining in both cases the other controlled factors.
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Table 6. Coefficients\(^a\) (affective commitment and functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Non-standardized coefficients</th>
<th>Typified coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>S.I.G.</th>
<th>Collinearity statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Typical error Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>.240 3,349</td>
<td>,072 .943</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Benefits</td>
<td>.185 .151 .105 1,223 .223 727 1,375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-psychological benefits</td>
<td>.243 .087 .255 2,787 .006 634 1,576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedonic Benefits</td>
<td>.371 .109 .289 3,418 .001 745 1,342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monetary Benefits</td>
<td>-.120 .094 -.106 1,281 .202 770 1,299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent variable: Affective commitment

Source: Self made.

5.4. Relationship between the benefits and loyalty to the hotel

Y = Loyalty
X\(_1\) = Functional benefits, X\(_2\) = Socio-psychological benefits, X\(_3\) = Hedonic benefits, X\(_4\) = Monetary benefits

In Table 7 it can be verified that the variables “functional benefits”, “socio-psychological” and “monetary” have a significant contribution in explaining the variability of loyalty. The monetary benefits are those that have a greater influence on loyalty (beta = 0.375), while the socio-psychological benefits have a smaller contribution (beta = 0.170), but significant. The hedonic benefits, however, do not reach the level of significance (p = 0.078), so their impact is not relevant in explaining the variability of loyalty.

Specifically, in this model, an increase in a point in the scale of monetary benefits produces an increase of 0.260 points in the variable “loyalty”, when all other types of benefits are equal to 0, that is, they are controlled. On the other hand, an increase of one point in the functional benefits will produce an increase of 0.220 points in the variable “loyalty”, while an increase of one point in the socio-psychological benefits only produces an increase of 0.100 points in the loyalty, maintaining in both cases the other controlled factors.

Table 7. Coefficients\(^a\) (loyalty and functional, socio-psychological, hedonic and monetary benefits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Non-standardized coefficients</th>
<th>Typified coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>S.I.G.</th>
<th>95.0% confidence interval for B</th>
<th>Collinearity statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Typical error Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower limit Upper limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>3,042 3,833</td>
<td>,794 .429</td>
<td>-4,535 10,619</td>
<td>Tolerance  IVF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revista de Comunicación de la SEECI. March 15, 2019 / July 15, 2019, nº 48, 125-148
6. CONCLUSIONS

In this section, the results derived from the contrast of the hypotheses raised in the study, already discussed in the previous point, are related in summary form in Table 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hip.</th>
<th>YOU</th>
<th>SAW</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PART BFUN</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSOC</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BHED</td>
<td>1.344</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMON</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>-0.082</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CONF BFUN</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSOC</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BHED</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMON</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COMPROM BFUN</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSOC</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BHED</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMON</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LOYALTY BFUN</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSOC</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BHED</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMON</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Self made.

Of the four benefits analyzed, only the socio-psychological and hedonic is related to the participation of the consumer, therefore, the user's participation in the social
network will increase the more connection he has with other users and the more entertainment he perceives in said social network. Regarding the relationship between the benefits and the trust towards the hotel, it is verified that both the functional, socio-psychological and monetary benefits positively influence the trust, leaving only the hedonic benefit is excluded. The variable of affective commitment, understood as the emotional bonding to the hotel, only has a positive relationship with the social and hedonic benefits, excluding functional and monetary benefits, which are not related when it comes to achieving a greater emotional bond with the hotel. Finally, a greater perception of functional, social and hedonic benefits will positively influence loyalty to the hotel, leaving excluded only the user's interest in obtaining economic offers through the monetary benefit. Thus, it is worth mentioning the scarce transcendence of the monetary benefit to achieve a greater commitment with the users.

Therefore, the study determines the implication and the utilities that the users obtain in the social networks of the hotels. In addition, it shows the benefits obtained by users in their intervention in social networks, as well as a greater degree of commitment. In this way, the importance of hotels offering attractive content and oriented to users through social networks is highlighted.
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