Besides and together with a study of the social sciences and philosophy, this author’s intuitive confidence in this hermeneutic design insights that underlies the arguments and discourse, is inspired from ‘an intimate and spontaneous idiosyncratic philosophical exercise (praxis) in the quest for the essence of meaning’, a ‘craft’ that has been nurtured continuously for nearly 25 years now (without conscious planning at the beginning nor at any time thereafter) since his discovery of ‘philosophical questioning and discourse’ at high school. An exercise that mirrors the intimate idiosyncratic exercise/praxis allowing an artist like a musician to grasp and develop memes that latter down the years enable the artist to be more or less ‘consummate with respect to the personal orientation they give to their arts’.

Central to all such idiosyncratic processes is a continuous idiosyncratic memetic refinement over time of rough-cuttings, internal coherences, insights, inspirations, intuitive validations, constraining, sense-of-failing, sense-of-succeeding, confidence, mental inflections and mental projections; of course as per ability and ultimate pertinence with respect to intrinsic reality!
Abstract

This paper is rather a profound hermeneutic enunciation putting into question our present understanding of psychopathy. It further articulates, in complement, a novel theoretical and methodological conceptualisation for a hermeneutic psychological science. Methodology-wise, it puts into question a traditional more or less categorical and mechanical approach to the social and behavioural sciences as it strives to introduce a creative and insightful approach for the articulation of ideas. It rather seeks to construe the scientific method as being more about falsifiability and validation but driven by a sense of creative understanding and insight of notions laid out as open-ended conceptualisations. Theory-wise, it sees continuity between anthropology and psychology as anthropopsychology behind an entropic construct of human psychology based on a recurrent re-institutionalisation mechanism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.
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An Intimate Insight on Psychopathy and a Novel Hermeneutic Psychological Science

Introduction

Quite possibly everything about this paper whether the authoring, the approach and the substance sparks of novelty bordering on the outlandish. Further, why not take a traditional categorical approach and clearly present scientific ideas the traditional way? It is a personal insight developed more than 20 years ago, and just when the author began his B.Sc. In Sociology and Anthropology; that a study of the social and behavioural should carry the philosophical and insightful at its very core above anything else given the inherent ephemeral nature of its subject matter. When I came across the term hermeneutics (and others like phenomenology), this author felt as a personal conviction that that was the chart for the future of the social sciences. My vision in this regard is one of a social science that delves directly to the core of things and avoids platitudes. To come back to the point of this abstract, this explains my apparently tattered approach. But tattered really? No, as the central insight of my articulation is that the scientific method is a validation and falsifiability method, and not necessarily the creative method. The creative method as a hermeneutics isn’t supposed to roll down and stifle its very expressiveness, and at the same time it should be articulated in such a way that an exercise of falsifiability, validation and open-ended questioning can be undertaken over it. Such a hermeneutic science calls for a mutual sense of such a hermeneutics by both the author and would-be critique. I hopefully believe the way I have articulated ideas should be able to allow for such an examination. My hermeneutic inspiration in this regard can be analogised with musical creation and music theory. The latter is there to
ensure the appropriate articulation of rules but is not really the drive of musical creation, as musical creation is rather the musician’s hermeneutical insight of how to go about creating music while adhering to music theory, such that any such music is analysable/critiqued by the way it credibly adheres to music theory, and actually in exceptional cases further develop music theory. A second point that makes this method ideal is that the apparent enunciation of this paper (an outright call for a reinvention of the state of the art regarding our understanding of psychopathy and the underlying psychology science); is that it is doubtful such an articulation can be credibly presented in simple categorical terms, without rather utilising an entropic hermeneutic-referential approach based on an open-endedness for falsifiability and validation in future elaboration and development of ideas. Further, I thought it more critical (wary of platitudinising the occasion) that the purity of ideas expressed herein shouldn’t be overly clouded particularly as the treatment of this paper is largely in substance virgin territory, as of the underlying conceptualisation referential drive (beyond just simplistic rhyming/speculative/interpreted categories of philosophical theories and concepts but rather as ‘a driven distinct comprehensively coherent/contiguous operant-level of insights articulation, and carrying implicative and applicative operant-level possibilities going forward’; more like a song is a coherent referential whole beyond just naïve categories of disjointed percussions-and-tunes-more-or-less-similar-to-those-of-the-song construed as constituting the song.) As a matter of fact, I would rather I wrote another paper talking about influences for such an articulation for this paper going by my hermeneutic design insights. Moreover, going by the very nature of how humans develop new ideas; while many, if not most, of my arguments may be more or less ‘plainly intelligible’, I equally thought it important to articulate ideas I hold in deep conviction and further as many such ideas come with their requisite precise convoluted qualifications even if such ideas might not be quite intelligible from a plain and simple reading, with the notion that such a requisite insight will
be forthcoming in future critique as the very nature of the introduction of new ways of thinking often mean their unintelligibility at first (equally explains my repeating of many terms for ‘habituation’), but then it is not the pertinence of reality that compromises it is the impertinence of human certitudes that does!
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decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalisations as recurrent-utter-
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### Long-Form of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blurriness</td>
<td>blurriness speaks to ‘lack of intellectual lucidity/ clarity with respect to supposed knowledge articulation as of existential-reality’ wherein a given human-subpotency registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-so-construed as of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition is rather wrongly construed in ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ as superseding ecstatic-existence/intrinsic-reality/existence-potency at its prospective destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold and so as of a lack of insight about projective-totalitative-implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness, and blurriness is reflected aperceptually with such conundrums as existence-in-existence, disparateness-of-conceptualisation, is–ought problem, and logical issues of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’: blurriness thus fundamentally speaks of a ‘closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ wherein the human Self is wrongly construed as of an ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ reference for the conception of knowledge rather...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
than reflecting ontological-veracity with an ‘open-minded bilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ wherein the human Self itself has to prospectively be developed/constructed-out-of-its-prior-shiftiness-of-the-Self in ‘epistemic conflatedness construed as epistemic-ricochetting/transepiestemic construct’ to then be able to register the implications of prospective knowledge, in the sense that for instance without implying the need for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of prospective positivism construction-of-the-Self/self-consciousness as a non-positivism mindset as animistic or as medieval in its non-positivism ‘closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ will only end up ‘complexifying the mechanical outcome of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its non-positivism as animism or as medievalism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ as implied in an animistic God of plane type of articulation and this applies likewise with our positivism–procripticism with respect to prospective deprocripticism, as this is exactly what explains disparateness-of-conceptualisation of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the fact that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions involve successive renewing of mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition as of relative-ontological-completeness in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening grasp of existence-potency at their destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold; blurriness at the destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold is what brings up the is–ought problem (which had hitherto traditionally been wrongly framed rather in ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, because going by ecstatic-existence/existence-potency as it reflects human historic becoming in existential-contextualising-contiguity, human ‘ontological/knowledge uncertainty’ inherently implies human sovereign choices and options are then necessarily of ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness but prospective relative-ontological-completeness with respect to prospective knowledge implications provides the ‘ontological/knowledge certainty’ to turn such prior ‘ought indeterminacy’ into ‘is determinacy’ whether this ‘is determinacy’ transformation carries with it the given prospective knowledge support/backing of the acceptance, rejection or any other qualified attribution associated with the prior ‘ought indeterminacy’) given that the prior registry-worldview/dimension mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition specific ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ reaches its ‘is determinacy’ limits of analysis from whence its ‘ought indeterminacy’ arises, speaking of an issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness that is only resolvable by the very fact that
prospective relative-ontological-completeness changes the prior ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior normativities/conventions/practices into the prospective registry-worldview/dimension ontologically-veridical ‘is determinacy’ as reflected in renewed normativities/conventions/practices, and in this regard we can appreciate how medieval-scholasticism non-positivism reference-of-thought-level pedantic dogmatism ‘ought indeterminacy’ emphasis gave way to the positivism/rational-empiricism scientific cause-and-effect ‘is determinacy’ emphasis or how ancient sophists non-universalising ‘ought indeterminacy’ gave way to the universalising idealisation ‘is determinacy’ of Socratic philosophers or how notions like cannibalism, various practices of slavery and serfdom, etc. in human history as of ‘ought indeterminacy’ of their practices in relative-ontological-incompleteness gave way to the present ‘is determinacy’ of their rejection as of relative-ontological-completeness on the basis of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; blurriness as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation highlights that the destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold of all registry-worldviews/dimensions are deadend of meaningfulness-and-teleology with the implication that without ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ renewing of ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ there is basically no chance for non-universalising ancient sophists ever getting to universalising idealisation, medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism ever getting to positivism/rational-empiricism, and just as well with our positivism–procrypticism ever getting to prospective deprocrypticism, and in all these instances as of ‘reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations as of construction-of-the-Self’; blurriness is ultimately associated with lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension with regards to human existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought in the perception and relation to the human existential narrative, with contrastive conceptualisation as of ‘an asceticism for opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that is reflexive of overall Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion implications’ (as to the possibility of prospective ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’) and ‘a nihilistic closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that is rather reflexive of constraining second-natured institutionalisation positive-opportunism implications’ (as to a mechanical/mere-form disposition for ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’); and finally blurriness is associated with sophistic induced equivalency of teleologically-elevated knowledge-reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology and teleologically-degraded averaging-of-thought
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction perversed inclination; unblurriness as construed from the ontologically-veridical perspective of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence (in reflection of projective-totalitative-implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness), highlights that there is a 'human capacity of apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising ontological-growth intimately associated with its prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge accumulation/recomposing so-implied in the human institutionalisation process'; as of an underlying human epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic unification-of-explanations (that speaks more of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening in its becoming historicity) wherein unification-of-explanations is more than just a question of arbitrary unification but rather is 'a paradigmatic/structural confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of prospective ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology reflexive of ecstatic-existence/existence-potency', as unification-of-explanations effectively implies that at reference-of-thought-level 'intellectual-entitlement to disparenateness-of-conceptualisation possibilities within 'recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation's edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising' are narrowed-down (epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence prospective aporetic implications) to rulemaking-over-non-rules (excluding all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior non-rules') to then induce prospective 'base-institutionalisation unification-of-explanations', likewise narrowed-down within *base-institutionalisation (epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence prospective aporetic implications) to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (excluding all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior rulemaking-over-non-rules') to then induce prospective 'universalisation unification-of-explanations', likewise narrowed-down within *universalisation (epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence prospective aporetic implications) to positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (excluding all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules') to then induce prospective 'positivism/rational-empiricism unification-of-explanations', and likewise narrowed-down within *positivism/rational-empiricism (epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence prospective aporetic implications) to deprocrypticism—or-pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (excluding all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge ‘based on prior positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’) to then induce prospective ‘deprocrypticism unification-of-explanations’, and in all such cases the idea is ever always to move from a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology reflexive of ecstatic-existence/existence-potency increasingly as of its ‘rules–universalising–positivising–non-disjointing narrowing-down veracity’ while superseding any ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ (failing to imply this post-convergence of the human institutionalisation process as of ‘rules–universalising–positivising–non-disjointing narrowing-down veracity’) which by its very token ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ rather wrongly supersedes ecstatic-existence/existence-potency as the absolute a priori, with unification-of-explanation ‘paradigmatic/structural confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of the ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implying for instance that there can be no conception/theory/idea of positivism/rational-empiricism devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology that is not rational-empirical like mentioning say magical or supernatural causes and effects, and likewise prospectively with deprocrypticism any conception/theory/idea in disjointedness that fails to reflect ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity as of parrhesiastic and matheosis/motif/thrownness-disposition organic coherence and as ultimately reflecting the panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence of all human knowledge’, furthermore with regards specifically to say the ‘positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought-devolving level of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ we can factor in that any ‘supposedly deepening/profound’ conception/theory/idea say about biological hereditary is rather inconceivable as a phenomenality that fails to narrow-down (epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence prospective aporetic implications) rather to a specific-and-coherent conceptualisation of gene regulation and so except it can demonstrate a further narrowing-down (epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence prospective aporetic implications) that implies the ‘holistic complementing-and/or-superseding-and/or-subsuming of gene regulation’ and the life scientist will hardly take seriously any such conceptualisation of biological hereditary that fails to fulfil the above conditions on mere ‘sophistic grounds of intellectual-entitlement to disparateness-of-conceptualisation’ and so as of the life sciences need for existential-reality constraining ‘nested-
as so-reflected consistently in gene regulation ‘narrowed-down paradigmatic/structural confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility
of the ontological-veracity of biological hereditary meaningfulness-and-teleology’; — (the overall implications of unblurriness
reflected as of ‘unification-of-explanations narrowing-down’ is in
highlighting that ecstatic-existence as the absolute a priori is of the
inherent ‘projective-totalitative—implications epistemic-
ricochetting/transepistemic primacy and on this basis is all-
defining/deterministic in the construing of knowledge-reification as
of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’, and so
as ecstatic-existence is what can ‘validate-and-falsify the
ontological-veracity of any supposed ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework’ and as it overrides any human secondary
epistemic inclination that may wrongly be of ‘absolutising
identitive constitutedness’, with the inherent becoming of ecstatic-
existence rather reflected in ontologically-veridical ‘knowledge-
reification gesturing/process expandable/universalisable—as-of-
relative-ontological-completeness epistemic-
ricochetting/transepistemic implications of
aetioligisation/ontological-escalation’ and in so doing
‘abstractively-and-systematically justifying the socially imbued
intellectual deferential-formalisation-transference’ as to the fact
that the knowledge-reification is not of ‘mere imprimatur
discretion/whim-of-thought that fails to justify abstractively-and-
systematically any such expandable/universalisable—as-of-relative-
ontological-completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic
implications of aetioligisation/ontological-escalation’, and thus
‘superseding-and-resolving the epistemic aporia of prospective
knowledge-reification’ with regards to ‘determining intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veracity’ as the latter is ever always caught up,
given human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor,
between ‘intemporalising/ontologising ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism’ and ‘temporalising ontological-bad-faith’,
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought

categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives

circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising—
as-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives—(conspired-as-of-slanted-
cohering—‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’—
of-the-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought,—and-avoiding-
any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging)
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability—as-reflected-from-
conflation-perspective,—in-structural/paradigmatic-registry-
worldview—‘terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct’—of—perversion-and-
derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>conflatedness or conflation</td>
<td>conflatedness or effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology; so implied by ‘totalising epistemic conflating of conceptualisations with-and-as-of-the-precedence-of-existence-as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence as the absolute a priori—as it is effectively underscored by difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constitutedness</td>
<td>constitutedness or effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology; so implied by ‘atomising epistemic constituting of conceptualisations as to falsely imply their existence-in-existence since existential-contextualising-contiguity-is-thus-inherently-not-construed-as-totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining’, as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism by such misconception, totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism, failing to reflect the ecstatic singularity of existence as the absolute a priori—as it is rather flawedly underscored by identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>denaturing</td>
<td>denaturing/usurping/arrogating/perverting-in-constitutedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deprocripticism-or-pre-empting-procrypticism—or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising</td>
<td>deprocripticism-or-pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—(as conflation of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destructuring-transitoriness</td>
<td>destructuring-transitoriness-(constrained-as-of-dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism-induced-deratiocation-or-deratiocintuity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
banality’, but rather speaks of ‘a more profound solipsistic contemplative appreciation of life as of the precedence of human sublime potential reflected in a projective disposition to rethinking human meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’, and as validated by the fact that the succession of human registry-worldviews/dimensions are grounded on such ‘first-natured reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for human second-natured institutionalisation for living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ against the torrent of ‘averaging-of-thought and as prodded by sophistic distractive reasoning-from-results/afterthought’ that is ever always ‘parrhesiastically wanting’ for the prospect of prospective ‘first-natured reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ transience-and-sublimity, as it can be appreciated that structurally/paradigmatically every presencing registry-worldview/dimension as of averaging-of-thought and as prodded by its given sophistry is paradoxically disinclined to its prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as it is ever always in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its prospectively ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology as it seem to poorly construe of the ‘implications of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’ and as it wrongly substitutes for it a ‘communication-as-of-dialogical-equivalency issue’ like with the sophists accusing Socrates for not communicating well by the terms of their ‘warped/twisted ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising’ faced with his ‘universalising idealisation’ or medieval scholastics by the terms of their ‘pedantic dogmatism’ blaming Galileo for not communicating well faced with his ‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism’, and a modern day naïve totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology communication discourse that is utterly clueless of the projective-totalitative–implications of our positivism–procrypticism ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of an occluded self-consciousness’ requiring prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics dissemination/seedung maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism ‘reification gesturing for prospective knowledge’ arising as from existential-contextualising-contiguity projective-totalitative–implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising so-construed as of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition amenable
thus to existence’s validation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; wherein for instance the same budding positivists mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition dissemination/seeding as reflected in different budding positivists like Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz are variously-and-transversally validated by existence as of positivism ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
representation over a dialectical-dementing representation as of the very-same-purview-of-construal, wherein for instance as of relative-ontological-completeness ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as dialectical-thinking representation runs-through/deflates ‘classical-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as dialectical-dementing representation given that the former just supersedes/transcends the latter as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination and is not involved with the latter as of any incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, and the same elucidation extends to the overall human totalising—thrownness-in-existence as of the very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence wherein our present positivism/rational-empiricism totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-thinking representation runs-through/deflates prior non-positivism/medievalism totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-dementing representation or wherein prospective depcrorypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-thinking representation will cut-through/deflate our ‘positivism— depcrorypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enfaming dereifying-gesturing’ totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-dementing representation; such that we can fathom that this hermeneutic elucidation by its ‘mere prompting of what is implied by depcrorypticism totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather ‘sparing to our positivism—procrypticism emotional-involvement for the sake of intellectual engagement’ as it ‘doesn’t directly project the true edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of prospective depcrorypticism construal’ relative to our ‘positivism—procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enfaming dereifying-gesturing perspective’, and this sparingness thus should not be naively construed to imply that we can engage as of epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity such depcrorypticism totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology in prospective relative-ontological-completeness from our relative-ontological-incompleteness ‘positivism—procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enfaming dereifying-gesturing perspective’ as if as of dialectical-thinking representation whereas in reality such perspectival enfaming/engagement is rather flawed-and-untenable as it is just a furtherance of positivism—procrypticism dialectical-dementing representation warranting rather prospective psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the positivism—procripticism mindset to effectively begin to contemplate and come to terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct with the projective-totalitative—implications of prospective deprocripticism as a perspective that is prospectively-unenframed-toedgily-and-incisively-spills-over-our—‘positivism—procripticism shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’, such that even in the expanded-view-of-things just as budding positivists existentially impregnated in many ways with a non-positivism/midievalism mindset more critically simply grasped of the wake for more salient human ontological possibilities as of positivism/rational-empiricism down-the-line likewise this author and many disseminating postmodern thinkers existentially impregnated in many ways with positivism—procripticism mindset as ‘occlusive self-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’ more critically project rather of the wake of more salient human futural ontological possibilities implied by prospective deprocripticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its ‘unenframed protensive self-consciousness nonshiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition reifying-gesturing’

event speaks of ‘existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aesthetisation instigation(s) of humanity-level of possibilities of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, institutional-development and living-development transformation of meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’ as of ‘aetiolisation/ontological-escalation implications’ of metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aesthetisation induced prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as structurally/paradigmatically providing the possibility for deflating/superseding the vices-and-impediments of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as so-implied with regards to the events instigating the successive prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions of the human institutionalisation process say with ‘Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aesthetisation evental instigation of universalising idealisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition wherein prospective universalisation is dialectically-thinking and prior base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation is dialectically-dementing’ or ‘budding positivists existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aesthetisation evental instigation of positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition wherein prospective positivism/rational-empiricism is dialectically-thinking and prior
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism is dialectically-dementing’; with the underlying insight here that ‘existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental instigation(s)’ speaks of the possibility of aetiolisation/ontological-escalation as of ‘infinity/a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales implications’ of deflating/superseding the vices-and-impediments of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a transversality/logical-incongruence that structurally/paradigmatically recognises an issue of ontological-discontiguity with regards to ‘ontologically-flawed apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and the dialectically-dementing implications’ warranting the superseding/deflation of prior relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought rather than the given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness suprasocial-construct/sophistry/averaging-of-thought induced false pretense of an issue of ‘aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising on the basis of the its prospectively unrecognised ontologically-flawed apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and the dialectically-dementing implications’, such that the true ‘issue of prosecution’ with regards to Socrates or Galileo with respect to their asceticism stances was about the ontological-impertinence of their respective social-setup in failing to recognise prospective universalising idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising which then exposed them to their social-setup sophistry in a pretense that theirs were just case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus with their respective sophistry ‘aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising on the basis of their respective social-setup ununiversalisation and non-positivism/medievalism ontologically-flawed apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and as of the dialectically-dementing implications’, just as this author contends that the sophistic disposition of our times will assume a nondescript/ignorable void pretense of case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus ‘aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising on the basis of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospectively ontologically-flawed apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ thus ‘ignoring the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications with regards to existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental instigation of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising implied prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for deflating/superseding vices-and-impediments of positivism—
existential-contextualising-contiguity


existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-

existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology-(in-lockstep-of-temporal-emanances-
falsifiability refers to epistemic-veracity ‘determinable as from existence-potency construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, and thus the broader implication of falsifiability is construed basically as ‘epistemic-veracity for determining existential-reality/ontological-veracity as of projective-totalitative-implications’; with the implication that since existence is the absolute a prior, the ‘becoming of existence as ecstatic-existence’ is the inherent determinative basis of falsifiability as the latter is reflexive of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and where ecstatic-existence manifestation is rather as of an ‘overall singular/unrepeatable/nonrecurring/as-of-yet-unrepeatable-or-nonrecurring unfolding manifestation’ as implied with the ambit of such theories as the big bang theory, string theory, the human institutionalisation process etc., falsifiability is reflected by determining the coherence-as-of-ontological-congruence/incoherence-as-of-ontological-incongruence of any such ambit implied ‘overall singular ecstatic-existence unfolding manifestation model-theory’ as reflected by ‘the falsifiability of its underlying-and-subsumed-phenomena’ with regards to the epistemic-veracity of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework going by their specifically relevant repeatable/recurring methodological evaluations or observations or experiments, whereas where ecstatic-existence manifestation is about just a ‘repeatable/recurrent ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon’ then such an ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon is falsifiable as of the epistemic-veracity of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework going by its specifically relevant methodological evaluations or observations or experiments
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness</td>
<td>akrasiatic—incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

intemporality


intradimensional-defect

intradimensional-defect (reflected-as-a-fundamentally-defined placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
metaphoricity

apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology) as well as the given reference-of-thought-devolving temporal-to-intemperal ontological-performances of its totalising/circumscribing/delineating of meaningfulness-and-teleology evolving-and-devolving—'totalising-conception-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification', construed ultimately as of the cross-generational superseding of any given registry-worldview/dimension totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness superseding/undermining/deflating of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, as meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure re-structuring/re-paradigmising; implying 'differing-and-incompatible meaningfulness-and-teleology finality' of the relative-ontological-incompleteness and the relative-ontological-completeness as of their respectively implied edginess/incisiveness—of apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising as opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus rendering 'propositional compatibility as of mutual aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising' improbable as both are affirmative whereas in reality the former should be affirmed and the latter should be unaffirmed thus explaining why only a 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative' can arise from the former over the latter to restore ontological-verbatim, and this is enabled/validated only by their mutually 'supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality' underlying any society/social-setup conventioning as so reflected by its 'self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction' enabling the relative-ontological-completeness 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative (and not propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency)' over the relative-ontological-incompleteness cross-generationally as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implications, reflecting the fact that there is no base-institutionalisation propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but rather a 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative' arising as of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implications pointing out that base-institutionalisation is relatively as of existence-potency and this notion of 'prospective
meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative (and not propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency) applies likewise in 'affirming relative existence-potency validation/invalidation implications' of universalisation over base-institutionalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism over universalisation, and prospectively deprocrypticism over our positivism–procrypticism, and such a state of improbable propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency arises because of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness shiftiness-of-the-Self associated with human sovereign-constructs in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which can naturally be overcome by human insight of its limited-mentation-capacity implications 'as requiring knowledge-construct specialisms' involving human deferential-formalisation-transference to 'perceived significant others' with respect to such specialisms 'limited-mentation-capacity resources-and-talent focussing for knowledge-reification'; but then sophistic dispositions as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with regards to such issues like climate change, public policy, etc. can turn around and wrongly reaffirm the 'ontological-veracity of human averaging-of-thought as of propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency' to undermine such 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative' enlightenment from its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension specialisms even though we know that the truly specialist lawyer, chemist, etc. doesn't adopt any such propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency relation with averaging-of-thought but rather is in an enlightening/educating deferential-formalisation-transference posture of 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative', and this relation between flawed sophistic social-stake-contention-or-confliction encouraging of averaging-of-thought propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency and veridical intellectual 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative' also arises when it comes to prospective knowledge-reification of preceding/traditional normativities, conventions, practices, etc. (such as manifested with sophistic mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, ancient Sophists, medieval-scholasticism pedants and modern day intellectual muddlement), and hence ultimately with respect human limited-mentation-capacity implications sophistry can-and-is only undermined by prospective relative-ontological-completeness 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative' knowledge-reification in inducing the universal-transparency of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension 'reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations as of its construction-of-the-Self' from whence its devolving specialisms/profound knowledge-construct can then be socially
engaged in deferential-formalisation-transference undermining sophistry, and so in the sense that it is only because by-and-large every modern human construction-of-the-Self is positivistic/rational-empirical as of reference-of-thought-level that the possibility of devolving specialisms/profound positivistic knowledge-construct can arise (without the possibility of its sophistic social-stake-contention-or-confliction undermining with regards to eliciting non-positivism, supernaturalism, etc. averaging-of-thought) even when the vast majority of humans never have a thorough grasp of any specific given specialism/profound positivistic knowledge-construct say modern medicine, physics, social science, etc., and likewise the sophistic difficulty facing the prospective possibility of deprocrypticism as it is prospectively reflective of our present positivism–procrypticism prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold lies in the fact that it is highly liable to present social-stake-contention-or-confliction procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought sophistry ‘flawed encouraging of propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalency averaging-of-thought as of present disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ in undermining the ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative’ of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, and such prospective deprocrypticism organic knowledge-reification necessarily requires at least the induced universal-transparency of the deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations as of deprocrypticism construction-of-the-Self’ from whence its implied specialised/profound knowledge-construct can be engaged in deferential-formalisation-transference (without the possibility of sophistic undermining like the eliciting of various temporal manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications) even if the vast majority of humans don’t have a thorough grasp of deprocrypticism implied profound knowledge-construct implications

confliction’, which is then enabling for critical prospective metaphoricity ontological-veracity implications as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness given the absolute primacy of existence-potency over human-subpotency as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative—implications)

neuterising


neuterisation


nondescript/ignorable

with regards to the human institutionalisation-process epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic narrowing-down of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening grasp of ‘ecstatic-existence as the absolute a priorí’, a ‘prior registry-worldview’/dimension’s nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed thinking-qualia-schema’ refers to the fact that no registry-worldview/dimension going by its relative-ontological-incompleteness as of prior registry-worldview/dimension perspective is representatively cognisant-and-integrative of its meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold implied/appreciable dementing-qualia-schema (so-reflected as from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-completeness perspective), as it rather reproduces circularly its ‘prior registry-worldview’/dimension’s nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed thinking-qualia-schema’ over any such prospective registry-worldview’/dimension’s veridically implied/appreciable dementing-qualia-schema representation of the prior registry-worldview’/dimension’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, with the implication that the ‘destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold dementing-qualia-schema’ respectively of prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) as reflected from the perspective respectively of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and depprocrypticism (as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) are rather construed by the respective prior registry-
worldviews/dimensions circularly as of their ‘prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s nondescript/ignoreable void as of its ontologically-flawed thinking-qualia-schema’; and any such ‘prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s nondescript/ignoreable void as of its ontologically-flawed thinking-qualia-schema’ can only veridically be conceptualised-and-analysed as of ‘the institutionalisation process’s difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ with regards to the transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting projective-totalitative—implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening in human re-totalising grasp of ecstatic-existence as the absolute a priori’, and so as of the relative-ontological-completeness prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘induced thinking-qualia-schema as from its apriorising-psychologism/mental-schema implicated value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness’ superseding of the relative-ontological-incompleteness prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘implied prior thinking-qualia-schema which becomes prospectively a dementing-qualia-schema’ (thus grasping the ‘teleologically-determinative ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness so-construable as of its dementing-qualia-schema reflection of its deconstructing-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold); as the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s deconstructing-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold is construed as a ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives—as-of-denaturing’-of-the-prior-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the implied ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ as reasoning-from-results/afterthought, speaking of human-subpotency prospective lack of ‘platonic anamnnesis’ (noting here that the conceptual-patterning naivism of Platonism as mere ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ is alien to Plato and the Socratic philosophers whose anamnesis rather speaks of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ conceptualisation of their universalising idealisation), as human-subpotency doesn’t constrain ‘the becoming of ecstatic-existence/existence-potency/transcendental-signifier’ as of the latter’s transcendence-and-sublimity implications such that ecstatic-existence/existence-potency/transcendental-signifier ‘becoming-spontaneity
cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in order for the upholding of anamnestic (as to when ecstatic-existence/existence-potency/transcendental-signifier ‘becoming-spontaneity implications of digression’ from such human-subpotency prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ is implied), as to the fact that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-conflict the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ wooden-language at its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot uphold/uptake the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology as it rather engages with such prospective knowledge in complexification of its prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ wooden-language which is alien to the requisite prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s parrhesiastic value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications

non-presencing
non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or-metaphysics-of-absence—or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology

notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity

notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity

ontological-aesthetic-tracing


ontological-contiguity


ontological-discontiguity

ontological-discontiguity—(as-of-the-effectively-operant-implications-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
ontological-performance


tonological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework

perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought

as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation outside existential-contextualising-continguity

perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought
(construed as of human limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal to intertemporal Binarity of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -reconceptualised rather as of prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness of reference-of-thought)

perversion-of-reference-of-thought

perversion-of-reference-of-thought (reflected as unsoundness or inauthenticity of reference-of-thought)

positive-opportunism

positive-opportunism speaks to the fact that unlike is the case with sovereign constructs, supra-social or averaging-of-thought validation of ontological-veracity is never a relevant element in prospective knowledge-reification given that the supra-social construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected in any social-setup institutionally is rather a second-natured/habituated institutionalisation construct as from deferential-formalisation-transference arising from the untenable existentially constraining knowledge-reifying and empowering reflexivity implications of existence-potency induced metaphoricity from first-natured intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in solipsistic transversality, and thus reflecting the ontological-veracity that any such supra-social framework is not the inherently relevant basis for prospective knowledge-reification as of a convincing of human-subpotency but rather what is relevant is the pertinence of its underlying deferential-formalisation-transference-as-nonsophistic and/or prospective existence-potency induced metaphoricity as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly and empoweringly given human-subpotency-reflexivity in ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality and so validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, for prospective deferential-formalisation-transference supra-social meaningfulness-and-teleology to arise; as the fact is supra-social constructs are rather afterthought/reasoning-from-results as for instance it is not the inherent budding positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology that induced a social transformation into positivist thinking but rather the accruing constraining effect on existence of such budding positivism instigated positivist and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology that then induced its social adoption later on as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with regards to rationalising the benefits of the world as of technical, well-being, health and social-development-implications, as supra-social constructs remain beholden to their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness framework of apriorising/intelligibility setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising as of apriorising-teleological-thresholding-as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-
devolving-meaningfulness’ as closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with poor nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought without such manifest positive-opportunism and the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity can only arise as of untenable prospective existence-potency constraining relative-ontological-completeness framework edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising as opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in its cross-generational transformative effect even as its initial instigation doesn’t elicit immediate positive-opportunism as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension explaining the inevitable/inherent conflictedness to such budding transformative stances as articulated by the Socrates, Copernicles, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, and relevant ‘prophesiers of antiquity as philosophers’, with the projective-totalitative–implications that any given supra-social framework is inherently of ‘epistemically underdeterminative contemplation for ontologically and intellectually assessing its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity’ as the supra-social mathetic/motiffed/throwned state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of epistemically underdeterminative contemplation as of its closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology for intellectually gauging about prospective base-institutionalisation, and likewise base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation with regards to universalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism with regards to positivism, and prospectively our positivism–procrypticism with regards to deprocrypticism as in all such cases the supra-social and averaging-of-thought inclination is in a totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motiff/throwned-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’ whether as of trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, and this is exactly what renders all such transcendence-and-sublimity rather as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ involving the ‘displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject induced as of ontological-determination/dialectical-determination stranding dialectics’ as to the fact that it is more critically ‘a matter of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposition’ by ‘projecting of the transcending of the prior mathesis/motiff/throwned-disposition of reference-of-thought as of ‘the institutionalisation process’s difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ explaining why all prior registry-
worldviews/dimensions sense-of-progress is foiled since such sense-of-progress is wrongly ever along the same line of mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition so-construed as pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness whereas in effect progress rather occurs by the 'unshackling of any such mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition towards better-and-better existential reflection of the underlying parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence-of-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity' speaking rather to their ontological-incompleteness of reference-of-thought/psyche that has to be 'addressed psychoanalytically before engaging in prospective knowledge-reification'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postlogical-backtracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postlogism or Postlogism-as-of-non-conviction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prelologism or Prelologism-as-of-conviction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

prelogism-as-of-conviction-(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procrypticism or Disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference-of-thought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>devolving</th>
<th>reification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>meaningfulness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>relative-ontological-completeness</th>
<th>prospective antiakrasiatic–relative-ontological-completeness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>relative-ontological-incompleteness</td>
<td>prior akrasiatic–relative-ontological-incompleteness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing (as of the defined registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, as-of-its-specific-imi- mediacy-enframing’ as-trepidating/warping/precluding/occluding/prospectively-protending ‘respectively as its so-shifty-defined apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’)

shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing

s-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing

singularisation

mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—that-is-not-
positivistic/rational-empiricistic, as meaning rather requires that
such a non-positivism social-setup operates a positivism/rational-
empiricism social-setup specific edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
and thus it is metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-
parrhesiastic-aesthetisation because the non-positivism social-
setup rather enters into ‘a cross-generational non-positivism
pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drug as of its
apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-
framework/narrative-framework’ with the ‘prospective
metaphoricity as positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-
and-teleology’, over which its pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness
is cross-generationally involved-as-of-a-fooling-about-exercise in
‘an internal parrhesiastic-aesthetisation transitioning
accommodation towards positivism/rational-empiricism so-induced
by the positive-opportunism constraint of prospective
positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as
so empirically verifiable historically with regards to
metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-
aesthetisation induced transitioning as from relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought towards relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and this reality
should equally prospectively be reflected with regards to our
presencing positivism—procrypticism prospective integration of
deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively rather
implies metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-
parrhesiastic-aesthetisation and not meaning to our presencing
positivism—procrypticism as we rather enter into a pseudo-
edginess/pseudo-incisiveness totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drug as of our
apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-
framework/narrative-framework’ with the prospective
metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-
aesthetisation as deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology)

socially-functional-and-accordant

socially-functional-and-accordant-(construed-in-terms-of-‘least-
and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-
thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’-
and-not-‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-
of-thought-as-of-maximising-recomposing-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-
institutionalisation’; as-the-transdimensional/transcendental-
dichotomy-of-ontologically-unsound-and-sound-shades-of-
apparently-the-same-reference-of-thought-(so-disambiguated-as-
of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context))
existence / exteriorising/akrasiatic

syncretising/circularity /in
referencing
totalising

temporality

totalising refers to 'being-epistemically-all-defining-and-determining-in-effect-as-of-circumscribing/delineating, and-so-as-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-underlying-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising-implications and so-reflected as of the epistemic construal from existence-potency perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence'; and is contrasted with the notion of totalitarian as 'being-all-defining-and-determining-rather-by-human-subpotency-obstinance/ideology-overt-projection/assertion that ignores-and-overlooks the epistemic construal from existence-potency perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence'; such that the notion of totalising is rather as of the epistemic reflection of ontological-veracity about say a given totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension effective totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected by the fact that

apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising by a positivistic mindset is
totalisingly/circumscribingly/delineatingly different from a non-positivistic mindset whereas the notion of totalitarian as of ideology/subpotency is rather about direct dogmatic commitment to a given meaningfulness-and-teleology with the inclination to dispense whether extensively or partially with ontological-veracity often on a supposed assumption of grander overall ontological-veracity

subknowledging subknowledging-(dementing-as-if-of-ontologically-veridical-sound-thought)


totalising totalising refers to 'being-epistemically-all-defining-and-determining-in-effect-as-of-circumscribing/delineating, and-so-as-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-underlying-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising-implications and so-reflected as of the epistemic construal from existence-potency perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence'; and is contrasted with the notion of totalitarian as 'being-all-defining-and-determining-rather-by-human-subpotency-obstinance/ideology-overt-projection/assertion that ignores-and-overlooks the epistemic construal from existence-potency perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence'; such that the notion of totalising is rather as of the epistemic reflection of ontological-veracity about say a given totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension effective totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected by the fact that

apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising by a positivistic mindset is
totalisingly/circumscribingly/delineatingly different from a non-positivistic mindset whereas the notion of totalitarian as of ideology/subpotency is rather about direct dogmatic commitment to a given meaningfulness-and-teleology with the inclination to dispense whether extensively or partially with ontological-veracity often on a supposed assumption of grander overall ontological-veracity

totalising–self-referencing-

totalising–thrownness-in-existence totalising–thrownness-in-existence refers to the fact that the human mindset as of the construction-of-the-Self is inherently of a given 'determinable relative-ontological-completeness/incompleteness apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness' as reflected in its given totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension
apriorising/intelligence setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising, such that ontologically there is variance of the human mindset totalising—thrownness-in-existence disposition successively as of the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation trepidatious-consciousness, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation warped-consciousness, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness, our present positivism—procrypticism occlusive-consciousness and prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness; and so in reflection of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing metaphoricity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of underlying ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics of the human institutionalisation process shifting phasing of ‘dialectical-thinking’ representation and ‘dialectical-dementing’ representation of the very same existence purview as of relative-ontological-completeness

totalitative

totalitative is rather ‘of epistemic/notional projective evaluation about the ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology as of existence-potency of all totalities so-construed as projective-totalitative—implications’ whereas totality is rather about any inherent ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating totality’ given meaningfulness-and-teleology representation arising as of its totalising—thrownness-in-existence’, and thus totalitative contrasts with ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating totality’ (as of human-subpotency apriorising/intelligence setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising) in that while the latter refers to any given registry-worldview/dimension closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its social-stake-contention-or-confliction and so whether as of a given relative-ontological-incompleteness or relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension inherent ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating totality’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology, totalitative (as of existence-potency edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligence setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising epistemic-veracity implications) rather refers to epistemically/notionally constraining/evaluating projectively the human meaningfulness-and-teleology of any such ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating totality’ and so as of the entire institutionalisation process opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in increasing relative-ontological-completeness as of the notional—deprocrypticism ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ perspective of perception in reflecting human-subpotency potential to converge to existence-potency edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligence setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising; with the implication that the ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating totality’ contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing perspective of say non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism
totality


transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
transversality/logical-incongruence/avoiding-issue-of-mutual-unintelligibility-or-intellectual-bad-faith-or-flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought: transversality involves the epistemic construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘existence-potency edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising’ construed as knowledge-reification gesturing, and so over a human ordinary/averaging-of-thought mental-reflex to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘human-subpotency en framing pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness of its second-natured institutionalisation prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus exposing such meaningfulness-and-teleology to human totalising—self-referencing-synchretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which is exactly what needs to be superseded as of human developing self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity to arise as of transversality induced reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such that the notion of prospective human value and aspiration beyond the ‘given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition that underlies its supra-social construct and averaging-of-thought’ doesn’t exist because of its closed-structure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology together with the consequent susceptibility to sophistic manipulation of such presenting human-subpotency perspective of social-stake-contention-or-confliction and this further explains why prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning has ever always been as of a ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ in this respect in order to then outrightly commit to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity value aspiration reflecting the fact that given ‘human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor’ human potentiation construed as ‘human-subpotency convergence to existence/existence-potency’ is beyond ‘the averaging of temporal and intemporal individuations dispositions’ or any second-natured institutionalisation supra-social construct but is rather as of ‘human intemporal individuation solipsistic/intersolipsistic instigation’ that is not fixated on the previous two for such requisite solipsistic/intersolipsistic instigation; transversality equally reflects as of its implied ‘existence-potency edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising’ a unification-of-conceptualisation epistemic-disposition over a pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness disparateness-of-
the incoherence here will rather be to egotistically and
sophistically imply that the very same fundamental human
institutionalisation process as of ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion’ doesn’t apply to
us; ultimately, transversality further entails that the inherent
incompatible and contrastive projective-totalitative–implications of
‘apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising
in edginess/incisiveness as of existence-potency implied prospective
relative-ontological-completeness opened-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology in its dispensing-with-immediacy-
for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-
distension as enabling prospective transcendence-and-sublimity’
and
‘apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising
in pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as of human-subpotency
implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness closed-construct-
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and as it is reinforced with
sophistic institutional-being-and-craft in existential-extirpation-as-
of-existential-unthought’, means that human and social
transcendence-and-sublimity while critically instigated as from
‘human first-natured intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
epistemic-ricochettily/transepistemically’ is more effectively and
existentially achieved rather as of ‘constraining positive-
opportunism’ that is socially elicited as of the underlying
‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-
reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-
in-ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality’ as of more profound
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation as of
existence-potency in inducing second-natured institutionalisation
and prospective supra-social construct

uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/temporal-
solipsistic/recomposing/animality-threshold-of-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation-
(construed-as-of-the-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold-
temporal-meaningfulness-and-teleology-in-totalising—self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag,-
and-so-as—‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of
temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—
dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing ‘of-the-registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—wherein-the-
institutionalising-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition-attains-its-
institutionalising-limits-as-of-human-subpotency-relative-to-
existence-potency;—and-so-construed-as-from-the-instigating-
intemporal-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism-
recurrent-shot-for-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-
with-respect-to-the ‘parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-
subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-
potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’)


There is a common word that already exists that best describes what a psychopath is philosophically-speaking. It is a French word that doesn't exactly exist in English. The word is 'cinglé' and is better translated in English as ‘slanted mind’ (in contrast to the straightness/candor/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflicatedness of a ‘convictionally predisposed human mind’ as of prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction. It should equally be noted that sometimes the word cinglé is used intermittently with deranged (dérangé) which is a more general word that does not capture as of the socially-functional-and-accordant phenomenal specificity that is of relevance in this paper. In other words, ‘the cinglé’ perceives meaning as 'a hollow mimicking form in-of-itself that determines others behaviour' in contrast to the normal human relation to meaning as an essence or conviction or prelogism we abide by (and so, even in the case of bad conviction or prelogism where the bad logic of the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind operates by an ad hoc and circumspect exaggeration or omission).

In other words, the psychopath manifests postlogism/’perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness’ by its reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construed as ‘how can a perverted sought after outcome be obtained with an interlocutor or interlocutors with respect to a targeted end-goal or targeted individual by falsely projecting hollow-abstract logic notwithstanding that it is existentially unreal or it is faked or it is opportunistically raised or raised out-of-context (existential-decontextualised-transposition)’, i.e. meaning-as-form or pathologically/impulsively ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, contrasted to the
normal prelogism-as-of-conviction minds prelogical state (‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’ construed as ‘what does the veridical logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation of a given existential situation intrinsically imply as relevant and sound outcome’, i.e. meaning-as-ontologically-veridical/in-conviction, whether thereafter the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation is rightly or wrongly assumed). Hence prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction is all about the appropriateness of logic without any implication/questioning about any issue with the reference-of-thought on which logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation is based, and thus the idea of re-engaging is valid on the basis that the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation can be well performed subsequently despite an initial failure or possible initial failures. Whereas with postlogism or postlogism-as-of-non-conviction this essentially has to do not with an issue of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation but rather an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation is on the basis of a sound reference-of-thought (non-perversion-of-reference-of-thought) such that fundamentally ‘the notion of the dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ is ontologically jeopardised by the inherent perversion-of-reference-of-thought as ‘first-order perversion, out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, of implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements which are denaturing of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape, implied-profile-or-implied-stature, implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology. Further to this is the derived second-order level deception as of wrongly implied logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation thereof, as of infinite deception possibilities from this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge arising where the implied first-order perversion-of-reference-of-thought is wrongly acquiesced to as
appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness thus wrongly implying that logical-dueness arises for logical engagement with interlocutors; and so in contrast to the infinite possibilities of ‘sound logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ from non-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Hence postlogism is actually a usurpation/arrogation of the prelogism-as-of-conviction mentation reflex where social universal-transparency of reference-of-thought elements is not available/obscured as of lack of insight on existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; with the result that with respect to the reference-of-thought, postlogism ‘induces as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, a degraded-as-dementing differentiation of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology’ unlike prelogism which ‘induces as of ontological-normalcy perspective, an elevated-as-sound-thinking differentiation of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The postlogical disposition is associated pathologically with the psychopathic character as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge with respect to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction but can equally extend ad-hocly or more profoundly as a manifestation of conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration (due to psychopathic/postlogism induced social loss-of-awareness of the social universal-transparency) where it elicits temporal-emanances-registries dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in situations of social-stake-contention-or-confliction. (‘Candidity/Candour-capacity’ is the ‘overall ontological-contiguity of variance of the same nature as of contiguity of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in the sense that various degrees of temporal-to-intemporal individuations upholding/failing of candidity/candour-capacity roughly equate to such an ‘intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness‘-
enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorisation construal/conceptualisation’.
The notion of ‘candidity/candour-capacity’ offers a better construing/conceptualising rather
in ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-
in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context as of ontological-contiguity as referring to a variance of the same
construct as of point-referencing as required for a construal that is
uninhibited/decomplexified with regards to the positivism–procrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension in the conflatedness construing of the deprocrypticism registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as dialectically-thinking-and-centered-to-
prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and
reflecting the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as dementing-and-
decentered-to-prior-institutionalisation’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.
‘Candidity/Candour-capacity’ as such refers to the comprehensiveness/social-context-holism-
construed-conflatedness of individuation and consequently social capacity for ontological-
normalcy, so reflected in social-context-holism-construed-conflatedness of individual and
social construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in upholding/failing
ontological-normalcy as reflected by ‘closeness-of-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-
conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ or ‘looseness-of-tethering-trajectory to
prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’/‘madeupness/bottomline of
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflection of candidity/candour-capacity and
that our own positivism–procrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is relatively abnormal by its
meaningfulness intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context. In order words, just as retrospectively we can construe that the
respective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-
awareness-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as of random-as-impulsive-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as of nominal-as-
tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism as of ordinal-as-
qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-
enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

candidity/candour-capacity

candidity/candour-capacity

‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
construing-of-and-informing-as-to the inherent possibilities of pure-ontology insight as reflected by ‘inherent notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence phenomenal insight about pure-ontology/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness’ as highlighted with the ‘various as random-as-impulsive/nominal-as-tendentious/ordinal-as-qualifying/intervalist-as-categorising/ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referential phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construed as notional conflatedness’, and so conceptually as of an ahistorical-emancipation more like the science/laws of physics is inherently ahistorically-emancipated from physical phenomena occurrences/events archaeology/historiality-tracing and is capable of construing-of-and-informing-as-to such physical phenomena occurrences/events archaeology/historiality-tracing, thus enabling for instance the veracity/ontological-pertinence of say astronomy as an archaeology/historiality-tracing derived-science. Insightfully, such a candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology construed as most ontologically-veridical human psychical representation and so over our present positivism–procrypticism psychical representation, is effectively grounded on the notion that placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is ‘by itself inherently an utterly discreet and arbitrary construct’ but for the fact that every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought has been habituated to its own as of its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness-and-teleology and considers its own by reflex to be sanctimonious. But then the fact is the true sanctimony lies with intrinsic-reality/ontological-
corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions Beings and associated meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology. Underlying such graduated conceptualisation of human consciousness as of notional conflatedness, is the fact that as of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions existentialism-form-factor, such human consciousness conflatedness ultimately behind the successive institutionalisation-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of the institutionalisation process is grounded on its least common human temporality/shortness-to-intemporality/longness denominator which is the ‘constraining social universal-transparency; and while the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ is aspirational as inducing solipsistic/first-natured the intemporal mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prospective institutionalisation, it is effectively occurs spontaneously to intemporal solipsistic individuations and cannot be the basis for collective grounding of such human consciousness conflatedness as this inevitably leads to temporal concatenation to intemporality, rather its import lies solely as of solipsistic intemporal projection drive given that ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism is beyond the possibility of its second-natured institutionalisation just as implied with the notion of faith in creeds. Further, the dynamics of such a graduated human consciousness as of notional conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism can be reinterpreted operantly as of ‘notional-referentialism’ as it points to the fact that categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings are actually ‘various levels of failing to achieve the deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflicatedness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising that ensure ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, and thus are construed as of the same notion of referentialism, as of ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings levels’ given their respectively underlying limited-mentation-capacity in achieving referentialism. While in reality these are respectively of ‘categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings’ they still act as if of ‘deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflicatedness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’, and so ‘in their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought dementing’ thus generating as of their ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings levels’ their respective neuterising construed as of ‘their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Neuterising thus refers to human attribution of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity misconstruing, with respect to existential social-stake-contention-or-confliction possibilities, such that its reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology is relatively ontologically-incomplete/of-ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness, and so-construed from the conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism; thus neuterising is specifically ‘a contextually developed perversion-or-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, that is second-natured as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the consequent implications of relatively defective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. For instance, as of their ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, an animist society might notice that going to a given forest leads to illness and
ascribe evil to that forest but then a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought positivism interpretation may be that at a certain time of the day and during a certain time of the year that forest attracts mosquitoes that cause malaria for instance which can be prevented by rubbing a certain leaf on ones cloths and body, together with the fact that a given root can be used to cure the malaria, and in addition to a whole web of nuanced understanding available to the positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to the ‘abject and brute’ animistic interpretation as meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising that it is an evil forest one should not trespass together with a whole cohort of ‘imaginary tales’ in shoring up that posture, speaking of its dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. This is a most elaborate articulation of neuterising but it equally applies where meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘just about miscued’ say between positivism–procrpticism and deprocrypticism with the latter underlying the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of the former as it neuterising, for instance in the case of psychopathy and corresponding conjugated-postlogism as social psychopathy as in the various illustrations highlighted herein and particularly as more obviously revealed with childhood psychopathy. In the bigger picture, ascriptivity-or-ascriptive-hardening/pseudo-referentialism arises as of notional-referentialism/notional-deprocrypticism; wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s existential reference-of-thought deepest-level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘trepidatious-consciousness impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising failing rulemaking-over-non-rules’, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation existential reference-of-thought next deepest-level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘warped-consciousness tendentious—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising failing universalisation-
dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as critical across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics. The ontological-veridicality of a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as associated with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ is one grounded as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics around on ‘decentering/pivoting around the prospective uninstitutionalisation rule’ as a remaking of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising involving the resetting of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, pointing out that the prior apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising has been superseded as of its revealed perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought and so as of the prospective uninstitutionalisation rule. This explains why at uninstitutionalised-thresholds which are subject to ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’, prospective institutionalisation can only be achieved as of second-natured constraining social universal-transparency that overcomes the given uninstitutionalisation ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’ thus enabling the relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective institutionalisation. It also explains why naively implying at an uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation that ‘the social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ is universally attributable as if humans had only the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation without temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations will simply fail to recognise the generation-and-upholding of neuterising and thus unable to reveal perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought; as it is naïve to think that while being at an uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation like universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism by mere-and-vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness averaging-of-thought in social-aggregation-enabling, people will ‘simply by magic’ find themselves articulating positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology without grasping that the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure cross-generational process is effectively the mechanism for ‘overcoming non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’ to be able to then reveal, construe and uphold positivistic Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this equally applies with regards to overcoming our ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’ to attain futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a further elucidation, a comparison can be made between a construct of ‘notional-referentialism’ disambiguated as referentialism, categorising neuterising, qualifying neuterising, tendentious neuterising and impulsive neuterising, and in parallel a reflection of ‘data conceptualisation’ disambiguated as ratio-contiguous referencing, intervalist pseudo-referencing, ordinal pseudo-referencing, nominal pseudo-referencing and random pseudo-referencing. We can grasp that effectively data conceptualisation as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently ratio-contiguous as of ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but then we don’t always have the capacity to reference ratio-contiguous data and so the other types of data conceptualisations are available to us as well ‘as of the limitations of our measuring capacity’, and we grasp that the latter are actually in ‘constructed-deficiency of ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination’ as of their relative ontological-abnormalcies/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Here as well it is important to understand that it is the ratio-contiguous referencing data conceptualisation that provides the ‘overriding framework as of conflatedness’ for making-sense-of/construing the relatively deficient referencing data conceptualisations as of their ‘defined tolerable levels’ of neuterising. This elucidation is to point out that reference-of-thought constructs in relative ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place cannot be the basis for articulating, as of their given constitutedness, by ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘as if in referentialism as of referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflicatedness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ but rather require ‘their ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology restoration’ by a conflatedness as of relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that factors in ‘their constructed-deficiency with respect to relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, so-construed as their neuterising’ as of their categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings; thus enabling ontologically-veridical construal as of both ontological-completeness/incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology retrospectively to prospectively across the institutionalisation process. To put it another way, as distinct articulations of the same physics intrinsic-reality, we cannot simply by constitutedness by ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’
given its relative ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought arrive-at/achieve the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of its relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as what is so generated is nothing as of reality but rather a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal. Instead such a construction of prospective relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is a conflatedness of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ by a totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism to reconstruct the same domain of physics as the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’, and rather reflects the ontological-veridicality that ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of its relative ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘construed as a constructed-deficiency of the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective’, and the former can only be subsumed/implied/construed-as-non-contradictory to the latter. Such a basic conception of comparative axiomatic-constructs in their reflection of the very same totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness is a construction or derived-construction as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or the closest axiomatic-construct approximation to it; the insight here being that ‘relative completeness/profundness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ is what is ontologically preeminent/critical for the notional perspective of ontological construal/conceptualisation. This is equally relevant with regards to the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which refers to the transcendental-enabling conceptual
framework that sets up the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought construction possibilities of derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as of existential-instantiations’, on the same unchanging intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construed/conceptualised by all registry-worldviews/dimensions, but generating with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
in-recomposing—-as-of-totalising—-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocontication successive more and more relatively profound/complete registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought constructions of derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue; with the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘abstract teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities’. For instance, all subsequent axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension are possible only by its (trepidatious-consciousness neuterising-induced)—reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness which is non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition as this basically defines the possibility of institutionalisation within recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as inherently
non-existent. Likewise it is the habituated rulemaking-over-non-rules as of ontological-faith-”
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notions/articulations/virtue of base-institutionalisation. This insight extends to all successive
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ontological-performances, whether teleologically-degraded or teleologically-elevated,

implied as of within a given reference-of-thought are necessarily in ‘ontological-contiguity’,

construed as of a ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity’ of the same

totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Such that a registry-

worldview/dimension reference-of-thought associated postlogism-slantedness manifestation,
which is inevitably being instigated as postlogism denaturing ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiastic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the implied categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of its meaningfulness-and-teleology, as well as the temporal manifestations of the registry-worldview/dimension including conjugated-postlogism, is inevitably in ‘notional contiguity’ with all other meaningfulness-and-teleology of that registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought since there are all grounded either in a ‘conscious-madeupness as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such ‘notional contiguity’ is implied by the fact that a reference-of-thought is a ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and with all its meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances, given its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification, being mutually cognisant-and-integrative by ‘conscious-madeupness as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. In this regard, a non-positivistic as ‘a superstitious centred-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as associated with say a medieval or animistic social-setup implies that a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition with regards to say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will meet with a mental-reflex across the registry-worldview/dimension totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-
as-of-instantiative-context that is cognisant-and-integrative as of its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity dereification in ‘notional contiguity’, as in its questioning and analysing whether the accusation of sorcery is true and so as an assumed/presupposed-as-of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the overall reference-of-thought underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating belief in superstition, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. Such a construal equally applies to our positivism–procrypticism associated manifestation of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition instigation wherein our underlying procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition is a ‘notional contiguity’ of the positivism–procrypticism totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context ontological-performances as of ‘conscious-madeupness as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with its centred-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This explains why it is structurally/paradigmatically impossible for either such a non-positivistic social-setup or our procrypticism social-setup to resolve the vices-and-impediments associated with the corresponding reference-of-thought centred-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, as it is in circular totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising  centred-totalisation grounding; thus explaining the endemisation and enculturation of the associated vices-and-impediments. Rather than a ‘difference-in-kind’ implied as of ‘notional-contiguity’, it is rather a ‘difference-in-nature’ as of an ‘ontological-break or ontological-discontiguity’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness of the prospective reference-of-thought ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied different and relatively-more-profound-and-complete categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which is non-cognisant and non-integrative and ‘not in notional contiguity’ with the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that can induce the ‘ontological break’ that is able to de-endemise and de-enculturate as of aetiological/ontological-escalation the given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments cross-generationally. With a ‘difference-in-nature/notional-discontiguity’ construal there is a double-gesture of reification as of implying more critically the inappropriateness of the centred-totalisation/reference-of-thought as of its underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology implied same/common/shared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, which then inherently points to the inappropriateness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation on the basis of the centred-totalisation/reference-of-thought and hence implying that there can’t be any dialogical equivalence. Such that from a positivistic perspective, an argument in a non-positivistic social-setup of the type one may be accused of sorcery is construed as ridiculous since it is in ‘notional contingency’, with its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification cognisant-and-integrative with a non-positivistic superstitious meaningfulness-and-teleology centred-totalisation/reference-of-thought, and
that itself is perceived as of ‘aetiological concern’ as to the possibility of an apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification mental-disposition that can be cognisant-and-integrative in ‘notional contiguity’ with numerous existential circumstances reflecting the endemising/enculturating of non-positivistic superstition and its vices-and-impediments. The same applies from a deprocrypticism perspective with regards to a procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental disposition as an argument seeming to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in the same disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms-as-axiomatic-construct by which the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought arises in the first place is in circular totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of the same centred-totalisation/reference-of-thought defect. Thus it is ontologically impossible to address any given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as of that fundamental totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context centred-totalisation, besides at best palliative constructs of a non-universal nature, as not of an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation nature. Thus further validating the idea that it is a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in second-naturing such a prospective institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ that enables such a transformation whether from a retrospective or prospective transcendence perspective. This explains ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism as construing/conceptualising the most profound/complete ontologically-veridical ‘reference-of-thought construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as of the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions from the notional-deprocrypticism perspective construal/conceptualisation, as being ‘the most profound/complete ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
meaningfulness’ grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ among all the registry-worldviews/dimensions as of its pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. Furthermore, within a registry-worldview/dimension for the disambiguation of temporal and intemporal mental-dispositions, its reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is its (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced) as reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, which by way of a différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral articulates the intradimensional relative ontological-veracity of all other intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Thus this is within the framework of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities; construed either in elevation-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality/institutionalisation as categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, or in degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality/uninstitutionalisation as of the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; noting that the dialectical nature of the elevation and degradation so implied are inherently affirmed/unaffirmed respectively as of the same purview that is the construal of existence/existential-
of-reference-of-thought; in other words, with respect to the elucidation of existential-instantiations issues, beyond just issues of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as of logical coherence, we need to move at the totalising/circumscribing/delineating level of analysis which is the reference-of-thought and then construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of contrastive elevation/institutionalisation reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’ and degradation/uninstitutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’. That is, meaningfulness-and-teleology cannot be registered as of the degradation/uninstitutionalisation but rather the elevation/institutionalisation as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with the implication that meaningfulness-and-teleology lies-with-and-is wholly as of elevation/institutionalisation reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’. Insightfully, ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness points out that as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality: it is rather and critically more apt to ‘articulate organically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ the transcendental construct of prospective base-institutionalisation institutionalisation while in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation ‘doing so by failing the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in de-emphasising non-conviction and emphasising the conviction essence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, and this insight extends as well with regards to
teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’; such that essence is actually as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism. This reflects ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of its notional-conflatedness nature of ontological-performance as anti-nihilistically grounded on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as enabled by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It points out that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness cannot be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as of a soulless nihilistic-teleology-for-the-attainment-of-temporality/human-mortal-whims as it simply brings an end to the transcendental potential for the human existential tale perpetuation; as the organic-knowledge behind the 'invention' of prospective institutionalisation necessarily has to take precedence in further driving the institutionalisation process over a conceptualisation as of denaturing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such an approach to transcendence is exactly what validates transcendental knowledge as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment and not a grounded knowledge-construct commitment; as an approach as of grounded knowledge-construct commitment that merely implies transcendence as being incremental to the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought doesn't undermine/unshackle that prior reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of the requisite undermining/unshackling by the prospective enlightenment of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ontological-performance given its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather implying a grounded knowledge-construct commitment merely ‘circularly-complexifies’ the prior uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought as it adopts by
mental-reflex an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and thus fails to fulfil the requisite totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought registering-of-its-reference-of-thought-rather-as-dementing-and-decentered-to-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and its alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics, which is what allows for transcendence to the prospective reference-of-thought for renewal; that is, this will rather bring about the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior reference-of-thought in ‘incremental circular-complexification’ and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought on a false notion of ‘an intemporal temporality’, naively passing for intemporality as of intersubjective eliciting of temporality. Such notional-conflatedness for ontological-performance implication is easily understood as of metaphysics-of-absence when we grasp that a mindset as of a non-positivistic social-setup needs to ‘wean off organically beyond mere mechanical adjustments’ its non-positivism before the notion of ‘a credible logical engagement in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivism/rational-empiricism with a mindset as of a positivistic social-setup’ can be genuinely entertained. In this regard, the budding positivists had to implied an utter break with medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation to avoid the circular problem of their positivism knowledge and science being interpreted in mystical and alchemic terms-as-axiomatic-construct of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment equally highlights that the idea of a common universal human potential available to all individuals
as procrypticism which is in ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and the ontological-veridicality of deprocrypticism itself construed as a totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism. This explains why our positivism–procrypticism so-construed from a deprocrypticism perspective will be decentered and demented, just as our positivism in relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construal of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought in relative ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought show the latter to be decentered and dementing. As a further elaboration, the circularity and totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-dragmental-disposition attached to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is fundamentally grounded on its teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities established as of its (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. It is only a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose in the medium to long-term that can transcendentally ‘wean off’ from such a teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities of a registry-worldview/dimension by habituating a prospective institutionalisation as of its (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-
induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. This explains as of metaphysics-of-absence why for instance the mere demonstration to approval/acquiescence of positivistic principles/interpretations of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in a non-positivistic as animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup however frequent the demonstrations within a given limited period of time doesn’t mean that the social-setup has been transformed into a positivistic social-setup; since their existentially habituated state of animism or medievalism teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities as of (warped-or-preclusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, will need to be undone/unshackled psychoanalytically in the medium to long-run to veridically achieve positivism; given that that uninstitutionalised-threshold is in a state of circular-pervasiveness-of-‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’! This equally explains the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherent in our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, together with its inherent manifestations of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness and social psychopathy conjugated-postlogism, when construed from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought institutionalisation as in our metaphysics-of-presence beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-
existential-unthought we systematically override the ontological-veridicality implications of such procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and proceed by mental-reflex to uphold our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology at this positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold as of an existentially nihilistic mental-disposition in degeneration of the human existential tale; as all presencing by mental-reflex keep on representing their uninstitutionalised-threshold as institutionalised, that is as ‘centered and dialectically-thinking’, as a ‘delusion of an always institutionalised presencing as of its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ rather than being veridically ‘decentered and dementing’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as of ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’, as logical-dueness doesn’t even arise in the very first place given perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. We can get a projected sense of this as of metaphysics-of-absence in that despite the articulation of positivistic principles/interpretations in the animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup, in the short to medium run individuals will keep on overriding and ignoring such positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology nihilistically, notwithstanding that we may recognise this as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and falling back to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology in non-positivistic animistic or medieval terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, construed from the positivistic perspective as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. As broadly speaking, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is as of ‘an existentially committed madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ of the teleological-structure/teleological-
possibilities, established as of its (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfullness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue; and it is nevertheless so made-up/bottomlined nihilistically, notwithstanding a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought that points prospectively to its relative ontological-deficiency/ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as it is in the bigger picture structurally/paradigmatically ‘a lifetime mental and existential investment as of the specific prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that will not lightly give up on ‘its invested specific prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ despite the ontological-veridicality of a valid anti-nihilistic intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology enabling the human existential tale as of the successive transcendences behind the institutionalisation process notwithstanding that its very own institutionalisation arose out of that anti-nihilistic process, and at the more immediate social-stake-contention-or-confliction level involves temporal concatenation to intemporality as denaturing of the prior institutionalisation’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by their ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, and so as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, due
to lack of constraining social universal-transparency at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such
a madeupness/bottomline being rather as of a temporal extirpatory paradigm and that naively
considers the mutual intersubjective eliciting of temporal extirpatory paradigms to be
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, given a failure to
structurally/paradigmatically grasp intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendentally-
abling implications, and rather confusing this with social-aggregation-enabling
implications. This is clearly made obvious when ‘the very same motif of reasoning’ is
 construed as of metaphysics-of-absence implications say with respect to an animistic or
medieval non-positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought
madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology rather in social-aggregation-enabling, implying no possibility for prospective
transcendence so-construed from a positivistic perspective of analysis in relative ontological-
normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This further points out that, as
herein implied with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of
prospective deprocrypticism as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,
‘originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination transcendental knowledge
conceptualisations’ as putting into question a prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
reference-of-thought teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities, reconceptualised-rather-
as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, established as of its
(given consciousness’s neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, are rather as of ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment’ and not as of ‘a grounded knowledge construct commitment’. Inherently, such ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment’ inevitably and fundamentally puts into question the axioms and underlying supposedly transcendental-enabling notion as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology which establishes its ‘grounded knowledge construct’, and so because of its denaturing of the prior institutionalisation’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by way of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold inducing prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so as a transitional construct that is in effect as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure articulation by its cross-generational transcendental implications projection. Such that such ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment’ cannot be construed in the same terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as ordinary intradimensional knowledge as of the established prior institutionalisation teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities for its ‘grounded knowledge construct’ as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, but rather construed as of prospective relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought it more critically and
organically points to the prospective uninstitutionalisation state of the present registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the prospective institutionalisation state of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus rather implies an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics. It is psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment and not grounded knowledge construct commitment, because it is for instance about articulating ‘prospective positivism axiomatic-construct (occlusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to a relatively underdeveloped registry-worldview/dimension in prior ‘non-positivism axiomatic-construct (warped-or-preclusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, or in the case of articulating ‘futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism axiomatic-construct (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to a relatively underdeveloped registry-worldview/dimension in prior ‘positivism—procrypticism axiomatic-construct (occlusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. It is important to grasp in both instances that such psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment implications are not to be understood respectively as of the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions of non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness which will just induce their totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions for non-transcendence, but rather as of a habituated mental-projection perspective from the prospective institutionalisations of positivism or deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—
devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness. Thus counterintuitively to metaphysics-of-presence conception, human living-development, human institutional-development and human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as ‘banally’ portrayed historically is not as of an expanding ‘grounded knowledge construct’ from time immemorial as of a wrong incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex as if humans have had only one ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. But actually the underlying process is one of ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling as of a succession of prospective institutionalisations maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construed from a succession of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ so implied by an ontology-driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ enabling successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy with respect to human notional deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of institutional-cumulation; such that counterintuitive to what we might be inclined to think, the development of human psychology is not as of ‘a grounded construction that simply varies incrementally across all times’, but rather ‘a construction which teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency are sharply rearticulated in succession of institutionalisations as of ontological conflatedness’, and this is important ‘to avoid unduly considering our whole psychical-nature-and-potential as of our present positivistic institutionalisation mindset/consciousness as of metaphysics-of-presence’, but rather grasp that there are teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency of our mental-projection and mental-disposition as of
deprocrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ beyond just what we can imagine as of our presence as positivism–procrysticism. This analysis brings out what is effectively meaningfulness as it shows that meaningfulness is more completely about apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights thus involving the ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the ⟨given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness and then ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ for effectively articulating their meaningfulness as of instantiative-context or existential-instantiations with respect to existence-as-the-absolute-a-priori imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring emanance/becoming/intersolipsism; and these are the two underlying commitments that make-up meaningfulness. Within an institutionalisation framework the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is utterly geared in a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought by mental-reflex presupposes-and-assumes the ontological absoluteness/indubitability of its ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of reference-of-
thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold by substituting it with the prospective institutionalisation ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and just triggers ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ on that basis for its intradimensional grounded meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains its ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’, and explaining why transcendence fully occurs as of a cross-generational habituation process. Remarkably, such a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the institutionalisation process enabling the human existential tale in successive institutional-cumulations is always rather perceived intradimensionally as an exceptional-askance and unordinary. For instance, the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition in their own times advocating the end of such perverse human institutions like serfdom and
slavery were construed in their own times by their dominant societies as of exceptional-askance and unordinary such that in effect these actually engendered great conflict before such practices came to an end; and such metaphysics-of-absence analysis does apply with respect to superstitions, universal human rights, free society, modern science, etc. but then as of our developed present institutionalisation the idea of not entertaining such practices is viewed as not an exceptional-askance and ordinarily to be expected. This explains human mental states respectively as of prospective uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold and as of prospective institutionalisation with respect to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as the process enabling prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of same totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality hitherto considered off limits to any challenging maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness at the uninstitutionalised-threshold but then acknowledged thereafter after prospective institutionalisation; with the implication that the possibility for all prospective transcendences as of opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology arise only by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness but presences in their closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology consider maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of exceptional-askance and unordinary due to their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex avoiding being ontologically decentered and demented. Insightfully, this point out the circumspective nature of any transcendental knowledge construction exercise as of ontological-tolerance to avoid on the one hand outrightly articulating construed ontological-veridicality at the expense of avoiding any Being-development/ontological-framework-expansional engagement, as such a psychoanalytical commitment necessarily recognises human potential to transcend, and the
other hand the nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that ‘supersedes humankind and doesn’t factor in human moods and whims’ in its effectiveness. Caught between these two elements human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘often actually imbued with active and passive mental-strategies of compromise’ but which wouldn’t cut it with the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness necessary for human development and progress. Being-developement/ontological-framework-expansion and progress requires ontologically-veridical as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm ‘responses’ as of universal implications and not temporal extirpatory paradigms ‘reactions’ of mere circumstantial implications. Such a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought prospective reference-of-thought ‘construes as circularity and totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag pretences of knowledge and judgements which are rather in hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing in ordinariness/averaging-of-thought social-aggregation-enabling’ when expounded by a prior reference-of-thought going by its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, since there is no sound/authentic knowledge and judgements outside the prospective reference-of-thought relatively sound/authentic knowledge and judgements as of its relative ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; and so structurally/paradigmatically as of the relationship between non-positivism and positivism as well as our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as pre-
empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This underlying notion of ‘notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness construal/conceptualisation’ can further be expanded upon contrastively with regards to knowledge practice in many a totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality not subject to immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework thus rather eliciting atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness that induces relatively poor ontological-performance. The central element here has to do with the pervasiveness of ‘conceptual patterning’ that actually speaks of a nombrilistic/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising approach to conceptualising knowledge based on an intellectual exercise of producing patterns of thought with little consideration as to their underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. At its worst, such an orientation construes of categorisation/taxonomisation of knowledge as inherently representative of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by that mere exercise. Such a constitutedness ends up misconstruing the organical depth involved and renders all knowledge constructs so categorised/taxonomised on the same vague plane of mechanical equivalency undermining their transcendental-enabling, originality, organic nature and more often than not turning them into platitudes as rather concerned with perceived academic formulations and formats in of themselves rather than ontological-veracity as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling. The underlying mental-reflex for this intellectual disposition associated with conceptual patterning is the assumption that by mere categorising/taxonomising ideas on the basis of their similarities and differences it should be able to attain a grander truth as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. But then such an approach is naïve by its failure to reckon the
reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which implies that human conceptualisation tends to develop from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as of the incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought. Such that a naïve categorisation/taxonomisation conceptual patterning perspective on that basis equally inherits that ontological-incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought; with the consequence that it is not ‘notionally structured’ to conceptually factor in human poor to perfect/near-perfect construal on the basis of conflatedness but rather suffers from constitutedness. This weakness is underlined and resolved by the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism that enables conflatedness in line with existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. It is such a conceptual patterning mental-reflex associated with categorising/taxonomising dispositions in constitutedness that is behind the naïve but poor influence of the saying that ‘every idea has already been thought of before’ with the nefarious consequence of ‘emphasising themes and authorial differentiation within such categorised/taxonomised thematics in of themselves’ as if a totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-study mainly involves intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans within the scope of their mortality on the naïve assumption that such categorising/taxonomising effectively covers analytically the entirety/potency of existence as the absolute a priori, whereas such is achieved rather by a conceptualising as implied by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy-or-post-convergence that places existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context above intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans in their mortality in determining intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as of
intersolipsistic insight. Consider for instance that in the run up to the development of theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics in the early part of last century, the scientists involved weren’t in the exercise of evaluating their respective theories in a closed framework emphasising their respective ‘ownership-of-theories’ as mortals but rather an opened framework emphasising whosever theories contribute in disclosing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the superior third party. This can equally be compared to naively articulating categories/taxonomies of sounds on the basis that their constitutedness defines the entire existential possibility/potency of musical compositions that can arise but then the ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ doesn’t submit to such a naïve categorising/taxonomising constitutedness but rather such ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ is as of an emanance/becoming/intersolipsism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-instantiations that is grasplable rather by a conflatedness as enabled by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy-or-post-convergence. Given our limited-mentation-capacity, existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is then the preceding and transformative element of meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity enabling our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for grasping ontologically-veridical organic-knowledge articulated in any given totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the wrong approach for prospective intellectual creation is one that simply lumps authorial articulations under given themes together in ‘mechanical association’ without factoring beforehand their respective ‘transcendental-enabling dynamism and implied organic-knowledge’ as of conflatedness. This equally underlies the pervasive disposition for
misattributed and misfocused analyses as such blurry intellectual exercise become a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag disposition focussing less on the possibilities and insights of prospective elucidation and expansion of knowledge as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as being the transcendental-enabling immortal/first-party, and turning more and more and placing the stakes rather on authorial second-parties/mortals competing analyses even to the extent on occasion of undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling immortal/first-party. Further, such conceptual patterning will often fail to identify the appropriate point for grasping intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as instead of emphasising conflatedness in originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination projection into existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, it emphasises mere structural patterns inducing constitutedness, and so whether at specific or synoptic levels of analysis. This extends to the way issues are raised, questions are posed, as well as their supposed resolutions; ultimately lacking in providing theoretical, conceptual and operant constructs of universal applicative pertinence, and explains a certain position of closure that holds that philosophy is just a vague thinking exercise. Furthermore, whereas an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling construal highlights the ontological-contiguity of all knowledge as of their reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic relationship, conceptual patterning seem to naively imply a discreet relationship of knowledge constructs with little insight of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework interconnectedness as this is often not the primary driving focus, as it is naively assumed that the conceptual patterning is a correspondence of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of the mere structural conceptualisation in constitutedness rather than striving
to expand the transcendental-enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework existential-reality potential, and this easily leads to virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal. The defect of conceptual patterning is easily overlook mainly as philosophy is of first order knowledge, a level at which knowledge differentiation doesn’t easily manifest itself. Such errors of conceptual patterning will hardly arise in second-level knowledge where transcendental-enabling implications arise in a specular way. For instance, while hereditary is an underlying conceptual patterning idea in biology, it will be unthinkable to try to lump together and undermine the originality of subsequent hereditary notions of genetics on the basis that these are of the same conceptual patterning as earlier notions like Mendelian heredity as the transcendental-enabling differentiations are spectacular. Finally, one practical intellectual flaw arising out of such naïve categorising/taxonomising conceptual patterning has to do with a certain vague intellectual practice based on perceived intellectual pertinence in terms of the authorial ‘precedence of mentioned terms’ irrespective of association whether simple formalistic identifying of terms and notions with little consideration of the divergence of implied organic-knowledge as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework nature and differences as well as their divergence in meaningfulness-and-teleology implications. This again leads to lumping, artificial categorising and undermines originality and organic-knowledge, turning this into simplistic mechanical associations with the more serious consequence being that the more decisive notion for human knowledge renewal as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, becomes seriously undermined; as it refers to a transcendental-enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework renewal of a same totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with such effort for renewal often laden with a tradition that is naively of
constitutedness undermining requisite creativity as of conflatedness, as it ‘critically presupposes beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought that prospective meaningfulness is deterministically tied down to a certain categorising/taxonomising relationship with the prior conceptualisations’ in the given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Ultimately, the idea here is that approaching intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with our given limited-mentation-capacity in other to achieve ontological-veracity requires a rather counterintuitive mental-reflex as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context that ‘originally reconstructs the ontological-pertinence of axiomatic-constructs and their derived-conceptualisations’. Such an analytic insight as of a deprocrypticism (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness analysis as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy, points out that actually, and according to this author’s view, such a currently discussed philosophical issue as the hard problem of consciousness arises as a result of a fragmented thematic construal as of constitutedness wherein a more profound view of the philosophical enterprise as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework here hasn’t been entertain sufficiently to point out that effectively it is a problem that actually ‘devolves out’ of the more fundamental issue of Being as of its emanance/becoming/intersolipsism but is rather being posed as of a ‘disjointed/fragmented analysis’ as a consciousness grounded problem. This equally explains this author’s construal of human consciousness development as rather of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion; consciousness defined as of ‘notional-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-
within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency’. The fundamental fact is that existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiatiative-context is the absolute a priori of intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology prior to any human derived knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, and hence existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiatiative-context is the foundational absolute a priori any (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness constructs, by which our limited-mentation-capacity can most pertinently accede to by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence insight. Thus existence as the absolute a priori implies it is as of the entire ‘conflatedness for human construction of ontologically veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implied as of notional-deprocrypticism; this is notionally known as ontological-aesthetic-tracing. The implication here is that conceptualisations/construals not only of consciousness but virtue, aesthetics, episteme and nature together with their derived human notional-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising notions like psychologisms, ethics and moralities, arts, epistemologies and methodologies, and natural sciences are but as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as derived conceptualisations/construals of the very conflatedness that is as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion or
existence-as-existence-potency/existential-possibilities. The underlying insight explaining human limited-mentation-capacity flawed mental-disposition for constitutedness lies with human misconstruing from ‘existential-instantiations’ the ontological-veridicality of axiomatic-constructs as derived from the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. The ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations in imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring’ as of existence’s emanance/becoming/intersolipsism is what provides humankind-as-of-it-subpotency with direct mental access to existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality, as humans don’t have direct mental access to conceptualised/construed existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality-as-of-its-full-potency, but rather projectively-or-anticipatorily construe of axiomatic-constructs about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as derivable as from existence-as-the-absolute-a-priori imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring emanance/becoming/intersolipsism in elucidating existential-instantiations, as of (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, and so as of the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the institutionalisation process. Otherwise with a naïve mental-reflex of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ of existential-instantiations, we will rather tend to wrongly construe ‘the conceptual patterning of existential-instantiations’ as rather being ‘axiomatic-constructs as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence-as-the-absolute-a-priori imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’, thus inducing virtualities or ontologically-flawed construals associated with the
uninstitutionalisations. Thus, the ontological-veracity as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of ‘the axiomatic-constructs of a (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence-as-the-absolute-a-priori imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ generating knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue implied as meaningfulness-and-teleology, is rather ensured by the construal of existential-instantiations as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness which is as of conflatedness, thus enabling the institutionalisation process. It is interesting to grasp here that we cannot from our ‘sense of conceptual patterning’ claim to put into question the inherent nature of existence as the absolute a priori and as of its implied superseding–oneness-of-ontology, since existence is structurally/paradigmatically precedent and our conceptual patterning is arising secondarily as of our shoddy-and-incomplete construal of the ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations’ as of existence’s imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring emanance/becoming/intersolipsism; and any such pretence of conceptual patterning is nothing but a virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal as of naïve constitutedness. Of course, it is rather prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that will imply deeper ontological-veracity of the same underlying purview for the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition grounded on existence as the absolute a priori. Insightfully and making the case against conceptual patterning as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ of existential-instantiations, this points out that existence inherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology necessarily implies ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is effectively as of a natural transcendental-enabling-
contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness ‘in wait’ to be elucidated however imbricated/threaded/recompusured such an exercise, explaining why our knowledge-constructs/theories/interollopsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of a given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in conflatedness need to be as of a ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and more than just conceptual patterning that doesn’t or poorly attends to a natural transcendental-enabling-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. For all the above elucidations highlighting the ontological-veracity implications of constitutedness and conflatedness, it should be noted that emphasis is rather on the deficiency of limited-mentation-capacity in construing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the more profound/complete recomposuring of the very same totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights/reflects in its subsuming interpretation the true deficiency of the shoddy/incomplete. This can be expanded upon as follows, the reason why ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness can only be construed with certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency ‘rather as a constructed-deficiency of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness’ lies in the fact that the construal/conceptualisation of a totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘supposedly as of a perfect or near-perfect or relatively-perfect ontological correspondence between such human construed/conceptualised meaningfulness-and-teleology and the inherent intrinsicness of the totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-
existential-unthought of human construal/conceptualisation of it’. The only human construal/conceptualisation that can guarantee or relatively guarantee such a perfect or near-perfect or relatively-perfect ontological correspondence is as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/confalatedness. Since there is no direct correspondence between ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness with the inherent intrinsicness of the totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existentellar-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of human construal/conceptualisation of it, it is thus only from a constructed-deficiency of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/confalatedness which has such a direct correspondence that the certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness can be established. A direct approach to determine the certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness will simply lead to a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal, as failing to elucidate the correspondence of ontological-deficiency to the inherent intrinsicness of the totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with such a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal often wrongly involving ‘reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality rather than ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’ as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality since a logical correspondence with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will be vaguely implied by mental-reflex; as is often the case with postlogism and conjugated-postlogism. By and large, this overall conceptualisation
explains the nature of ‘notional constructs’ as implying a variance of poor-to-perfect ontological-performance of the same underlying idea conceptualised as of its perfect/near-perfect/relatively-perfect ontological-performance as in-sync/corresponding with inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existent-ual-unthought of human construal/conceptualisation of it. This fully articulates the dynamic relationship of human limited-mentation-capacity as of its poor to perfect relationship with/conceptualising of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality; respectively as poor as of constitutedness and as relatively-perfect/near-perfect/perfect conflatedness, construed as notional-conflatedness as of constitutedness-to-conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity. Insightfully, it highlights that constitutedness arises as of human limited-mentation-capacity ‘poor/unsound/shoddy/incomplete unanticipated/unprojected’ construal/conceptualisation of axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating of existential-instantiations’ as of ‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’, while conflatedness arises as of human limited-mentation-capacity ‘good/sound/profound/complete anticipated/projected’ construal/conceptualisation of axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating of existential-instantiations’ as of ‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’. Notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as such highlights an underlying ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the constitutedness-to-conflatedness dynamism of human limited-mentation-capacity with respect to human ontological-performance-as-of-its-
broadest-implications amenable to human-subpotency/'subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency’, and so whether as of natural ontology/natural sciences, social ontology/social sciences, aesthetics-as-ontology, virtue-as-ontology, etc. of critical relevance is the notion of existence as of human-subpotency or human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency, implying the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of pivoting nature of human knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue wherein it is about existence-as-enabling-of-humankind-potential/possibilities or existence-as-emancipatory-of-humankind-in-the-broadest-sense-of-its-thought-and-projective-potential-but-beyond-just-the-engrossed-contemplation-of-only-humankind. All knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue are thus for-human-studies or for-human-constructs in the sense that these do not add anything to the given abstract/imaginary existence but are simply enabling to human curiosity and emancipation; that is, whether humans in 2000 BC or 2000 AD are knowledgeable about notions as genetics, theory-of-relativity, universal human rights, etc. doesn’t add anything to ‘abstract/imaginary existence as a pre-given’ pointing to the fact that human existence is about human-subpotency construed as of successive defining transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-levels-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism as levels of human existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. Thus in effect the natural sciences are actually for-human-studies whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about ‘human consciousness as for material and physical
effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness’ while the social domains of study are actually for-human-studies whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about ‘human consciousness inherent effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness’. This validates the idea of dualism as ultimately human-subpotency effecting can only arise from the conflatedness of human consciousness in-its-embodiment as the potent ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’ for human self-conscious existence and meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, whereas the human body as matter though physically existent cannot as of such its constitutedness conception be construed/conceptualised as of such a ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’. In the bigger framework, human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance as of collective human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity implies that human knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue inherently suffer across the institutionalisation process successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes from ‘an extended metaphysics-of-presence deficiency’ on human ontological-performance that can be traceable as of a notional-deprocrypticism ‘extended metaphysics-of-absence insight’ construed as ontological-aesthetic-tracing; and we can always grasp insightfully of human existential hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance from the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/relative-ontological-normalcy as of base-institutionalisation realisation of the hyperbole of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation realisation of the hyperbole of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism realisation of the hyperbole of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and
prospectively deprocrypticism realisation of the hyperbole of positivism/procrypticism. Ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of notional-deprocrypticism perspective refers to the underlying idiosyncratic, intricate, compounded and pervasive ‘succession of premeaningfulness-as-psyche-of-existential-stake constructs’ as of notional-conflicatedness/confoundedness-to-conflicatedness from human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as it reflects relative ontological-performance-as-of-its-broadest-implications of any (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue and as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘abstract teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities’; and it reflects any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific institutionalisation-by-uninstitutionalisation-or-uninstitutionalised-threshold dialectical-thinking/dementing construct as a specific aesthetic trace of ‘ontologically elevated-by-degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation. Ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflicatedness of notional-deprocrypticism equally supersedingly enlightens the idea of holism which is often somewhat articulated as in the statement ‘the whole is greater than the sum of its parts’ but failing to specifically clarify that ‘limited-mentation-capacity constitutedness conceptualisation construes of an ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating-as-of-its-specific-confoundedness that is relatively shoddy and incomplete’ and generates virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal when it construes of parts and whole in a given totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality and so as a derived/unoriginal mental-reflex as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, whereas limited-mentation-capacity conflatedness conceptualisation as of notional-deprocrypticism-as-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construes of a ‘non-mediating incisive as referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness profoundness/completeness’ by an incisive totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that further expands human grasp of the given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as a non-derived/original mental-reflex of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism. The latter is effectively what relays the ontological-veracity of the totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied axiomatic-construct as of completeness/profoundness subsuming the reality of the perceived whole and parts within the incisive conflatedness; pointing out that the fundamental issue is how human limited-mentation-capacity effectively construes intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of its profundness/completeness. Consider in this particular regards the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected as akin to an engineering product like a jet engine wherein the conceptualisation is an incisive conflatedness that goes beyond the whole and parts of the jet engine to grasp a conceptualisation profundness/completeness of required critical performances like fuel burn, maintenance cycles, robustness, etc. construed as of the articulated depth of the reference-of-thought of aircraft engine engineering science. This overall notional conception extends as well to the various ways by which human limited-mentation-capacity ‘accosts’ intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, bringing about the various registry-worldviews/dimensions categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness induced neuterising or prospectively deprocrypticism.
referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfullness-and-teleology. That is, the deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in its referencing of conflatedness, with no intermediating construct as of constitutedness, thus achieves ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfullness-and-teleology. While the occlusive/preclusive/warped/trepidatious-consciousnesses mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings by their successive intermediating categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive constructs as of constitutedness on conflatedness induce their successively categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness meaningfullness-and-teleology. This ultimately points to the centrality of the implications of the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' as of its deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness as a notional conception in construing meaningfullness-and-teleology, while avoiding its ontologically-flawed constitutedness construals in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the various neuterising. Hence the ‘notion of limited-mentation-capacity’ as it overcomes ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness towards ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness is what is effectively and ontologically defining of issues of reference-of-thought of meaningfullness-and-teleology given that as of its ontologically veridical conflatedness it is the cumulative recomposuring of human limited-mentation-capacity as deepening limited-mentation-capacity that is behind the institutionalisation process itself, and also underlies temporal-to-intemporal individuations differentiation as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfullness-and-teleology-and-longness-of-register-of-meaningfullness-and-teleology as of limited-mentation-capacity, and as this is so-conceptualised from the ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
reverberation/existence-potency’. In other words existence is already given rather as of its potency, and the real problem of existence is humankind’s access to existential possibilities as of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity. That is, human transcendence is what achieves existence as a ‘potent construct’, as the notion of existence-as-a-grounded-construct doesn’t-make-sense/is-unavailable for any specific human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construct, including our positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview/dimension, as this will falsely imply that our reference-of-thought totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is ‘developed enough’ as of Being-and-contemplation to have achieved the full potency of existence to then know what’s existence whereas in reality such totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag highlights human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence. Thus our construal of existence can only be an ‘as of existence’ exercise that rather highlights human potential to transcend towards grasping existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities; with that potency only instigated as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for transcendence. Basically, existence as of prospective base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, existence as of prospective universalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, existence as of prospective
positivism reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-totalising–self-referencing-syncetising-menality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought but for the former transcendent instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, and prospectively human-subpotency futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-totalising–self-referencing-syncetising-menality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought but for the former transcendent instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism; such that all that is left of permanence determination about existence is its transcendental construct as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing, as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. Interestingly, from our vantage positivism/rational-empiricism perspective, we’ll certainly construe the supposed intradimensional resolution of existential issues of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of ontological-performance including virtue-as-ontology arising in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as intradimensional menality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of base-institutionalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as intradimensional menality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of universalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism as intradimensional menality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of positivism/rational-empiricism superseding projection/anticipation, but we won’t or hardly construe of the same as of our totalising–self-referencing-syncetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag about our positivism–procrypticism as it being of intradimensional menality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of deprocrypticism as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought superseding projection/anticipation!
This points to the flaw of a Heideggerian Dasein conceptualisation as it wrongly implies ‘humankind has any developed mental state as of Being-and-contemplation in any past-to-present epoch’ to ‘fully register as of that epoch’s metaphysics-of-presence’ what is existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities not factoring Being conflatedness totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as rather driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism across the entire institutionalisation process, and further in contradiction to the notion of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance. Existence is rather a ‘potency construct of transcendence as of human existential potential’ and not ‘a grounded construct for construing existence’ as wrongly implied/attempted with the Heideggerian Dasein notion, as all what ‘grounding’ does is to wrongly elevate the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in which such a construct is articulatedly grounded thus contradictorily undermining the possibility for transcendence by wrongly implying that the said registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is of absolute ontological-performance, whereas it is deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism in inducing prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings that allows for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus expanding human notion of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. Anecdotally, the prophesying social scientists of their times who insist on the recurrence of the practices of the creed are ‘not stupid’ as they know very well that categorical-imperatives/axioms.registry-teleology for meaningfulness-and-teleology are just that with respect to an animal of limited-mentation-capacity beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought who is bound to circularly elicit shortness-of-register-of-
very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as such a pretence circularly turns into constitutedness at the given reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold; highlighting the fact that human potential attainment of the deprocrypticism as preemtping-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is actually a ‘perpetual transcendence’ as of notion-al-deprocrypticism as notional-preemtping-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which points out that the various uninstitutionalisations from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to procrypticism are actually levels of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and that the various institutionalisations from base-institutionalisation to conceptual-deprocrypticism are actually levels of preemtping-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, all reflected as of notion-al-deprocrypticism. The validity of the construal of existence as-of-existence-potency rather as transcendence is that in the state of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance humankind can only credibly adopt a ‘conflatedness exercise’ rather as of effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-projection-or-re-anticipation to match existence as of existence-potency given existential ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of iterating-of-existential-instantiations emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ to further elevate its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This thus validates the notion that existence can only be construed as a transcendental conflatedness as of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism and not as a grounded constitutedness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
meaningfulness-and-teleology of theoretically perfect/sound ontological-performance’; given that the ‘succession of institutionalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising rules of the successive reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’s’ overcome retrospectively to prospectively the problem of human limited-mentation-capacity by its deepening thus inducing successive human transcendence of human finitudes as uninstitutionalisations/uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Here as well the Derridean postulation of decentered-infinite-freeplay in lieu of such a conceptualisation of a ‘projected ultimate centered-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of theoretically perfect/sound ontological-performance’, as implied by this author’s notion of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, operantly displays the philosophical tradition problem of constitutedness as failing to project of the transformational implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,.as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,.by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination for successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in bringing about successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as of conflatedness that prospectively ultimately grasps the centred-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of the full-institutionalisation-process or notional-deprocrypticism. Despite such a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay conception being the most radical attempt hitherto to overcome the philosophical tradition constitutedness, it perfectly grasps the implications to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of ‘centered-totalisation as of circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance’ but rather as within a same horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. However, it fails to grasp that such a centered-totalisation itself arises because an axiomatic-construct is a circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology
construed as transcendental centered-totalisation or extrapolated-centered-totalisation or extrapolatory-totalisation or transcendental-totalisation and reflects the reality that a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay can also be construed as an interpolatory-totalisation or interpolated-decentered-totalisation. For instance, we can grasp that ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ is a given ‘centered-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of less ontological-performance of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, while with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ brings about a new ‘centered-totalisation/circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as we can do more things with the latter axiomatic-construct more-profound/grander meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance; and interestingly, physicists will surely fancy that they could do better in ultimately grasping theoretically the full-potency of existence divulgeable as of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with an ambition for a theory of everything. However, a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is nevertheless critical as a first step for breaking away from a prior centered-totalisation of a very same totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance, and thus by extension with regards to the
very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities which is a given reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; and for all practical matters this has been the way Derridean deconstruction has been commonly applied as in effect all our meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance has been as of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ horizon and such a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is an inspired conception providing the groundwork as its initiates the centred-totalisation exercise for the insight of a futural différance as of the latter’s transcendental-totalisation that underlies conflatedness in breaking with the philosophical tradition or human knowledge conceptualisation tradition or towards fulfilling the understanding of Being. In this regard talking about the physics example again, such a Derridean freeplay différance is akin to the ‘putting in question exercise’ that surrounds the cooperation/mutual-complementing-ideas-among-various-physicists leading up to the critical breakthroughs; which then establish such physics centered-totalisation schemes as Newtonian physics and later on Theory-of-relativity and Quantum-mechanics, and today with respect to various theoretical efforts with the potential of leading to a physics Theory of Everything. Inherent to futural différance is the notion of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, construed in the immediate-and-short-term as of ‘self-referencing’ as the prior uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal individuations circular undermining of the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic implied transformation/shift as transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as well as the idea of temporal individuations ‘syncretising’ that underlies a spiralling cross-generational increasing
undermining of the prior uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought which is in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its ultimate cross-generational collapsing for the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought; and so as of prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamism with increasing social universal-transparency as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully again, this idea of infinite-possibilities/circularity implied as of a Derridean infinite-decentered-freeplay of a given meaningful-frame/axiomatic-construct/model such as mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs circularity is familiar to physicists and other scientists who understand that there is no infinity in the real-world/existence and infinity showing up in mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs point to the fact that there is a circular or undefined or undecidable problem arising from poor human limited-mentation-capacity conceptualisation implying the given mathematical model/axiomatic-construct is in circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of the axiomatic-construct relative ontological-discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality} in constitutedness, and thus a need for a more ontologically-complete mathematical model/axiomatic-construct that as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratioicination then resolves/overcomes the circularity/circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflected in the prior mathematical model/axiomatic-construct by the infinities-as-circular-or-undefined-or-undecidable with a new mathematical model/axiomatic-construct in relative ontological-contiguity as of conflatedness, and so as of the very same totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and so because human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination induces
paradigmatically/structurally grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-
performance of human implicit-or-explicit constructed axiomatic-constructs of
purviews/domains of construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and this equally
applies by extension to reference-of-thought—as-of—‘reference-of-thought—devolving-
teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the very same totalising—purview of
construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. It should be noted thus that
an axiomatic-construct is as of an implied correspondence with an inherent totalising-
purview-of-construal-as-existence or totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-
as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and it supersedes and is defining of logic which is
rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-
existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as reflected with any given explicited
axiomatic-construct in the same way that insight/intuition is reflected rather with regards to
any given implicited axiomatic-constructs; with an axiomatic-construct such as an idea or a
concept or a notion or a theory being any conception as of meaningfulness-and-teleology of
supposed existential-implications correspondence. That is the traditional knowledge
conception articulated as ‘axioms of logic’ is rather vague, with the appropriate articulation
being rather ‘logic of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought’, as the axiomatic-
construct/reference-of-thought is the effective human limited-mentation-capacity supposed
 correspondence relation with existence as the absolute a priori for human-subpotency
possibilities for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-
constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue, with increasing ontological-performance as of human
transcendence; even though such a conception as ‘axioms of logic’ could be perceived rather
as a meta-conception or more like a technical practicality akin to say the scaffolding of a building! In other words as the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, logic and by extension mathematics imply ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, whereas axiomatic-constructs as reflecting ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions are construed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. But then as of ‘ontology of logic’ and ‘ontology of mathematics’ as their very own respective conceptualised meta-axiomatic-constructs as ontologies in terms of reflecting their philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, both logic and mathematics are construed practically as formalisations which are mainly as such constructs of faithful/reproducible syntaxisation on the supposed basis of ‘smarter and simpler articulations’ for the sake of succinctness, clarity and fungibility; however, without the implication of any other inherent transcendental-enabling of such formalisations besides their succinctness, clarity and fungibility usefulness ‘thus-limitedly construed as their inherent meta-conceptualised ontological-veracity/axiomatic-construct of logic and mathematics transcendental-enabling’. But then it is naïve to construe of mathematics, as logicists have tended to do, as essentially an exercise of mathematical formalisation. The fact is that mathematics have always been developed implicitly or explicitly in association with or inspired from the context/existential-contextualising-contiguity of other applied and transcendental-enabling activities as of their axiomatic-constructs development and
mathematics very own existential-reality of developed axiomatic-constructs applicative orientation, including developing together with heavily dependent mathematics domains like physics, engineering, other applied sciences and statistical studies. This latter situation which is more real than generally said and makes of mathematics a purview of existential axiomatic-constructs and more so than the ‘abstract romantic image portrayed as of the mere manipulation of numbers and forms’ as if not inspired as of existential-reality contextuality itself. Thus naively taking cue from the formalisation of mathematics as if it will enable the inherent transcendental-enabling of any discipline is bound to lead to disappointment, as the inherent axiomatic-constructs as theories, concepts, notions and ideas of the existential domain in question have to be critically developed as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for logic and mathematics to then be relevant as of a secondary tool or at best a concomitant tool. In physics the Newtons, Leibnizes, Einsteins, Poincarés, Schrodinger, Bohrs had to develop the transcendental-enabling of the physics axiomatic-constructs purview of construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with mathematics being accessory to the transcendental-enabling. They didn’t just start to develop ‘patterns of mathematical equations’ without the prior insight about the physics domain and what to strive for, and actually from that ‘physics reality precedence perspective’ got the insight to further develop their relevant branches of mathematics. Nor do even pure mathematicians just go about constructing ‘mathematical patterns’ as of formalisation without striving to get insight and inspiration from existential-reality as transcendental-enabling. The naivety of logicism lies exactly in this respect of construing formalisation as most of what is supposed to be achieved, and failing to grasp that when it comes to social reality its own transcendental-enabling has to be ‘creatively construed’, and this in many ways explains the frustrated conclusion that will often then arise from such a naïve formalisation perspective that the philosophical exercise is not necessarily transcendental-enabling, contrary to the precept of
all other knowledge! Thus the conceptualisation of logic implied by any given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-as-of—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the very same totalising-purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities points to the fact that the various registry-worldviews/dimensions operate their own conception of logic as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as we can appreciate inherently as of metaphysics-of-absence that however deficient, that each registry-worldview/dimension does have its own sense of logic as of its self-conscious construed meaningfulness-and-teleology. The notion of an absolutely valid logic can only arise on the backdrop of an absolutely valid reference-of-thought-as-of—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation, wherein such a logic is its ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, the link-up of all the concepts and notions articulated herein by this author speaks of ‘suprastructural logic’ that is critically articulated as of a prospective notional-deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling metaphysics-of-absence and conflatedness, and further subsumed in the word candidity or candour-capacity. Such ‘suprastructural logic’ is even more damning about the naïve constitutedness construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that besets the knowledge and philosophical tradition. Such a conception of logic and logical analysis points to the totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag naivety and vagueness involved when construing logic and logical analysis as absolute without any explicitly implied or formulated reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-
of-meaningfulness’; usually in our case, in a non-transcendental totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that is unconsciously implied as of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Insightfully, such a ‘suprastructural logic’ undermines metaphysical notions like good, essence and truth as being naively construed as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in lieu emphasises Being construed as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being which best reflects and captures meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’. Being as of its implied notional-deprocrypticism’s conflatedness provides elucidation to such question as: what is the meaning of good/truth/essence in a recurrent-utter-institutionalised, an ununiversalised or a non-positivistic society? And invariably the answers will be a vague totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of each registry-worldview/dimension, and it is rather the emanant insight of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion that carries the prospective transcendences which are the resolution of the successive prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments; and so by successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of the institutionalisation process as base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism respectively, and prospectively deprocrypticism. Being construed as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being thus enables the superseding of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Further, the fact is that it is rather axiomatic-constructs whether explicit or implicit

Thus the relationship between a prospective institutionalisation and the prospective uninstitutionalisation is one of relative ontological-contiguity–by–ontological-discontiguity of their differing references-of-thought as of the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities; for instance, with regards to the relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought implied as of base-institutionalisation over the relative ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) of reference-of-thought implied as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of their differing references-of-thought and thus implied logic with regards to the very same purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-reality, reflected as of relative mutual unintelligibility. In axiomatic-construct terms, it is ‘mentally-unsound/demented and by derivation illogical’ to be insisting on articulating notions of relevance to the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ like space-time or quanta in terms of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of their respectively corresponding relative ontological-contiguity and relative ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality), and so with regards to ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. Such mutual unintelligibility, with regards to reference-of-thought, speaks of differing ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as axiomatic-construct’ of
the differing references-of-thought, with the traditional philosophical and knowledge anti-psychologism stance fundamentally grounded on a mix-up about the nature of ‘axioms wrongly construed as elements of logic’ as implied with statements like ‘axioms of logic’ rather than the fact that axiomatic-constructs are ‘ontological wholes of correspondence’ as of supposed correspondence with totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality and thus carry transcendental-enabling implications as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination, whereas logic and logical analysis is rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and at best yields formalisations grounded on the implied ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as axiomatic-construct’ but doesn’t reify meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge which can only arise as of the ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as axiomatic-construct’.

Such a logicism disposition is rather in constitutedness and is behind such naïve contention that philosophy doesn’t carry transcendental implications and actually undermines other approaches that strive for transcendental-enabling by way of conceptual patterning arguments blinded to transcendental implications of knowledge as derived from existence as the absolute a priori. In the bigger scheme of things, this author holds that the deepest ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’ in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of transcendence reflected by metaphysics-of-absence is wholly sufficient as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in accounting for ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought with regards to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. This author phenomenological transcendental conception is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant implications construing/conceptualising of the institutionalisation-process, not as an external speculative dialectics, but as a wholly internal natural dialectics in conflatedness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. Such that human phenomenological totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance is the ‘complete scientific archaeological depth’ for grasping ontology and Being as of the conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity implications construed from notional-deprocrypticism perspective as ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and consequently doesn’t carry any external ideological implication but rather for the inherent ontological and Being implications. Further as of such phenomenological transcendental conflatedness, there is no issue about existence itself as it is pre-given, as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency, but rather an issue to humankind arising as of its subpotency in the full-potency of existence with all the problem of existence being the issue of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity implications as failing Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. The phenomenological insight here about the nature of ‘existence as so construed as of
ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’ is that Being is the conflatedness as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation selectivity inherent in existence that rather skews presence states towards the ‘ontological statistical-exception’ of intemporality over temporality possibilities, thus rendering existence as of relative teleological orderliness and not teleological chaos in the case were all ontological-possibilities as of temporality-to-intemporality were to be arising in equivalence/equal-measure. Thus, such ontology’s-directedness-as-Being conflatedness projective-totalitative-implications as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness existentially supersede abstract/imagined/misconstrued/virtual constitutedness possibilities as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-exisitential-contextualising-contiguity’ implications that are effectively as of non-existence. The further implication is that human ‘prior existential-reality insight as arising by conflatedness as of the coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’ rather ‘points to the ontological-veracity of prospective existential-reality as of conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’; wherein as of human-subpotency the ontological-veracity of the institutionalisation process as leading up to our present positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension speaks of a conflatedness as of successive opened-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding closed-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and from which Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion exercise we can’t as of soundness-or-authenticity exculpate ourselves to then pretend ours is the registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought that is non-transcendable as of our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, when the insight of prospective transcendence implications as of deprocrypticism/pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought avails, and so as the conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-
Being. This further explains why there is need for corresponding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion with respect to human technical development, and as with prior technologies future technologies will necessarily imply renewed human self-consciousness which is not by itself a given and needs to be ‘thought through and effectively conceptualised’ with respect to the future implications of human development, nuclear weapons knowledge, electronic communication, artificial intelligence, etc. as ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ is subject to ontological-decadence as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existent-unthought. Such ‘ontological statistical-exception’ of intemporality as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being permeates all existential processes including life itself. This explains why the solipsistic/first-natured intemporal mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prior institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as prior ontology’s-directedness-as-Being is necessarily the requisite mental-disposition for the ‘inventing’ of prospective institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as prospective ontology’s-directedness-as-Being; and so, overcoming temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of prospective uninstitutionalisation failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Ultimately, phenomenology is all about grasping the conflatedness of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. Furthermore, just as a transcendental-enabling biological science in relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought will dissociate modern day
heredity DNA genetics as of its theoretical, conceptual, methodological, operant and applicative implications from say 19th century Mendelian heredity however its inherent merits, and will not naively purport to analyse the former on the grounds of the latter which as axiomatic-construct is in relative ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) on the basis of a naïve conceptual patterning implied as of the common term ‘heredity’; this author Likewise is very much critical and averse to such conceptual patterning mental-reflexes imbued in traditional non-transcendental philosophical and knowledge analysis all too ready to construe and articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in sophistic conceptual patterning terms overlooking transcendental-enabling implications, and failing to fathom that conceptual patterning is no substitute for transcendental-enabling work required for all knowledge notwithstanding setbacks and failures that may be involved, given the reality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance arises as an exercise of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existence or totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality! Consider for instance criticisms often levied against post-structuralism and specifically Derridean deconstruction as simply convoluted expressions of familiar and trite ideas. But then the effective transcendental-enabling insight as of their applications arising in the social sciences and literal studies clearly demonstrate otherwise. Further many such critiques have tended to be naïve about what passes for theory whereby naïve conceptual patterning of general knowledge are articulated devoid of ‘new theory’, with little or no transcendental-enabling implications, which in reality is nothing more than a sophistry of argument from authority. This conception
of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity can equally be demonstrated in graphical terms as a problem ‘not along the curve created-by-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative ontological-discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality} of axiomatic-construct but rather a problem arising as of the need for ‘a change of the curve to-be-created-by-deepening-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative ontological-contiguity of axiomatic-construct for grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, as of the very same totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. The totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought involves taking cue from existence/existential-contextualising-contiguity/contexts as of existential-instantiations imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring in a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism; wherein say with a demand curve, the insight as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination of a significant rise in consumers’ salaries implies that everything else being equal the demand curve-axiomatic-construct will shift to the right as of relative ontological-contiguity. The notion of axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity arises out of its existential completeness and profoundness, for instance the axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity as concept of a bicycle arises by the completeness and profoundness of the bicycle in its existential wholeness of functionality and contents as its ontological-contiguity. Ontological-contiguity rather highlights relative perspectives as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence depths of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought of construal; which for instance renders the idea of general relativity in relative ontological-contiguity and newtonian physics in relative ontological-
discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality} rather as uncorrelated, whereas a notion of ‘continuity of ontology’ as is implied by ‘ontological-continuity as of relative ontological-continuity and relative ontological-discontiguity’ will seem to imply correlatedness by the very nature of the term continuity. Ultimately, the overall analysis above points out that this is not an inherent ontological-as-of-the-full-potency-of-existence problem but rather a problem of human-subpotency as of its limited-mentation-capacity that is resolvable by the deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics with respect to reference-of-thought; as contrary to the ‘Derridean différance decentering’ freplay that is entrapped in circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the wrong implied assumption of the same perpetual horizon as registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought so-implied as of our positivism mental-disposition, a ‘futural différance’ recognises that human limited-mentation-capacity transcendence brings about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus it centers-as-dialectically-thinking the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to override the circularity as ontological-discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality} of meaningfulness-and-teleology implications of the prior uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, and thus broadening human-subpotency in the full-potency of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities as implied retrospectively to prospectively with the institutionalisation-process as of notional-deprocrypticism. What
ontological-performance, – pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules
of Deprocrypticism enables the prospective grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-teleology on
the basis of deprocrypticism-as-pre-empting-‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-
ontological-normalcy/post-convergence-referentialism-rules-abstracted-as-of-‘conflatedness’-
of-occurrences/existential-instantiations by its deprocrypticism as pre-empting-
‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ human-limited-mentation-capacity type of
construal, as relevant in the meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of
protensive-consciousness about recurrences/existential-instantiations. Sixthly, the resolution
as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–
renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination is ultimately
with the notional-deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness as of its notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity superseding of transversal intemporal-to-temporal human
limited-mentation-capacity implications. Such superseding is actually attained as of the
specific protensive-consciousness specific human premeaningfulness-as-psyche-of-
existential-stake. That is, as of the very same purview of construal as existence/existence-
potency/existential-possibilities, the limited-mentation-capacity meaningfulness-and-
teleology ontological-performance with regards to the very same totalising–purview of
construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities of the successive
consciousnesses as of the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes
differ by their Being premeaningfulness-as-psyche-of-existential-stake, which ultimately
undergo ‘decomplexifying/uninhibiting–(as-of-elevating-devolving-teleological-structure-of-
meaningfulness-as-prospective-institutionalisation) maturing as ontology’s-directedness-as-
Being all along the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes involving
ontological-normalcy/post-convergence reference-of-thought in relative ontological-
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void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of extended metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation and as of the insight of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor. The latter highlights the recurrence of such ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold phenomena’ as averaging-of-thought and institutionalised-being-and-craft. For instance, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology have arisen as second-natured constructs that have substituted for their prior uninstitutionalisation free-for-all averaging-of-thought framework, such that many a subject matter domain like the heavens, forces of nature, material nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as abstract intemporal/ontological-driven conceptualisation as of respectively formal religion, formal science, legal system, etc. voiding free-for-all construals as of temporal social-aggregation-enabling teleological dispositions as of respectively animistic dispositions, alchemic and essences-driven explanation of nature, crude mob justice, etc. Insightfully, as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, anthropologists are very much aware that the social diffusion of new transcendental-enabling practices into a given society are more likely to be adopted as of the society’s institutional and formal percolation-channelling framework than as of a first-natured intemporal-as-ontological ‘direct convincing’ at individuals-level underlying deferring to institutional and formal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the need for profoundness and rigour that doesn’t avail in ordinary thought for transcendence. Likewise, on occasion in the face of prior institutionalisation established and perceived vested interest such intemporal-as-
ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology could be ontologically undermined as of institutionalised-being-and-craft. Consider in this regard Establishment efforts undermining the Diderot-led Encyclopédistes project. Furthermore, every registry-worldview/dimension relates to its value construct as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought constitutedness as more or less absolute, and doesn’t factor in that its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a structural/paradigmatic deficiency inducing the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag of its value construct. But then prospective institutionalisation necessarily implies a notion of prospective value construct as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness which will be unintelligible to the prior value construct, such that it is only a sense of intemporal consummation that drives transcendental dispositions as it is paradoxical to expect that what is in need for transcendence acts as transcended, as transcendence is inevitably and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions a state of paradoxical conflictedness as more profoundly involving a cross-generational meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-unshackling than a grounding conceptualisation! Furthermore, both the prior institutionalisation value construct and the prospective institutionalisation value construct are their respectively given centred-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology, with transcendence conflictedly implying overriding the prior institutionalisation’s centred-totalisation-facticity for the prospective institutionalisation’s centred-totalisation-facticity. But then the human institutionalisation-process is an empirical fact, and thus the resolution of this transcendence paradox is rather reflected by the dynamics of human positive-opportunism as of human totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag as social universal-transparency avails with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, wherein while in the immediate-and-
short-term human ‘self-referencing’ will seem to imply that it is almost impossible to transcend from a given social conventioning centred-totalisation facticity but cross-generationally human ‘re-conventioning whether driven by a sense of pure ontology as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism or otherwise with say cultural-diffusion’, as ‘syncretising-effecting’ on meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction induces human transcendence. Consider in this regard historical transcendence elicited by cultural diffusion whether with respect to trading or invasion or voyages of exploration. The fact is a social-setup is structurally/paradigmatically a framework where individuals are naturally involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities, and thus individuals and social groups are not in an absolutely given/set self-referencing centred-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within their social-setup and are predisposed on critical occasions as of syncretising-effecting to ‘reinvent’, circumvent or adapt as to what they perceive as optimum existential possibilities, such that a social-setup is already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation as of its very own internal ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and it is this element that enables all human societies to have a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to each other, including at the very extreme between an industrial age society and a hunter-gatherer society. Without such a structural/paradigmatic ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as totalising–self-referencing-syncretising human nature’, both internal social transformation however lethargic and cultural diffusion will be basically impossible, and totalising–self-referencing-syncretising induced transformation arises because human perceived social-stake-contention-or-
confliction drifts within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities. In this regard, the rapid transformation implications of cultural diffusion arise because it makes relatively immediately available to individuals and social groups a comprehensive set of options however limited the nature and speed of their adoption. This syncretising-effecting mechanism ultimately explains why cross-generational transcendence occurs notwithstanding a seemingly self-referencing centred-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within a given social-setup in the immediate-and-short-term. Transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought occurs because structurally/paradigmatically it is social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of intemporal-as-ontological nature as of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given their ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as of more profound ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation as of existence-potency, even if outlier, that are most likely to be syncretised cross-generationally as providing the most overall positive-opportunism by their relative universal projection implications and are formally-and-overtly assumed, and so over temporal-as-ontologically-flawed social-dispositions and mental-dispositions which are more or less formally-and-overtly unassumed as of their temporal denaturing nature or poor universalisation. However, such a conception of ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ is not actively contemplated socially but occurs latently and passively with any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as its inherent social-dispositions and mental-dispositions are rather as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought with regards to such transcendental implications! Despite the fact that all social-setups tend to be surreptitiously permeated with individuals temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of suboptimal ontological implications for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations. It may thus seem from within just one human generation perspective that the underlying human metaphoricity for transcendence is rather marginal especially when not associated with any external cultural diffusion. However, human metaphoricity as of cultural transformation had tended historically, in the main, to ebb in peaks and lows, and so as of the relative universal-transparency about such metaphoricity instigative mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition direct, indirect and/or devolving implications. The fact that individuals in a social-setup are already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities and is thus of a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to internal and external metaphoricity, also critically speaks to the fact that any social-setup is only able to hold together because of ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ that is subject to existence-potency validatory ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. As of its circularity, the lack or poorer cause-and-effect
determinism of any such ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality threshold of a social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology’ allows for the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to reconstrue-and-redefine the social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective metaphoricity possibility cannot be preempted because even the social-setup conventioning in its functional operation of meaningfulness-and-teleology needs this ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ in other to affirm itself over any spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology that may be articulated by individuals or groups, with the result that a social-setup ever always exposes itself to prospective metaphoricity in one way or the other when such spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology is not of poorer but rather of a superseding ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications as of the social-setup given ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality. We can consider in this regard that an animistic non-positivistic or medieval non-positivistic social-setup will certainly imply a ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ respectively as of superstitious spiritualism meaningfulness-and-teleology or scholasticism pedantic dogmatism meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the given social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific registry-worldview/dimension as of superstitious spiritualism or scholasticism pedantic dogmatism. It is exactly this ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’ that equally makes available the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to demonstrably undermine the implied ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence-as-of-existential-reality’ of such prior social-setups registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of the prospectively induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology as from existence-potency perspective of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by way of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework such as with prospective positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, given the inherence of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, inevitably prospective metaphoricity undermines vested interests as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving implications of prospective metaphoricity and by that token elicit sophistic inclinations to such prospective metaphoricity meaningfulness-and-teleology. Further any such prospective metaphoricity ultimately takes hold rather as of within the social deferential-formalisation-transference framework wherein it is driven by a sense of positive-opportunism as of particular and general social interest. That said, a social-setup is ever always ‘existentially invested’ to a given registry-worldview/dimension and the fact of greater existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification from prospective metaphoricity which may involve undermining such ‘existentially invested’ registry-worldview/dimension in its closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology means that it doesn’t necessarily construe such prospective metaphoricity as pertinent and so where it is nihilistically disinclined by its totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag to dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation. The abstract notion of anti-nihilism as implied by such
prospective metaphoricity is not construed in human temporal terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as a ‘living notion’ going by a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag elicitation of value as of temporal-intemporality. In this regard, as of the temporal ‘mental and existential investment’ of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation prospective base-institutionalisation anti-nihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology is basically nothing and worthless, likewise as of the temporal ‘mental and existential investment’ of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation prospective universalisation anti-nihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology is basically nothing and worthless, same with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospective positivism, and equally so for positivism–procrypticism and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism. Explaining in many ways why the elicitation of value as of prospective second-natured institutionalisation rather occurs as of the superseding of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-intemporality. Ultimately, prospective metaphoricity in a reflection of the individual-as-receptable-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations realistically implies that it is rather fundamentally a question of grasping the mechanism that tips the balance towards human intemporality and subsequent prospective institutionalisation which is ontologically sufficient for prospective ontological-effectiveness, rather than a naïve engagement as if the human is all-essentially intemporal-as-of-an-absolute-ontological-commitment-disposition. More critically, such a conception of prospective metaphoricity cognisant of the decisiveness of deferential-formalisation-transference for institutionalisation and thus subsequent social percolation-channelling, come to grasp that sophistic/pedantic predispositions are the more salient entrenched interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existing-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought with respect to prospective metaphoricity as of the implications of such
undermining of social deferential-formalisation-transference. In this regard, the sophisticated/pedantic barriers to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity implications are necessarily spurious and associated with our positivism–procrypticism institutional-being-and-craft as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving prospective metaphoricity implications. We can appreciate in this regard that for the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation, it doesn’t matter that budding positivism can be demonstrated as more ontologically pertinent as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework, so long as it is socially and institutionally credible to uphold non-positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in effect by undermining its deferential-formalisation-transference. It is with regards to such sophisticated/pedantic disinclination to prospective metaphoricity that the latter elicits contortioning gesturing, wherein for instance Socrates with respect to the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that however say a Protagoras engagement with Socrates may project coherence as of his contextual appreciation of Socrates predisposition for coherence, this doesn’t exclude the possibility of a ‘floating sophistic’ inclination that simply adjusts to its interlocutor thus undermining in the bigger picture the notion of knowledge as of universal coherence idealisation, or still maybe Protagoras is just at the lower end of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation) and budding positivists with respect to medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that the recognition and then censure and then banning of Copernicus’s heliocentric world work or engagement with Galileo’s support of heliocentrism then his persecution for publishing, rather speaks paradigmatically of the covert/underhanded nature of the medieval establishment pedantic disposition as of the implications of ideas undermining medieval dogma as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) construe of such sophisticated/pedantic disinclination as implying ontological-discontiguity with their prospectively implied metaphoricity; with the
consequence that there can’t be common/mutual aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising as of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence and inherently so because of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation inauthentic/unsound apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of respectively non-universalising and non-positivism/medievalism dogma prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought warranting their unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing for the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of prospective non-sophistic universalising idealisation and prospective positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively. Likewise, this author’s critique of the spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement of our positivism–procrypticism with respect to its structural/paradigmatic implicated undermining of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism is not an idle exercise, and so as of such totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of direct, indirect and devolving undermining of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity implications and so with respect to the social analysis implications of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated phenomena as reflected in social-stake-contention-or-confliction issues including
psychopathy and social psychopathy. As of the conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism construal, what underlies the notion of human existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought is the idea that human existence is as of ‘human existential-extirpating projection-or-anticipation about existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities as of human limited-mentation-capacity construing ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought-level-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’, and transcendentally-complemented by ‘human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism projection-or-anticipation of this human prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of human existential-unthought’, and thus enabling an epistemic/notional possibility of correspondence of human implied meaningfulness-and-teleology with the achievement of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective deprocrypticism ‘inherent centred-totalisation-as-existence’. It is those elements of an epistemic/notional possibility of correspondence, as of the totalising–thrownness-in-existence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and onto, that together effectively make human transcendence and the institutionalisation-process possible given that it immanently enables the possibility of successive human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations. In other words, it is human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism that ultimately ‘vouches’ for every given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation for the possibility of a correspondence between human limited-mentation-capacity and the
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue’ construed as institutionalisation, as the latter’s categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology can be denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as of their ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ by the various temporalities in madeupness at its prospective uninstitutionalised-
threshold/uninstitutionalisation. This latter is only undermined driven by ontological-faith-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue’ construed as prospective institutionalisation, by its greater social universal-transparency. Again, the latter institutionalisation’s meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is equally vouched by transcendentally-complementing ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism at its given prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation, as its own categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology can also be denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-

Broadly speaking thus, the projective-totalitative-implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions in social-stake-contention-or-confliction implies that it is naïve to
conceive of a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal and intemporal mental-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ as in effect as of conflatedness this simply wrongly elevates temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation and wrongly degrades the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation; as the former is in reality denaturing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought while the latter is upholding categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Actually such an ordinary mental-reflex of a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal and intemporal mental-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ when it comes to social-stake-confliction-or-contention is only valid as of ‘mutual conceptualisation as of a given institutionalisation with a common ontological-reference-of-thought’ wherein it is then strictly a matter of logical-processing- or- logical-implicitation in determining ontological-veracity. But then at such an institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation, there is a relative variance of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in intemporality entailing the prospective institutionalisation and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in temporality entailing the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation; thus implying a relative variance in such intemporal and temporal teleological projection respectively as of elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation and degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation in determining ontological-veracity. In this sense we can garner that it is inappropriate to imply a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-
thought putting the temporal and intemporal mental-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ and so, as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation and the prospective institutionalisation; given the variance of temporality rather as respectively in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with intemporality rather as respectively in base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point here being that the very notion of transcendence as of conflatedness actually construes of more profound categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that override the prior categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as failing to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation’, and so as of differing references-of-thought in transversal ontological unintelligibility. Neuterisation of analysis as so articulated is effectively a deficient human mental-reflex as of its naïve predisposition to imply ‘equivalence of consideration’ without factoring prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative–implications of differentiated axiomatic/reference-of-thought teleological projection as of temporal degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation and intemporal elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation. The fact of temporal-to-intemporal variance of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought ultimately reflects the fact that the apparent ordinarily assumed ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the very same totalising—purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’ is in effect ‘only valid as of within an institutionalisation framework’, and so as of its implied ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’. This articulated delimitation of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’ within only an institutionalisation framework as of reference-of-thought is critical for an ontologically-complete profoundness/depth of ‘phenomenological departure in-its-overcoming-of-neuterisation’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence as the ‘requisite conflatedness of understanding’, necessarily entailing transcendental implications for ontologically-veridical knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue; as we can appreciate the inherent reality that there isn’t ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’ between recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, between base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation and universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, between universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively between positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism! In this case such overcoming of neuterisation with reference to the variance of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions is rather conceived as deneuterising as of the variance in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology disambiguation of prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation and prospective institutionalisation, and so reflected as of ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism wherein the prospective uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought is in relative ontological-discontiguity–(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) to the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-
limited-mentation-capacity in temporal constitutedness mental-reflexes at presence reference-of-thought, and so reflected by the implied intemporal conflatedness of phenomenological transcendence as of notional-deprocrypticism. We can appreciate the metaphysics-of-absence insight about such a deneuterising storied-construct from the fact that a non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup is ‘not committed in a presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness’ to positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to occurrences and incidents best explained and dealt with by such positivistic meaningfulness as of the latter’s prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. As such non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup ‘will not be self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed-presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of non-positivism and the prospective institutionalisation of positivism’. This equally explains how our positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition is construed in deneuterising from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective ‘as not self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed-presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective institutionalisation of deprocrypticism’. This is actually the ontologically-veridical phenomenological transcendental framework for construing/conceptualising human temporal character and social formation mental-dispositions as of prospective-uninstitutionalisation and prospective-institutionalisation based on the dynamics of limited-
mentation-capacity, unlike a naïve neuterising mental-reflex that by its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag fails to attain such a conflatedness as of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising insight. Central and critical to achieving such a deneuterising analysis in grasping the full and complete possibilities of ontologically-veridical construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology given human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of prospective institutionalisation and prospective uninstitutionalisation is the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. It is exactly what renders a veridical ontological-escalation or aetiologisation of the human condition possible as the ontological-aesthetic-tracing of conflatedness as of notional-deprocrypticism. It is most critical because at any registry-worldview/dimension, human self-consciousness is a presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness mental-reflex as of being-only-in-institutionalisation-and-hence-only-of-a-meaningfulness-and-teleology-that-is-intemporal while defectively ignoring-and-undermining the veridicality of prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation-and-its-assorted-and-conjugated-temporal-meaningfulness-and-teleology such that transcendence is always perceived as unnatural when totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, in the sense that ‘it-is-others-as-of-the-prior-registry-worldviews/dimensions,-that-have-an-uninstitutionalised-threshold-and-the-notion-of-transcendence-is-only-relevant-to-them-as-the-current-presence-is-normal’. The implications of such human presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness mental-reflex as it overlooks human uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation points to the reality that the implied institutionalisation ‘projected reflex of universalised conviction towards meaningfulness-and-teleology’ while a social psychological reference is actually not ontologically-veridical as of human practical reality given lack of social universal-transparency. Such that with regards to
social-stake-contention-or-confliction possibilities the social psychological reference as of wrongly implied institutionalisation ‘projected reflex of universalised conviction towards meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is an abstract social constraint to human temporal mental-dispositions. In practicality such human temporal mental-dispositions involve ‘rationalising threads of part-conviction/part-madeupness perception-and-relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction social-functioning-and-accordance temporal constraints of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, and so as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought reflecting prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation Being underdevelopment; wherein with specific regards to a postlogism-slantedness/psychologism mental-disposition and less and less so as of temporal exacerbation/opportunism/affordability, such instigated part-conviction is rather as of a relevant generalised social projection as ‘‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of conviction’ in relevant social engagement not perceived as of critical social-stake-contention-or-confliction as providing a ‘conviction credibility backdrop’ for subsequent targeted madeupness mental-disposition in relation to specific social engagements perceived as of critical social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Effectively, such part-conviction/part-madeupness with respect to pertinently-perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction contexts arises due to ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness
self-consciousness, and it lies prospectively with Deprocrypticism institutionalisation over our Positivism–procrypticism presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness. This operantly defines procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as beyond just the construal of new supposedly intemporal categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation to pre-empt the temporally denaturing categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation, but rather the deneuterising construal of the very ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor as the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought constraining dynamism’ behind the denaturing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place; conceptualised henceforth as the very categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation as of its implied notional-deprocrypticism. Overall, the fact is that given that what is most relevant to the individual is the practicality as of their ‘rationalising threads of part-conviction/part-madeupness perception-and-relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over just abstract universal propositions, when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction social-functioning-and-accordance constraints such temporal part-conviction/part-madeupness mental-dispositions tend to be ultimately translated decisively onto issues of public repercussions like corruption, mismanagement, nepotism, etc. It is very much naïve to imagine that as of such uninstitutionalised-threshold as of Being/ontological-framework-expansion underdevelopment, individuals in positions of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with respect to upholding/failing probity will simply adhere, at the exclusion of engrained-habits-and-mental-dispositions, to mere propositions of probity rather than in the face of weak-institutional-constraints-and-penalties to perceive such
universal propositions as mere linguistic appendages of relative practical insignificance. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought is the effective and credible deneuterising enabling articulation that grasps such an ontologically flawed mental-reflex that recurrently permeates consciously and unconsciously human phenomenological mentation, as it ‘creibly’ grasps-and-accounts-for, without resorting to any neuterising, the full and complete possibilities of human mental-dispositions as of the exclusive dynamics of human limited-mentation-capacity across all registry-worldviews/dimensions involving the conjugation of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology indviduation and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’. Ultimately, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought given its psychoanalytic-unshackling as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence, points to a self-consciousness that should rather come to terms with the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions resolved beyond just the notion of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but rather their protraction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism conflatedness of Being as implied as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The issue of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion or Being underdevelopment is associated with that of the construal of knowledge as organic-knowledge or mechanic-
knowledge respectively; with the latter construed as of the ‘mere effecting possibilities of knowledge’ without a coherence/contiguity with the ‘knowledge inventing’ mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism behind the given knowledge, as implied with organic-knowledge. It is such a mechanical-knowledge as of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions towards the mere effecting possibilities of the knowledge’ that induces the forgetting of Being construed as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, by undermining the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that is behind organic-knowledge. Human presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness temporal mental-dispositions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought are all too ready to construe of the comprehensiveness of knowledge as mere effecting possibilities of knowledge at the given institutionalisation’s prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold in temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms-as-of-axiomatic-construal as of the plainly implied opportunism with little consideration of the projective intemporal value dispositions behind the ‘knowledge inventing’ and its organic preservation. Thus the institutionalisation process arises exactly to ensure deferential-formalisation-transference second-naturing of knowledge as of organic-knowledge comprehensiveness. The following is enlightening in this regard. [For what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-
and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought is in a state of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of a medieval worldview will grasp that that unique demonstration of medieval-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as accusation of witchcraft) is not to be construed naively as an adequate basis for a new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging mental-reflex’ that re-engages with non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought, given the possibilities of further accusations of witchcrafts or by-and-large the vices-and-impediments potentially arising from such a non-positivistic/medieval worldview as of the ‘local community dynamism of individual interests involved’ that endemises and enculturates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. It is rather the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure transforming of the non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that is ontologically-speaking to be construed as the structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments arising from a non-positivistic/medieval worldview with respect to such notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The same applies with respect to our positivism–procrypticism worldview and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism worldview.]. We can appreciate such metaphysics-of-absence insight as of say in a situation of cultural diffusion the requirement that a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-setup opportunistically grasping mere effecting possibilities of base-institutionalisation knowledge, as of relative convenience to individuals, are much more better off equally coming into terms institutionally with the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism induced intemporality behind the ‘inventing of the base-institutionalisation culturally diffused knowledge’ for an optimum accrual of the Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation; that is, based on base-institutionalisation’s ‘rulemaking-over-non-
rules’ enabling the superseding of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation vices-and-impediments as of its ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’. Such conceptualisation extends to all registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective institutionalisation including our positivism–procrypticism prospective transcendental emancipation to deprocrypticism. Underlying Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion is the construal of knowledge in both its ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ and the ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’. In many ways as of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-reflex, the former is structurally/paradigmatically associated with relatively immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that quickly portrays Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion by its mere relative disambiguation effectiveness of ‘ontologically-veridical knowledge agents’ over ‘ontologically-flawed knowledge agents’. For instance as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism induced intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology positivism/rational-empiricism mental-disposition behind the articulation of Newtonian mechanics inducing its mere effecting possibilities of knowledge, the inherent possibilities of inventing things on this positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge intemporal value reference inherently undermines the pertinence of any other supposed knowledge value reference, like a mystical knowledge construal, of the very same physics totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, such that their inherent contrast disambiguates what is of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion from what is of Being underdevelopment. But then this ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ is just one aspect of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as its mere effecting possibilities of knowledge however effective do not exist in a vacuum but rather within the ‘detached,
contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ which is the complementary background for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion; as we can appreciate that despite the positivistic inclinations of the Copernicus, the Galileos and the Newtons, the scientific advances that ultimately took hold arose because those budding scientists had a sense that the very ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ background had to be superseded as of its scholasticism and mysticism underlying knowledge background for a positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge background to take hold as transcendental-enabling not only to science but transcendental-enabling as well to the open society equally required for the sound functioning of science. It is this dynamic relationship as of ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ and ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ that is behind Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion with respect to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as resolving the vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview/dimension. But then no matter the succession of institutionalisations as successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, there is an ever present issue of Being underdevelopment as of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions existentialism-form-factor wherein institutionalising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are always subject at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds to their denaturing as of their ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’, as of temporal failing to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Hence Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion given human limited-mentation-capacity is rather upheld by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation wherein the abstract intemporal/longness-of-register-
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the prior registry-worldview institutionalisation should equally be reflected as of prospective registry-worldview institutionalisation, and involving the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference second-naturing of knowledge as organic-knowledge. We can appreciate the latter point in the sense that with the development of various positivistic scientific and knowledge fields, the knowledge agents weren’t naïve to imply that the ‘normal social temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of averaging-of-thought’ are appropriate framework for engaging their subject-matter, as they rather promoted formal societies and adopted their specific jargons to ensure that the intemporal value reference mental-dispositions behind their respective ‘knowledge inventing’ was the institutional mental-disposition for engaging with the knowledge formally or as of second-natured education practically available to everyone interested, and so while alienating and considering general social averaging-of-thought as improper and unqualified. This was to avoid a circularity of averaging-of-thought undermining of the intemporal-projection of their specific knowledge, as they contribute in overall Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. The point here is that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds the idea of ‘equal opinionatedness’ doesn’t apply by the mere fact that knowledge of intrinsic-reality itself doesn’t arise by averaging-of-thought but rather ontological-pertinence, and the point of the institutionalisation process as knowledge-led is to harness ontological-pertinence and not averaging-of-thought, thus explaining deferential-formalisation-transference as of institutional percolation-chanelling. This point is central and critical to the very notion of society-as-social-construct, as society is caught between the notion of sovereignty as-allowing-basic-level-of-universal-individual-and-collective-self-affirmation-striving-for-social-equality and the notion of knowledge as-of-selective-construal-of-social-value-and-institutional-hierarchisation-as-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-overriding-social-equality-for-the-sake-of-individual-and-social-emancipation-as-of-efficient-
ontological-performance-implications. The implication of this dilemma is the reality that society is always subpar to a knowledge social determination as well as subpar to a sovereignty social determination. This dilemma is unavoidable by the very implications of a society: every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations; such that the notions of knowledge and sovereignty can only be ‘socially effective’ within this articulated framework as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency’. This articulation can be elucidated more explicitly in cases of cultural diffusion between societies of differing institutionalisation level as such cultural diffusion isn’t by a simplistic institutionalisation knowledge-level transference, but involves a mutual sense of sovereign selectivity and recognition among the societies, however the drive for cultural diffusion; thus allowing for ‘acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation. This is equally the knowledge and sovereignty dynamics that prevails within any given society. Thus, knowledge can effectively and efficiently be pushed forward but rather through an exercise of increasing ‘social universal-transparency’ thus enabling ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. However, all along
this institutionalisation process a suboptimal relation between knowledge and sovereignty undermines Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of various pertinent social manifestations: – wherein sovereignty is affirm over knowledge as ‘supposedly being knowledge’ by a culture of mere social-aggregation-enabling of temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotch opinionatedness, notwithstanding the underlying transcendental-enabling in formal institutional deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling, with the result that beyond the underlying implied institutionalisation-level such a social-aggregation-enabling hotchpotching opinionatedness culture tends to critically and decisively inform individual and collective thought and action in a manner that is suboptimal to intemporality-as-ontology as of the manifestation of such a temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotching culture in the extended-informality that permeates even formal institutions; – wherein by exploiting of temporal mental-dispositions as of individuals and the collective-social sovereignty, knowledge is undermined by wrongly implying the pertinence of social-aggregation-enabling construed as ‘exploitation of sovereignty’/mobbishness as of ‘intellectual institutional-being-and-craft self-serving’ in lieu of upholding institutionalisation, including the tendency to degrade knowledge conceptualisations into popular frameworks of knowledge appraisal thus subverting institutional deferential-formalisation-transference rigorous knowledge framework as of their transcendental-enabling; – the ontologically-flawed articulation of knowledge by an intellectual disposition akin to ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology undermining knowledge as of its organic true nature implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism behind prior ‘knowledge inventing’ and prospective ‘knowledge inventing’, and so as
of intellectual institutional-being-and-craft; – ultimately the very paradox of human presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness means that the human sovereign psyche is one that is geared to construe of ‘presence as all-encompassing meaningfulness-and-teleology value construct’ such that the transcendental implications of knowledge by mental-reflex are construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness to presence, rather than as of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of presence construed as of prospective relative ontological-contiguity over presencing-as-prior relative ontological-discontiguity. However despite this knowledge and sovereignty dilemma associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, the insight about human totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of self-referencing and syncretising-effecting intemporal implications means that the requisite intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-unshackling positive-opportunism can cross-generationally be induced for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion despite the inherent circular distractiveness of temporality, and ultimately so as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency’. The above analysis point out that transcendental knowledge in particular involves more than just knowledge as a grounded construct but as well an understanding of how such knowledge is instigated in society as part and parcel of the knowledge construed as organic-knowledge; given that the social-construct-as-society is not necessarily of immediate receptivity and is of a suboptimal disposition to such transcendental-enabling implications that are not priorly as of grounded constructs of knowledge. This will explain why the mere articulation of positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology constructs of knowledge wasn’t enough in undermining medieval mental-dispositions, and the persistent initiatives of the Copernicus, Galileo, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc., were not vague actions but informed by an intuition about the
nature of human society and how it develops given its inherently untransformable temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor as of human limited-mentation-capacity. Thus as of the institutionalisation process, crucially the issue of ontological-veracity is only half the problem of knowledge, with the other half being the grasp of the underlying sovereignty and knowledge dynamics as of eliciting ‘social universal-transparency’. As it is that latter that induces that social positive-opportunism for deferential-formalisation-transference and institutional percolation-channelling, as of social deferential attribution of power for the beneficial effect of knowledge as empowering various institutional domains. Further, as implying the superseding of entrenched grounded knowledge as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling implications and in destabilising the underlying existential reference-of-thought, transcendental knowledge is of a circular but consistent exercise of totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and so due to the ‘existential and emotive commitments’ it is involved in undoing with regards to the implied prior ontological-discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality} reference-of-thought and introducing the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as of the very same totalising-purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. Consider in this regard, that the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of propective ontological-contiguity is more than just a reification gesturing of its very own axiomatic-construct affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking but extends to encompass a de-assertion/dementing/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, at the threshold where it supersedes ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, as being in ontological-discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-
veridicality) when analysed as of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, and so with regards to ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. The ontological veridicality here is that such ‘double-gesture reification as the prospective axiomatic affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking together with the prior axiomatic de-assertion/dementing/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’ implied as of the non-presencing in transcendence and sublimity is not to be construed as an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ of the superseded presencing, but is rather a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in subsuming ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. While the emotional involvement and sense of ‘existential ego undermining’ involved in such a transcending reification gesturing of axiomatic-constructs as of the very same totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is relatively trite as occurring within the same registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of the positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology mindset as well as its distance rather with respect to physical reality, such a transcending reification gesturing as of the grandest axiomatic-constructs having to do with consciousness with regards to the ‘very reference-of-thought itself’ wherein the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism/disjointedness-as-reference-of-thought implies a transcending reification
gesturing that not only affirms deprocrypticism prospective registry-worldview/dimension but in that affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought de-asserts/dements our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, this will elicit an existential and emotional involvement that will rather convert into a circular neuterisation of deprocrypticism by a mental-complex avoiding such emotional discomfort and sense of existential ego undermining as is the case with all uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalisations with respect to their prospective institutionalisations. This explains why it is not a fundamental contradiction as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor at uninstitutionalised-thresholds that the positivistic/rational-empiricism initiatives of such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo, Descartes, Diderot, etc. were met with counteracting reactionary views, and as it further elicits ontologically-flawed ‘uninstitutionalisation by institutionalisation dialogical equivalence’. This can’t be the case because dialogical equivalence can only arise where there is ‘common reference-of-thought’ whereas a state of institutionalisation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is veridically in an institutionalising/enlightening/educating exercise relative to a state of uninstitutionalisation as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and not such a flawed notion of dialogical equivalence. We can appreciate even within a same reference-of-thought like our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension that there is no dialogical equivalence between the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ in ontological-contiguity and ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ in ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) but for the former’s enlightening the latter’s undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality. This insight reflects
the reality of transcendence across the institutionalisation process associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, wherein prior uninstitutionalisation mental-reflexes of presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness in their incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ tend to perpetuate the representation of prospective institutionalisation as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akraisiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) in an ontologically-flawed dereification gesturing of neuterisation, rather than maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought implied as of prospective institutionalisation’s deneuterising. It should thus be noted that such a transcendental exercise is not about passing the test as of the judgment of prior uninstitutionalisation mental-reflexes of presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness which is ‘ontologically flawed and wanting’ but rather is as of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling for prospective institutionalisation relative to such presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness that circularly reinstitute the uninstitutionalisation temporality as if intemporal in incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. In other words prospective institutionalisation arises as of ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought is introducing a ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ that blocks-out/supersedes/de-asserts/dements as of
ontological-discontiguity the ‘prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’; with the implication that our ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning’ is not admissible to prospective ‘deprocrypticism/pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning’ and so from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of deprocrypticism, just as ‘non-positivistic medieval reasoning’ is not admissible to prospective ‘positivism reasoning’ from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of positivism, etc., across the successive institutionalisations of the institutionalisation process; and so as of ontological-discontiguity of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontologically-flawed predisposition in circularly striving to reassert the ‘prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ over the ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ is fundamentally due to the paradigmatic/structural lifetime ‘mental and existential investment’ in the former, such that by and large it is mostly a crossgenerational transcendence and sublimity that fully brings about the adaptation of the induced ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ as the ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontologically-flawed circular predisposition arises due to human temporal disposition as of Being underdevelopment that tends to lead to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought denaturing of knowledge as mechanical-knowledge and undermining organic-knowledge; wherein knowledge is related to as of existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, that is, knowledge related to as of ‘the mere positive-opportunism it engenders at best’ with little or no cognisance that there is an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of
intemporality behind ‘knowledge invention’ that must be preserved and perpetuated as ‘the very core of knowledge’ and so to undermine knowledge denaturing, so-construed as organic-knowledge. Organic-knowledge requires the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology rather in nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as the profound-and-complete articulation of knowledge, and as the very attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme behind knowledge that induces the appropriate psychoanalytic-unshackling for its reception. In other words, we can’t seriously contemplate a profound positivistic knowledge engagement with a non-positivistic as animistic or medieval mindset without the idea of priorly eliciting the appreciation-and-adoption of a positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme when contending about any salient positivistic articulations as otherwise all such positivism/rational-empiricism articulations and explaining will be reconstrued circularly in animistic or medieval terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as of the latter teleologically-degraded prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relative to our positivism–procrypticism necessarily requires priorly the requisite apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity from positivism–procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset into deprocrypticism’s pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as otherwise such knowledge will be teleologically-degraded in circular positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though in the latter case our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage
as metaphysics-of-presence blinds us to appropriately appreciating this given the human mental-reflex of representing any prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives—as-of-denaturing) as of our presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing—self-consciousness. The point here is that the meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed has to supersede the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective/framing/reference/horizon for its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity-enabling purpose, even if that implies being temporally unpalatable, given that the fundamental purpose for the underlying aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not temporal extirpatory paradigm. Put another way, for instance, Newtonian physics doesn’t have any inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology as we can appreciate from a positivism/rational-empiricism perspective/framing/referencing/horizon with an animistic social-setup as of the latter’s attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme underlying its meaningfulness-and-teleology thus requiring the latter’s prior apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity to a positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme ‘for the notion of the mutual contemplation of Newtonian physics to even arise’. This speaks of the centrality of attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme with respect to human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as it is what underlies apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought. Attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as such carries a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘underlying sense of end-teleology/end-purposefulness’ and thereof its operative-construct and implicative-construct with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology. It further implies a
totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or specifically with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. For instance, with respect to coming across and living say in an early hunter-gather society with its interpretation of ill-health as of bad omen, we will still maintain an ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transversality/logical-incongruence’ as of the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of positivism’s/rational-empiricism’s perpectivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, at least as of our self-conscious awareness, even as this reflects mutual beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as when we publicly pretend to act otherwise by subscribing to the interpretation within such a social-setup. As construed within a given reference-of-thought, say in our positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought we can further have the conception of the physics or biology or law or literature or even just entrepreneur or accountant or technician specific attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, and further at the individual level as of changing attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. Attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as so-construed is critical fundamentally because the notionally inherent human capacity for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is directly associated with ‘attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension to be able to achieve transcendence and sublimity’, and so as of intemporality. With regards to living-as-of-human-personality-developing, we can appreciate in the case of a child’s personality development as of its given attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme that it has a poor dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of its more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-
carefreeness requiring that the child is directed to end at successive stages infantile habits as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension that ultimately involves major stages like schooling, greater social autonomy and responsibility, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. Such ‘living-as-of-human-personality-developing’ as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is construed as the more profound attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for human optimum living, and so over say an animal-like immediacy attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of living. With regards to the second-level of social aetiologisation/ontological-escalation associated with ‘attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’, for achieving transcendence and sublimity; humankind construes of existence as ‘more than just plain living as animals’ but as enabling for various domains of social projections dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension so-implied across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether in an animistic social-setup involving animistic practices or in the modern social-setup as of our modern practices involving subject-matter specialisms, trade roles, functionaries, arts, research, sports and other activities, etc.; with each involving their specific attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. The idea being that this provides more existential possibilities by the overall expanded human capabilities available directly or indirectly to fulfil individuals desires and needs. Finally the third-level reflects ‘intemporal ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension, and so not only retrospectively but equally prospectively. Thus, an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme can pertinently be defined as the ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transversality/logical-incongruence’ inducing a given specific non-presencing outcome with regards to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-or-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and establishing-and-upholding the underlying framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with that attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. It can be construed with regards to prospective transcendence as a structural/paradigmatic adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification inducing-and-upholding a prospective ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct as totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. In other words, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is utterly apprehended decisively by its given attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of the ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transversality/logical-incongruence’. This insight is critical as for instance with appreciating what is implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme by its given specific non-presencing outcome; as we simply have to project/anticipate its ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transversality/logical-incongruence’ implied as of preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed as thinking as it remains unintelligible to our positivism–procrypticism’s
teleology as of its ontological-performance to avail, and so in reflecting the ‘incisive-and-intransigent nature of existence as the absolute a priori’; as we can appreciate this with regards to existence’s relative validation of the positivism/rational-empiricism ‘perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation’ interpretation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s ‘bad omen’ interpretation. Such an ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transversality/logical-incongruence’ has ultimately nothing to do with the deliberate willing of the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. As we can appreciate that without implying a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of a child’s living-as-of-human-personality-developing, the child’s poorly developed attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme will poorly face optimum living of adult life or where such was the case about all human children then the human species will be no more culturally unique than any other animal. Again, as of human social-projection-institutional-orientations we know that subject-matter, trades and bureaucratic expertise come with a requisite implied attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in detachment from averaging-of-thought as we know that, everything being equal legitimately, it is the professional electrician as of its assumed-and-unflinching professionally-institutionalised-as-dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme whose workmanship is guaranteed to produce the best and safe outcome for electrical installations; and so dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of averaging-of-thought dispositions thus expanding human needs and desires possibilities. Likewise, the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension
assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
transversality/logical-incongruence’ of a relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought over a relative-ontologically-flawed attitude/mental-disposition/care–
and–episteme is implied for prospective reference-of-thought transcendence and sublimity, as
of overall human existential and technical emancipation. Basically, while attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme implied with regards to both living-as-of-human-personality-
developing and social-projection-institutional-orientations arises as of second-naturing
institutionalisation. However, attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme specific
instigating of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is originary/event-of-prospective-
ontology-origination as of humanity level
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; inducing thereof social institutionalisation
second-naturing by way of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-
channelling. Inherently, the very grounding of Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is beyond presencing, and actually
lies prospectively in existence’s non-presencing. The implication here is that as of its very
‘nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought behind the entire
institutionalisation process’ Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme cannot be contemplated as of second-natured
institutionalisation living-as-of-human-personality-developing and social-projection-
institutional-orientations attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in ‘existential-
extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’ which structurally/paradigmatically ‘do not project
beyond reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought’ to grasp prospective existence’s non-presencing. Thus with regards to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, overall it is the underlying intemporal-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of successive institutionalisations as associated with the intemporal-as-conviction reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology, rather than temporal-as-token-or-madeupness denaturing of the same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that are responsible for the underlying nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought behind the entire institutionalisation process; and so construed as of an abstract notion of perpetual/eternising preservation of Being, and so beyond temporality existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought lack of the projecting attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for prospective institutionalisations as mainly concerned with the physical human lifespan extirpatory framework as absolute reference of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘with little sense of coherence as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’, and thus the latter cannot unlike the former be the framework for aetiological/ontological-escalation as of universal implications, and particularly so as of the ‘naivety of eliciting mutual temporality as intemporality or eliciting of averaging-of-thought’. This notion of fulfilling a given prospective institutionalisation’s requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme underlies the very idea of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence as well as dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence; as where one party does fulfills the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of a given institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and thus its corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology,
and the other doesn’t as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This further explains why epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise with the successive prospective institutionalisations of the institutionalisation process, wherein for instance the positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care-and–episteme of say a Galileo or Descartes is circularly beyond the contention framework of scholasticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, speaking of the impossibility of logical-congruence between the positivists and scholastics with only the utter dominance of positivism arising as of its ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework induced positive-opportunism as of scientific, medical, technical advancements, free society, etc. that leads to the crosigenerational collapsing of scholasticism. It is interesting to note here that such positivist scholars were ‘never beholden to a convincing exercise with scholasticism but rather with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’, and for which purpose rather opted to create internally-coherent positivist networks and societies for the perpetuation of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology while averting its denaturing by wrongly implying ontological-contiguity with scholasticism. But rather implying ontological-discontiguity given the latter’s flawed paradigm as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The insight here is that knowledge is not about ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’ but more critically about a third party validator known as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ which is the transcendental-enabler above the mortals that are humans, and that the exercise of knowledge construction is rather an interhuman transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing exercise in search for the validation of the ‘superior party that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework’, and so
beyond institutional-being-and-craft and social-aggregation-enabling averaging-of-thought. Where these latter practices become de rigueur as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought denaturing of the requisite intellectualism required for further Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, and start undermining knowledge construction as of its intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling, effectively there shouldn’t be any compunction as of human intertemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to overlook them and imply intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence and/or dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence in other to preserve genuine knowledge over charlatanism; as such intellectual-bad-faith practices do not speak of ‘genuine intellectual disagreement’ but undermining of intellectualism basically and do not merit to be elevated teleologically to the level of intellectual contention because of their underlying knowledge denaturing predisposition. This is critically the case with registry-worldview/dimensional reference-of-thought transcendence implied knowledge given that the old/prior-superseded as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construes of ‘implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of presencing while the new/prospective-superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construes of ‘implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of prospective non-presencing. This brings home the reality that it is inevitable that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are necessarily ‘paradigmatically/structurally conflicted’, with prospective transversal/logically-incongruence ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework being the critically fundamental determining arbiter of what will prospectively pass for knowledge
rather than the naivety of logical-congruence of interhuman contention at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold; as fundamentally the issues faced by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc. as of ‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ are structurally/paradigmatically fundamentally inevitable as of their articulation within a non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism context. This is the case since at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, such a framework of logical-congruence of interhuman contention is structurally/paradigmatically superseded, in the sense that every institutionalisation say for instance scholasticism scholarship has its ‘genuine intellectual engagement framework’ as of its underlying attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, but then at such at uninstitutionalised-threshold implied by prospective positivism/rational-empiricism such a modern attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is prospectively implied and so increasingly beyond such a framework of the logical-congruence of scholasticism interhuman contention; and is reflected in their mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. This is equally reflected with regards to the prospective transcendence implying knowledge proponents, as the very notion of implying a prospective transcendent conceptualisation as of organic-knowledge is one that undervalues the presencing attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of its social-stake-contention-or-confliction while the very notion of perceiving highly the meaningfulness-and-teleology within a prior institutionalisation framework is one that is necessarily apprehensive and flatminded to the notion of a prospectively undermining non-presencing transcendence attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. In addition, the disruptive uninstitutionalised-threshold contextualisation as of such divergent commitments and ‘lack of perceived constraining framework of logical-congruence of interhuman contention’ further radicalises the human disposition to act temporally beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought institutional-being-and-craft as of perceived vested interest, striving to undermine prospectively implied transcendence meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. What is then the manifestation of such intellectual undermining which must necessarily be understood as of knowledge-notionalisation required as of the notional-conflicatedness of deprocripticism/pre-emption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought protensive-consciousness? Intellectual-bad-faith as of its charlatanic effect fundamentally involves the undermining at any human uninstitutionalised-threshold of the possibility of intellectually induced social universal-transparency; for the ultimate outcome of undermining any such intemporal knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference behind the second-naturing for prospective institutionalisation. Such a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought undermining exercise is geared towards the ontologically-flawed apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity of social averaging-of-thought and temporal-intemporality social-chainism, on the conation of upholding intellectual-bad-faith contentions; by its deflating of the conception of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human mortals contentions in transversality, wherein the ‘superior party’ of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is the validator of ontological-pertinence as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and thereof ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ as new reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so over and above ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’. Thus intellectual-bad-faith as of its charlatanic effect undermines, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that could jeopardise pre-established
temporal interest, and cultivating rather incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought in overlooking concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework strife to uphold-and-promote the ‘superior party’ which is the non-presencing of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with such intellectual-bad-faith rather advancing such an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness accommodating framework for strategically cultivating pre-established temporal interest. Central to such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is a simplistic, poor and inadequate articulation of the notion of scepticism usurping genuine intellectual scepticism. Such a poor notion of scepticism operates by a spurious relationship with intellectual contentions that is susceptible to legitimise-or-delegitimise arguments however ontologically pertinent or impertinent as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, rather as of its commitment to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that in many ways could just as well validate averaging-of-thought and temporal-intemporality attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and their social contentions. As in effect, such intellectual-bad-faith scepticism fails to act as a ‘knowledge-growth-mechanism with regards to the perpetuation of knowledge coherence and pertinence’ as is the case with genuine intellectual scepticism, but is rather geared towards a dogmatic pedantry/mandarinism that usurps the very notion of scepticism, and so as of the naïve implication that proceduralism is the substitute for existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as transcendental-enabling and sublimity. This poor scepticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme usurping the pre-established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, has enframing implications as of the forestalling of prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ upholding of the primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so over mere ‘interhuman negotiating
or agreeableness’; as this subsequently undermines intemporal knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference behind the second-naturing for prospective institutionalisation. Rather the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of genuine intellectual scepticism is encrusted within the very notion of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of human meaningfulness-and-teleolgy, given human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. Such a genuine intellectual scepticism construes of knowledge by its given purview of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the competing contending construals elicited relative credibility and relative scepticism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, thus enabling the upholding of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, which as of its transcendence-enabling nature brings about prospective human emancipation. While genuine intellectual scepticism rather strives in a comprehensive intellectual credibility and scepticism framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, intellectual-bad-faith scepticism avoids such constraining as it rather emphasises a predisposition for discreet, ‘ontologically unconstrained framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-totalitative–implications’ and non-comprehensiveness, that rather allow for selectivity, incompleteness and perfidy passing for genuine intellectual scepticism. Effectively while genuine intellectual transformation involves dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, a perfidious intellectual-bad-faith scepticism involves eliciting a sense of immediacy and temporality as of averaging-of-thought and temporal-intemporality social-chainism as ‘developed thought’, thus deflating the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension intemporal detachment/backstep for transcendence-and-sublimity. In this latter respect, and for the possibility of prospective
social transcendence and emancipation, social practices at any given period as ‘becoming constructs’ are not inherently ontologically sacrosanct by the fact that these are the outcome of preceding prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of preceding intemporal dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, and by that very implication this is what carries the possibility of ‘inventing’ as-of-prospective-institutionalisation social practices as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Intellectual-bad-faith ad-hoc pretences extolling social practices as of presencing but of a poor conception outside the prospective relative-ontological-completeness behind such social practices ‘inventing’ as-of-prior-institutionalisation and so-implied as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, are but denaturing and down the line equally undermines prospective relative-ontological-completeness for the further emancipation of human social practices. As such intellectual-bad-faith ad-hoc pretences extolling social practices as of presencing are of the same notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity kind that bathe in the averaging-of-thought and temporal-intemporality social-chainism that implied as much about extolling social practices presencing of existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought reasoning-from-results/afterthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and today’s positivism–procrypticism, with little prospect/opening for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. Essentially and constructively, all intellectualism as of their intemporal job description as emancipative is to relay in uninhibited/decomplexified terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct the blunt reality of the social as this is the very attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme that empowers prospective social emancipation however socially uncomfortable it may sound; and so beyond habituated totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The fact that
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology, which is construed as more fully articulating the notion of authenticity. This practical conceptualisation of authenticity as of its method is further critical because however well elicited, even reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructs still need their good ontological-performance in practice, and given human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, there is always room for human denaturing temporal ontological-performance of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructs induced by reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning; pointing to the fact that ultimately the underlying ‘sanctity of knowledge’ arises from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as of such authenticity based intemporal organic-knowledge that is wary of the denaturing that can arise as of temporal mechanical-knowledge that ‘dispenses with the originary/as-of-event spirit of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ and adopts a mere pedantic relating with the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driven reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning holds the prospect for an ever renewal of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, undermining institutional-anchoring and logocentric complexes/denials/pedantry of such prospective transcendental possibilities. Such prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transformation for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology is the reflection of a reality of human mental regeneration potential that speaks of the continuity of humankind as of the same relative-emancipatory potential as pertinently reflected with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ retrospectively and prospectively; with relative-emancipation construed as the inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology truth form of existence, wherein truth is as of immanented-teleologically-pertinent-truth over truth-devoid-of-immanented-teleology, for instance, like the teleological disposition of living organisms for self-preservation beyond just their organical composition. Thus, human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism underlies the conception of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialetics cross-generational as enabling human transcendence-and-sublimity, and is reflected in ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ as of grander dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Finally as a further analysis, Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion is by a rather surreptitious manner undermined by what this author qualifies as ‘subterfuges of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ which are rather as of ideology; ideology in the sense that these are ‘commitments’ ready to ‘forego the pre-eminence of knowledge construed as of its ontological-veracity’ which is the only assurance of optimum construct of knowledge for human emancipation. Ideology as such takes the form of either ‘ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ or ‘reactive fear of ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. In both instances what is lost is Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion itself, such that besides temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology interests undermining natural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, natural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion is perceived as a risk that will foster ‘ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ or ‘reactive fear of ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’, with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion itself losing out. These subterfuges are behind the awkward, unnatural and clobbered nature of human development for the past two centuries as civilisation is construed and developed in ‘an undertone reaction/anticipation of threat’ rather than natural as of human communion. Thus ‘subterfuges of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ arise as of the suboptimality of human intemporality which suffers from human apprehensiveness of humans, thus undermining the notion of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. This underlying human mental-disposition arises as of the successive human as trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive-consciousness in neuterising; as such neuterising is the outcrop of human limited-mentation-capacity. In other words neuterising can effectively be ‘decomposed-as-of-conflatedness into the ontologically-veridical underlying limited-mentation-capacity manifestation’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness, and so-construed from the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’. Such an exercise can be conceptualised as an abstract reference-of-thought/totalisation level of deneuterising—referentialism, wherein for instance, with regards to ‘the very same medical totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ as structurally/paradigmatically defining ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’:
- the trepidatious-consciousness of an early hunter-gatherer recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation society direct experience of misfortune say like catching an unknown disease in a given forest may imply an existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-bad-omen as of its relative neuterising as of its random-as-uncircumscribing-as-totality-or-undelineating-as-totality existential-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition;
for the protensive-consciousness notional-deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-level-of-reification notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism as of referentialism—circumscribing-as-totality-or-delineating-as-totality existential-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules implied as of say post-structuralism ‘which factors in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’. The latter as deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is the effective basis for evaluating the ontological-veracity of all preceding reference-of-thought as of its deneuterising—referentialism that breaks-down the various neuterising to their basic human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics implications. In this regard, their successive profoundness as of their ‘successive (uncircumscribing-as-totality-or-undelineating-as-totality with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) circumscribing-as-totality-or-delineating-as-totality existential-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks of more and more profound convergence-as-of-accumulation of human-subpotency grasp of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity. It should be noted as well that the afore is focused on the abstract reference-of-thought/totalisation level of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, as it is actually reflecting ‘the backdrop construed as human textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ for the effectively devolving différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral teleological process of meaningfulness; given that the abstract reference-of-thought/totalisation level so-established rather enframes teleologically-devolving-as-drifting meaningfulness with regards to ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among
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deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective. Thus for the protensive-consciousness as originating as of referentialism—circumscribing-as-totality-or-delineating-as-totality ‘existential-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implied say as of post-structuralism factoring in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’; as of deprocrypticism is as of deneuterising—referentialism. This analysis conveys the reality of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring due to the impossibility of the very first humans as of their limited-mentation-capacity and yet inexperience/unaccumulated-experience to be able to reason more than their initial apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising will permit as of their state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’, and hence their construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘their relative neuterising’. Likewise the ultimate possibility of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring as enabling the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of notional-deprocrypticism/pre-emepting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is the backdrop for deneuterising—referentialism enabling the full transparent ontologically-veridical elucidation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as of ontological-aesthetic-tracing; as of the possibility of deneuterising. In the bigger scheme of things, as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism as deneuterising—referentialism, what had hitherto been conceived notionally as logicism is herein exposed as effectively superseded by the notion of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral so-construed as of ‘reference-of-thought-or-axiomatic-construct-devolving-as-of-ontological-reconstituting-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ and as implied as of-the-construal-of-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so
with respect to the more ontologically-veridical reality of human conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology always from a position of limited-mentation-capacity as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, thus in need for its prior deepening so-captured in the ‘human textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ as transcendence-and-sublimity-enabling, whereas such a human limited-mentation-capacity implication is naively ignored with logicism in its metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage. Such a ‘human textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’, by its insight with respect to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal, is best predisposed to grasp the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of non-presencing reference-of-thought as this enables transcendence-and-sublimity, thus fulfilling the full implications of knowledge as of its ontologically-veridical knowledge-notionalisation and organic-knowledge nature. Fundamentally this all has to do with human limited-mentation-capacity, as if at a given originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination moment humankind-as-of-its-integrant-individuals had a profound-and-complete mentation-capacity, then human meaningfulness-and-teleology will be absolutely identitive with no implied-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology requiring as of existential-constraint human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as the circular driving notion of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral. Différance as internal-dialectics/difference-
deferral, beyond just an ontological conception as expressed herein, had already always been
existent notionally as a wholly internal process of human self-referencing-syncretism for
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-devolving-
axiomatic-constructs as-so-reflected in ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-
totalitative–implications’ construed-as institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring,
and with regards to the successive registry-worldview/dimension rearticulated as of temporal-
to-intemporal ontological-performance of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought also highlights theoretically why the
Husserlian epoché or bracketing method construed as eidetic reduction is ontologically-
flawed by its constitutedness as it naively imply circumscribing-as-totality/delineating-as-
totality meaningfulness-and-teleology for its essence in presence, rather than the fact that
presence reference-of-thought as ‘metaphysics-of-presence is structurally/paradigmatically an
ontologically-flawed bracketing or epoché as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, and
is representing metaphysics-of-absence implications as nondescript/ignorable void (actually
speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’) when it comes to
presence prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought
in its relative ontological-discontiguity/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
for meaningfulness-and-ontology ontological-performance, as well as ignoring prospective
institutionalisation implications construed as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence.
Such an eidetic reduction is circularly constraint in totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag at its given registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought without factoring in the phenomenological
implications of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as ‘Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion coherence/contiguity implications as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’, and thus fails to get to the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance which is as of the transcendental implications of the institutionalisation process. The further insight here is that, such a most ontologically-complete profoundness/depth of ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle in-its-overcoming-of-neuterisation’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence for the construal of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue points to a fundamental epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting; with the latter arising as a result of lack of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’ as of the variance of prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For instance, such epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting associated with the overall human institutionalisation process necessarily explains the ‘mutually transversal unintelligibility’ of the Galileos, Newtons, Diderots episteme articulating prospective positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and the Establishment scholasticism medieval dogmatic episteme. The implication here is that the articulation of transcendence as of reference-of-thought is by itself tied up to a prospective epistemic disruption, construed as of
soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, beyond just grounded knowledge as of the prior episteme which is rather construed as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise because humankind is subpotent as of its knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue to the full-potency of existence, and in the human construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the ‘superseding party’ is not any involved humans as knowledge agents but inherent existential-reality itself, with any such humans as knowledge agents only ‘pertinent in delegation’ as of their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’, with such delegation inherently revoked as of their failed ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’. To the extent that human knowledge agents ‘achieve sufficient-and-recurrent credibility as of their knowledge methods and approaches’ with respect to social universal-transparency, an apparent episteme as of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’ arises as of institutional-being-and-craft. But then, where transcendental implications as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point to more profound reference-of-thought for construing/conceptualising existential-reality putting such a prior episteme in question, this induces a state of mutual intellectual-bad-faith between the prospective episteme and the prior episteme as of the lack of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’ with respect to social universal-transparency; and so more than just as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, but further because as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, there is ‘a drift from the ideal of knowledge agents only as
‘pertinent in delegation’ as of their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’ to a degraded exercise of institutional-being-and-craft. It should be noted that such a notional construct of episteme interpreted herein is implied as of ‘dynamic social totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ across the entire social spectrum as of notional-episteme dynamically covering both informal institutional settings and formal institutional settings. In the bigger scheme of things, such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting in transition associated with the institutionalisation process as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor arise wherein ‘the prior shaman is being contested by a new shaman in a hunter-gatherer society’ with possible accusations of witchcraft as of institutionalised-being-and-craft, wherein ‘two or more traditional priesthoods of an early civilisation foment against one another’, wherein ‘sophistry and philosophy vie for what passes as valuable and true knowledge’, wherein ‘medieval scholasticism dogmatic knowledge and positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge vie for the interpretation of human and physical nature’, and in our case wherein ‘knowledge traditions including philosophical traditions are put into question as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, anti-nihilism and transcendental-enabling knowledge perspectives’. Ultimately, this point out that epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting become inevitable wherein the prior knowledge episteme paradigmatically/structurally loses its way as of its initial justification as safeguarding the prospective possibility of enlightening human knowledge as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, but then by its institutional-being-and-craft prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold actually paradigmatically/structurally beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought undermines the prospective possibility of prospective enlightening human knowledge; and so, as increasingly the prior epistemic disposition is one that overlooks prospective inherent transcendental-enabling of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance turning rather towards social-
aggregation-enabling implications as meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, undermining the very notion of the intellectual exercise as about developing/institutionalising the social and not kowtowing-to-it construed as charlatanism! Further in all such transcendental contexts despite the fact that the-new is derived from the-old as for instance the Descartes, the Galileos, the Leibnizes and the Newtons as budding positivists are the outcrop of Scholasticism itself, the-new epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting is justified in that even the-old is predicated on upholding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being going by the human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Insightfully, that exercise is actually reflected as of temporal-to-intemporal individuations wherein the individual is rather a receptacle of temporal-to-intemporal individuations with variance of mental-dispositions among individuals an issue of variance as of skewness towards temporality or intemporality; such that even the budding positivists carried elements of scholasticism but were more definitely of a positivistic outlook, and many scholastics articulated notions which could more fruitfully be developed in a positivistic outlook but were stifled by their scholasticism dogmatic intellectual commitments. In effect, human limited-mentation-capacity however the institutionalisation-level as of its temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor nature implies that it is impossible for the intemporal projection as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that prospectively construes of successive frameworks of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicited-and-explicated reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ as of the specific institutionalisation, to ensure that human
positivism/medieval temporal mental-dispositions to elicit non-positivism/medieval implied temporality. Likewise, prospectively it is a deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought social universal-transparency that can render it untenable for procrypticism temporal mental-dispositions to elicit procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implied temporality. Thus aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is not about transforming the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor as overcoming temporality inherently, but rather it is about bringing about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The reality of human institutionalisation and uninstitutionalisation mental-dispositions imply that at the uninstitutionalised-threshold prospective institutionalisation knowledge as transcendental-enabling is not socially integrated directly as of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions first-nature level engaging with intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the said uninstitutionalised-threshold. This points out that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-dispositions in their intemporality or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are as of a projected-or-anticipated conflatedness of social universal-transparency for institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling. That is at the uninstitutionalised-threshold such intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is pragmatically expounded socially not in terms of its inherent first-nature ideal which is socially-too-abstract but rather as a structuring/paradigmatic second-natured construct of positive-opportunism as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference percolation-channelling to attain social approbation. It is such a ‘conflatedness
structuring/paradigmatic second-natured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling to attain social approbation’ that holds together in social universal-transparency temporal-to-intemporal solipsistic mental-dispositions as of a given second-natured institutionalisation. Out of such a conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic second-natured construct, intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, the ideal articulation of base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, just as that of universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism; are only pertinent for attaining social approbation as of their conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic second-natured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling. This highlights that from the perspective of immediate-or-short-run social approbation, it is simpler though ontologically flawed as of constitutedness to engage a registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold rather by an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition on the basis of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought or its same metaphysical framework of contention rather than adopting at its uninstitutionalised-threshold a more complex but ontologically-veridical maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought or superseding metaphysical framework of contention as of conflatedness. That is, engaging a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery on its
same terms in case of an accusation of sorcery to imply the other is the sorcerer, etc. will sound more credible as of its averaging-of-thought in a non-positivism social-setup than say projecting to prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology and implying that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are not real speaking of both the defect of such accusation and the defective superstitious averaging-of-thought in the non-positivism social-setup. Ultimately, such a profound phenomenological totalising-conflicted-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance construal faced with the inherent dogmatic and psychological biases of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemtemporal-ontological-performance in many ways necessarily has to project out of ‘ordinariness of thought’ for a pretence of arriving at a sound construct capable of a most profound reflection of social ontological-veridicality. Consider with respect to a most profound emotional-involvement the issue of human imperilment as a test for the capacity for such requisite depth of transcendental contemplation. Consider for instance that tens of millions including soldiers killed in both the first and second world wars pass for mere victims of the wars in a bizarre twist of mutual totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that shuts-off-the-mind to the odious reality of mutual genocide, to say the least. Consider that in Russia a dictator responsible for killing about 25 millions of his own citizens is still considered a national hero by the majority. Consider that the first president of the United States in position of power was a slave-owner thus encouraging the Atlantic slave trade that led to genocidal proportions of deaths but he is venerated by a majority as the greatest U.S. President. Consider in a different sense though non-exculpatory that Heidegger a leading intellectual joined the Nazi party leaving 2 years later with hardly any critical influence on the party and is universally
condemned today. Consider as well that many an intellectual or public figure today actively or passively voiced for the recent wars killing millions whether in the Middle-East or elsewhere with a corresponding social indifference and mental shut-off. These profound considerations highlight the contemplative depth to which the social thinker needs to get to in order to truly be engaged in a transcendental-enabling relative cause-and-effect-predicative-effectivity construal as implied with notional-deprocrypticism as preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so be able to keep their head up from drowning in human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance in order to be able to produce ‘veridical ontology’ on a same parity as nature constrains on the natural sciences. Effectively, such transcendental insight points out that existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities is inherently a radical ontology beyond our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities as ‘hyperbolic pretences of ontology’. This author thinks that there can effectively be an engaging and constructive approach for arriving at such a depth of radical ontology warranted by existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities that is transcendental-enabling for the social avoiding the platitudes of our times such that many an intellectual have even given up to ‘this all-powerful emotional-involvement element of the social’. Human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance implies the need for a sound perpetuating construct of universal projection as intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the opportunity for prospective transcendental-enabling. Such a construct is a ‘response construal’ that inherently enables transformative universal implications as beyond presence issues and complexes as it sublimates presence out of its failure. This is unlike the
all too frequent construct of ‘reaction construal’ caught up in presence as it is presence-serving, and so whether as of positive or negative reaction; as even as a positive act a reaction construal is hardly universalisable thus hardly as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. A hero as of a positive ‘reaction construal’ may perfectly prevent a crime from happening and save the day but then such action is not dependable and the outcomes are unreliable as well together with the possibility on occasion of wrong judgement and/or wrong action or usurpation; thus the social construction of crime prevention needs an intellectualised social ‘response construal’ mechanism of universal implication that ensures dependability of crime prevention as of the foresight of law and policing management construed as of an intemporal-as-ontological intellectual projection exercise. This same depth of thought is warranted across the dynamic scope of the social including the political for true transcendental-enabling beyond normative conventioned constructs bound to hold-up the possibility of prospective ‘visions of humankind emancipation’. Such a depth of contemplation will fathom for instance that humankind appeared on earth about 100000 years ago but the pervasive structural/paradigmatic determinism of the nation-state which became common just about 500 years ago has been a source of much of humankind’s problems as of ‘reaction construal’ and humankind’s constitutedness to the notion of nation-state seems to create an impasse for human Being-and-contemplative development. Consider again the possibility capable of arising as of a ‘response construal’ as effectively articulated by Derrida in his analysis of spirit. Derrida grasps that Heidegger strove to produce universal human meaningfulness-and-teleology but was caught up in the totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance as spirit failed to universalise and so Heidegger couldn’t carry the effective implications of his work to its true universal conclusion as he was caught up in the ‘reaction construal’ of them-and-us, as his commitment to the ‘us’
overlooked/didn’t-come-into-grips with what the ‘us’ was doing, not to mention the possibility of him actually acting as transcendental over the them-and-us as a position of making a universal ‘response construal’. This problem isn’t particular to Heidegger but for the fact that the underlying regime of ‘us’ were the Nazis, as the them-and-us logic is intellectually rampant such that even Derrida was being condemned by many for not adopting it. The question can be asked whether any genuine intellectualism as providing a ‘response construal’ for humankind overall can construe of emancipation meaningfulness-and-teleology in them-and-us basis and whether this isn’t a recipe for potential disaster as all them-and-us rationale are just variances of the same insanity! We can imagine that a true understanding and universal application of Derrida’s spirit insight as a ‘response construal’ could have educated thought-and-intellectualism and prevent say the subsequent Rwanda and Burundi genocides in Africa from occurring with many supposedly normal and educated persons caught up in the overall mobbishness; but such a lesson can hardly come out from the prevalent them-and-us lazy intellectualism ‘reaction construal’ which simply provides totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag comfort to protagonists by its lack-of or pseudo universal projection. Basically, a phenomenological extended metaphysics-of-absence as of notional-deprocrypticism perspective points out that humankind does have the possibilities of adopting an uninhibited/decomplexified posture for ‘inventing’ a whole new renewal/re-perceiving/re-thinking beyond our apparently constricted metaphysics-of-presence framework which in reality is just presence ‘hyperbolic dazing effect’ utterly distinct from the radical ontology possibilities of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. Transcendence as implied here is with regards to reference-of-thought/totalisation level ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which is the ‘ontologically veridical enabling notion of transcendence’ as of the very same purview of construal-as-of-existence’s/existence-potency’s conflatedness
nature as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a conceptualisation of transcendence is actually what a Kantian transcendental imagination and other subsequent philosophies of transcendence it inspired would have strove to arrive at, but according to this author wrongly understood transcendence rather as of ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness’ as the basis/grounding to then construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology failing to factor in that ‘existential phenomenal-abstractiveness conflates-in-effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology all the way to consciousness as apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for the possibility of meaningfulness-and-teleology to then arise on the basis of such a given apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’; given that it is consciousness that teleologically-registers/recognises phenomenal-abstractiveness as of meaningfulness-and-teleology in addition to the implications thereof with regards to the varying-as-transcending nature of consciousness with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination arising in further conflatedness as of human maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in an exercise of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that re-projects-or-re-anticipates the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so as of a retrospective to prospective insight. Hence such philosophies failing to grasp that phenomenal-abstractiveness is ultimately as of ‘a conflatedness and so construed from the perspective of totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ actually ended up inducing constitutedness in striving to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology vaguely from phenomenal-abstractiveness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-
of existence as of becoming-in-existence-rather-as-subsumed-in-existence is critical in that all notions that naively imply an intercession between human becoming and existence construed as existence-in-existence, such as the transcendental ego perspective, end up in constitutedness as the said ‘transcendental ego cannot invent existence as if preceding existence’ thus inducing constitutedness. Rather existence as the absolute a priori is by itself construed as ‘totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities with nothing else outside or preceding it’; as existence is an implied-axiomatic-construct-constrained-as-reference-of-thought as an implied-theory, with the ‘implied about existence’ arising as of a given/specific apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of a given human limited-mentation-capacity implied registry-worldview/dimension consciousness, such that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of existence’s implied axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context with no meaningfulness-and-teleology construable outside it but for a totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of prospective ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reference-of-thought’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination implied prospective registry-worldview/dimension consciousness and its corresponding existence’s totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities implied axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context, with no meaningfulness-and-teleology outside or preceding it. Thus conflatedness warrants that human-subpotency becoming is amalgamated as of existence as of the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by
underlying ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative-implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) for appropriate construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. The insight here is that we can’t be at a posture of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in relative ontological-discontiguity of the totalising-purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities and then pretend to ground meaningfulness-and-teleology about the nature of existence as if we are of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity as of the very same totalising-purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, as our state of ontological-incompleteness perverts that grounding objective and rather points to the need for a ontological-discontiguity induced psychoanalytic-unshackling towards a prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is fundamentally warranted is priorly attaining psychoanalytically, as of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the very same totalising-purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, rather than a flawed attempt at grounding as with say a transcendental ego basis of construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology, unsuspectedly grounding as of our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; as such a role is simply undertaken by conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and is rather construed then as of such prospective underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative-implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) for appropriate meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. Such a conflatedness insight as of notional-deprocrypticism rather points out that soundness-or-authenticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance arises as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion involving the institutionalisation process induced various consciousnesses up to the protensive-consciousness enabling transcendental centered-totalisation, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. Actually, this author holds that the very fundamental handicapping issue to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the philosophical tradition lies in the naïve human mental-reflex of implying that ‘a given human determination of the effecting basis/foundation/axiomatic-construct derived/deciphered from existential-instantiations emanance/becoming/intersolipsism as underlying the presence institutionalisation totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context carries-and-reflects all the depth/profoundness of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’, thus not allowing for the possibility for further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities of existential-instantiations emanance/becoming/intersolipsism outside any such reference-of-thought determination; such reference-of-thought determination being affixed rather in constitutedness as of any of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions specific underlying apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reference-of-thought such as ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition of
existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence. Such ‘consciousness conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is reflected by the signifying mirroring of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is language as of its metaphoricity. Metaphoricity can thus be construed as the signification of articulated meaningfulness-and-teleology as of reference to existential-instantiation contexts adjunctively and not as naturally devolving into the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as signification of reference-of-thought, such that metaphoricity is rather an ‘adjunctive incorporation’ to the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. The ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of its self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology is always susceptible to the further deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought such that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology arises out of the adjunction to this ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and is adjoined to it as metaphoricity, with metaphoricity construed as the signification implied as of syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus language effectively reflects the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reality of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as language is always a blending of the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ with the conflatedness adjunction of its metaphoricity. It is interesting to grasp here that a signifying-construct as signification of ‘the self-referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is always totalising/circumscribing/delineating and is effectively signifying a reference-of-thought as of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such centred-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as reference-of-thought, and its signification as implied by an ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ necessarily has to do
with the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed,—reifyingly— and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,—as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative—implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) for intelligibility to arise, thus is construed as reference-of-thought as of the totalising—purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities; as we know intuitively that meaning is always about the-one-meaning as well as a perspective/framing/reference/horizon were all the-one-meaning cohere/are-in-ontological-contiguity metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposing,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination adhocly produces by conflatedness adjunctive significations where these do not fit in with the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ due to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought when conceptualising about such an ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. But then an adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification so produced as reflected by ‘a transcendental syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology’ like the construal of budding positivism/rational-empiricism in medieval society, may turn out in-due-course/cross-generationally to be of an even greater meaningfulness-and-teleology totalising/circumscribing/delineating effect over the prior notion of the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and thus prospectively become the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of
language’; and so as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, by SUBSUMING some significations of the prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, while ELIMINATING some significations of the prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, and finally LEAVING-OUT some significations of the prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, as its very own as the prospective ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations to which other adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations could be incorporated adjunctively. Effectively, with the positivism/rational-empiricism self-referencing totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology, its adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification can be construed as of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing of cross-generational positivism/rational-empiricism reappropriation of the ancient mathesis universalis metaphoricity as its very own ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ ‘behind the instigative-drive for construing all human knowledge’ by such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo and ubiquitously with Descartes that rolled-over into later thinkers like Leibniz, Newton, and ultimately subverted medievalism and scholasticism leading to our present positivism/rational-empiricism dominant totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of
meaningfulness-and-teleology. Existence itself as the absolute a priori underscores such a conception given the human species textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration; since the existential dispositions of human subjects relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction arises as of ‘their living existential-instantiations’, and where they construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as not self-referentially covered by the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, they will inevitably articulate adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations to that prior ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. This explains the lockstep nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology and language, with the latter as the former’s signification mirroring, such that institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring is actually as of ‘accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ différance’, with regards to ‘human species textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration’, and speaks of a non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant construal of an internal-dialectic in existential-contextualising-contiguity/Derridean-différance/Sartrean-existence-precedes-essence/Heideggerian-essencing-as-of-the-ontological-difference construed as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. Such adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness projective-totalitative–implications mirror the syncretising-effecting as of the acculturation-indigenisation-pidginisation behind dialectal
differentiation, national language formation, and the cultural diffusion associated pidginisation and creolisation; as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction context adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness induced ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of languages’. In another respect with regards to language acquisition as mirroring a child’s existential integration into the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes, a new born child existential integration into society, from its perspective, develops as of a dynamics of adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations in ‘significations accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as the phenomenology of human language acquisition différance’ that fundamentally mirror the child’s developing existential social relationships as an ordered process of social existential overtures constraining-and-cohering the child’s adoption-of/integration-with the supposedly ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of a peculiar, intuitive and dynamic developing metaphoricity where ‘both the child and members of the overall social-construct existentially adjust to each other as of spurious meaningful utterances like mutual babbling and baby-talk’ while implicitly converging towards the child’s adoption/integration at various stages of its existential development of the ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as it is reflected by the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes. But then as might be phenomenologically appreciated the notion of language as of its existential import is thus utterly dynamic as an overall signification construct that is never ‘absolutely present’ but rather ‘immensely existentially present’ with an ‘absolute language signification construct imagery rather implied as of projection/anticipation but not phenomenologically real’ explaining the concrete variation of individuals linguistic performance, as the phenomenality of language is rather held together by ‘the given social-
setup underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,—as-of-existential-reality for its evolving-and-devolving construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’! Thus phenomenologically, ‘language arises, ebbs and flows as of a continuously-elusive individual and collective-social consciousness steering that reflects the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag dynamics of individual and collective-social meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and this equally explains why language evolves and transforms over time. In effect, ‘language is never phenomenologically the complete possibilities of language as an absolute present conception but is rather a becoming as of an immensely-existentially-present signification reflected by individuals and the collective-social along existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes’. The above insight further points out the pertinence of construing-of and analysing language more completely as of human existentialism/thrownness/facticity, giving that language is more phenomenologically-and-pragmatically a signification accompaniment of ‘individuals and the collective-social along existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes’. This highlights the ‘knowledge implications as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with regards to such a phenomenological conception of language as a lockstep veridical reflection of human personality development all along the various existential stages as of a notion of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes from childhood to adulthood’, notwithstanding the fact that the privileged social conceptualisation of language is as of ‘language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose’. Metaphoricity is thus rather construed as of its overall conflatedness projective-totalitative—
implications of full consciousness development as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion underlying human textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence, beyond just mere figurativeness but as of figurative projected implications of individuals and the collective-social meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their peculiarity/differentiation to the entire textual/hermeneutical rhetorical-stylistic-semantic delivery, and as such metaphoricity induces totalising/circumscribing/delineating signification in producing, as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay, ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and together with its associated adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations. Overall, human explicit and implicit signification as of language as articulated above is equally reflected in human aesthetics/arts like music and even science. Ultimately, human adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness reflecting syncretising-effecting superseding of human self-referencing signifying-constructs as of the need to supersede the limited certitude as of human limited-mentation-capacity, inherently implies that the possibility for ‘absolute certitude as of its theoretical possibility’ lies with such an adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness as of syncretising-effecting as ultimately converging towards a deprocrypticism or preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of the prospect of an ontologically-veridical Theory of Everything, and insightfully with regards to elucidating the pervasiveness of ‘accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay construed as différence in conflatedness’ associated with human existential grasp of knowledge as of the implications of its limited-mentation-capacity. The notion of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay as underlying human limited-mentation-capacity induced différence highlights the phenomenological reality all along humanity’s existence of ‘the privileging of ontological-construction’ as from the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of the end-purpose of the
various relevant dominant social agencies and social institutions, and so as reflected as of humanity’s existence ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While such a privileging as of immediate/instant existential implications like say parents and society privileging the conception of what is language in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its end-purpose as of the perspective of the child’s integration in various social structures and institutions; however, in the bigger picture the fact that social structures and social institutions dysfunction as of human limited-mentation-capacity, point to the ‘ontological-veracity of fundamentally re-evaluating the pertinence of only-a-social-and-institutional-end-purpose-perspective/framing/reference/horizon driven basis for ontological-construction’, and so as of a putting into question exercise. Ultimately, such privileged perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of its ‘non-recording and negation’ of a ‘diverse-and-complete existential effecting possibilities accountability for ontological-construction’, and rather assuming the approach of a ‘select privileged ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-construction’, instead incompletely portrays the operant reality of humanity’s existence as of the cumulation of successive humanity’s presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness as implied with the various institutionalisations finalities. But then while that is pertinent, and so with regards to the successive institutionalisations outcomes of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ as successive transcendental outcomes, so reflected by the ontological-aesthetic-tracing; this doesn’t reflect an inherent différance operant phenomenological process reality. Such a reality is actually reflected as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay as of the transversality of various temporal-to-intemporal perspectival existential amalgamation that
structurally/paradigmatically reflect the dynamics of human ontologically-veridical construals and misconstruals towards transcendence. Accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is thus reflective of the fulsome humanity existential ontological-conceptualisation dynamics than just as of the select ontological-veracity of the privileged as dominant social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Consider in this regard supposedly that ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ reflect an ontological-aesthetic-tracing as transcendental outcomes of such différance, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is not only about the successive presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness as différance transcendental outcomes as of ‘developed classical mechanics’ and then ‘developed theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-contiguity as axiomatic-constructs of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, but will grasp the deeper-level phenomenological insight with regards to all the background efforts and contributions that ultimately brought about these two successive presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness construed as the ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the différance. The implication here resonates with the idea that knowledge is much more than the construal of conceptual knowledge outcome, but rather its construal as notional-knowledge involving the dynamic understanding of both its temporality/misconstrual and intemporality-as-ontological-construal as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay involving specifically disambiguation as of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of deneuterising—referentialism and thus beyond neuterising’ reflecting the ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontiguity of the
prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; as the ‘effecting implications of knowledge’ are more than just about its conceptualised intemporality-as-ontology but involves grasping this together with the implications of temporality, and so because of the circular existential implications of human limited-mentation-capacity. Hence language can be more pertinently construed ontologically as of the social dynamics of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology signification than just as of just an outcome privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon that is in many ways ad-hoc and phenomenologically un insightful as of the many existential implications behind comprehending language. Thus human privileged social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon tend to be in constitutedness. Further such accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is the existentially veridical and effective basis for reflecting ontological-aesthetic-tracing transcendental outcome as can be implied in a storied-construct as of existentially insightful meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a perspective should possibly usher in a ‘suprastructural postmodernism in everything’ including such nascent contemplations for breaking out of currently perceived subject-matter doldrums as implied with postmodern social sciences, postmodern humanities, postmodern art, postmodern science, postmodern mathematics and postmodern physics, and so notwithstanding a history of post-structuralism critiques of intellectual-bad-faith ‘with moronic incantations that fail the mark of even bad intellectual arguments as social-aggregation-enabling invocations’, granted as of their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought; as such a statement is not gratuitous given the mere fact that where knowledge-as-of-organic-knowledge as of human intemporality doesn’t take its due place, it is occupied by ignorance as of human temporality with consequent nefarious ramifications for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Basically, just as the adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification instigation of
positivistic rationality as a potent construct took the form of a centred-totalisation permeating all aspects and subject-matter domains of human existence and so for the better with regards to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, postmodern-thought and as of its underlying phenomenological depth transcendentally carries prospective Being adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification as of a potent construct for a centred-totalisation permeation and sublimity of all aspects and subject-matter domains of human existence, and so for the better of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Such phenomenology as the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocripticism denuterising—referentialism’ is operantly enabled by accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay and is the maximal ontologically veridical articulation of conflatedness that ‘undermines the privileging of presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness as of its ubiquitous-protractedness/structural-or-paradigmatic ‘ontological-contiguity or difference-of-kind’ disposition, and so beyond just reflecting such presencing privilege undermining as of transcendental outcomes implied by ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ by its rather quasi-transcendental-freeplay orientation doesn’t quite get to such a phenomenological depth of conflatedness, it does effectively elicit such an underlying conception of phenomenological profoundness. As such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ is what is meant to be understood as a relatively more pertinent ontologically depth for such a more evolved and ‘experimental’ articulation of différance in the strive to maximally undermine presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness implied in the Glas experimental project which goal is well beyond the two texts but more fundamentally a demonstration of ‘textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ as multifaceted. Ultimately, ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’
unsuspectingly points out that meaningfulness-and-teleology imply by default a given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, such that as of a presencing—or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology facet it is then already compromising non-presencing—or–withdrawal—or–metaphysics-of-absence—or–transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination meaningfulness-and-teleology facet. Thus, this author holds that such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ is fundamentally incomplete as of comparison with the implied conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay which is truly transcendental. The former fails to factor in that human limited-mentation-capacity has to establish the appropriate ‘perspective/framing/reference/horizon implications’ with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as disambiguating presencing from non-presencing, such that unsuspectingly the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ not doing that rather represents the presencing as the common perspective/framing/reference/horizon for both, thus falsely pointing to ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity between presencing and non-presencing, and so contradictorily as if both are of the presencing. With the reality that non-presencing is wrongly-and-unsuspectingly given as common with presencing, thus inducing a relative ontologically-flawed quasi-transcendental freeplay as non-presencing is in ‘ontological-discontiguity-{as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality}’ when analysed as of presencing. Consider in this regard ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with the articulation as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness being ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the articulation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought being the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’; now, articulating meaningfulness-
and-teleology of this ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ construed as presencing makes the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ construed as non-presencing to be in ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality). Consider in this regard that the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ is akin to the contributions of many prior seminal scientists like Poincaré, Lorentz, Plank, Rutherford and others to the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ but whose works were still being interpreted in terms-of/adjunctive-to ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ thus explaining the implied ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality). Whereas accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is akin to the complete ‘ontological-break’, as of Einstein’s defining-threshold contribution with the-theory-of-relativity and Bohr’s defining-threshold atomic-model contribution to quantum-mechanics together with other seminal scientists subsequent contributions that ultimately led to ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ transcendence and sublimity as of the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ interpretation as of non-presencing. In any case thus such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ doesn’t have any serious ontological consequences with respect to presencing since it is reflecting presencing as with the Glas experimental project, but it fails to recognise the possibility of a futural différance where meaningfulness-and-teleology is construed as of the prospective non-presencing which points to a prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity as of the very same totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; even though it is the first
step towards such a futural différance transcendence and sublimity. It equally explains such a Derridean conclusion that human sublimity is an always evasive notion given its failure to recognise the ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontiguity as of the transcendental implications of prospective non-presencing in inducing sublimity, with such a ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontiguity arrived at by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics involving ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driven re-projection/re-
anticipation as of prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising about ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—
construed,—reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-
existence,—as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
projective-totalitative—implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially
inherent human-subpotency), and validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework; as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism ‘promise of correspondence
between human-subpotency as of Being-and-consciousness development and existence as of
ontological-veridicality’. It is interesting again to note that the so-renewed ‘underlying
totalising/circumscribing/delineating of physics’ as the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-
quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of transcendence and sublimity, is not arbitrarily
arising from any human-subpotency presencing but is rather divulged—as-of-relative-
ontological-contiguity from existence’s non-presencing by the fact of ‘human-subpotency
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism led projection/anticipation’ ultimate
validation by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This meaningfulness-and-teleology centred-totalisation-inducing-transcendence-and-sublimity metaphoricity thus perfectly satisfies the ‘foreboding concern for ontological-veracity’ critically pursued by the Derridean freeplay différance, as it is existence as the absolute a priori that phenomenological validates transcendence and sublimity, and so implying human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination; and thus, this point that enables the Derridean freeplay différance as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability to achieving transcendence and sublimity is the full conflatedness reflecting existence as the absolute a priori in its non-presencing, and so beyond just a Derridean freeplay différance which is then in constitutedness as not factoring in the process of a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability towards attaining transcendence and sublimity. Insightfully, we can grasp that the Derridean freeplay différance becomes as of constitutedness because ‘reasoning itself has become defective’ as presupposing-by-the-Derridean-freeplay to supersede existence as the absolute a priori. So because at the point of transcendence and sublimity reasoning is still presupposing thought-determination instead of given up to the possibility of existence’s divulgence construed as ontological-faith-notion/ontological-fideism, and so erroneously become the transcendental-signifier of existence despite the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which priority at that point should be the need for validation from existence as the absolute a priori and not make any determination priorly, even as of freeplay. Furthermore, it is wrong to construe/equate as imagination such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism that as ‘hunch’ restores existence as the absolute a priori, since in reality it is rather pushing reasoning to its very limits in a notional disposition that is not guaranteed, and only occasionally as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is it confirmed by existence’s non-presencing as validatable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus behind
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as ‘hunch’ is a transversal depth of reasoning and perspective which is pushed to its brink in projection/anticipation/expectancy. The fact is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism exhausts-and-supersedes-reasoning as of projection/anticipation/expectancy with no prior certitude, and is more than just imagination which rather comes prior to and is exhausted-and-superseded-by-reasoning. Such a lack of prior certitude explains why transcendence-and-sublimity ‘are not really reasoned-out’ but rather discovered-as-divulged by existence, with the human-subpotency concern being one of adopting the right attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme that allows existence-as-full-potency to come up with the divulgation. Ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as such is equally the basis for implying a correspondence theory of human thought and reality, as not really arising as of any instantative absolute correspondence but rather as of the ‘promise of prospective human ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as of non-presencing in continually opening-up ‘the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’, and so-reflected in the institutionalisation process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. It should be noted that reasoning-as-intelligibility rather harkens back to a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to which it tends to be engaged with in an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflex as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. We can appreciate that the medieval mindset reasons in terms of medievalism–non-positivism just as we reason in terms of our positivism–procrypticism mindset. The question can thus be asked is there more profound meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond any given registry-worldview/dimension mindset
divulgeable by existence as the absolute a priori? It is herein that we get into the realm of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics inducible apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. In other words, under sufficient constraint of existence/existential-reality-itself given its absolute a priori status, as reflected by ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework/contingency, human intemporal individuation is predisposed to put in question even a 'registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of a reconstrual of reference-of-thought and devolving-axiomatic-constructs implications, and so as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This insight about ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism further reveals that prospective non-presencing implies prospective renewal of attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme, as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics which at once draws out the renewed implications of what qualifies as affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing respectively as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. In this regard we can imagine as of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, the strange feeling upon physicists wedded to ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ with respect the prospective ‘theory-of-relativity-together-
with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness articulation of such ideas as space-time, considering the ether as unreal, considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. as the fundamental basis for understanding the new physics as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a construal as a shift in axiomatic-construct is more-or-less within the same positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview, though it might pretty much be argued that the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ marks the beginning of a proto-postmodern science as of the fundamental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation developments in physics since then, even though its meaningfulness-and-teleology remains intelligible, more or less, to the positive science essentially by the modern conception of observational and experimental validation. However, the idea of requisite shift in attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme from that simplistic ‘modern conception’ cannot be contested. Such an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implied shift as articulated above, construed as of an overall registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought transcendence is rather ‘massively distressing’ when implied ‘as of an instant of transitioning’ since the reality of such attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme transitioning have tended to take place rather cross-generationally as of human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. As we can now imagine the transitioning of positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme from earlier crude conceptualisations of positivism/rational-empiricism as presently reflecting a more universal valid notion of positivism/rational-empiricism as of its spread worldwide and profoundness in today’s societies. Interestingly, this transitioning nature of human attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme renewal manifestation as of the social collective evolution, and is equally
reflected in the individual as-receptacle-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-ontological-performance; as at any given moment individuals and society are rather inclined to adopt an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of dual-language/split-mentality as of totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance. The implied notion of human emancipation is always being articulated in an existentially dual-language/split-mentality that on the one hand fails the implied emancipation and on the other hand implies a strife for such emancipation. Consider in this regard, the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of warring nations in the early 20th century all too ready to arm themselves massively in preparation for the world wars and equally very much aware of the need for international peace, or in the 18th and 19th centuries the dual-language/split-mentality of universal human rights and ending slavery in the new world and the slave trade on the one hand and on the other still practicing it up to the point of wars like the American civil war to bring an end to it. In a more prosaic note, the dual-language/split-mentality associated with the evasiveness of emancipatory social and political dispositions as of relevant settings and contexts. In fact, this author will surmice that in many ways we already carry inklings of postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of the dual-language/split-mentality at appropriate contexts and settings extolling our liberality with progressive stakes while in other secluded settings and contexts espouse a damning language regarding such progressive stakes. The idea of requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme renewal as implied for notional ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism induced transcendence-and-sublimity speaks of a ‘reality as of underlying human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’, that reflects a
human tacit awareness that the grounding of its meaningfulness-and-teleology is not-certain-as-absolute at any given moment, and that it should be prepared to shift its attitude/mental-disposition/care-and–episteme for more profound-and-complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. While such an inclination is more forthcoming as of less profound-and-perceived personal existential implications with regards to the axiomatic-constructs within a reference-of-thought as articulated priorly with a shift for the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ within the positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought, however, as of more profound-and-perceived personal existential implications as drastically implied at the phenomenological depth of reference-of-thought transcendental conceptualisation this turns out to be much more difficult to countenance given individuals ‘mental and existential investment’ into meaningfulness-and-teleology as grounded on a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as well as the ‘psychological comfort’ habituated at the given neuterising. But then every registry-worldview/dimension has its own specific hurdle to clamber-over and that of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism is exactly the capacity to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of full/complete human consciousness implications as implied by its protensive-consciousness which ultimately doesn’t allow for meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought arising as of human prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism conflatedness implication with respect to existence’s non-presencing is such that in reality we are always tacitly aware of the evasiveness of absolute certainty but often rather inclined as of practicality to hang on to a delusion of the results of prior non-presencing as if of absolute
certainty, so-construed as reasoning-from-results/afterthought. But then veridical absolute certainty is ever a promise always held in prospective existence’s non-presencing, and so as of the certainty of of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative-imlications for transcendence-and-sublimity, implied as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. This explains why ontology’s-directedness-as-Being is the direction of meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding as always prospective as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of the successive base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions non-presencing respectively as successive meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding for recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism presencing. Interestingly we can appreciate that the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of relevant existential issues of all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are wanting-as-relatively-ontologically-flawed from our positivism–procrypticism as prospective perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, we are hard-pressed to concede that from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, our positivism–procrypticism is wanting-as-relatively-ontologically-flawed; as by reflex every registry-worldview/dimension is inclined to hang on to a delusion of the results-as-afterthought of prior non-presencing even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation despite its ontological-discontiguity with the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Thus inducing its specific neuterising as it fails to construe of
meaningfulness-and-teleology projectively as of prospective existence’s non-presencing. The implied maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness notion also underscores the postmodern conception of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation with regards to any totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as fundamentally driven as of existence’s non-presencing as so validatable by their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Hence it is ‘more real in its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation understood as a double-gesture reification for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought’ by its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of existence’s non-presencing than any other prior non-constructed meaningfulness-and-teleology simply because of the profoundness of its phenomenological depth of projection/anticipation in the quest for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation, which ordinary averaging-of-thought doesn’t even bother contemplating about by its incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflex of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as of existence’s presencing. This social knowledge human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation insight translate the reality that ‘conventioning and tradition grounded critiques’ of postmodernism fundamentally misconstrue that they are departing, as of their reference-of-thought, from a less real position to evaluate a more real position; more like the irony of trying to evaluate the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ from a posture of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’. Here is what fundamentally underlies the naïve misunderstanding of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. For instance, the
‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ actually reflects that prior conceptualised-notions like ‘space’, ‘time’, ‘ether’ and ‘the laws of physics at atomic scale had to be the same as at the macroscale’, were all wrong. Thus ‘speaking of the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity as of its existential analytic capacity’ in a state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratioicination as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as subsequently assuming as more real the notion of ‘space-time’, ‘considering the ether as unreal’, ‘considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale from the macroscale’, etc. that as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation exercise brought about the more profound insight enabling the conception of the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ ultimately validated as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework by existence’s non-presencing; as all along humankind existence as of human-subpotency, the new reality so-espoused ‘is never about existence in itself as-existence-is-given-whatever-it-is-that-is-given’, but about human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratioicination for human emancipation. Thus implying existence’s non-presencing is ‘not really about any variation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation directed directly to inherent-existence-as-of-existential-reality/existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality whatever’, as it rather comes down to the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination bringing about a more profound and complete grounding for human construing of the full-potency of existence, which remains-whatever-it-is-ultimately. The postmodern insight here is rather that what is relevant to humankind is human-subpotency development towards the abstract full-potency of existence-whatever-it-is-ultimately. So the notion of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation has nothing to do with the inherent nature of existence/existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Rather it has to do with ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’ of human limited-mentation-capacity which needs to be deepen before humankind embarks on the task of ‘conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology that increasingly reflects existence/existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical’. Thus this actually lead to ‘more and more objective meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as we cannot argue that the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ is less objective than ‘classical-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ since it involved the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation that led to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring-as-of-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. Quite the contrary, it is that exercise in inducing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought that brings about greater objectivity, as reflected in the institutionalisation process behind Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. That naivety in failing to grasp this lies in the ontologically-flawed mental-reflex of temporal totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, wherein mental-dispositions operate by default without a double-gesturing, on the ‘wrong assumption that they already have the most ontologically-developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for grasping prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology’; and failing to project/anticipate prospectively the implications of their very own shallow limited-mentation-capacity implications from a deeper prospectively-construed perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Such a ‘modern take’ is susceptible to construe of the presence as of metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, with hardly any contemplation of the retrospective and prospective projective-insights for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This paradox for human knowledge, as implied with the postmodern double-gesture reification, highlights that the human paradigm for construing knowledge is similar to H.G. Well’s country of the blind narrative, with the more critical issue being about ‘human blindness which needs to be resolved first before proceeding to see’, as what is to be seen as of the world is already given-whatever-it-is, and our true issue-as-of-knowledge is to develop the necessary human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination to see it. This fundamentally underlies the idea of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising/‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as underlying a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation and ontological-performance. In registry-worldview/dimension terms, the naivety of ‘failing to recognise that human limited-mentation-capacity deepens by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’ paradoxically and ridiculously amounts rather to construing of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in terms of the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold’s/uninstitutionalisation’s reference-of-
thought as of it prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The argument traditionally made about postmodern-thought as ‘sceptical with regards to ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies and the lack of objectivity of meaning’ is a wrongly articulated/made argument ontologically, since it is being wrongly articulated/made from the ‘modern perspective/frame/reference/horizon’ which is actually in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a shallower limited-mentation-capacity and thus has to be decentered-as-dialectically-dementing. Rather the ontologically-veridical articulation of the postmodern argument as of its actual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which has to be prospectively centered-as-dialectically-thinking over the modern take as prospectively decentered-as-dialectically-dementing, should be affirmatory in articulating that postmodern-thought is about: the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies including socio-econo-political ideologies and ontologically-flawed professed ideologies like demarcating ontological-flawed-ideology-of-science-and-its-distortive-implications from ontologically-veridical-science-in-practice, and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete objectivity of meaning as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human-subpotency existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’. The implication here is that hitherto postmodern-thought had been naively and falsely conceptualised within the ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, instead of implying the ontologically-veridical ‘subverting of the modern take’ by its very own ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-
disposition/care—and—episteme’ which prospectively represents the modern as dialectically-dementing while the postmodern is dialectically-thinking; as the point of assertion of postmodern-thought as deprocrypticism/pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is actually a point of prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics. Of critical insight here is the fact that many postmodern authors like Foucault, Lyotard and Derrida adopted stances as of constructivism, relativism and deconstruction are rather ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/’constatations’ about the conception of social reality from their authentic analysis ‘without going further out-of-the-scope-of-ontological-veracity to ideologise constructivism, relativism and deconstruction beyond their implied ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/constatations’ as many of their critiques poorly misinterpret them; with the implications that their stances are open-ended and receptive to the elucidative justifications for their non-ideologised ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/’constatations’ about the constructivism, relativism and deconstruction manifestation/conception of social reality. Thus the ontologically affirmatory position adopted herein as of the prospective ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

ontologically-flawed postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in its relation with modernity wrongfully implied that it seeks the validation of modernity, and so as ridiculously as implying that budding positivism/rational-empiricism should have sought for its validation from medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation. In both cases, the fundamental issue once universal-transparency avails, as herein implied originally/as-of-event with the ‘prospective/new postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, is mostly about dismissing the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as when a critique in ontological-discontiguity exposes the reality of a dialogical and intellectual inequivalence given their anti-intellectual stances against postmodern-thought preferring to ‘circumvent genuine intellectual engagement’ for extra-intellectual activities of institutional-being-and-craft meant to preserve vested narrow interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. Just as it was perceived as a fool’s errand by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc., to contemplate of genuine intellectual engagement between their budding positivism/rational-empiricism ventures with traditional medieval scholasticism, especially with regards to the latter’s institutionally-associated dogmatic censure and persecution, and thus with the former resorting to discursive strategies for universal-transparency; it is inevitably the case that what is most critically warranted is for the ‘prospective/new postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ to articulate its full-fledged discourse as of universal-transparency as of the liberality of thought allowed for in open society.
notwithstanding such extra-intellectual and media-driven perverted representation of postmodern-thought. The reality of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor speaking of human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity implies that prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge by its so-projected intemporality, at the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation, is not necessarily grasp as intemporal in the overall human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework as of the lack of universal-transparency for its prospective institutionalisation. Critical for the social validation and institutionalisation of any paradigmatic transcendental knowledge is the fact that its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is not sufficiently decisive given that human temporal-to-intemporal nature as of the social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot adjudge-and-commit-to the ontological-pertinence of such prospective transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Consider in this regard, the ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of the prospective positivism/rational-realism transcendental knowledge articulated by the Copernicuses, Descartes, Galileo, Diderots, etc. as meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought validated by corresponding prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Such ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ was not a sufficient basis for their ideas to be socially adopted by the medieval establishment social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation as of non-positivism/medievalism. The point being made here is that within a given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation framework the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is only more or less determinant as of the institutionalisation’s internal basis of validation of knowledge grounded on its categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. However, at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation the prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as of the prospective institutionalisation’s basis of validation of knowledge grounded on the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation’s totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ will not necessarily meet with the approbation of the prior institutionalisation now construed as the prior uninstitutionalisation, and so as of mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. This has to do with the fact that the full-potency of existence that divulges relative ontological-verticality supersedes human-subpotency epistemising orientation towards its, and thus epistemic constructs as of human-subpotency construal are inevitably ad-hoc to ontological-veracity as of the full-potency of existence; as existence doesn’t adjust to human-subpotency with the reverse being true, equally it is human epistemic constructs that ad-hocly adjust to ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Thus while the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as the basis for the validation of knowledge is inherently ontologically veridical as of a given institutionalisation’s internal categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of its totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, however, this is an overrated notion with regards to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation as external/prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of its totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, which should and cannot be ignored by any proponent of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge. Rather human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework fundamentally subscribes to knowledge, given this paradox, as of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ induced as of a paradigmatic transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ establishing and upholding it. The idea here is that the inherent and direct notions of positivism/rational-empiricism expounded by the Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, Copernicuses, etc. were not the fundamental basis for the ultimate human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation but rather their derived positive-opportunism that brought about the ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ implied-by-and-deriving-from their notions of universal human rights and open society, technical advances, better social organisation, etc., then leading to a reasoning-from-results/afterthought institutionalisation and enculturation of such originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination positivism/rational-empiricism thought. In other words, human first-natured as emanance/becoming/intersolipsism inclination to adhere to prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge as of its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is very much limited and such prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ however its ontological-veridicality cannot be naively construed as all that which is needed to effectuate social transformation and transcendence. We can appreciate this for instance in the case of cultural diffusion with respect to many a non-modern traditional social-setting where modern day medicine however its overall ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ over other types of premodern medicine,
will often be suspected and avoided as of its poorly established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, and it is only after it has been ‘socially habituated-as-institutionalised’ that it has the requisite ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This equally manifests as of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge construal, as implied for instance by postmodern-thought and particularly so as postmodern-thought has still been undergoing its full construction. The implication here is that all prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding uninstitutionalised-thresholds do not come about as of simplistic continuity but rather as of epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting, involving successive ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ instigated-and-upheld by the associated successive prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ paradigms of ‘reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication of such an indirect nature of human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation of transcendental knowledge as of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ and not just direct ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ implies that just as prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ could be ‘objected to as of human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework’ notwithstanding its inherent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought given its prior lack of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’; any such
prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge must be construed and thought-out strategically as of its ultimate establishment of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ that as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought supersedes the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, just as positivism/rational-empricism superseded non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism. Likewise ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ ontologically-flawed knowledge can be legitimately overlooked where such knowledge is implied as of priorly established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This latter cases arise with many a bogus social or natural science study and methodology grounded on the ‘mystifying imprimatur’ of positivistic science, as ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, but then on closer examination turns out to be poorly designed as well as the prevalence of institutional-being-and-craft suboptimal dispositions with regards to truly upholding the science ethos in many situations with regards to the ideal operation and promotion of scientific research; and so, as of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of any ‘reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Already, postmodern interpretations have increasingly been much more relevant practically to many subject-matter domains and activities, with even greater potential for transformative implications if fully acted upon. Furthermore, the ‘prospective/new postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ warrants that postmodern-thought hitherto articulated beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-
‘new/prospective postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ cross-generational development, which is its very own apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is rather a notional-conflatedness as of deneuterising protensive-consciousness. The practical implications as well should be that meaningfulness and definitions often articulated about postmodern-thought that do not capture the postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme should be rejected; as the tendency for postmodern-thought to be misconstrued or perverted is not accidental, given the very fact that at its very core postmodern-thought is implying a prospective/new prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought requiring its own apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. In this regard, central to translating-as-reconceptualising prior and new postmodern-thought as of its very own ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ organic-knowledge is the requirement for an affirmative mental-reflex with postmodern-thought construed ‘as the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically flawed metanarratives and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete objectivity of meaning, by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality involving its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human existential-contextualising-contiguity’. The ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising implications’ on the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, in defining which reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is ‘relevant as the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The point being made here is that our natural inclination is never meant to truly-and-comprehensively reflect any prior(old/superseded or prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme by itself but rather in any such exercise always apriorises the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ and then reflect the other attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme referred to posteriorly, and hence the latter is adhocly-and-scantily identified. We can grasp this insight about this natural inclination to uphold-as-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ from the fact that ‘originary contacts’ between two cultures of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-and-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t mean a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology between the cultures, since their natural inclination is to both apriorise ‘their own present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ and respectively posteriorise the other culture attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of their respectively apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme; and so, as the framework of any subsequent cultural diffusion metaphoricity. Thus to fully grasp what is implied here ontologically by attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, beyond the natural inclination, is to understand that attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as ‘assumed-and-unflinching
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transversality/logical-incongruence’ implies a mental-projection exercise ‘reflecting-and-contemplating a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their given neuterising-as-of-prior-relative-ontologicl-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought if a ‘prior/old/superseded attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ or deneuterising-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought if a ‘prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, whilst the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is then rather adhocly-and-scantily identified now as either deneuterising if it in relation to the prior/old/superseded or neuterising if it is in relation to the prospective/new/superseding. In other words, when it comes to registry-worldview/dimension implications, ontologically-veridical representation of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme means ‘to be or exist as of the given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought’ rather than ‘to refer to it’; as the ‘referring to’ natural inclination is ontologically-flawed as it registers into the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ unlike the ‘to be or exist as’ approach which is ontologically-veridical but is not the natural inclination of representation as it overrides the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. ‘Postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ construed as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is thus in its potentiation the very summum for the ‘conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ implied as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Across the entire human institutionalisation process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, successive institutionalisations reflect ‘successive and changing conceptions of human-subpotency existential scope’, and so from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as ‘the most
supernatural/mythical/idolised conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as the most ‘realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’. Insightfully, what is critical about ‘the conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ is the paradoxical fact that the more waywardly supernatural/mythical/idolised it is, the least potent has been human-subpotency mastery of the totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, while the more waywardly realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle it is, the more potent has been human-subpotency in its mastery of the totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. Effectively, ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ implied deprocrypticism is about a radicalisation of the ‘realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum potency for human subpotent mastery of the totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. This radicalisation is grounded on the rational-realism postulate that humankind as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination has always encountered its uninstitutionalised-thresholds along the institutionalisation process retrospectively and prospectively, reflecting the reality that humankind is of both a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology nature at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and prior uninstitutionalisation prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought.
This departs from the ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, which poorly appreciates the continuity implied by ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism-projective-totalitative–implications’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion and is rather caught up, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, in the reasoning-from-results/afterthought effect of the positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation outcome as of its transcendence from non-positivism/medievalism, and as it construes of that outcome as the absolute possibility of human existential emancipation failing to factor in the positivism/rational-empiricism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, such that the latter is construed as not having its own prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold which then implies its failure to apriorise the notion of a human temporal to intemporal nature at its ontologically-veridical prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consequently, by assuming such a positivism/rational-empiricism transcendental outcome reasoning-from-results/afterthought predisposition as the complete basis for construing humankind existential emancipation, ‘the modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ adopts an ontologically-flawed ‘conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ that is construed essentially as-of-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-intemporality at its ontologically-veridical prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it doesn’t even and fails to recognise any such prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold pointing to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, the manifestations of temporality at its unrecognised ontologically-veridical prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold are construed as aberrations/oddities going from this wrongly implied intemporal/longness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology posture in totalising–self-referencing-
synecetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, rather than a recognition of it prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, implying recognising its
prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold with the temporal to intemporal implications as of
knowledge notionalisation; thus providing the potency/empowering-consciousness for
prospective transcendence and sublimity, as knowledge notionalisation not only factors in
conceptual knowledge dynamics but equally the dynamics of the conceptual ignorances to
better skew meaningfulness-and-teleology towards intemporality as of organic-knowledge.
The paradox here is that by its ‘most realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-
principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum
potency/empowering-consciousness for human subpotent mastery of the totalising–purview
of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, the ‘postmodern—
deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme’ grounded on such rational-realism recognition of humankind
temporal to intemporal nature at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold is actually
‘effectively empowered’ to incisively tackle issues arising from human temporality as of its
prospective structural/paradigmatic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought; and so beyond just totalising–self-referencing-
syncetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and ad-hoc palliative resolution of a
‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ very much inclined to
aberrational/oddities conceptioning of such temporality manifestations thus leading to their
endemisation/enculturation from ‘ontologically-flawed and inevitability analyses’
conception. Thus a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is
structurally/paradigmatically disempowered .to address issues of its temporality as of the
vices-and-impediments at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold. So because its presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness is ‘existentially invested’ in modern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from where it derives its value-construct and value-reference, as it hardly countenances that prospective transcendental knowledge implied value-construct and value-reference is not meant to be of ‘idle’ relevance to the modern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework but rather redeploy an altogether empowering perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought postmodern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology of value-construct and value-reference at the procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such prospective change as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics of attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme can be appreciated retrospectively with respect to non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme which from our modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme we rather construe as vague scholastic pedantic dogmatism with regards to budding positivism/rational-empiricism, but then such a conclusion as of their non-positivism/medievalism habits and traditions is not necessarily obvious to the non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme. Ultimately, a deprocrypticism coherent ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ is one that comes into terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct in conceiving of the implied prospective need for deneuterising—referentialism. Put another way across the institutionalisation process ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation

We can appreciate with respect to the ‘ill-health totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ that as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, it is rather ‘relatively realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ which have the relative potency for human greater subpotent mastery of the ‘ill-health totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, as implied successively as of:

health, existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-bad-omen;


disposition/care–and–episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-distension thus transcendentally enabling the successive registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological-possibilities construed as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. This underscores Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion implied notion of responsibility as reflected by the Nietzschean metaphor ‘God is dead’, castigatory of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ which is inclined to pass on to ‘a certain Messiah’ the possibility of our Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion with the paradox of assuming the pretence of understanding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion on that basis on the naivety that such passing on is teleologically-elevating and exonerating of our mortal-as-temporal manifestations so-construed as a ridiculous temporal-intemporality notion. This equally points to what is the central ethos of aetiolgisation/ontological-escalation implied as of ‘deprocripticism nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’; as much more than just with regards to a resolutory conception of acts and miscuinings in temporality as of themselves circumstantially, but rather as of the relevance to myriad human social situations is much more critically an issue of universal import, escalated as of humankind’s temporal ontological-contiguity as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with its apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification cognisant-and-integrative of such acts and
miscuings in temporality, thus endemising and enculturating the reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments. Thus such Being underdevelopment, construed as of dynamic social-chainism of human temporality endemisation and enculturation as of the universal implications of such endemising and enculturating paradigm/structure in ontological-contiguity, warrants corresponding aetiology/ontological-escalation superseding ethos as of ‘deprocrypticism nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existing-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ ontological-discontiguity. The fact is any registry-worldview/dimension as of its ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is structurally/paradigmatically oblivious to and does-not-reflect its very own prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying basis of its own specific-level induced vices-and-impediments, and is rather palliative as of its selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs. The question can actually be asked, as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-discontiguity with this ‘made-up’ normativity ontological-contiguity, whether such a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag is actually as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, and in a position, on the basis of such palliation, to address the actual fundamental grounding of its vices-and-impediments; which in reality are actually ontologically addressable/resolvable as of existence’s non-presencing so-implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is particular with ontological-discontiguity is this insight that fundamentally the appropriate prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is inherently not structured to be transcendentally-enabling and operative of positivism/rational-empiricism aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology which precedingly needs its very own positivism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; as the former is in a circular state of reasoning-from-results/afterthought of non-positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising preceding aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology, and thus strives to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology while oblivious to its attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such an orientation is no more different from an interpretation that every registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is the absolute framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its given practices and habits failing to account retrospectively and prospectively for the succession of institutional-recomposes/institutional-cumulations of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion underscored by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics behind the succession of transformation of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology bringing about the successively transformed registry-worldviews/dimensions aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’. It is this naivety that underlines the Heideggerian techne concern as we fail to appreciate that the technical and organisational possibilities preceding and associated with a registry-worldview/dimension prospective institutionalisation transitioning of meaningfulness-and-teleology need to be rethought as of the prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so superseding that of the prior uninstitutionalised-
threshold/uninstitutionalisation. We can appreciate in this regard that budding positivism/rational-empiricism and its associated liberality that was the backdrop for technical and organisation possibilities that actually required their interpretation in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of advancing human emancipation and bringing an end to serfdom in Europe for instance, but as of a perverted twist due to poor appreciation of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion led to the opportunistic undermining of human emancipation elsewhere not as of positivistic/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme


apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is to be noted here that the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising precedence of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology while seemingly counterintuitive, simply speaks of the implications of the notion of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-axiomatic-construct of the very same purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, in that our appropriate-or-inappropriate-at-various-successive-levels conception of the very same purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities has nothing to do with inherent existential reality but with us adjusting our
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising
meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to reflect ontologically-veridical signification as of
existence. And intuitively from our positivistic angle we can effectively recognise this about
all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as we appreciate that by
reflex these are just beholden to their very own
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising
meaningfulness-and-teleology reasoning-from-results/afterthought, but it is hard from our
positivistic angle to then appreciate that prospectively we are equally in such a beheld
positivism–procrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for our positivism–procrypticism
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology, which when
shown to be of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implies necessarily the need for
futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective
deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as so implied by postmodern human-subject-
emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation for
prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising
meaningfulness-and-teleology. But then with respect to the possibility of prospective human
transcendence, the question arises as to how it is possible for human transcendence to occur
given its ‘outlier metaphoricity instigation’ in the face of any registry-worldview/dimension averaging-of-thought natural inclination rather for construing meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘wholly of its cloistered-consciousness living experience only’ whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation only, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation only, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism only or in our case positivism–procrypticism only, with a rather poor inkling for appreciating meaningfulness-and-teleology as of a protracted-consciousness associated with grasping Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. This brings home the fact that however the human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm implied as of a protracted-consciousness, and specifically the prospective protensive-consciousness of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion is practically inevitably constrained-and-potentially-jeopardised as of the framework of the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag cloistered-consciousness of any of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in their respective reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness; as the ‘reasoning enframing’ of the registry-worldview/dimension apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is underdeveloped for contemplating-and-construing of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of the prospective institutionalisation. A registry-worldview/dimension as of its averaging-of-thought is structurally/paradigmatically bound to existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought rather than nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; such that articulation of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective transcendence is beyond its reasoningness as of its ‘reasoning-from-results’/afterthought logocentric constitutedness conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-
teleology. Inevitably thus this conundrum points out that the instigating of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion is as of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology first-natured individuation emanance/becoming/intersolipsism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, more like Derridean messianic reasoning, arising as of intellectual-and-moral inequivalence and thus implying the dialogical inequivalence of intemporal and temporal averaging-of-thought; given that no second-natured institutionalisation grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology exists for prospective transcendence. The ontological-veracity of such a first-natured individuation emanance/becoming/intersolipsism reasoning-through as of Derridian messianic reasoning can be grasp when we contemplate that in a second-natured institutionalisation framework of deferential-formalisation-transference we give pre-eminence to say a professional or technician for resolving a technical problem, and as non-technicians we don’t get involve in averaging-of-thought exercise to resolve the technical problem. This outlook is actually ‘seeded’ within the first-natured individuation emanance/becoming/intersolipsism reasoning-through that is instigative of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Thereof, what is critical for enabling human successive transcendence is ‘appropriate prospective institutionalisation second-naturing metaphoricity’. Consider in this regard, that the instigative matesis universalis metaphoricity by the Galileos, Descartes, etc. of budding positivism/rational-empiricism is structurally/paradigmatically ‘not a reasoning with non-positivism/medievalism’ but rather ‘reasoning-through or Derridian messianic reasoning’ over non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism’s pedantry as of its averaging-of-thought reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness. Such altogether new metaphoricity as of its instigating ‘out of thin air’ the budding positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme further inspired its subsequent radicalisation by latter thinkers;
wherein for instance, the more thoroughly positivism/rational-empricism development of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ was undertaken by Newton and Leibniz, extending the metaphoricity further even when we contemplate that in many ways these metaphoricity relaying scientists were still imbued with non-positivism/medievalism mystical and alchemic ideas. This ‘out of thin air’ metaphoricity possibility arises because the ‘full-potency of existence in relation to human-subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of that full-potency of existence’ is ever one of non-presencing; as the very notion of ‘human-subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of the full-potency of existence’ given human limited-mentation-capacity implies that such a grasp only opens up a ‘limited framework of the full-potency of existence’ for new human existential and knowledge possibilities as of new/prospective habits-and-tradition. But then this ‘limited framework of the full-potency of existence’ as of new habits-and-tradition construed as ‘reason-from-results/afterthought framework, ‘doesn’t induce a commitment upon the absolute transcendental possibility in the full-potency of existence’. Such that by dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension with respect to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, the further insight of ‘out of thin air’ metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination comes with the possibility of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation by existence’s non-presencing. In this regard, the ontologically-veridical ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ with respect to our modern take averaging-of-thought reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness is rather as of ‘reasoning-
through or Derridian messianic reasoning’ over our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of a postmodern affirmatory stance of dialogical inequivalence that goes beyond idling in the ‘modern take rigmarole language’, just as we can appreciate how budding positivism obviate non-positivism/medievalism pedantic dogmatism language to affirm meaningfulness-and-teleology weeding out ornate pedantic detours, to articulate blunt reality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, and as is the case with all prospective transcendence implied meaningfulness-and-teleology, we can appreciate that the foremost goal of budding positivists ‘was not to elicit the direct approval’ of the non-positivism/medievalism established arrangement, as in many ways they adopted a ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ with respect to establishment social stakes, but rather sought to induce the requisite metaphoricity of budding positivism for the destruction-deconstruction of non-positivism/medievalism for prospective positivism, as their conception of achievement motive were tied down to prospective positivism institutionalisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Likewise, the prospective ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is well beyond the notion of eliciting the approbation of the modern take established arrangement in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, but rather is of ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’, in inducing budding postmodern metaphoricity for the destruction-deconstruction of the modern take for prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism institutionalisation as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. In both cases, the prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is ontologically validated as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, divulging the totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag vagueness and futility of the pretences and judgments of the prior uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalisations. We can equally appreciate here that such a conception of transcendence is rather as of organic-knowledge and not mechanical knowledge, in the sense that what is critical is the induced apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising metaphoricity for prospective institutionalisation as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not simply a mechanical knowledge conception possibly tolerated as of a stale a posteriori adjunctiveness as with the Copernican heliocentric idea initially, needing a latter apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising metaphoricity reinvigoration as of the overall renewal of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’.

It should be noted that such metaphoricity rather points to psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification organic-knowledge nature of such prospective institutionalisation transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology, which in its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘a first-natured inventing’ of the prospective notion of ‘thinking/dialectical-thinking’ as positivism/rational-empiricism thinking or deprocrypticism thinking respectively, and so as their successive prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In both cases, such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as grounded-as-intelligible on the superseded/transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of medievalism–non-positivism or positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but rather as of its very own transcendental-enabling prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of positivism or deprocrypticism respectively. Thus such metaphoricity is rather induced as of the framework of prospective concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework in establishing its prospective ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. Thus such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is more aptly and consciously articulated at a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension depth/profoundness of human posterity; projecting well beyond the narrow and decadent obsessions of shallow as of extirpatory/temporal paradigms of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, as it actively strives as of its prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meanfulness-and-teleology to supersede such enframing and their associated institutional-anchoring and pedantry/mandarinism temporally induced denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning metaphoricity brings about the prospectively renewed reasoning-from-results/afterthought instigating the second-naturing of prospective institutionalisation, and so as of implied reference-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs reflection of the pre-eminence of the full-potency of existence as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over human-subpotency with the latter adjusting to existence as-of-ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding-dialectics enabling its prospective relative-ontological-completeness. The first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism articulation of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as amenable to the contending disposition of prior deferential-formalisation-transference second-natured institutionalisation, thus the irrelevance/impertinence of any such implied contending as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as any such contention can only re-arise as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning renewing of second-natured prospective ‘reason-from-
results’/afterthought. Thus the direct implication of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism is that it can only call upon ‘a kindred sense of things’, as of first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism contemplation that can surpass/overcome temporal nihilistic ressentiment as of a protracted-consciousness cognisant of the virtue-as-ontology and human emancipation implications of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. In other words, the notion of ‘the other’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is much more than ‘magnanimity towards the other’ but more fully a stance that ‘calls upon a principled commitment to the notion of the other’ by the other as enabling the completeness of universal responsibility. Paradoxically, viewed from this angle as of the possibility of inducing ontological-discontiguity for ontologically-veridical virtue transcendence-and-sublimity, a different interpretation can be made about the posture of a thinker like Heidegger during the troubled years of the 1930s; as effectively, the implication of Heidegger’s analysis of the situation which he associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion projective-totalitative–implications points to ‘a conception emphasising ontology as defining virtue thus ultimately geared towards ontological-discontiguity as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, but failing not because of the said orientation but with regards to the wrong conclusion about Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion misunderstood as implying that it lies with an originary tradition like the Ancient Greece tradition or German Folk tradition rather than lying with an underlying transcendental universal notion construed as ‘going beyond them-and-us logic’ as of the implications of universal human emancipatory potential, and this fundamentally scuppered his possibility of ‘attaining a conception of ontological-discontiguity as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, rather than an ‘ontologically-flawed idea as of any given tradition’. Likewise, but with regards to virtue analysts analyses that are
naively articulated on the basis of the ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as of our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatc-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought leading to palliation as of selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs, an altogether different drawback is decisively apparent as we know that since those troubled years, wars, genocides, and other crimes against humanity have still been taking place and will probably continue to take place, as of the structural/paradigmatic consequence arising with such manifestations in ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’; divulging that conceptualising virtue in ontological-contiguity is at best only of palliative consequence and not truly aetiological/ontological-escalation which rather warrants ontological-discontiguity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is well-meaningness, good-intentions and/or good-naturedness however comforting to contemplate about doesn’t substitute for ontology/ontological-veridicality as of the need to truly understand the human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics behind human action for appropriate aetiological/ontological-escalation that brings an end to the endemisation and enculturation of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments. This existential reality about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is no more different between the social world and the natural world, and so as of existence as the absolute a priori inherent ontological coherence/contiguity. This insight about virtue as lying with ontology has been to varying degrees implicitly understood by many postmodern thinkers, beginning with Heidegger pointing to a sophistication of thought but for the poor development and poor conclusions of his analysis during the troubled years of 1930s; and rather poorly interpreted by virtue critiques adopting a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in
but rather being associated with a given tradition actually couldn’t break through the barrier of perceiving ontological-discontiguity as ‘futural way of thinking’, as it misperceived that any tradition can reveal as of its inherent nature the ‘futural way of thinking’, rather than that this lies with ‘a universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ and thus how such universal principle understanding as of its universal implications informs about the ‘futural way of thinking’. In this regard, we can equally understand why Heidegger’s supposed criticism of Cartesianism was altogether a misplaced analysis given that ‘a universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ as herein implied by this author as of the institutionalisation process, would have provided the insight that Descartes was actually ‘establishing a positivism tradition as of futural way of thinking’ breaking away from non-positivism/medievalism; such that budding positivism apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising becomes intelligible, thus revealing that Heidegger ontological-discontiguity why intending to be of prospective apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising is actually of a totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising with prior positivism apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, even though in its attempt it effectively elicits many insights for the prospect of ontologically-veridical prospective postmodern apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising with its corresponding postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme. In other words, Heidegger’s issue should have actually been about future Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion just as Descartes issue in articulating budding positivism construed-as-rationalism was not with setting up its
meaningfulness-and-teleology in contention with prior non-positivism/medievalism as of its then future Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, apart from mere intellectually contrastive elucidation, but rather implied affirming prospective positivism as of its very own apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme; and so as of the fundamental implication of positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over non-positivism/medievalism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. We thus see why the future redevelopment of Heideggerian misconceived ontological-discontiguity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as undertaken by latter thinkers like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, Lacan, Lyotard and others are full of prospective quasi-transcendental ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications’ as reflecting an underlying reality of prospective reference-of-thought ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics construed herein as of prospective postmodern—deprocripticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, and so just as searing with ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications’ was the mathesis universalis metaphoricity extended development/influence on the works of the Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes and others that ultimately reflected an underlying reality of prospective reference-of-thought ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics implied as of prospective positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in superseding/transcending non-positivism/medievalism. In effect this author contends that what is implicitly missed about the Cartesian proposition ‘I think therefore I am’ is not the idea that Descartes contemplates that he is the first person to be self-conscious about his thinking; rather his underlying
reasoning is ‘more than just speculative doubting’ but ‘motivated doubting’ that is highly contextual-as-of-the-non-positivism/medieval-epoch and highly prefigurative-as-to-what-Descartes-wants-to-do-of-transformative-with-thinking-given-that-context. That is, Descartes seeks to affirm the ‘mereness of thought’ beyond any existing habit-and-tradition-of-thought as of non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism pedantic dogmatism reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so liberated rearticulate thought ‘out of thin air’ as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as reflected by his novel mathesis universalis metaphoricity rationalism schema/dissemination that permeates all of his works such that even with his ontological argument something subtle and more original is happening, in that unlike many medieval scholasticism dogmatic interpretations that construe of a supernatural permeation into the natural, in affirming the ontological argument Descartes blocks-out/passivises the supernatural from the natural with the metaphoricity implication that the natural can be thought of in its own terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct. Thus Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’ is rather a statement of intent as of a ‘futural way of thinking’ and its budding positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, that is unique as ‘consciously setting up the pre-eminence of thinking in eliciting-and-resolving systemic doubting and structuring/paradigmising the possibility of elucidation of any subject on this basis’. In effect Descartes project is actually as of prospective existence’s non-presencing as of positivism, and so from the presencing of non-positivism/medievalism. With both the budding positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, we may be forgiven to confuse-and-dismiss their
schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-implications as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as incoherent from a shallow-and-immediate uninsightful analytical perspective on the basis of the respectively prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought of non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; thus failing to perceive that dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, as of deneuterising ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding prior reference-of-thought temporally neuterising ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology, reflects Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications wherein ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism is rather about a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ that comes out short and which ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ induces the successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the human ‘institutionalisation process as of reference-of-thought différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’. The appropriate contemplative perspective for the appreciation of their schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-implications is effectively cross-generational as of the amplitude/breadth of reference-of-thought implied transcendence-and-sublimity; as we can effectively appreciate that the very mathesis universalis schema/disseminative metaphoricity engendering our positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is still ongoing today even as it is more clearly demarcated as
initiated about 500 years ago. The overall logic of this ontological-discontiguity analysis, implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, can be understood simply as of the relation between existence which is already given and human-subpotency which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought grasp more and more what is of the full-potency of existence by way of its axiomatic-constructs of existence or of purviews/domains of existence, with its grandest axiomatic-construct as a totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct being the reference-of-thought. We can grasp that it is not existence and purviews/domains of existence which will adjust to human-subpotency for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather human-subpotency adjusting as of existence’s non-presencing; with such adjusting being construed as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. But then humankind as of its developed-and-invested habits and traditions about existence counterintuitively relates to existence and purviews/domains of existence as if it supersedes them, and thus do not or poorly construes of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct as an issue of human-subpotency adjustment as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification, implied as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics with regards to the reference-of-thought transcendence. In lieu the poor intuition is to imply that we are already well grounded and that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness to our already established psychoanalytic disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in resetting-our-psychoanalytic-disposition/prospective-grounding as of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought in conflatedness, such that this leads to constitutedness when so poorly psychoanalytically grounded on the naïve and ontologically-flawed basis that it is existence and purviews/domains of existence that adjust
to our human-subpotency. Thus however counterintuitive, this overall conception structures the fact that it is as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics that our human totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought is transcended for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied as of ontological-discontiguity. In this regard, ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion is essentially one of shifting attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme by the successive institutionalisations reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought human induced bias leads to a wholly immersed-and-engrossed focussing only at its given present institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as if other retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisations’ reference-of-thought do not have their own attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of their underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This phenomenological insight in recognising that there is ‘an underlying metaphoricity-induced relative-emancipatory migration’ from the mindset of the early hunter-gathers as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation towards modern man as of positivism–procrypticism to the prospective postmodern man as of deprocrypticism, calls for a full appreciation of this most profound phenomenological transcendental process of corresponding ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme migration’ inducing successive apriorisings/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings of
power-discourse and Deleuzian immanence experimentation that can all be construed (and as equally implied by this author’s ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism conception of ontological-aesthetic-tracing), as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism for perpetuated/disseminative pre-emption of conceptual disjointedness. Thus ultimately the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is one that will be marked by sharper and sharper singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, construed as of its perpetuating/disseminating of the pre-emption of disjointedness. In this regard, singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism retrospectively and prospectively reflects the notional conflatedness/conflatedness implied as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism but with the latter as a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-ofexistence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ ever always coming short due to human temporal ontological-performance denaturing as of temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology pedantic/formulaic-formic alignment to apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness, so construed at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as constitutedness, thus requiring prospective intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology institutionalisation renewing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology that overcome the distortional implications of such pedantic/formulaic-formic denaturing; by way of ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic asksis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as such is a
conception that grasps that ‘axiomatic-constructs as of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ is the meaningfulness-and-teleology format implied by the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ with respect to any given ‘totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existentia-reality’, with potentially divergent meaningfulness-and-teleology implications as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness arising from human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination; with relative completeness increasingly attained, by way of ‘reinvigorating as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic asksis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. Thus singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism by its implied notional-conflicatedness highlights that ‘axiomatic-constructs as of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ in reflecting of ‘human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence in its coherence/contiguity’ as of implied human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, is effectively as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. That is singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism points out that there is no inherent meaning of existence about existence as existence is tautologically what it is as existence, rather the notion of meaning arises as of the notion of human-subpotency strife to ‘grasp what is existence’, and that latter notion is all about human-subpotency ‘axiomatic-constructs as of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’
human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation. In other words, meaning is always a human project to construe existence as of
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination of ‘axiomatic-
constructs as of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’.
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and as reflected by
this author’s notion of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism conception of
ontological-aesthetic-tracing, points out that dissingularisation/epistemic-
nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of human-subpotency ontological-
performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality is ontologically-flawed, and that prospective relative-ontological-completeness
reflects that singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of
human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is what is rather ontologically-veridical. It
is this prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism that
reflects the effective possibility of a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-
performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism;
attainable as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination of
‘axiomatic-constructs as of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-
devolving’, and so reflected by the notion of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. This reality of the need to
construe of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-
potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-
nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has increasingly been revealed as from the
‘strangely axiomatic teleologically-thorough singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism manifestations’ of quantum entanglement, relativity theory
implications, the teleologically constrained nature of biological processes as more than just
the parsimonious-or-disparate nature of organic matter but rather singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of whole living organisms, and likewise
human meaningfulness itself is a structuring/paradigmising singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of sharply defined teleological possibilities of
social and individuals existence with respect to the different registry-worldviews/dimensions
specific institutionalisations, etc. [Interestingly, as of this author’s conception of such a
teleological perception of existence as of its singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism, as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation insights of postmodern-thought has
been subject to naïve obfuscation grounded on the supposed privilege of ‘science ideology’
over science-in-practice as an opened construct of scientific knowledge as of cause-and-effect
constraint, and with the form of science at various times continually moulting as from the
budding scientism of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier
laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science,
with all fundamentally driven not by any ‘purported science ideology’ but rather the
practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject of scientific study as of their
existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than ‘any implied notion
that naively supersede existence as the absolute a priori’. In this regard, it is interesting to
note that the notion of science practised by the successive pioneers cited above are markedly
different from each other and all subjected rather to the implications of existential-
contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of their purview/domain of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is interesting as well to note for example that when equations didn’t work out in reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity, Einstein rather rethought and subjected human assumptions to existence as the absolute a priori for his science, with such notions as space-time rather than traditional space and time; pointing out that there cannot be any ideology about science and it is rather the constraint for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification that determines science practice, and so in existential conflatedness. Further, it had long dawned on this author that scam studies meant to undermine the validity of underlying constructivist and relativist insights about existential reality as implied by postmodern-thought including with respect to such implications in the natural sciences are rather ‘supposedly invalidating’ wholly with respect to the authors of such scam studies coming out with the arguments of their ‘intendedness of invalidation’; with the legitimate contention that such ploys are thus surreptitious manoeuvres for pre-empting a given orientation of thought ‘not because of the inherent invalidity of such orientations as of inherent theoretical knowledge arguments in undermining such orientations’ but rather as a ploy of ‘inducing popularised scientific ideology’ to surreptitiously stifle such orientations without truly engaging in undermining its theorisation. Bogusness or non-bogusness is not a relevant scientific criteria, though granted it can be a relevant criteria for ‘surreptitious media-driven invalidation’, as science-in-practice is about ultimate cause-and-effect relationships, and in practical terms many scientific studies are rather elaborated as of ‘deferred cause-and-effect constraint’ as a reifying gesture for ultimate cause-and-effect determination. The fact that similar scam studies for the ‘intendedness of invalidation’ cannot be construed as scientifically valid with respect to any given orientation of study renders such manoeuvres intellectually void, and whatever their underlying ‘covert goals’ and however genuine their authors are of intent. It is very much important in this
regard that intellectuals, whether in the natural sciences or in the social and humanities, not be cowered/enframed by non-intellectual/extra-intellectual approaches to ‘acknowledged intellectual ways and approaches for intellectual argumentation’, and not even if such approaches are media-driven, so because much that is central and critical to intellectualism is about exploring all possibilities. All these highlight an underlying ontology’s-directedness-as-Being that bears notional-conflatedness singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implications, as of ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism; and yet our psychological disposition is more often than not geared to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that tend to be absolutised in constitutedness of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought mental-reflexes of ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and so failing to grasp that the very principle of human institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of the institutionalisation process itself is one driven by the future as of its own reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—episteme’ which reflects an increasing orientation away from dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought towards singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-
determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-
congruence-as-of-the-institutionalisation-process—‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. The notion of human ‘excogitative-blinking of 
the prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ can 
equally be elucidated with regards to a devolved axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-
thought. For instance, we can grasp that with regards to ‘the very same physics totalising-
devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existentiel-reality’, the perceptivity of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ 
had rather been in ‘excogitative-blinking of the prospective construal of existential-
contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ reflected by the prospective ‘theory-of-relativity-
together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as the latter’s prospective relative-
ontological-completeness reflects the former’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as 
dialectically out-of-phase/dementing. This insight about human ‘excogitative-blinking of the 
prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ at 
prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds actually highlights that from a prospective 
perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought our 
positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is very much imbued with a flawed 
ontological-performance, as is the case with all other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, 
‘when we seem to perceive-and-think that our social world of meaningfulness-and-teleology 
is coherent, failing to factor in that it is dementing at its prospective uninstitutionalised-
threshold as reflected as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dementing by futural 
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective 
deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’; as this false sense 
of coherence is actually the effect of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
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and-teleology uninstitutionalised mental-dispositions. Such ‘excogitative-blanking of prospective existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ can be construed as to when say the non-positivistic mindset goes about articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology falsely as if superstitious notions ontologically-veridical out of prospective positivism existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification, and likewise with regards to a positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that utterly overlooks the structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought denaturing implications of its prospective disjointedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology out of prospective existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification, as such disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought can be instigated originally from a postlogism-slantedness mental-disposition and the developing social dynamics with human temporality. We can appreciate in this sense that even within a non-positivistic social-setup as animistic or medieval for instance, despite the fact that it is susceptible to ontologically-flawed superstitious beliefs like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, the bulk of human action will be in good intent as of its institutionalisation framework ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’; but then at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold where its reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic ontological-flawed implications of believing in superstition set in as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, it always systemically faces notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness as of vices-and-impediments arising from non-positivism/superstitious human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation of the prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual
perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’ now in false certainty/assurance. This points out that when consciously aware of prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold manifestation, we can’t naively operate as of our prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’, as of the fact of the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought dementing human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatric-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation; such that an enlightened insight is able to bring up and examine a dementing representation as temporal denaturing ontological-performances of the prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’. But this conception is a reflection of more than just ad-hoc temporal manifestations at uninstitutionalised-thresholds but rather points out, besides the trite or more grave consequences of this state of things as a result of the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, that the possibility of all prospective institutionalisations necessarily passes through understanding ‘human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatric-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the prior institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation’, which understanding is actually what empowers the possibility of prospective institutionalisations that surpese/s transcend it. In other words, humans in the various prior institutionalisations before our positivism were not limited to their various registry-
worldviews/dimensions as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation and our positivism just because they were inherently different from us as a species, but because of the need for the necessary institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring of understanding as of its organic-knowledge to enable the very same species to accede prospective institutionalisations as of human-subpotency adjusting to the full-potency of existence, and not the false certainty/assurance that any human registry-worldview/dimension is fully developed and that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will adjust to it, however our myopic/cloistered 60 – 100 years of living perspective. That is, grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology is certainly required, but as of transcendence-and-sublimity it is not about grounding as of the present but rather as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and as highlighted elsewhere it is ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (of human-subpotency as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination) that can imply human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence. It should be noted here that this ontology’s-directedness-as-Being/ontologically-veridical notion of human-subpotency singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence is a notion of teleology in notional conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, as utterly different from a traditional conception of teleology as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that is rather in constitutedness as it reflects prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism cloistered reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup. The operant
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

determinism notionally reflect respectively the profoundness and shoddiness associated with human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism fully-reflects抽象ly the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, as existence as the absolute a priori is being so at the exclusion-and-surpassing of any apriorising notion including the often misconstrued apriorising notions of space and/or time, as all such notions are rather in constitutedness since such notions seem to apriorise as if superseding the apriorising precedence of existence itself as the absolute a priori; construed herein rather as ‘ecstatic’ but not as of Heidegger’s ‘time/period ecstatic’ analysis, as this author contends that existence as the absolute a priori construed as ‘ecstatic apriorising’ subjects even time and any other notion, with the implication that the phenomenality of the analysis herein is not time-bound but solely existential more like the principles of physics are abstractly existential and so beyond the time-archaeology of astronomical manifestations reflecting such physics principles. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism thus speaks of how human subpotent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination induce transcendence-and-sublimity, with the ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of existence’s non-presencing. This ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of existence as the absolute a priori is what has ever always debunked human subpotent dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as from the human subpotent categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-
notional-deprocrypticism logocentric implications, is what can be construed in Foucauldian terms of parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen, as the superseding of prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought intempest reifying mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
successive transcendence-and-sublimity as ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ induced as from intemporal first-natured emanance/becoming/intersolipsism ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising self-consciousness’ ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, highlights the ontological-veracity as of existence as the absolute a priori, of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism which is ever always sought-and-resought by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism (that is, as of the teleological wholeness/nested-congruence from non-rules of recurrent-utter-ininstitutionalisation towards prospectively pre-emption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of deprocrypticism); with ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism further implying, as of its potentiative-attainment of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology correspondence with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, that existence as the absolute a priori is as of ‘ecstatic singularity’. This ‘ecstatic singularity’ about existence as the absolute a priori can be delineated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so-construed as of human textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral for transcendence-and-sublimity in ‘phenomenological ecstatic releasement’. Thus our logocentric sense of certainty as marked by our ‘pervasively enframed logocentric constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as with all the prior logocentrisms of prior successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, as of their relatively ontologically-
flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism is
misplaced manifestation of ignorance, and thus in our case in need for our prospective
intellectual-and-moral maturing as of prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation stranding dialectics for the deprocrypticist/pre-emption-of-disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reality of human meaningfulness-and-
teleology as ever always subjected to its successive registry-worlds/dimensions relatively
ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism distortion, come with the ontologically-veridical implication that human-
subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence has
ever always been as of an ‘reifying-totalising-metaphoricity-conception of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ construed as ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and so-reflected from
the supposed ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective of ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-
reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-
wholeness/nested-congruence-as-of-the-institutionalisation-process–‘notional—
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ construal of
meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the implication here that hitherto
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism ontologically-
flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-
epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought as implied with
historical accounts and representations are incomplete, as ontologically-veridical
meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of the aforementioned ‘reifying-totalising-metaphoricity-
conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ elaborateness of meaningfulness-and-
teleology as dynamic differentiated transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing of the ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of intemporality over temporality. The articulation of human historiality accounts of meaningfulness-and-teleology failing to highlight this process of human-subpotency ontological-performance differentiation are rather incomplete and misrepresenting of human nature in its ‘dynamic temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor as of both first-natured mental-dispositions and second-natured institutionalisation mental-dispositions’ as the complete operant framework of human-subpotency, and so-construed from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergent ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective (in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-as-of-the-institutionalisation-process—‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’). This is ontologically critical to understand because the wrong mental-reflex conception of prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold as mainly being as of ‘human intemporal second-natured institutionalisation mental-disposition’ will wrongly imply a human nature that is only intemporal and so as of the second-natured intemporality of the prior institutionalisation. This fails to factor in that all prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds are rather a framework of ‘recurring first-natured temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ requiring prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so without any intemporal second-natured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency, deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation as of positive-opportunism; and thus fully reflecting the
ontological-veridicality of a human nature of temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor. It is this ‘recurring first-natured temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ reality at all the successive prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds that fundamentally reflect ‘the same fundamental human potentiation as of temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor’ across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions notwithstanding the institutionalisation-level but for the fact that this same ‘recurring first-natured temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ rather operates on different registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations second-natured categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at their prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds; whereby the successive prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations fall short, as of their apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence, in construing existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of successive prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This insight fundamentally explains ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ as involving successive categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination; geared towards more and more robust second-natured institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology even though in the face of the very same ‘recurring first-natured temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’. Insightfully, ontologically-veridical
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’
order to bring about the transcendence-and-sublimity enabling of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework induced positive-opportunism for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is further critical to understand that while universal-transparency with associated nested-congruence and harmony is brought about as of prior institutional second-naturing, this should not be naively expected at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds as we very much know that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are conflicted as of their framework of ‘recurring first-natured temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus uninstitutionalised-thresholds, are necessarily imbued with varied temporal-to-intemporal transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing narratives as of the ‘lack of intemporal second-natured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency, deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation in positive-opportunism’; since any prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold ever always brings about human ‘recurring first-natured temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ but with this recurring as of human first-natured temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism operating rather in denaturing the prior institutionalisation’s apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology. The implication here is that first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuation prospective transcendentental meaningfulness-
and-teleology is not directly intelligible in the narrow framework of temporal-to-intemporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, but rather as a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension constraining of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification framework as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. The constraining implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance means that it is wrong to construe the institutionalisation process as of a human temporal first-natured emanance/becoming/intersolipsism transformation, and so fundamentally because of human limited-mentation-capacity and the correspondingly constraining consequences on its ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology. Rather it is more candid to relate to the institutionalisation process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination, and so as of prospective intemporal second-natured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency, deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation in positive-opportunism. Central to any such prospective institutionalisation transcendental-enabling meaningfulness-and-teleology is the fact that the human mind is not necessarily geared to come to terms with prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought without the necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification as of the developed disposition to register such implications as of their intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology pertinence; as the notion of cross-generational ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics herein highlighted has ever always been an unconscious human mental process, wherein the mental-disposition hardly places itself in a situation of
explaining how its own very present mental-disposition comes about from preceding generations mental-dispositions and drawing the implications, in going beyond excogitative-blanking as of the present in a cloistered-consciousness but which is paradoxically necessarily the framework of such transcendentally implying meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the metaphoricity exercise of transcendence is not one of necessarily eliciting instant meaningfulness-and-teleology universal approbation but rather instigating universal untenability as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework for prospective universal positive-opportunism; as we can appreciate that in reality the possibility of the successive institutionalisations was not the outcome of every human soul grasping the implications as of the successive transcendence but rather as of a generative dynamics as of critical drift/gravitating effect in reflection of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-as-of-the-institutionalisation-process-‘notional—
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. Furthermore, the implications of ‘ontological-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the latter reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with regards to the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleologically-elevated or teleologically-degraded, is that the conception of ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology varies as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; for instance with regards to the very same purview/domain of construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the meaningfulness-and-teleology of a positivistic mindset with the idea of going into a supposed evil forest to collect
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ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which is as of reification; wherein
dereification involves teleological embrangling/muddling/underdetermining meaningfulness-
and-teleology to the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. This
is because the lack of reification wrongly implies that the averaging-of-thought reference-of-
thought framework of registry-worldviews/dimensions are the absolute determinants of
intemporal value reference, such that the averaging-of-thought reference-of-thought
framework of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrystalism
and deprocrystalism, are paradoxically-and-falsely equally the absolute determinants of
intemporal value reference; whereas reification highlights that all the successive
institutionalisations are as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-
reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, but of varying ontological-performance-
including-virtue-as-ontology as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-
as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination. Behind this possibility of ontologically-flawed dereification of
human meaningfulness-and-teleology is the fact that given the reality of human first-natured
temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
projective-totalitative-implications’ is a second-naturing process as of elicited and second-
natured positive-opportunism of instigated ‘first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuations dispositions as of ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as of
ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework articulation of meaningfulness-and-
teleology in skewing for universal-transparency and social deferential-formalisation-transference. This fact about ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ implies that ‘first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuation disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ is not the sufficient reason for prospective human institutionalisation, but warrants a second-naturing process as of elicted and second-natured positive-opportunism as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in skewing for universal-transparency and social deferential-formalisation-transference. The implication here is that the social-construct has ever always been a threshold as of its prior institutionalisation as well as a threshold as of its prospective uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein respectively there is positive-opportunism for prior institutionalisation and no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation, explaining the developing reality of the various successive human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisations, as of retrospective and prospective implications. This fundamentally points to a ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and prospective-uninstitutionalisation-dereification’, that points out that hitherto the institutionalisation process has not been about ‘first-natured temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuations dispositions’ transformation into ‘first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuation disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’, but rather a constraining positive-opportunism second-naturing to emancipating categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so, despite the fact that ‘first-natured intemporal emanace/becoming/intersolipsism individuation disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ is a human individuation quality that avails potentially to all individuals as temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-receptacles but as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology has not hitherto been structurally/paradigmatically defining of human institutionalisation process even as it has rather been instigative as of an outlier human intemporal disposition. The basis for this ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and prospective-uninstitutionalisation-dereification’, is the fact that humankind is caught up in intemporal-reification and temporal-dereification as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology given its limited-mentation-capacity; wherein the ‘social-construct prospective uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of ‘no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation’ is a threshold at which there is a structural/paradigmatic lack of constraining institutionalisation to pre-empt ‘human temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamics’ assuming of ‘prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification madeupness mental-disposition as of ontologically-flawed relation with prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalititative–implications’. In other words, as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology given human limited-mentation-capacity: – at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition dereification behaviour’ at its prospective recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold;
– at base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for rulemaking-over-non-rules-that-is-not-universalisation-directed dereification behaviour’ at its prospective ununiversalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold;
– at universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-that-is-not-positivising/rational-empiricism-based dereification behaviour’ at its prospective non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold;

in this regard as a further elucidation, a paradigmatic/structural ‘temporal dereification madeupness mental-disposition as of ontologically-flawed relation with prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalitative–implications’ say on the basis of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery is easily elicited-as-of-dereification in a non-positivistic social-setup under existential-constraint as there is not reifying positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation universal-transparency. Insightfully, the possibility for deprocrypticism/pre-emption-of-disjointeness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily one that supersedes categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’: as of the
elicitation/cultivation of human first-natured emanance/becoming/intersolipsism ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising self-consciousness’. This is validated by the fact that as of its instigation of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-as-of-reference-of-thought behind the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes of the institutionalisation process, the ‘first-natured intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuation disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism elucidation of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ had-and-has ‘no categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to go by, but for its underlying ‘‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’’ thereof validated by prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalitative-implications; such that in lieu of positive-opportunism of second-naturing categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, deprocrypticism in its preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought rather all about arriving-short with no positive-opportunism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by ‘failing to elicit any associated positive-opportunism to deprocrypticism’ as well as ‘eliciting ironic nihilism to deprocrypticism’, in order not to cultivate a mechanic-knowledge appreciation of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and rather elicit a sense of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning’ ‘as cultivating an organic-knowledge appreciation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’, and so implied for living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, as the very fact of ‘mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlies ontological-incompleteness as of human living underdevelopment, institutional underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment, as of a lack of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’; as of the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is always incomplete when conceived simplistically as being all about ‘mechanical-constraints of rules without spirit’, construed as of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. The full implications here is that a deprocrypticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology is more critically about eliciting the ‘subject intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism sense of knowledge-and-virtue as of its ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics for a fully protracted-consciousness beyond a cloistered-consciousness’ in line with Foucauldian hermeneutics of the subject futural implications. Further, it is important to grasp that ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ is actually associated with all the transcendences of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, but that what is particular with deprocrypticism
summoning of ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied by its ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, is the fact that it achieves the potentiative-aspiration of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’; and so, as of ‘human ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’’ that supplants the notion of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is untenable to construe of the ultimate potential of human emancipation without the eliciting of this more fundamentally authentic basis of human emancipation as of the overcoming of human limited-mentation-capacity temporal dynamics beyond just ‘the elicitation of positive-opportunism to existential constraining’; as implied by ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism mirroring ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of inherent existence as ‘ecstatic singularity’, very much unlike mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of their given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that fail to mirror inherent existence as ‘ecstatic singularity’. Such implied transcendental ontological-construal is rather originarily/as-of-event as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning beyond prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought endemising/enculturating totalising—self-referencing-
short-as-needing-positivistic-universal-rules with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to then contend with positivism, falling-short-as-needing-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with our positivism–procrypticism to then contend with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Consider in this regard, the peregrinations of say a Descartes or Rousseau wherein in many ways they will fail to fulfil the mundane medieval world conception of ‘the supposedly good life’ as of its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as they reify meaningfulness-and-teleology by their peregrinations to construe of the paradigmatic/structural underdevelopment/unenlightenment of their society as in need of prospective positivistic ontological-discontiguity with non-positivism/medievalism as of their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reified insight. The insight here about reification is that all their intemporal value references are rather as subsumed in their ‘positivistic reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with the corresponding implications of human ‘prospective positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and so over non-positivism/medievalism vices-and-impediments. By that token they are effectively of the most intellectually-and-morally inclined persons of their society. Contrastively, the temporal value reference as of non-positivism/medievalism averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions of persons like ‘honourable aristocrats’ simply reified to the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension with its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments, while favourably looked upon as of non-positivism/medievalism society totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag from a prospective
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight points to such
a prior registry-worldview/dimension denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology, and
implying effectively that they are of lesser intellectual-and-moral/dialogical equivalency.
This further explains why vague classification schemes of value like good-naturedness,
kindness, honesty, etc. have no inherent meaning as of themselves, as all the meaningfulness-
and-teleology that there is and can exist is ontological as of prospective relative-ontological-
completeness, such that any such implied meaning is only ontologically intelligible with its
reification as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as so
implied from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the
reflection of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This points out that as of
its very own totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag,
a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is not the ontologically-veridical point
of conceptualisation of intemporal value reference, which is rather as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reification of meaningfulness-and-
teleology, as we can appreciate with regards to all prior institutionalisations but will certainly
be complexified/inhibited to construe the same as of our positivism–procrypticism as from futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective
deprocrypticism/pre-emption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective
relative-ontological-completeness perspective. The fact is no registry-worldview/dimension
as of its temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology averaging-of-
thought instigated prospective transcendence, is construed as ‘putting-into-question its
existentially invested conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, which is rather a
contradiction of sorts given human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor. Rather
besides cultural-diffusion pressures, all human transcendence as of internal processes are
rather as of outlier intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations dynamic metaphoricity instigation in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reifying gestures as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, which by this token is rather concerned with the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought denaturing of the prior institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation in ‘ontological-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’. However, this ‘ontologically-veridical reification of value reference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ and the ‘ontologically-flawed dereification of value reference as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’ is associated with a fundamental paradox/confusion with regards to sound human intellection at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalisations. As this reification/dereification of meaningfulness-and-teleology paradox/confusion has always provided the room for intellectual-and-moral charlatanism throughout human history as of lack of universal-transparency. With such charlatanism certainly knowing better but opting for denaturing conceptions of value reference as of averaging-of-thought advancement of temporal interests in stifling the possibility of prospective human intellectual-and-moral emancipation. The idea of intellectual-bad-faith raised herein by this author is a reflection of the reality that knowledge as organic-knowledge is existentially all-committal by the mere fact of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, with the possibility of denaturing as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and particularly so in spurious and blurry domains of study not readily/easily constraint to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity. This brings up the implication of what is truly transcendental knowledge by its nature as of knowledge notionalisation and
organic-knowledge. Transcendental knowledge is actually institutionalising and re-institutionalising, implying it supersedes institutional practices and constructs as to the possibility for prospective institutionalisation, and so as of its intemporal first-natured emanance/becoming/intersolipsism inducing institutional second-naturing. It is rather not out of the question that knowledge so-construed as of prospective transcendence implications put-into-question as ‘charlatanic’ institutions and their practices construed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought specifically as extra-intellectual and pedantic orientations that undermine the advancement of their supposed prospective intellectual and emancipatory vocations. Interestingly, we can garner that positivistic knowledge arose and was cultivated as of ‘its very own apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme conception of knowledge’ that superseded and didn’t recognise-and-submit to scholastic pedantry for its validation, as it construed that the latter wasn’t meant/structured/paradigmed to uphold and perpetuate positivism implied transcendental knowledge as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and in due course, by its ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework constraining it cross-generationally overrode scholastic pedantry. This author contends that it isn’t out of the question that a creeping and slumbering institutional-being-and-craft intellectual tedium today increasingly fails to elicit the full unenframed potential for prospective intellectual emancipation, and so rather as of structural institutionally-induced and societally-induced anti-intellectualism implications. The question can further be asked whether transcendental implied knowledge can actually be construed as the subject of ‘understanding’ of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the latter’s totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, given the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification implications of
transcendental knowledge. Is transcendental knowledge as of that token rather more a metaphoricity constraint as of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework for the possibility of prospective transcendence as more than just about abstract intellection but extending intellectualism to supersede the existential-investment implications that underlie excogitative-blanking to such prospectively implied ‘understanding’ as of transcendental knowledge. From the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought naïve non-transcendental totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it may be thought/reasoned that a transcendentally projecting intemporal mental-disposition is rather uncanny about the ‘existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought malignity reality of existence’ construed as pragmatic living, but this rather confirms the ‘dereifying irresponsibility’ of such temporal thought/reasoning mental-dispositions ‘caught up mainly in their 60-to-100 years of existence reality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The intemporal ‘reifying choice-and-adherence’ to the ‘reified assumed-responsibility’ of aetiolisation/ontological-escalation is ever always a reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that by definition is not in a ‘reasoning with’ relation with reasoning-from-results/afterthought deficient prior institutionalising; and certainly explaining why uninstitutionalised-thresholds transcending has ever always been conflicted as to the necessary reality of imposing the ‘superior party’ that is as of the full-potency existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over the denaturing mortals that we are for our prospective emancipation. Without an insight about reification and dereification, the notion of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is easily misconstrued since denaturing of meaning in dereification will be teleologically-elevated and meaning produced as of reification will be teleologically-degraded; as so blatantly obvious particularly with the dereification
manifestation of childhood psychopathy postlogism-slantedness but then takes on a wholly covert nature as of adulthood psychopathy and social psychopathy dynamics. In this regard, divergent as of temporal-to-intemporal dynamics of human ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology reflecting dereified and reified construals of existential-contextualising-contiguity is to be expected, and assessable on the basis of a commonly expected apriorising/intelligibilitysetup, which then speaks of a dialogical equivalence of both temporal mental-dispositions and the intemporal mental-disposition with no dereification and reification contrast. However, compounding this situation making relevant the need to contrast reification and dereification and imply moral-and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between temporal mental-dispositions and intemporal mental-disposition, is specifically the flawed ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy which is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the totalising-devolved apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’, and arises so fundamentally with regards to the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising which is the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag backdrop for existential-instantiations aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the fundamental implication that there are thus divergent apriorising/intelligibilitysetups as of psychopathic induced postlogism-slantedness, and its social cognisance and integration as conjugated-postlogism so-conjugating as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of social psychopathy. In this latter case of contrasted reification and dereification and implying moral-and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between
reality of humankind as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions humans psychological dispositions as per their corresponding apriorising/intelligibilitysetups. In this regard, the entire human institutionalisation process can be construed as human limited-mentation-capacity apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reification as ‘apriorising-teleological resetting of totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrytpicism-as-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, construed as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-as-of-the-institutionalisation-process-‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’; with the various prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures rather successively as lesser and lesser dereification-levels towards the deprocrypticism reification. Consider in that with regards to ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, its reification as ‘apriorising-teleological resetting of totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-physics-axiomatic-construct’ implies that structurally/paradigmatically ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ is dereified as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness to ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ which is rather reified as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness; such that interestingly to construe, as of ontological-veridicality, the reality of ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ requires rather assuming/departing-from an understanding of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied by the reifying ‘theory-of-
doesn’t recognise-nor-register any such notion as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that speaks of our prospective dialectical-dementing at our prospective positivism–procrypticism prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so as reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Interestingly, it should be noted here that with such phenomenon as psychopathy and social psychopathy that is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the totalising-devolved apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’ as of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold (just as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism social-setup is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the totalising-devolved apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’ as of their universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold), ontological-veridicality is rather assumed/departs from an understanding of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension and not our positivism–procrypticism, in articulating ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from this projected ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care—episteme over our positivism–procrypticism, so-implied as of their disseminative-ontological-discontiguity—contrastive-reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications. But then just as the reflex mental state and attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will be resistant to an elucidation of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery adopting the perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of the reifying prospective positivism to arrive at ontological-veridicality, likewise more fundamental in undermining the elucidation of the manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is the fact of an ordinariness/averaging-of-thought reflex mental state and attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in our positivism–procrypticism that will be resistant to adopting the reifying perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to arrive at ontological-veridicality that rather implies the dialectical-dementation of our positivism–procrypticism at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; and as we falsely go on to construe existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification by adopting the positivism–procrypticism dereifying perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness in an exercise of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Further and insightfully again, with the manifestation of childhood psychopathy where the postlogism-slantedness is universally transparent there is no occurrence of interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification as of the childhood slantedness, but with respect to adult psychopathy with the attendant maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness, such interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification arise as of their temporal madeupness-
threshold, which implies an invested social commitment as of thought and association that is then inclined to overlook inherent ontological-veridicality, as of interlocutors postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ leading to the dynamics of social psychopathy, and this logic also explains how and why notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are endemised/enculturated in a non-positivism social-setup; with the insight as articulated by this author that more critically manifestations of postlogism-slantedness across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather revelatory of the fundamental prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, with transcendental implications that goes well beyond the ad-hoc conception of manifestations of postlogism-slantedness but more broadly conceive as of the destructuring/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications arising from underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with regards to human living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion underdevelopment issues. This underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative–implications of analysis, as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-as-of-the-institutionalisation-process–‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, highlights that human mental-disposition as of its temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-

The question can then be asked with regards to the capacity of such a positivism–procrypticism self-consciousness psychology to attend to living-development, institutional-development, Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion underdevelopment issues/problems directly related to the lack of ‘futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism self-consciousness psychology that recognises-and-registers the prospective metaphoricity need as of human limited-mentation-capacity due to human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor’. This insight is in effect the futural rejoinder to the Foucauldian hermeneutics of the subject with respect to human prospective reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity capacity; in the sense that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ has always called upon a certain apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising development of the human subject itself as enabling-and-making-available the capacity for that human subject to tackle the prospective issues of its world. In this regard, the question could be asked: what is the capacity of the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset to tackle prospective issues warranting a positivism self-consciousness psychology, and by extension what is the
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This insight about the prospective need for ontological-discontiguity underlies a postmodern understanding, as this author contends, that it is by the exercise of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as of the need for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so over our positivism–procrypticism temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions ‘mutual cognisance and integrativeness of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’, that we provide the ontologically-veridical aetiologisation or ontological-escalation resolving the vices-and-impediments of our ‘so-prospectively deprocrypticism-construed’ procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its underlying totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so beyond just our ad-hoc palliative construals of virtue. Basically when post-structuralists speak of ‘the other’ this translates into aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme event-or-operant implications to all and sundry’ as implied in the above analysis, as postmodern-thought portends to be non-ideology-driven, non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant. This insight is also very much conscious of the ontologically-flawed misconstrual of ‘the other’ that pervades human averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions as of ‘mutual temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology eliciting’ construed as ‘intemporal temporality’. Such tendencies are hardly of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as their emphasis lies in existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, rather than nonextirpatory-existential-
changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as of the given reference-of-thought, with these elements in need for prospective transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but paradoxically now defining the conception of virtue. The fact is our pretences and arguments of practice, as not critically pinned down to their ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, can similarly be meted with pretences and arguments of practice as of each and every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought practices, and thus conceptualising virtue by totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag while circumventing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existentialexistential-extirpation-as-of-existentialexistent-unthought the vices-and-impediments of each registry-worldview/dimension in want of its ‘pure ontology’ virtue resolution as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In this regard such palliative virtue constructs overlooking fundamental underlying paradigmatic/structural ontological implications about our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ reflected by the ‘postmodern—deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks, are no different to say ‘non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ overlooking its own social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as reflected from ‘positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. However,
approbating we may be predisposed to such palliative virtue constructs as of lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, the fact is these are not really the underlying drivers for virtue transcendence and are peripheral to more ontologically profound theorised-or-untheorised emancipatory events driving virtue transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, notwithstanding our state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. The fact is from an ontological standpoint, we inherently are no more virtuously exceptional even with regards to the earliest of humans, and so as of the very same species potency, and thus we can’t ascribed inherent virtuous superiority by the mere token of our own practice. Rather the exceptionality behind human virtuous potential lies ontologically with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, reflecting the fact that pure-ontology that as of its second-naturing induces the requisite level of human virtue performance at each given registry-worldview/dimension, retrospectively to prospectively. It is rather by acting upon the inherent human institutionalisation process as of its ontological reflection in Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion that virtue transcendence comes about, whether or not beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. In this regard, any registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, such that prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as required for virtue transcendence necessarily implies disrupting and superseding any such closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology vices-and-impediments, as of the prospective/new superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Inevitably any such virtue construct is transcendental as meaning ‘going beyond oneself’; and so with regards to any prospective institutionalisation relative to the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation. Thus the ‘field of conception’/notional-conception/notion of virtue-as-ontology covers way more than its articulation within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as its implications as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion need to be drawn beyond a cloistered-consciousness as of retrospective and prospective transcendental illuminating implications. In this regard, a postmodern suprastructuralism philosophical stance with regards to virtue-as-ontology very much aware of the transcendental ontological implications of existence’s non-presencing: will question such reasoning-from-results/afterthought basis of palliative virtue constructs especially as of their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-distension implications; ask whether by definition a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is structured/paradigmed to sponsor/promote/endorse its very own prospective transcendence as of the need for the subversion of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology that endemise-and-enculturate its vices-and-impediments by prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, more like could the Copernicuses, Galileos,
Descartes, Diderots, etc. call upon the very same non-positivism/medievalism in need for prospective positivism transcendence to underwrite the subversion of its entrenched non-positivism/medievalism internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks; and, hence the ontologically-veridical paradox of the very structuring/paradigming implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought.—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination renders any registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ever deficient as of its need for psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Ultimately, anti-constructivism and anti-relativism criticisms of postmodern-thought come down to our ‘modern positivism/rational-empiricism ontologically-flawed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ constitutedness construal of categorising/taxonomising schemes that pervades the ‘modern categorising mental-disposition’ as of our occlusive-consciousness neuterising, as we fail to grasp the implication of an implied apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising that is naively superseding the true apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising nature of existential reality as the absolute a priori’; such that the meaningfulness-and-teleology that arises is a relatively virtual-or-ontologically-flawed-construal. On the contrary it is conflatedness that ensures our apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising syncs with the true apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising nature of existential reality as the absolute a priori, and so as of an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence posture which rather ‘turns the idea of analysing and conceptualising on its head’ into one of ‘grasping human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing—
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination implications as of the underlying psychoanalytic-unshackling' for human-subpotency construal of the full-potency that is existence. This insight about the complete relationship between developing human-subpotency and its potential to fully grasp the full-potency of existence, fundamentally underlies the protensive-consciousness referentialism of the notional-conflicatedness of notional-deprocrypticism. However, it is equally critical to grasp the double-gesture reification implied in such a postmodern-as-suprastructural conception of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation. Such a postmodern suprastructuralism double-gesture reification holds that knowledge involving virtue-as-ontology is truly organic-knowledge as of its appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction; with the adherence to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of such organic-knowledge construed as of intemporality-as-conviction, whereas mechanical-knowledge is rather predispose to adhere as of temporal-as-token-or-madeupness to the such mere reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The latter points to an inappropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme which is not beholden to the prospective institutionalisation but rather is of existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought relation with it. More concretely, consider the practice of serfdom in Europe, or the annihilation of many Native American tribes and slavery and slave trade in the new world, while at the same time in a registry-worldview/dimension transitioning from the non-positivism/medievalism to the positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview with this contrastive mechanical-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. While the full
implications of a positivism/rational-empiricism organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme will imply an end to such practices as of universal human rights, ‘economic-opportunistic-and-then-enculturated tenants’ of such blatant moral supremacy and thus racial supremacy distorted the implications of the technical and social organisation advancement brought about from budding positivism/rational-empiricism to reconceptualise by their specific interests meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the prior non-positivism/medievalism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus justify their nefarious practices; speaking of mechanical-knowledge in positivism/rational-empiricis. Whereas progressive organic-knowledge tenants construed positivism/rational-empiricism as an openness to the potential of all societies and peoples to rather arrive at the higher possibility of positivism/rational-empiricism virtue, and so as of a human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation posture that allows for universal human emancipation as expressed by the Quakers movement, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. Incidentally, the positivism/rational-empiricism mechanical-knowledge tenants as of the economic-opportunism-and-then-enculturation of their nefarious practices, were very much countervailing the practice and trend within their own societies of origin undergoing-positivism/rational-empiricism-transformation and the underlying dual-language/split-mentality unscrupulousness was given away as of the ‘out-of-sight demeanour’ in their main societies, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress. The occasional development of enlightenment and positivism/rational-empiricism by its technical and social organisation transformation implications wasn’t the opportunity for such societies to turn around and then dehumanise other societies and humanities that haven’t done likewise, but rather as of organic-knowledge called for a double-gesture reification in
recognising that such positivism/rational-empiricism implications are about all of humanity, just as implied in preceding human cultural emancipations. Suprastructuralism or postmodernism double-gesturing of virtue doesn’t function on the naïve basis of ‘merely construing relative implied levels of virtue development and making relative conclusions’ but rather orientate meaningfulness-and-teleology to the more profound perspective of all of humanity’s potential as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and then reconstrue the possibility of all of humanity-as-of-societies to ultimately fulfil it virtuous potential; and this is the optimum and emancipatory virtue disposition for all humankind and human societies. It adopts this orientation because it always put into question the idea of ‘grounding meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any specific human society ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as fundamentally denaturing, and likely to induce transversal dehumanising of some cultures and societies by others’; as it recognises, however tepid, that all societies and humans are curious, predisposed to their emancipation and achieving optimum existential possibilities, and can uphold universal values, and so as of universal-transparency. Ultimately, such a double-gesturing hold out the possibility of the institutionalisation process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as pertinent for all humankind, whether as of internal social-progress, cultural diffusion or cultural-reappropriations. This practically translates, say considering an instance of a given traditional practice that is abhorrent to modern positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, by implying from a postmodern perspective that emancipation truly arises when the humans come to assume as well by themselves a universal positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in transforming their society. We can appreciate that supposed a space civilisation come to earth, implying for instance in a position of strength that we are too violent, disorganise, etc. and thus morally inferior, and
that our best interests was just to take our cue from them. Here as well, the postmodern
double-gesture reification of virtue will project that we do have the potential for further
development, and that to be ourselves we cannot be utterly alienated from ourselves like
robots in our relationship with them, and that our curiosity and openness will correspondingly
bring about our functional moral equivalency with universal-transparency. Further arguing
that if they are truly more advanced than us, then that advancement is necessarily about a
greater aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-
relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation that will necessarily
subscribe to recognising ‘the other’ that we are to them; as insightfully, grander
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation come with relative-ontologically-veridical
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Claims of such grander
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implying dehumanising interpretations are
ontologically-flawed as such claims are rather surreptitiously based on prior registry-
worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalisation reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology
as teleological-degradations-in-ontological-discontiguity. In other words, the organic-
knowledge in its true appreciation of ‘the other’ as of aetiologisation or ontological escalation
implies a ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
event-or-operant to all and sundry’. Finally, the naivety when facing such anti-constructivism
and anti-relativism arguments is to think that these are always about fair and objective
intellectual disagreements; but then the history of many such criticisms has revealed its
underlying perfidy. Further, as of organic-knowledge and knowledge notionalisation, this
author holds that it is naïve to conceptualise of human knowledge mainly as of pure erudition
warranting mainly sound arguments, proofs and convincing demonstrations, and that the
reality all along ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ shows that there has always been beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought ‘institutional investment’ that is not always just of eruditic ideal, inclined to undermined prospective knowledge as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-constructs-and-reference-of-thought, and that true knowledge especially as it portends to transcendence cannot be conceptualised losing sight of this fact. The blunt fact is that postmodern-thought has shown itself to be more useful and applicable across the humanities with a massive potential for furthering human emancipation, however the tentativeness of many of its bold ideas, and so much more than the vagaries peddled by many such critiques surreptitious anti-intellectual media-driven waylaying who on the contrary seem to construe of institutional anchoring as the very essence of validation. Such situations are often highly liable to intellectual-bad-faith undermining of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion due to ‘lack of social universal-transparency’. In other words, medieval charlatanic eliciting of old ways, conventioning and existence as of non-positivism/medievalism despite its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as underscoring medieval vices-and-impediments with respect to prospective positivism was psychically and surreptitiously undermining of a sense of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion; and this insight is valid across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of the eliciting of temporal individuations self-referencing cloistered-consciousness in nihilistically undermining prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is only an organic-knowledge sense of consummation-as-not-beholden to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology stakes that human intemporal individuations as of a protracted-
consciousness can contemplate of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of its cross-generational transcendental implications and as reflected from the insight of the institutionalisation process. Again, it can be noted here that Einstein, Bohr and the other seminal physics contributors to the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ had no prior basis to adopt their subsequently transcendental and sublimity orientation but for their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as of their ‘re-projection/re-anticipation’ about ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ which was then validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and so divulged by existence’s non-presencing; as prior human presencing experience wouldn’t have thought about space-time, considered the ether as unreal, considered that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. In other words, there wasn’t any prior ‘logocentric transcendental-signifier’ as of the prior ‘classical-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ construed as presencing enabling the obtention of any such conclusions from the given ‘classical-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ constitutedness, but rather it is by conflatedness with regards to ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ that the prospective ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ was construed as of non-presencing. Interestingly, as of the underlying phenomenology-driven ontology, it is rather more pertinent with respect to transcendence and sublimity to grasp that such ultimate decidability is construed as of human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition in ‘a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability as enabled by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework tendential validation as of existence’s non-presencing’. Such a construal of human transcendence and sublimity will cover the seminal contributions prior and after the defining-threshold ontological-break/decidability of the
‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ by Einstein and Bohr. Such an ontological basis for construing sublimity overrides our neuterising laden modern convention ways of judging breakthroughs overemphasising singular initiative, as it is rather grounded more soundly on an abstract notion of ‘intemporal-as-ontological individuation’ as the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination analysis; and insightfully, as reflected in the underlying conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption—as-futural-différance-freeplay, sublimity is achieved rather out of the notional obviating of human temporal—as-non-ontological neuterising as of deneuterising—referentialism and with correspondent intemporal-as-ontological rearticulation/reconstrual of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of dynamics of insight of shallow-to-deeper human limited-mentation-capacity implications, and so as of protensive-consciousness of notional-deprocrypticism perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Similarly, this author’s articulation of futural-différance as of transcendence and sublimity is necessarily construed ontologically as of a rearticulated protractedness as futural différance that coincides-and-is-contiguous with a prior Derridean différance as of quasi-transcendence and evasiveness of sublimity. In both cases, this highlights that ‘decidability is not instantaneous as of inherent spontaneous identification and occurrence of decisional act’ but that decidability in enabling transcendence and sublimity is as of an ‘overall différance tendential-deliberation-of-decidability’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination process. Thus sublimity is equally reflected in the deliberateness involved in cultivating artistic, educational, technical or research capabilities/skill in the final outcomes derived forthwith, as of the quality imbued on human limited-mentation-capacity to deepen itself; and this translates into human contemplation of the existential-possibilities
attainable by its subpotency. Tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is thus the central ontological insight attached to difféance as ‘a contiguously theoretical and operant phenomenological construct involving necessarily the deliberateness as of Derridean freeplay difféance, as a putting into question exercise, and subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation before attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity’; and difféance as of such ‘existential-reality concreteness dynamics’ is scientific and utterly dissimilar from a speculative idealisation exercise à la Hegelian dialectics and well beyond the latter’s conceptual patterning. Ultimately, such tendential-deliberation-of-decidability for attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, arises from more than just a blatant/flatminded notion of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination or say the vague social convention idea of talent, it is more critically beyond and about a question of human mental-disposition with respect to the prescience of existence’s non-presencing—or—withdrawal—or—metaphysics-of-absence—or—transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination so—implied as of ontology’s-directedness-as—Being. This is the very meaning of organic-knowledge beyond the conception of mechanic-knowledge as—knowledge-as—a—mere—thing—to—be—acted—upon—for—given—outcomes. Organic-knowledge as such implies priorly a conviction deference to the prescience of existence’s non-presencing—or—withdrawal—or—metaphysics-of-absence—or—transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination over any human—as—mortal framing of meaningfulness-and—teleology including oneself—as—human—as—mortal, as it is human mortality—as—temporal—ity that is rather what is in need for further Being and consciousness development. Thus the paradigm of sublimity for a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought, as reflected in the Derridean social ethics stance, is rather one for the ‘subsumptive inventing’ of the prospective ontological possibilities of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over human normativity/conventioning as of the latter’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and so by maximaliing-recomposuring totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of organic-knowledge. A nonextirpatory existential paradigm of sublimity implying that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism, are successively-wanting of prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity going by their successively-given mechanical-knowledge in temporality-as-of-neuterisation/difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity/relative-ontological-incompleteness/existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. In other words, an intemporal-as-ontological mental-disposition projecting of the organic-knowledge as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought can’t sidestep such implied prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, and undertake existence as of the prior registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, even if it such a mental-disposition could lead to such an outcome as in H.G. Well’s country of the blind or Galileo say with the medieval Establishment; despite the fact that the possibilities of such outcomes arise out of establishment Charlatanism, which knows better, but exploits lack of ‘social universal-transparency’. But then it is actually a sign of ‘propounded theoretical health and pertinence’ when all such Establishment charlatanism comes to dodge such substantive-and-frontal articulation of prospective knowledge, and in lieu come up with worn out refrains and sidestepping manoeuvres avowing their true ‘intellectual blankness’ grounded on institutional-being-and-craft; as we know that in all genuinely inclined intellectual pursuits the very central tenet has always been about theoretical disputative engagement and not acts
of escapism and downgrading of intellectual arguments as of ‘solo media exploits of intellectual popularity’. Thus by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as futural différance, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay comes into terms with both presencing and non-presencing on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity of the latter over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness/ontological-discontiguity of the former as of the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities. Thus what is being correctly implied is not ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity but rather ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontiguity between presencing and non-presencing. Such an insight is enabled as of the fundamental awareness that human knowledge construction fundamentally involves two different exercises; with the first factoring in that at the fundamental level of knowledge construction humankind has a limited-mentation-capacity that needs to be developed as a ‘developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness/notional-contiguity’ construed as its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising to then be able at an operative level to articulate sound-or-authentic meaningfulness-and-teleology grounded on such a developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon. This explains why it is impossible for a ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of trepidatious-consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ to grasp base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘base-institutionalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of warped-consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’; for a ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to
grasp universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘universalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of preclusive-consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’; for a ‘universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘positivistic mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of occlusive-consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’; and prospectively for a ‘positivism–procrypticism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-meaningfulness without first developing a ‘deprocrypticism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of protensive-consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’. As we can get that the fundamental stake for the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, etc. during the Enlightenment wasn’t just about the specific positivistic knowledge they articulated or else they would have been satisfied with just their personal curiosity and enlightenment and leave it at that, but rather they surreptitiously undermined many of the prevailing social norms and rules in trying to expound their knowledge and vision, and more critically so because they knew it is the ‘formation of a positivistic social consciousness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ that would enable the anchoring of all such prospective positivistic knowledge, and this sense of things fully underscored such a more comprehensively directed project-and-purpose undertaken later by the Encyclopédistes; with the underlying insight that while a social state of generalised prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is enabling to surreptitious Establishment charlatanism, however with increasing ‘social universal-transparency’ such charlatanism is exposed for what it really is, explaining the panickiness and falsehood
associated with such charlatanism as with the reactionaries to the Encyclopédistes project, as if the articulation of knowledge by itself was a threat rather than subject to disputation! Underlying as the non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical and conceptual possibility for such futural différance consciousness development is the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics which by pointing out an ontological-break as of ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontinuity, underscore at once ‘both as affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable measuring instrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of the consciousness in ontological-contiguity/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable measuring instrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of the consciousness in ontological-discontiguity/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and not incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, as of the very same totalising–purview of construal-as-existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities’. As futural différance is enabled, unlike the case with the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’, as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism involving human mental reprojection-or-reanticipation capacity that deepens human limited-mentation-capacity; overriding the idea that the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of contemplation is absolutely given-and-determined as of the implication that all meaningfulness-and-teleology should be as of ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity, but rather reconceptualising the possibility of ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontiguity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought bringing about transcendence and sublimity as of non-presencing. Thus such a phenomenology associated with accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay further divulges, unlike the
‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’, the full possibility of human sublimity. Consider in this regard the decisive transitions-as-sublimitys that occurred in physics: with ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’; wherein the successive axiomatic-constructs in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness and prospective relative-ontological-completeness, with regards to ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ are not as of a ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity but rather a difference-in-nature/notional-discontiguity; with human-subpotency aligning towards the full potency of existence which thus divulges the possibility of human sublimity as of the physics science implications today. It is interesting to note that the ‘difference-in-nature’/ontological-discontiguity bringing about the successive physics axiomatic-constructs/theories are successive ‘ontological-breaks’ from prior reasoning and are akin to ‘leaps of faith’ which then ‘establish new reasoning’ that then becomes the internal ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity of the new physics as the new presencing; brought about from the transcendence of non-presencing. In other words, human consciousness tends to be constraint to its presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness, and thus assumes a ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity mental-disposition as of presencing. But existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being as of non-presencing is beyond and not constraint by human consciousness as of its presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness, and thus hints-at the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism possibilities of transcendence and sublimity as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework validation that is at the very center of the ‘promise of correspondence between human-subpotency as of Being-and-
consciousness development and existence as of ontological-veridicality’, and so despite the complexifying/inhibiting metaphysics-of-presence of any given presencing—or—totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness from a ‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity posture; such that humankind then overlooks presencing and re-projects/re-anticipates non-presencing enabling human transcendence and sublimity. Therefore, metaphoricity as highlighted herein is actually construed as of ‘its natural ontology implications’, and this natural ontological notion of metaphoricity is construed herein as superseding-and-englobing all other differentiated adjunctive significations including conventional figures-of-speech. Metaphoricity as such simply refers to signification adjunctiveness to ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of both the meaningfulness-and-teleology implications to the so-renewed ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and the specific adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification within such renewed ‘underlying totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. Metaphoricity is very much a mirroring of existential ‘syncretising-effecting’ going by the latter’s existential implications on ‘human underlying self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology as a totalising/circular construal’. This ‘totalisation/circularity ontological-breaking’ of self-referencing associated existentially with syncretising-effecting as mirrored in metaphoricity arises because of human limited-mentation-capacity, and is a reflection of the circular deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of growing certitude from the opening up of non-presencing by human re-projection/re-anticipation ultimately validated by existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework. Further, metaphoricity as such speaks of the evasiveness of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrently pointed out herein as of token-as-madeupness/non-conviction or derived-token-as-madeupness/derived-non-conviction or
conviction possibilities relation to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications. The implications of this reality as of metaphoricity explains why epistemes are fundamentally and necessarily constricted as of their specific registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought; as ultimately epistemes are as relevant as the ontological-possibilities divulgeable by presencing and non-presencing, such that in the case of the latter there is no prior insight about the veracity of any episteme before it is divulged with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as presencing. Consider in this regard Galileo’s implying positivistic episteme metaphoricity over a medieval Establishment scholasticism-and-mysticism episteme as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as the necessary backdrop for the knowledge he articulates and all subsequent positivistic knowledge. In many ways, this author as of organic-knowledge is very much aware of the ‘drawback implications’ of our positivism–procrypticism episteme as of its constitutedness with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling organic-knowledge, as of the full articulation of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with respect to our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation implications representation, and so beyond just our natural inclination for presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness. Galileo could well had possibly recasted his implied positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in scholasticism-mysticism terms, just as Copernicus work was held back priorly in limbo, but then the implications as he perceived would have been a degradation and lost of the essence of what he was doing, and so more than just the specific
scientific knowledge but more critically it warranted a psychoanalytic-unshackling into the non-presencing–or–withdrawal–or–metaphysics-of-absence–or–transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination perspective/framing/reference/horizon of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology we entertain today. Likewise, as of such metaphoricity episteme, the meaningfulness-and-teleology herein implied as of its essence cannot do without this hermeneutic circle phenomenological ontology elucidation as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling conflatedness; and the ideal backdrop for this lies in a further developed postmodern-thought phenomenological-depth of construction, as implied herein by this author as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay. This author conceives that at the very core to such genuine understanding of postmodern-thought is a double-gesture reification that consists of perspective/framing/reference/horizon and then contention/argumentation within such articulated perspective/framing/reference/horizon, as so implied by postmodern-thought together with other kindred though less dramatic textuality-thinkers like Gadamer and Habermas; as of the need to adopt/instigate the appropriate mindset for knowledge appraisal given the fundamental distorting effect, beyond just perception, of human limited-mentation-capacity. This double-gesture reification reality for construing human knowledge amounts to a quasi-psychoanalytic-unshackling, as it reflects the fact that The-Given as of existentialism/thrownness/facticity is always an insufficiently/poorly developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for direct instigation of contention/argumentation aspiring for profundity and completeness. Such that this double-gesture reification of the textuality-driven intellectuals involves their ‘special focus orientations’ profundity say like genealogy with Foucault, deconstruction with Derrida, etc., and this together with the transversal complementarity and criticisms of all such ‘special focus orientations’, go on to conjointly-and-fruitfully define what is postmodern-thought. Postmodern-thought as such can be analogised with the anecdote of the blind men striving to
determine what an elephant is, but with each one saying authentically what the find in front of them in developing the relevant specific imageries and overall imagerie of what an elephant is. This in itself is a milestone in theorisation, and as an overall conception postmodern-thought, besides the ‘special focus orientations’ of the specific textuality-driven intellectuals, is primarily about ‘consistently taking a best shot’ at reality and is not inherently driven at its core by ideology but rather authenticity. As such it effectively achieves a more potent construal of the human condition and knowledge especially as it is ‘driven by such transversal cumulative authenticities that augment the possibilities of human limited-mentation-capacity’ thus going a long way to open up new and coherent thought possibilities as of its grander and overall conception and spirit. Interestingly, what is central about the intellectual-bad-faith critique of postmodern-thought is the lack-of-insight/feinting-lack-of-insight about all these underlying elements of postmodern-thought construction: as failing to grasp/recognise the implied double-gesture reification as of its transcendental-enabling implications, and by not appreciating due to ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness the implications of perspective/framing/reference/horizon before contention/argumentation as of any given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, thus implying ‘poor critical judgment’. With such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness further protracting into a poor grasp of postmodern theorists ‘special focus orientations’ with the tendency to engage postmodern-thought as of an uninsightful literal and flatminded/banal/flimsy reading; and with the ultimate outcome that all such naïve uninsightful literal and flatminded/banal/flimsy readings are cumulated and summated as the entirety of the postmodern theoretical construct, and so on a apparently implied flawed logic that the discretion allowed for criticism doesn’t engage the intellectual credibility of the critique, a notion that is especially abused within a media background. Such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness with respect to postmodern-thought fails to grasp that all subject-matter as of their inherently deferential-formalisation-
transference as of institutional percolation-channelling are necessarily construed as of a
double-gesture reification that supersedes the ordinariness/banality of day to day social
existence analysis as of averaging-of-thought, such that as of the history of such critiques it
will be naïve not to factor in the reality of intellectual-bad-faith and so particularly as it tends
to shy away from genuine intellectual engagement with postmodern-thought, and
highlighting that the idea of arrogance peddled about postmodernism strangely enough
speaks of the ‘ignoble arrogance’ of such intellectual-bad-faith critiques, as
structurally/paradigmatically that which attributes value judgments is that which is
knowledgeable-as-of-its-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-dialectical-thinking and not that which is ignorant-as-of-its-prior-relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-dialectical-dementing. Such that there is
no dialogical equivalence that then arises by the fact that the former is a
nonextirpatory/intemporal/ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology while
the latter is an existential-extirpation/temporal/non-ontological relationship with
meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that it is the former intemporal-as-ontological
individuation mental-disposition that is responsible for bringing about human Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion as of the institutionalisation process
retrospectively and prospectively while the latter as of its false ‘temporal-intemporality’ is
rather existentially extirpatory and oblivious to Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion as of the institutionalisation process. As ultimately, it is the prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought pursued by the former that supersedes and
dissolves human vices-and-impediments as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension
transcendence reference-of-thought. The overall insight here of such intellectual-bad-faith
can be construed analogically as say in a non-positivistic social-setup where the modern
disease theory is not yet socially familiar such that patients may assume that they should be
cured immediately/instantly after treatment with no perspective/framing/reference/horizon of appreciation for judging medicine as optimally an over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation construed as the basis of a positivist physician practice; a notion being spread and advocated by the positivist physician in the social-setup. Now consider a competing healer very much aware of such a non-positivist social-setup ‘lack of social universal-transparency’ with regards to such over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation notion and throwing a spanner in the works by pretending that the physician should confirm that patients are cured immediately as otherwise the physician must be practising witchcraft on the patients, understanding fully well the authentic disposition of the physician to affirm a practice of over-a-time-period-of-bodily-reparation for a long term dependable notion of medicine. While they are pragmatically inclined to advanced opportunistically whatever explanation to justify that their healing is immediate/instant and so involving any such stratagem like opportunistically accusing patients or some other persons for any implied failure of immediate/instant cure having the effect on the most part of shutting-off any complain or at least negative allegations about the healer’s cure, and so-enabled on the basis of the healer priorly institutionalised deferential-formalisation-transference posture in the social-setup. Such a healer encouraging the social-setup notion of immediate/instant cure as a ploy as of the possibility of the positivistic disease theory conception subverting their own non-positivistic healing practice notwithstanding ontological-veracity. The manifest acts of many such intellectual-bad-faith critiques with respect to postmodern-thought: whether when pretending to misunderstand postmodern double-gesture reification of meaningfulness, blatantly caricaturing in the most inane terms postmodern-thought, avoiding genuine intellectual-level disputation, and so rather opting for subversive averaging-of-thought ‘uncritical social media preaching towards sold publics-of-conquest’ paradoxically while claiming not to grasp postmodern-thought, with subterfuges of unoriginal thought usurping the notion of science and intellectualism
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towards such uncritical publics; and all this as a manifestation of perverted intellectual institutional-being-and-craft. While postmodern-thought is not and has never been immuned from genuine intellectual criticism not only from other schools-of-thought but among postmodern and poststructuralist thinkers themselves, and this calling out of such intellectual-bad-faith critics is much more than an issue about postmodern-thought but about all intellectualism generally as such malpractices tend to mark the beginning of intellectual decadence subversion of progressive thinking and go on to permeate social practices and media practice, thus rendering social and critical thought impotent. Further knowledge as understood by this author is more than just the conception of its intemporal-as-ontological nature but knowledge is much more completely and potently notional knowledge as it understands as well the implications of temporal-as-non-ontological mental-dispositions dynamics in relation to pure ontology, and thus in the face of intellectual-bad-faith shouldn’t take the bait of overlooking and thus falsely elevating teleologically as intellectually pertinent intellectual-bad-faith rather than relating to it at its teleologically-degraded level for what it truly is, and so as part and parcel of a complete conception of knowledge. Ultimately, intellectual statuses are as pertinent as veridically enabling to human emancipation as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and intellectuals’ choice of intellectual-bad-faith is nothing less than self-inflicting irreverence and cannot thus turn around to intimate irreverence when surreptitiously undermining knowledge of universal consequential implications. This author as of metaphysics-of-absence will summate that prior postmodern thinking is akin-and-pointing-to a proto-prospective reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought over a presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing–syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness as prior reference-of-thought, and that necessarily it speaks by its double-gesture reification of
quasi psychoanalytic unshackling thus requiring a psychoanalytic reorientation to such an implied prospective reference of thought as of the prospective relative ontological completeness of axiomatic construct or reference of thought of a better knowledge perspective reference of thought before as preceding contention argumentative engagement, and so avoiding flatmindedness banality flimsiness. The underlying current of postmodern thought is that our limited mentation capacity induces our prior relative ontological incompleteness with regards to reference of thought and its derived meaningfulness and teleology, with the implication that we need to a prospective relative ontological completeness of reference of thought to be able to articulate intemporal as ontological construal as of the internal dialectics différance of meaningfulness and teleology. In other words, all concepts notions as of ontologically veridical meaningfulness and teleology are made to have their internal dialectics différance as of non presencing for their sublimity and transcendence into more profound and more complete meaningfulness and teleology. For instance the postmodern take about science is rather a more profound and complete notion of science than the modern take, such that a modern approach to the conception of science naively fails to factor in unlike the postmodern approach the implications of human limited mentation capacity and the need to deepen it, thus translated into the prior need for prospective relative ontological completeness; wherein the modern take might naively consider medicine as simply providing medications and remedies, the postmodern take by an internal dialectics différance of the notion of medical science will factor in socioeconomic education information environmental gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery as a more profound and complete notion of medical science; construed effectively as of deprocrypticism preempting of disjointedness as of reference of thought. Thus, for postmodern thought the capacity to attain relative ontologically veridical meaningfulness and teleology comes down to the capacity of arriving
at the very essence of meaningfulness-and-teleology while overcoming the drawback of our human limited-mentation-capacity. This insight about the essence of things is what underlies fundamentally Heideggerian-essencing-as-of-the-ontological-difference, Sartrean-existence-precedes-essence and Derridean-différance-as-there-is-nothing-outside-the-text, all construed by this author as of existential-contextualising-contiguity; is the enabling approach for human ontological-reconstituting as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. Basically thus, the overall postmodern project implication is that we deepen our limited-mentation-capacity first to ensure that we go about deriving ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is in reality the ultimate scientific insight as such an internal-dialectics/différance is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant scientific implications; and this is reflected in the very initiation of the postmodern paradigm with Heidegger’s criticism of Hegelian dialectics, construed by this author as ‘not founded-on-and-constrained-by ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, but rather imagination and speculation. Anecdotally, the flatmindedness of a ‘modern take’ in failing to recognise the postmodern double-gesture reification will simply consider the blind men reporting of an elephant as a tree-trunk, a rope, a wall, a fan or a spear as ‘postmodern madness’ without factoring in the underlying double-gesture reification for perspective and insight, given the problematic of human limited-mentation-capacity that itself needs to be factored in and thus actually strengthen the human thought process in its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In the bigger scheme of things, such an internal-dialectics/différance is what explains the institutionalisation process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion and so-construed as suprastructuralism beyond just the specific interpretation of suprastructuralism as of postmodernism with respect to modernism. This internal-dialectics/différance as of successive transcendence and sublimity is behind the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their given reference-
of-thought specific neuterising as well as the ultimate deneuterising—referentialism of deprocripticism. But then intellectual-bad-faith is equally elicited by ‘lack of social universal-transparency’ as of a cynicism of institutional-being-and-craft. The transcendental implications of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ arises for instance in the sense that however ‘wishful’ the ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue and human emancipation potential/possibilities of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension like positivism as of its ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, cannot avail to a prior registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism. In this regard the Copernicuses, Galileos and Diderots of their eras, and more explicitly Descartes in his direct construal of the positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, would have certainly sensed that their specific knowledge conceptualisations wasn’t the more critical issue but rather their insistence was an implicit understanding that the non-positivistic ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ was structurally/paradigmatically a framework that wouldn’t be enabling for their positivistic and all other positivistic knowledge conceptualisations as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Such conflatedness imbued in postmodern-thought address more than just constitutedness implications of knowledge construction as articulated herein but equally points critically to intellectually decadent institutional dispositions and practices where imprimatur and the dynamics of imprimatur by themselves are increasingly construed as of more critical epistemic pertinence for knowledge constructions undermining the possibilities of breakthroughs given that the primacy of intellectualism as of the pertinence of intellectual arguments increasingly takes a back seat, with intellectual postures
increasingly defended with non-intellectualism obsession of ideologies of schools-of-thought as of institutional-being-and-craft. This manifests itself in the form of many an intellectual increasing disposition ‘to misunderstand’ others works, as there are little common stakes for breakthroughs but rather the stakes are increasingly of institutions academic visibility and tenure with emphasis on likeminded networks and forums driven increasingly by influence than carefree universal intellectual curiosity. Furthermore intellectualism has increasingly been surreptitiously mingling-and-yielding to social and economic interests undermining its obligation for enabling social clairvoyance, with a resultant sense of socioeconomic and socio-political impotence as such a blurriness is increasingly undermining the relevance of intellectualism in its public discourse and enlightenment mission. Ultimately, the epistemic and structural paradigm of academic institutional setups are not dissociated from the effective possibility for transcendent-able, especially as such breakthroughs require the spontaneity of Dionysian arrangements. This author’s construes of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ conceptualisation as of ontological-escalation or aetiologisation, with respect to our present positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as the more fundamental transcendental issue for prospective ontological-prime movers-totalititative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential beyond self-referencing-syncretism and circular palliative knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue with regards to attending to the inherent deficient uninstitutionalised-threshold of knowledge-construct possibilities and vices-and-impediments imbued in our positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such a paradox of human ontological-performance is effectively construed as arising out of human totalising—

nal-unthought, and so elucidated from the ontological-normalcy/ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. In so doing, the latter reflects the limited-mentation-capacity dynamism of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of notional-deprocrypticism as well as temporal-to-intemporal individuations mental-dispositions, by way of den

euterising—referentialism, in lieu of neuterising. Thus this notion of human limited-mentation-capacity as the basis of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral divulges ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ and as of their ontologically-flawed constructs of neuterising, with regards to articulating teleological elevation-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality or teleological degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality respectively either as of conflatedness or ontological-destructuring-constitutedness. Basically, the construal/conceptualisation of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance has always involved a disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality as of on the one hand a dichotomy of ‘intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling abstraction of prospective Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology construal as of organic-knowledge implications and so as reductive construction however non-mechanical and intemporal-as-
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its-specific-constitutedness consciousness flawed conceptualisation perspective’ neuterising by its trepidatious-consciousness, while on the other extreme in contrast deprocrypticism rather has a reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’ that by its ‘reference-of-thought-devolving—différence/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ grasp the ontologically-veridical ‘underlying human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics of totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, and so without being subject to any neuterising’ as is the case with all ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’. Thus by its deneuterising—referentialism construed as of ontological-aesthetic-tracing, deprocrypticism enables a complete ontology-driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, and so superseding a naïve metaphysics-of-presence affect-driven mented or stigmatic psychology rather as of a shallow perspective and vaguely articulated as of universal import. The idea here with regards to human transcendental-enabling/transcendence and sublimity, is that from a creative perspective: the notion of a given neuterising is equinominal/equivalent with a given presencing, and as this speaks of human limited-mentation-capacity prospectively-construed ontologically-flawed implications as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. It is over this neuterising that human transcendental-enabling/transcendence and sublimity is achieved from the prospective notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism and so by deneuterising—referentialism, which is equinominal/equivalent to non-presencing. In other words the historial implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination is that ‘as of a less and less ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-
and-teleology towards ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, ‘it projectively/anticipatorily brought about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ as of their given neuterisation, construed as equinominal/equivalent with their successively given neuterising. From the above insight, transcendental-enabling/transcendence and sublimity, is attainable as of deneuterising, construed as equinominal/equivalent with deneuterising—referentialism as the notional-conflicatedness of notional-deprocrypticism that produces the ontologically-veridical ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Ultimately, this sociohistorical disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality dynamism comes down to the limited/incomplete association of human ‘invention’ of organic-knowledge with the reflection of ‘this organic-knowledge underlying mental-disposition as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion rather defectively as of mechanic-knowledge construal in existential instantiations’, inducing prospective neuterising. This disparateness is increasingly closed-down along the institutionalisation process from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to positivism–procrypticism, with the underlying tenet for achieving futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought being a full and cogent reflection of ‘human construal of organic-knowledge’ with ‘the mental-disposition behind that construal of organic-knowledge for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion in existential instantiations’ thus resolving the open-ended-incompleteness/nonachievement-of-ontological-normalcy. Overall, such a notional conflatedness reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology ‘performance-construct of candidity/candour-capacity’ can be garnered as of metaphysics-of-absence wherein across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions a notional-deprocrypticism insight makes obvious that it is increasing ontological-normalcy/post-
convergence by increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that underlies reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology as a wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection, with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ that occurs at the individuation-level and is reflected in the registry-worldview/dimension-level by the concatenation of institutionalisation inextricably with uninstitutionalisation as the former is in longness and the latter in shortness/distractiveness to the former. This conceptualisation of candidity/candour-capacity associated with deprocrypticism with regards to ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics implications for reference-of-thought transcendence’ is in effect a ‘more profound-and-comprehensive notion of différance construed rather with respect to the defining reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and can be qualified as ‘futural différance’ as of its suprastructural nature, and goes beyond the limits of a Derridean perspective of différance as ‘historial différance’ rather articulated from ‘presencing-as-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construing of past-as-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in ad-hoc reassessing of meaningfulness-and-teleology of presencing-as-prospective as from its very own reference-of-thought in grasping alterations of meaningfulness-and-teleology going back from the past but not to the point of putting into question the presencing-as-prospective overall reference-of-thought in prospective transcendence’; such that the transcendence implications of ‘historial différance’ is rather obscure as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought though ancillary as
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concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ as to imply the ontologically-veridical construal of human relations meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of prospective second-natured institutionalisation ensuring relative longness; such that implied emanance first-nature human relations is rather of a solipsistic as of intersolipsistic nature, more like a genuine notion of faith lies fully and completely within the individual without any pretence to external interpersonal appraisal, as such a latter manoeuvre simply opens up the avenue for human mortal-to-mortal impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness averaging-of-thought in social-aggregation-enabling rather than the transversality/logical-incongruence(mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing of the-Good/understandingknowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling thus undermining the more decisive element of futural différance as based on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driven organic-knowledge as setting up the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought in their respective all-pervasiveness of transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism axiomatic-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘superseding successive defining human finitudes as uninstitutionalisations/uninstitutionalised-thresholds towards attaining successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as institutionalisations’. Such a construal of futural différance structurally/paradigmatically answers the Heideggerian techne
concern as construed by this author of humankind thrown in the midst of the technical as utility while without ‘matching notional philosophically developed mindset/reference-of-thought for a coherent grasp and aligning with the organic mental origination as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism enabling that technical knowledge to arise-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in the very first place and prospectively’. But rather related to as of transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic marked by incoherence of contemplative mindset/reference-of-thought development in the midst of the technical world as rather literally ‘hurling along’ prospectively prospectively-underdeveloped-Being-as-of-unexpanded-ontological-framework; and so as reflected by conflatedness projective-totalitative–implications as of ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection’. Consider a metaphysics-of-absence elucidation with regards to say a remote/isolated non-positivistic animist/base-institutionalisation society for instance which by some token has sustainable-and-learned access to basic but greatly enhancing productive techniques from travellers of a positivistic culture but without a substantial corresponding organisational and institutional diffusion associated with such greatly enhancing productive techniques due to the very brief nature of the encounter or disconnected/incoherent/perfunctory/chaotic nature of their relations, this will structurally/paradigmatically have degenerative effect on such an animistic social organisation wherein this isn’t enhancing of the society’s social organisation and relations and will be possibly disruptive. This example isn’t that farfetched as anthropological evidence of such cases abounds with many native societies so disrupted by culturally alienating positivistic material diffusion. Human material/technical development and corresponding mentality as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion are
inextricable and critical across the institutionalisation process including our positivism–procypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Inevitably the disparity of being thrown in the midst of technical development associated with ‘the underdevelopment of Being construed herein as of individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with respect to our positivism–procypticism registry-worldview/dimension’ is by itself a structural/paradigmatic basis for human vices-and-impediments whether at a micro-level interactional or macro-level social and political paradigm basis, notwithstanding our inclination for totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag where what passes as profound is our temporal mortal-to-mortal acquiescing as social-aggregation-enabling rather than a sense of intersolipsistic intemporal projection of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with mental-dispositions rather geared towards temporal extirpatory paradigm as of constitutedness, rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as of conflatedness as enabling and upholding the institutionalisation process. Without the development of Being à la Heideggerian imagination the institutionalisation process itself comes to a halt as of failing of Being transcendently-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism as implied by ontological-fideism driven organic-knowledge; as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘requires the transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism of Being’ as of rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, which requires the same as of universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism,
which requires the same as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain positivism–procrypticism, and which prospectively requires the same as of pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain deprocrypticism! The notion of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology as being a wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection, with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’, implied with regards to Being underdevelopment across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions also speaks to how intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling behind the institutionalisation process can and is often usurped by eruditic establishments by a nombrilistic elicitation of temporal mental-dispositions as to the commonsense/social-aggregation-enabling of a given registry-worldview/dimension as a denaturing construal in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct that are effectively divorced and subpar to the organic-knowledge as enabling the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling of the institutionalisation process. The idea that intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling is only the panache of the technical as of the sciences and that there is no need for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion to be instigative-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in complement as of human development is nothing less than a derogation that renders such an establishment erudition no different, as of the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, from the mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, dogmatic scholastics of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions as vested in their

Prelogism can be compared to the defect arising using a ‘correct measuring-instrument/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’ (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-
construct’), the ontological-reference (meaningfulness ‘implied being/existential construct’),
the meaningful-reference (meaningfulness ‘implied contextualisation construct’), the
anchoring-of-meaning (meaningfulness ‘implied operant construal’) and the registry
(meaningfulness ‘implied basic defining construct’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of
logical-dueness/profile/presumptuousness/assumptions/value-reference/teleology).] This
elucidation of postlogism in comparison with the implications of a defective
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising provides a comprehensive
insight about the underlying perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with postlogism-
as-of-non-conviction and its social derivation as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration as
of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. That apparently minor twitch in the
‘defective measuring-instrument/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’ (perversion-of-reference-of-
thought) is ‘a covert negative vista’ that wrongly undermines/dismantles ‘inherent/preceding
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context
imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ (from the
perspective of the ‘dialectically-thinking-reference-of-thought as depth-of-thought’), and so
because the perversion-of-reference-of-thought is existentially being related to as if it is of
appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness with all the derived
corresponding implications with respect to perverted representation of meaningfulness as
well as degraded/dementing-teleological-differentiation implications, given that all the
‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ which are
implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape, implied-profile-or-implied-stature, implied-
awareness-teleology as of priorly unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing by its positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought. Just as the very nature of existential-reality by our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) construal/conceptualisation of it is rather ‘an uncompromising windedness/foldedness susceptible to our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal as decontextualising/unimbricating/unrecomposuring of its inherent nature’, correspondingly the exercise of ontologically-veridical reasoning is rather maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Correspondingly, from the vantage position of our present positivising/rational-empirical ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to a non-positivistic/medieval worldview, we can garner an insight of dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing of the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in a non-positivistic/medieval setup, wherein faced with arguments of the sort who is the sorcerer, how are they using their sorcery, etc., speaking of the non-positivistic/medieval ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought (given that sorcery doesn’t exist, going by the insight of positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought whereas the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension is ridden with a whole complexity of dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing construct of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery meaningfulness-and-teleology as its paradigm of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality). This insight can equally be drawn prospectively in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension faced with its postlogism-as-of-non-
conviction like psychopathy and social psychopathy. This speaks of the very nature of all hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing with regards to the limits of an institutionalisation (whether base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism eliciting respectively the prospective uninstitutionalisations of ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism) across all the institutionalisation process wherein the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (as metaphysics-of-presence: illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) is representing itself as ‘dialectically-thinking and dialectically/contendingly in-phase’ whereas from the prospective institutionalisation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, as of the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, it is ‘dialectically-dementing and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase’. The reason for the ontologically defective totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is that all registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought ‘tend to convention’ and in so doing close the ‘existential frame-of-ontology/meaningfulness (which is the transcendental-enabler)’ in their conventioning, and thus to the exclusion of prospective ontological profundness of reference-of-thought. Thus all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been ‘closed-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. However human existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning doesn’t supersede but is rather superseded by existential ontological-veridicality, explaining the susceptibility of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought to be transcended/superseded with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination expansion of ontological-depth as increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (or reducing ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-
ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought). Existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning induces psychically a registry-worldview/dimension ‘exclusive representing’ of itself as as ‘candored and straight’ with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas its transcending/superseding by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension exposes psychically that it is rather ‘decandored and oblongated’ with respect to more profound prospective/transcending/superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology. A further example will be say ‘the God of plane’ type of articulation wherein such a base-institutionalisation as of animistic social-setup which is not positivistic (not the case of non-positivistic as medieval) is psychically ‘candored and straight’ with itself in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (its metaphysics-of-presence) and goes on articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology even in the new existential transcendental-superseding contextualisation in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the doubly-prior/transcended/superseded base-institutionalisation/animistic registry-worldview/dimension. Given such a state of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, the notion of generating meaningfulness-and-teleology from the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective priorly implies a requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and so by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. While excluding any exercise of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ since the latter is only appropriate in the instance of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as the base-institutionalisation (animistic) prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought puts into question the very first and absolute
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of meaningfulness-and-teleology ('existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in the apriorising of ontological/meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’) with respect to the base-institutionalisation (animistic) registry-worldview’s/dimension’s implied as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect. Equally we can imagine that making a positivistic argument in the midst of a non-positivistic/medieval setup will seem ‘deranged’ from their perspective and their mental orientation will be geared to their traditional sense of meaning and living as absolutely defining, but then the ‘center’ had moved from their world (from non-positivistic as base-institutionalisation/animistic or medieval dialectically-dementing decenter) to the positivistic world (as dialectically-thinking center). Likewise such a suprastructural articulation of our positivism–procrypticism relationship to its postlogism that includes psychopathy and social psychopathy will apparently not make any sense to our present but then ontologically our present is now decentered as dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing, though our mental-reflex will be a traditional sense of meaning and living as perfect, as well. However, to the extent that it is ‘not such totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology inclinations’ that drove human institutionalisations and resolved prospective-uninstitutionalisations from recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation to universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism to positivism—procrypticism (as by reflex the temporal mental-disposition will rather be inclined to temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) extirpation in any registry-worldview/dimension with no upholding of transcendental possibilities), to that extent the intemporal-emanance-registry should rather construe/conceptualise its emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal disposition as the tip of human transcendental institutionalisation possibility and thus inherently that it transversally takes precedence over human temporal complexes (and such a ‘transversal confliction’ resolved intemporally by prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and second-naturing. This actually explains the inevitable contrariety involved in the making of transcendental human progress involving a prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought and a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; given the blunt fact that ‘there is no temporal-intemporality’ and pretences of inevitability of human progress without need for intemporal projection are falsehoods ‘arising as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology distraction’ with respect to the institutionalising/intemporalising constraining effect of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projections.). Critically, the notion of transcendence and transcendental-enabler associated with intemporality and institutionalisation/intemporalisation as of its very defining core is rather one of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as it propounds the supersedingness/primacy/ascendency of intrinsic-reality as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven construct over human ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven constructs as well as social-aggregation-enablers. The idea being that ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is much more than a notion associated with the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (as has naively been traditionally implied) but is a
central heuristic drive in defining and structuring meaningfulness-and-teleology in all prior registry-worldviews as well however relatively inefficient; given that with corresponding shallow to limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination, as institutionalising ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework successively induce more and more profound ‘mimetic-echoness to ontological-normalcy/post-convergence’ as of the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency. (Consider the case with ancient Egyptians and even ancient Greeks where their relations with their deities were closely related to the fortune they expected on an empirical basis whether with respect to such occurrences like droughts, warfare, etc. which technically speaking is a rational allocation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by their limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation}). Transcendence and transcendental-enabling as so construed is more than just a vague notion of dialecticism but one that recognises on an effective reality basis that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination implies more and more profound reconstruals/reconceptualisations (totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought) inducing transformative implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence. As knowledge conception as contrasted to sovereign conception, ‘transcendence and transcendental-enabling doesn’t recognise any human discreet primacy with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ but rather intrinsic-reality is the inherent purveyor of pertinence and primacy. For instance, we don’t have a choice in deciding that gravity is about 9.8 m/s² on earth since intrinsic-reality imposes that idea and the corresponding knowledge construction and organisation where intrinsic-reality is ascendant is rather based on an
‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling. This is not to be confused with sovereign constructions and organisations driven by human sovereign choices such as political choices or marketing choices or other sovereign choices based on practices and habits. The latter are social-scientific (besides the previous notion of social-scientific referring to intrinsic social reality transcendental-enabling), with respect to transcendental-enabling construals/conceptualisations only as of existence-in-its-mimetic-echoness as inclusive of the human condition, i.e., human existential sovereign choices of meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological construals ‘not in terms of the inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology itself’ but ‘rather as of the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the reality of the human sovereign choices as of themselves as humans value them independent of their inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as ontologically construing the reality of human condition’, and so with respect to historiality, politicisation and other social choices like moralisation, cultural value, economic value, etc. This distinction is critical because very often sovereign choices as conventions will tend to be acted upon as if these were transcendental knowledge of intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social in a wrong equivalency, and further because the transcendental-enabler as of the intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social is more fundamental as the tool for ‘creating/inventing-and-destroying/deconstructing conventions’ for more and more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology as of human subpotent knowledge. Sovereign constructs can as such be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought to stifle the possibility of intrinsic-reality/ontology of the social, construed as ontology/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling knowledge, from arising. This insight explains why all deferential-formalisation-transference are only of pertinence as they
justify and are derived from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling conceptualisations, and collapse when they fail that test. For instance, notions such as arguments from authority are useful in ensuring social efficacy but when authority is demonstrated as relatively fallacious, it then has no pretence to the sanctity of not being undermined. Ultimately, the veridical nature of knowledge beyond ‘institutionalised-being-and-craft’ (as established by prior transcendence) to prospective transcendence is not as an exercise of ‘logical mere convincing’ as of social-aggregation-enabling about what is knowledge and appropriate, but rather as a critical exercise of channelling of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as second-naturing institutionalisation percolation to elicit the necessary positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation as skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. The fact is as construed by the Galileos, Copernicus, Diderots and others of the world, transcendental knowledge (as relatively ‘consecrated’ by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) necessarily carries a ‘cynicism-of-grandeur-as-of-effective-intemporal-solipsistic-commitment’ to deal with the reality of an animal of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor (and so as of ‘circular-complexification/perpetual-reinstitutionalisation as a result of the same human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries mental-dispositions across all the successive institutionalisation process registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the bigger scheme of things, as of the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocripticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of the transcendental implications of the institutionalisation process of knowledge-
constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions conventioning are increasingly ontologically-driven in their value construct as it is more and more profound ontological-veridicality that enables human transcendence and the institutionalisation process in the first place; with the deprocrypticism institutionalisation conventioning supposedly attaining absolute ontological grounding. The insight here is that the relative pure ontology-drive of a Socrates philosophical clairvoyance superseding Athenian society conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Socrates is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent and thus accused of heresy. Such an argument can also be extended to say a Copernicus or a Galileo whose relative pure-ontology drive advocating a heliocentric universe in medieval society comes against medieval society scholastics dogmatism conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Copernicus and Galileo are paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This highlights that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s construes in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as being the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, and that meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative pure ontology superseding it is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This is relevant with regards to the ‘intellectual projection’ choices made as of their transformative implications on society; wherein such highly unconventional thinkers like Diderot of more dramatic social transformation implications are actually less appreciated as of the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of their epochal society conventioning
limits naively construed by mental-reflex as the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, over similar thinkers whose thought are more forthcoming towards such societal conventioning limits. As of relevance to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective depocrisyism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to our positivism–procryptionism, such a phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle reflected by metaphysics-of-absence for the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is necessarily ‘suspicious’ of our presence society ‘conventioning-limits’ in its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought naively construed totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, with regards to its capacity of appreciating prospective relatively profound pure-ontology as herein implied that paradigmatically/structurally supposedly supersedes our positivism–procryptionism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought. This explains why fundamentally most human transcendental ideas of progress have been outlier ideas which ‘proponents ultimate purpose (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought)’ weren’t fundamentally a ‘direct convincing’ of humans exercise as of social-aggregation-enabling but rather in projecting a big picture of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-drive as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling, however unintelligible, as a prospective institutional percolation-channelling exercise as validated by ultimate ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework with subsequent corresponding formalisation and second-naturing. The point of this construal/conceptualisation is inevitably equally along the same lines. In fact, it can be further contended going by the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal
emanances - registries existentialism-form-factor that ‘human knowledge is necessarily a second-naturing construction’ and not an ‘intemporal emanace/becoming/intersolipsism disposition construction’ as the latter will wrongly imply that we are only intemporal-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, which is obviously false since we are temporal-to-intemporal by our mental-disposition and our virtue with the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation is actually to understand (as knowledge/the-Good) this and paradoxically be superseding in that respect by a pivoting/decentering psyche and institutionalisation, and not an artificial projection that is not real and hence will be ineffective and circular as hollow-staging-and-performance or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. Thus human knowledge is a dynamic second-natured construct in upholding-and-vouching for the intemporal while pre-empting of the temporal, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. [The notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’ as used herein goes beyond the notions of ‘consciously’ or ‘unconsciously’ as we normally understand them, in the sense that ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’ speaks of the mental state as of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing by its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at the point of uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (also referred to as ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) where the mental-disposition/mindset/reference-of-thought is rather emphasised as being in ‘a state of relative incapacity’ rather than one of full-conscious-capacity but neither full-unconscious-capacity mental-disposition. Thus unlike just ‘conscious’ or ‘unconscious’, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought implies ‘conscious’ and/or ‘unconscious’ as of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview/dimension whether with regards to retrospective or prospective transcendental analysis. For instance say in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation social-setup someone accused another of sorcery. It is hardly the case that we can absolutely say they committed a conscious immoral act with their accusation of sorcery since the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as knowledge-framework available to them doesn’t enable their full conscious appraisal of such a judgment call as they are in an insecure-certitude-by-incertitude-and-virtue-by-vice-mental-flux with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. However, supposed they adopted such an attitude not only by such ignorance but rather affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, then they are effectively relatively conscious with respect to their action as a dishonest/deceitful/immoral act even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. Of course, where supposed someone from a positivistic social-setup found themselves in such a non-positivistic social-setup and equally proffered such an accusation of sorcery, then their conscious immorality is fully engaged as being in full-conscious-capacity with respect to their deception going by their positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that supersedes superstitions including notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. By extension, psychopathic/postlogical induced deception can only be construed as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as when eliciting ignorance (as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency of the psychopath’s mental-disposition of postlogism-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness)),
and while construed as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as when eliciting affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, is not disculpating. Ultimately, going by the very decisiveness of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as it leads to ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency, associated with the successive uninstitutionalisation states, the notion of ‘human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’ is actually in the bigger picture the larger determinant of manifest human vices-and-impediments as of virtue-as-ontology conceptualisation, speaking fundamentally of the specific registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ inherent with the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. Whereas the notion of human conscious vices-and-impediments as of ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance is mostly able to arise incidentally ‘within the scope’ of underlying ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalisation; as social universal-transparency is a strong inherent deterrent of human temporality and enabler of human intemporality (explaining why knowledge is truly virtue), even though at the uninstitutionalised-threshold
of such knowledge-as-virtue arises the temporal disposition to denaturing its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This nature of ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ as induced beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as of registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalisation explains why fundamentally issues of reference-of-thought defect or perversion-of-reference-of-thought point more decisively/fundamentally as to their resolution as aetiologestion/ontological-escalation towards the need for ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations-over-corresponding-uninstitutionalisation as of base-institutionalisation-over-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation-over-ununiversalisation, positivism-over-non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively depocrypticism-over-procrypticism. Thus structurally/paradigmatically, this is the supratransversality associated with intemporality and construed as ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’ since it is ‘not equable’ with the narrowness as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in intradimensional construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology but projects directly in grasping fundamentally the issue of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the corresponding virtue-as-ontology implications; as insightfully, an arising issue of accusation of sorcery in non-positivism as medieval or animistic setting is more fundamentally/structurally/paradigmatically as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation a question of their ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as it endemises/enculturates such notions as its vices-and-impediments and the same approach applies to our state of positivism–procrypticism involving disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology as it endemises/enculturates perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness as vices-and-impediments requiring its pre-emption by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation.] This effective realism is the requisite insight in understanding how supposedly outlier transcendental notions of intemporality in successive epochs become dominant notions of human knowledge and institutionalisation by giving man access to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendentality-enabling. Further along this rational-realism line of thinking, the fact is paradoxically that as more cuttingly demonstrated with ‘cultural diffusion driven transcendence’, the mechanism of transcendence is not a simplistic transference from a more ontologically-completeness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview to a lesser one. Surprisingly, the lesser one is actually in the position of determination in the contention for transcendence, and it is the competitiveness of ideas that are more ontologically-complete and ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and inconsistency that initially leads to the syncretising-denial towards the path of its transcendence; as notions and ideas of the prospective reference-of-thought gradually creep over those of the prior reference-of-thought. (This should be distinguish from the case of the transference of ideas where there is a common reference-of-thought, for instance, the-theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics are spectacular developments from Newtonian physics but they still share the same common reference-of-thought of positivism/rational-empiricism enabling the new theories to be quickly adopted within the mechanism of the common reference-of-thought in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of psychical and institutional orientation). Consider in this regard the case in an animistic social-setup wherein failure to be cured from the traditional healer tempts individuals in that setup as a matter of life and death to approach the newcomers of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, and with a
successful cure sowing doubts about animistic tradition relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling, and with various other such positivistic outcomes inducing in the middle to long run further syncretising-denial of thought; as explanations for the cure will still be advanced in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the old reference-of-thought (giving human natural disposition to social-aggregation-enabling) but increasingly ridding such explanations of their credible substance until there is critical transference into the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. Syncretising-denial is actually the process by which transcendental meaningfulness, as of prospective/transcending superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, is institutionalised; underlying the essential contiguity of human mental-disposition across all registry-worldviews/dimensions. This equally highlights a superficiality-of-inherent-sanctimony displayed by succeeding institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, which may wrongly imply being out of the scope of the human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries dispositions, and thus fundamentally undermine ontologically-veridical analysis where exceptionalism is adhered to instead of the mediocrity principle. This quite sums up the syncretising-denial mechanism by which outlier transcendental ideas (transcendental in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of putting in question the prior totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, beyond just novel ideas within the same reference-of-thought), whether by diffusion or internal transformation, come to be dominant when ontologically pertinent; as even the ‘moulting’ intellectual/emancipator, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, is coming from a point of habitation with prior traditional ideas (consider the case of Newton with alchemic notions), wherein acceptance of the new ideas they are purporting only comes after an unconscious process of suspicion and denial of such nagging new ideas until they arrive at a firm point of conviction before
admitting to themselves the possible veracity/ontological-pertinence of the ideas, and so as their very own syncretising-denial which makes it unsurprising that even socially syncretising-denial is a necessary process for the ultimate acceptance of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as this subsumes-as-supplant-(as-of-the-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the prior ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is hardly the case of just a direct emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology transference of transcendental notions. The bigger point being that the construal/conceptualisation of transcendental ideas is not necessarily validated by their immediate recognition, a notion the would-be intellectuals/emancipators should be of a ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’, but rather as providing fodder in the competitive ideas assuring human progress with emphasis rather with respect to cross-generational import (prospective-institutionalisation totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). It is doubtful that Galileo or Diderot and others of their inclination were naïve to think that their initiatives will immediately lead to a positivistic transformation of society but they certainly had a cynical sense of cross-generational purposefulness (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought). This equally explains why in all epochs, however different the nature, there is an inherent temporal mental-disposition abhorrence of transcendental ideas as putting into question the present and present interests (for instance, even the industrial revolution when considered as actually generating material wealth was
framework-of-underlying-phenomena superseding grasp of social vices-and-impediments as of the given transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic, by its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure or social pivoting/decentering to reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. The difference between postlogism and prelogism can further be developed as such. Supposed there is a given context where the solution to additions of the ‘purposeful measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose’ (meaningfulness-and-teleology) taken involves rewards depending on how big is the number with the Donor not in a position to pay particular attention to the exact sums to be resolved if a character is in a position to fiddle with the implied sum to be resolved like deliberately using the defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as perversion-of-reference-of-thought (more like the ‘covert negative vista’ of the hidden-nature/unavailable social universal-transparency of psychopathy especially at adulthood). Now supposed to resolve a ‘purposeful measurement’ (meaningfulness-and-teleology), A appropriately uses a correct apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflicatedness) and find out that the numbers measured and to be added are 5 + 2 and is trying its best thereafter to resolve the sum but fails in its logical processing/implicitation-of-act-execution and gives 9 as the answer, this doesn’t void logically re-engaging with A with respect to other sums of measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose undertaken (in logical processing/implicitation-of-act-execution) so long as A learns and understands the addition principle well. This instance of A’s reference-of-thought where it is not perverted but its logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation has failed because of A’s incapacity is part and
parcel (whether successful or not) of prelogism. Now supposed B is in a position and has the mental-disposition to covertly add 1 to any measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose and the correspond sum it is to resolve (by its use of a defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as its perversion-of-reference-of-thought) and its ‘purposeful measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose’ (meaningfulness-and-teleology) to be added erroneously imply 6 + 3 (with respect to the same measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose correctly taken by A as 5 + 2) then resolved to be 9 as well just as A, fundamentally the idea of re-engaging with B for solutions of additions (in logical processing/implicitation-of-act-execution) is flawed since B is not committed due to its ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ to genuinely strive for correct answers (ontological-veridicality), and this speaks of the possibility of B denaturing an infinite number of sums (to the point that it is ‘socially-functional-and-accordant’, i.e. functionally possible in the social context). Unlike the case with A having to do with A’s addition ability but whose reference-of-thought is not perverted, such that A’s defect is a ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance, on the other hand B’s defect is an ‘ontological/meaningfulness/being-construal/existential defect’, i.e., the teleological disposition of B inherently carries the defect (to the point that B can be socially-functional-and-accordant while committing the defect, i.e. where the veridical notion/axiomatic-construct of the defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is not universally transparent as a ‘negative covert vista’). Now supposed we are in a social context where C, D, E, F are to calculate additions as well but from the solutions arrived at by
A and B, in the instance where C is ignorant of B’s ontological/meaningfulness/being-construal/existential defect, there is a possibility of re-engaging with C but only where B’s condition is exposed to it, but where the characters are not that ignorant but in any of the mental states (implying superseding the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler of normal additionality with such a social-aggregation-enabler situation) as of expediency or affordability (D), opportunism (E), exacerbation (F), social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation (B, C - where B’s condition is not exposed to it, D, E, F) or temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation (B, C - where B’s condition is not exposed to it, D, E, F) with B’s condition. C - where B’s condition is not exposed to it, D, E, F technically speaking have a ‘derived-ontological/meaningfulness/being-construal/existential defect’ as well, and so to the point that they consciously perceive can be socially-functional-and-accordant to them wherein lack of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ enables their own ‘covert negative vista’ however ad hoc [conjugated-postlogism i.e. conjugated-ignorance (C - where B’s condition is not exposed to it), conjugated-affordability (D), conjugated-opportunism (E), conjugated-exacerbation (F), and conjugated-social-chainism (B, C - where B’s condition is not exposed to it, D, E, F) and conjugated-temporal-enculturation (B, C - where B’s condition is not exposed to it, D, E, F)], and cannot therefore be re-engaged logically with (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’) on the basis that they will relay in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability the defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality) defect elicited by B in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of B’s postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and C, D, E and F ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-
of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-
performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought that is ‘in-wait as of prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to enable their conjugated-postlogism, where it is
socially-functional-and-accordant to do so. It should be qualified that postlogism
(psychopathy) and conjugated-postlogism (as social psychopathy) are enabled, endemised
and enculturated by the possibility of the phenomena being socially-functional-and-accordant
without negative consequences to its agents so long as it is not socially universally
transparent, and so eliciting the respective temporality over the intemporality of adhering to
proper purposeful measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-
purpose (ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology). Further more than
postlogism and conjugated-postlogism being just passively socially-functional-and-accordant,
a more active socially-functional-and-accordant framework is often induced by extrinsic-
attribution on the token of eliciting ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’. This is highly specific and
circumscribe for efficacy-sake from acquired experience (with regards to adult psychopathy
or adult postlogism) wherein achieving the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-
construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance of postlogism/psychopathy and/or
conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involves an insight about how ‘lack of
constraining social universal-transparency of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought determines how prelogism-as-of-conviction minds will act as of
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Besides and
critically as well, in addition to this inherently induced faulty-mentation-procedure-deception
involved with the state of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and its protraction into
conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is equally and decisively sustained socially by the accompanying inherent disposition to uphold the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance thereafter (given that inevitably social confliction is bound to arise in the social-setup with the phenomena of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy), and as the mere recurrence of such social confictions associated with the postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy characters might ultimately jeopardise the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance (even when other prelogism-as-of-conviction minds do lack a social universal-transparency of the veridical postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy underlying phenomena of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). In this regard, prelogism-as-of-conviction minds generally adopt a generalising approach for determining ‘the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance experiences and recounts with any specific individual’ including psychopathic or conjugated-postlogism, and in so doing construe dichotomously the said individual’s as adhering or not-adhering to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance (and so specifically judged rather in various shades of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance), as entails with associating or not associating the said individual in given occasions or in specific given aspects of life depending on such experiences and recounts. With this in mind (based on its dormant childhood development experience), the adult psychopathy personality arising from its growth experience (and correspondingly the protraction into conjugated-postlogism behaviour in this regard), wherein its childhood psychopathy failing the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-
functioning-and-accordance induced a shift in behaviour such that in lieu of ‘such preposterous acts-and/or-narratives of vicious postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’ at childhood, the childhood psychopathy comes to grasp that ‘acts-and/or-narratives of vivid postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’ as of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ will lead to relative social overlooking of the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’; and so cultivating its deterministic ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’. For instance, as highlighted further below where John in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on a chair, his ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ involving such a mental-disposition of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ may be to do some house chore but rather in ‘crude behaviour manner’ that reveals an ad-hoc quest to re-establish the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance with others. The adult psychopathy personality development arising from this fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ at childhood, further evolves a long way with a constantly readjustment process to ultimately enable the credulity for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance at adult psychopathy, such that at adulthood social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of its underlying postlogism-as-of-non-conviction often gets lost enabling its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception at adulthood. By derivation the subsequently induced conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, as of human temporal-emanances-dispositions will exploit unconsciously (as ignorance), expediently (as affordability) or consciously (as opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
reference-of-thought as of prospective procrpticism uninstitutionalisation. In other words, ‘psychopathic/postlogism and social-psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought take the form of mental ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ that such ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ based on their systematic combination with ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ directed to relevant significant others will enable the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance, by such a compensation mechanism. With this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception, this is thus supposed to override the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of an association between the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’, and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, wherein that compensating is not a trite equivalency but rather involves ‘high-proportionality of overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ relative to ‘specific or given postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ in order to enable the postlogism/psychopathic manifestation achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance (with such overcompensation involving sought after overall preceding and subsequent sense of social allegiance with relevant significant others and then corresponding ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, whether relevant individuals and/or relevant social network, as overall ‘social investment’ that should allow its instigated ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, to be overlooked/absolved/exonerated/exculpated socially). This faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition at adulthood psychopathy is more profound than just an ad hoc trite association between committing a given vicious act and
initiating a given limited ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue act-and/or-narrative’ in compensation as is the case at childhood psychopathy, since the adult psychopath discovers at that stage that such triteness of association is relatively inefficient for attaining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance (but rather requires a more profound association of the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’). As then during its childhood the ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ are relatively universally transparent socially for what these truly are, as rather being associated with its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, ‘than just merely or confused with innocent virtue acts-and/or-narratives’; and as ‘interlocutors in prelogism-as-of-conviction come to grasp the deliberativeness/consciousness of the artificial and fallacious systematic eliciting of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ as a crude-trite-compensating mechanism for its urge to commit ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and is thus socially-dysfunctional at childhood. Whereas at adulthood psychopathy the overcompensating involves a surreptitious upending/undermining/blurring of this underlying insight that the ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ is rather as of a personality development derived-from and connected-with such fallacious crude-trite-compensating at childhood; such that it is then adopted and relayed as contending thus wrongly validating its implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape, implied-profile-or-implied-stature, implied-preamptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology (which are actually outside existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) as
first-level deception, and thus enabling the infinite possibilities of second-level deception from their logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation. This underlying postlogism/psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition and its protraction in conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involving deliberative/conscious or unconscious (conjugated-ignorance) artificial, fallacious and surreptitious systematic eliciting of ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ systematically enabling the possibility for committing ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, while ensuring social overlooking/absolving/exonerating/exculpating is a central enculturating/endemising mechanism at the registry-worldview/dimension-level (beyond the individuation-level) of human temporalities-drives to adhere to the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence). Further, at the confluence of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology arises disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; arising mainly as a result of ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shalowness-of-thought/subtransversality, inherent in temporality and as of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions (shallowness-of-thought construed as of temporal-extirpatory reasoning as well as incoherent and awkwardly implied universal projections, but which actually speaks of ‘temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought’ explaining why its ‘universal projection lip-servicing nature or inductive limitation fails the test of a true principle’, basically highlighting a
teleology/suprtransversality as-of-social-context-holism-construed-conflatedness’, and rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over the temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism; such that the averaging-of-thought in such setups will certainly be rife with distraction of such ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality; wherein a Socrates or Rousseau individuation ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as articulated above will face in the same space of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance thresholds with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology such ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality as stated above, as the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context implies that same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness are undisambiguated/undelineated, and available to temporal postlogical/psychopathic synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, temporal-emanances-registries in conjugated-postlogism synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology as well as intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, for instance, it won’t be surprising that the ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality as-of-social-context-holism-construed-conflatedness’ of aetiological/ontological-escalation as implied in this write-up, in principle, is rather alien as of its purposefulness/ontological-aspiration (notwithstanding the debatableness of veracity/ontological-pertinence as all knowledge constructs must necessarily be opened to) to many ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality. This fundamentally arises due to the fact that prospective transcendence arises as ‘an exercise of outward-facing prospective institutionalisation metaphysics-of-absence value-referencing’ relative to a ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising inward facing prospective uninstitutionalisation value-referencing’.

Ultimately, loss of social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought such that mental states with respect to postlogisms and conjugated-postlogisms as of specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reveal the reality of the registry-worldview/dimension ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and more specifically relevant to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy it points to disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with procrypticism ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It should be noted as well that the notion of overlooking and resetting (as the fact is the conscious manifestation of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t truly qualify for such a notion of overlooking and resetting since it is of as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existentail-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect and not defect of logical-processing-

worldviews/dimensions. For instance, we’ll be hard pressed to acquiesce to an argument with
regards to medieval manifestation of postlogism for instance as it instigates notions-and-
accusations-of-sorcery, associated with a logic in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of non-
positivism/medieval ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-
or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-
prospective-reference-of-thought of the type ‘A’s action was what brought about the
accusation of witchcraft, and A should stop the practice’, from our positivistic
transcendentally totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its positivism
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and would rather
imply ‘the decandored/oblongated and dialectically-dementing and
dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase nature’ of such non-positivistic/medieval reference-
of-thought priorly without its contending status even arising in the very first place; but then
with respect to our own postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as psychopathy and social
psychopathy pointing to our own ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-
induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-
construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought as procrypticism, we will tend to advance an
‘nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-
denaturing) as a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-
neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-ontological-aesthetic-tracing of our own
ontological-misconstruing-of-meaningfulness/hollow-staging-and-performance-or-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing, as we strive circularly-as-of-shortness-of-register-
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in an incoherent patchwork of meaningfulness (palliation
construal) on the same terms of our ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-
of-thought of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the articulation of prospective institutionalisation ‘is not about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implying equivalence between the prior/transcended/superseded and the prospective/transcending/superseding’. It is rather about the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy of the latter in transversality and inequivalence with the former. For instance the factual ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/effectiveness validations of say a chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought (with demonstrations of chemistry principles by chemical reactions producing elements and compounds) say in a non-positivistic/medieval setup prone to alchemy and essences-driven explanations ‘is not and cannot be construed as a logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation validation as of alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought’ but rather ‘a chemistry scientific mindset/reference-of-thought validation’, critically because the issue is fundamentally not about the specific validations of chemistry principles but rather about the non-positivistic/medieval alchemy and essences-driven explanations defective mindset/reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising mental-disposition reflex with respect to metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of interpretive defects of that may arise from such non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought. Thus wrongly implying that a contending engagement between the two is of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation, ‘wrongly elevates and validates the non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought’ as the mindset/reference-of-thought of contention, as such a possibility of contending engagement from the chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought is about harkening rather to a paradigmatic
and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the alchemy and essences-driven explanations mindset/reference-of-thought reflex for the ascendency of a positivistic chemistry registry-worldview reflex as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it addresses the former defect of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/metaphysics-of-presence and thus provides the possibility for resolving metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of defects of that non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought. This insight equally comes to the mind as we can equally imagine that a mere demonstration or demonstrations of positivistic meaningfulness effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in say a base-institutionalisation/animistic social-setup or non-positivism/medievalism social-setup to their approbation is not a sufficient basis to imply that they are thereafter of positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought and to be engaged with as of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation, as any such positivistic demonstration pertinence is not about its factual effectiveness approbation in the base-institutionalisation/animistic social-setup per se but rather as of its paradigmatic and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the underlying base-institutionalisation/animistic ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought which is rather of cross-generational import (prospective-institutionalisation totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-

It should be noted as well that the idea of ‘totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is the deterministic phenomenon behind ‘dialectically-
thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ and the specific institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes of the institutionalisation process as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and deprocrypticism. It captures the true notion of transcendence as a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness involving utterly putting-into-question/reshuffling/remaking the human psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in the very first instance, and on a second-level then imply eliciting the corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology for such renewed psyche as reference-of-thought. Such ‘totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}’ involves specific ‘memeticism/meaningfulness circular-caricature’ with respect to the implied registry-worldview/dimension in their respective institutionalisation state (as candored/straight and dialectically-thinking/dialectically-or-contendingly in-phase) and their prospective uninstitutionalisation state (in hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as decandored/oblongated and dialectically-dementing/dialectically-or-contendingly out-of-phase). The notion of ‘totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}’ as being of true transcendence can be further elucidated with regards to two remarkable historical developments which while inherently exceptional, to say the least, aren’t truly transcendental. Consider for instance that transcendental is generally considered as the central notion of Kantian philosophy. The reality however is that the supposed transcendentalism is actually an
elaboration in the terms of the actual and true rational-empiricism/positivism reference-of-thought transcendence established by Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise as the fundamental basis for continuously re-elaborated ‘extended rationalism’ right up to the present. Kantian supposed transcendence (Copernican revolution) is not eliciting a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ of ‘totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (which is exactly what Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise does with respect to the non-positivistic/medieval psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology). The Kantian construct is an elaboration well within the psychical framework established by the rationalism thinking proposition and scepticism exercise, and Kantian meaningfulness-and-teleology is utterly comprehensible and intelligible to that psyche, though in many ways it is a more profound elaboration of meaningfulness-and-teleology issues. So it is actually an apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising within the extended-rationalism reference-of-thought that doesn’t psychically and meaningfully supersede it but elaborates within it; and it doesn’t reference an apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ as implied by a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ from Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to Base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, to Universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, to Positivism–procrypticism, and
prospectively to Deprocrypticism; as successively non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) gives way to rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) which gives way to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) which gives way to positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), and prospectively bringing about pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as conflation of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising); and wherein the successive mindsets/references-of-thought and institutionalisations are suprastructural to each other (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought). Insightfully, this highlights that human mentation capacity is in a dynamic cumulation as of the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). It puts into question the Kantian philosophical exercise (Copernican revolution) of striving to establish universal human mental apriorising principles with respect to a mental state that is perpetually in a transformative becoming state of shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. (This latter condition inherently means that the certitude of such an enterprise itself can only be grounded on the human existential imbricated-becoming-transitioning as the absolute apriorising.) It is
this author’s contention that the Kantian conceptualisation exercise while interesting is in many ways rather a heuristic construct given its grounding on a categorisation reflex that poorly syncs with and is in constant need for heuristic re-adaptation to match ‘an imbricated-becoming-transitioning existential reality nature that is preceding-and-superseding to any human mental apriorising of it’, and thus rendering such an apriorising conceptualisation exercise highly heuristic (to constantly resolve the virtualities it raises by re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification), and so when not employing a referentialism reflex that is naturally inclined to be contiguous with intrinsic-reality as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. A further weakness is the naive implication thus that an apriorising exercise of human mental understanding only starts and ends with the positivistic/rational-empiricism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as if it is the only one that had existed, against the anthropological and historical trend, and without explaining how previous meaningful-frames developed into the positivistic/rational-empiricism and how the latter could develop prospectively. Besides the Kantian argument that the transcendent (in all its connotations beyond direct experiences) cannot be known is equally anthropologically and historically erroneous as even in his days, with respect to adopting of a positivistic/rational-empiricism worldview over non-positivistic/alchemic/essences/medieval registry-worldview/dimension certainly does has a name (transcendence). But then it is more the case that from a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag posture holding only one registry-worldview/dimension categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as absolute, then prospective transcendence is rather a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion. Besides, Kant’s notion of transcendence (transcendental idealism) and subsequent philosophical development of the notion is one relating to immediate phenomenal conceptualisation rather construed as
‘phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence’ (and more precisely phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of ‘the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ transcendence implied by Descartes) rather than a construal of transcendence as implied herein as of deepening limited-mentation-capacity with respect to the very same purview of construal-as-existence as superseding–oneness-of-ontology as an all-encompassing totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human psychical and institutionalisation disposition for meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though fundamentally enabled by developing human phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’ with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’ with base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’ with universalisation–non-positivism/medieval, intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’ with positivism–procrypticism, and ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’ with deprocrypticism. Basically, Kant lacked a notion of metaphysics-of-absence (to overcome totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) with respect to the positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension. In other words, Kant is involved in an epistemological conceptualisation at a given point in time (erroneously construed as the absolute point of human thought apriorising, without a decentering sense of projection with respect to the prior and prospective). But existential-reality as of its human mental apriorising (heuristically at least) started well before that point and carries on well after that point, and such an exercise is more profound when it construes
human mental apriorising along the full imbricated-becoming-transitioning of existence as it redefines meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in its construal/conceptualisation of a superseding—oneness-of-ontology construed as transcendental-enabling. Insightfully, this author construes an existential-reference/existential-tautologisation basis of such human mental apriorising process for the transcendental-enabling of successive apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-as-transcendental registry-worldviews/dimensions rather as of an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness over conceptualisations of human mental apriorising process on a simple categorisation reflex basis as ‘elaboration-as-mere—extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ which tend to require constant heuristic adaptations to sync in contiguity with imbricated-becoming-transitioning of existential-reality and avoid virtualities, as wrongly operating on the basis of an absolute point of human thought apriorising that doesn’t recognise that successive apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-as-transcendental registry-worldviews/dimensions are defining/transcendental-enabling for new prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. In the bigger framework, this author holds that conceptually and operantly nothing is certain but for the certitude of existence and its oneness, thereafter defining relative certitudes by the contextualising-contiguity of existence as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as of its successively developed transcendental psychical and institutionalisation notions from apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition to successively profound apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising rules associated with
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination, as further elaborated in
this paper. This same insight can be extended with respect to an Einstein and Bohr led theory-
of-relativity and quantum-mechanics physics respectively in relation to the physics of
Newton, Galileo, Leibniz; wherein the latter established the ‘dialectically-thinking-
psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’
psyche as ‘totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-
setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-
psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-
teleology)’ of positivistic physics right back then in their epoch such that the overall
underlying principle of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as transcendental-
enabling back then is still what prevails today. It is that physics psyche established back then
which enabled seemingly aloof conceptualisations of physics like theory-of-relativity and
quantum-mechanics within a decade or so of their articulations as of more profound
elaboration of transcendental-enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to
establish themselves as the central physics theories with little or no quarrel. It is interesting to
grasp that such a physics and science psyche wasn’t available to a Copernicus in what may be
construed today as a relatively benign conceptualisation of a heliocentric model of the world,
with the revolt of Galileo and others ultimately establishing that physics and science psyche
over a non-positivistic/medieval
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising relationship to ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework that is not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality
transcendental-enabling as of its non-scientific psyche. In other words however ‘good-
natured, well-meaning and wishful for enabling human progress’ the mental-disposition in
that epoch as alchemic and non-positivistic was structurally not ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling, and instinctively one may argue that it is by coming out from the frustration of not achieving anything decisive but for ‘palliative results’ in terms of progress with an alchemic and non-positivistic psyche that the Newton’s of that epoch increasingly adopted a positivistic sense of things which they increasingly came to realise as being ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling. This same ‘ontological misconstrual’ naively grounded on ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ driven by ‘good-naturedness, well-meaningfulness and wishfulness’ is pervasive in the social sciences today as of its poor ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling construction having to do with a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag agent of limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification wherein our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology is often wrongly construed as ontological as of ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Consider for instance a situation where statistically people likely to rest more in their home in winter are compared with people spending more time outdoors with regards to prevalence of flu, and then arriving at the conclusion that the treatment for flu is resting more at home. Such a construct as basic constitutedness is at best a sound palliative construct and naïve conceptual patterning however good-natured, well-meaning and wishful, but doesn’t deal with the required pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling in establishing a comprehensive disease theory for flu that syncs with other human diseases theories and human biology theories and general biology theories and informed by the bigger ‘transcendental-enabling positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ (construed rather as of an organic depth of ontological coherence/contiguity that is structurally/paradigmatically
transcendental-enabling continguously as from the deeper apriorising of ‘transcendental-enabling positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and not vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling conceptualised/construed relations), and so as of its ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The practice in many a social science specialism is often to articulate concepts whose linkage with other social science concepts and the overall social science background knowledge construct is vague such that ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling is hardly established but for bare ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ that are more often than not totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag than truly ontological when examined closely such that the test of transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism when the implications of such notions are examined as of metaphysics-of-absence not only in terms of one registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology but two or more, say our present positivism reference-of-thought and retrospective non-positivism reference-of-thought, their ‘supposed ontological status’ turn out to be ridiculous totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, exposing their true nature as rather palliative constructs and conceptual patterning. In the bigger framework can notions construed/conceptualised as of ‘human subjectivity so-construed as ineffectively transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism’ be given the label ontology, or rather is ontology exactly not about effective transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism? And what is
ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism as ontologically-driven as of increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is this author’s contention that the ‘transcendental-enabling deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as so transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism provides the requisite ontologically-veridical background referencing as of its conflatedness (in the same vein as the prior positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension bigger ‘transcendental-enabling positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ with regards to non-positivism/medievalism) as of the prospective-and-more-profound deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension bigger ‘transcendental-enabling deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as herein implied by this hermeneutic psychology suprastructuralism insight construed as of metaphysics-of-absence as ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, not only with regards to the social sciences but also when it comes to the many instances of poor scientific studies thus enabling the decisive superseding of palliative construals and conceptual-patterning that can hardly be qualified as ontological. The underlying contention of both such a present ‘transcendental-enabling positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and prospective ‘transcendental-enabling deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as of their respective relative ontologically-veridical psychical background referencing as of conflatedness for knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology has to do with the bigger ontological-normalcy/post-convergence reality (of ontologically valid knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as the structural/paradigmatic basis by which ‘ontological-deficiency (conceptually represented as subsuming of virtue-defect or vices-and-impediments ‘with virtue not truly differentiated from ontology’ but rather such a conceptual-differentiation
being represented as of our notional-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising animate-existential-referencing/subjectification emotional-involvement implications’) is construed fundamentally going by a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought relative deficiency as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (as its uninstitutionalised-threshold/prospective uninstitutionalisation) thereby resolvable structurally/paradigmatically by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus validating with regards to both reference-of-thought respectively as the ‘transcendental-enabling positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and the ‘transcendental-enabling deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ their relative ontologically-veridical background referencing as of conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. Since we can perfectly conceptualise with both reference-of-thought the articulation of coherent meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively in non-positivism terms-as-of-axiomatic-constructs and non-deprocrypticism/procrypticism terms-as-of-axiomatic-constructs, or rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct that do not grasp structurally/paradigmatically the respective reference-of-thought organic grounding as of underlying ontological-normalcy/post-convergence implications, and so beyond just a question of vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling conceptualised/construed relations. This elucidation points out that transcendence ‘must truly’ involve an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics with the utter decentering of understanding itself by the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought over the hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing of the prior/transcended/superseded at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-
but rather that the prospective/transcending/superseding suprastructuration is by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought. However, in all the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation implied successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, such a ‘confusion of relative ontologically-veridical becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought’ induces an underlying ‘paradox of transcendence’ involved in all such transcendences wherein mental-dispositions as of reference-of-thought are caught between the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology referencing. Consider in this case the human condition of transience of reference-of-thought as experienced by Okonkwo returning from banishment to Umuofia village in Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart. That is, basically and by reflex, mental-dispositions as of the formation of ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will not necessarily construe transitorily at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is the relative ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought (as explained further below with respect to ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ associated with distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation); such that on a logical basis the averaging-of-thought
in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation will be more inclined to turn towards the ‘prior conventional non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ as categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and so over the ‘prospective relative pure-ontology conflatedness implying rulemaking-over-non-rules’. This is because a registry-worldview/dimension is a ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ wherein achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as heuristic but non-constraining compensation for human limited-mentation-capacity where constraining social universal-transparency doesn’t yet avail) even though, it is such relative pure-ontology conflatedness that is the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism enabling (by ultimately making available such prospective constraining social universal-transparency) the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes. Even then and ultimately, it is mainly a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that progressively rids the prior conventional constructs of their essence as of syncretising-denial that enables prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration/transcendence. This insight extends to all the successive registry-worldviews including ours as positivism–procrypticism as the relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism implying such a construct as the deprocrypticism institutionalisation suprastructuration (pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as conflation of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising)) will certainly be a remote contemplation of such an averaging-of-thought mental-disposition of our registry-worldview/dimension, rather construing its circular-pervasiveness closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as absolute by reflex beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought wherein achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and implying rather a prospective transcendental depth-of-thought/reference-of-thought. This equally explains why the implied supratransversality as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily a ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation that needs to take into account this ‘paradox of transcendence’. And critically so, because beyond just ‘human conscious willing’, transcendence necessarily implies the ‘prospect of humans to appreciate/understand meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’; such that, structurally/paradigmatically/necessarily, that which gets to ‘conceptualise/construe beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’ is necessarily ontologically-asymmetrical as rather imbued with intellectual-and-moral responsibility over that which doesn’t get there (and so, even with regards to a basic non-transcendental construal of asymmetrisation within
a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought like Doctor – Patient, Parent – Child, Server – Customer, Teacher – Student etc. as ensues from a Derridean binary opposition analysis). However at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the notion of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation is not readily acquiesced to for the simple reason that two references-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs are at play with those adhering to the prior/transcended/superseded categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inclined beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought to uphold meaningfulness-and-teleology as such, whereas in contrast adherence to the prospective/transcending/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will certainly grasp the pertinence of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; so construed, as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought brings about deepening sense/apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup of transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and sounder relationship with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. [In this respect, it should be noted that in the example on the denaturing of Additionality as further articulated below with regards to the characters A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, it is naïve to think that the characters A, B, C, D, E, F will simply acquiesce to Z’s supposedly ontologically-veridical posture, as by their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought they may operate on a logic that once such a situation as A induced additionality defect deception develops as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-
transparency, that’s fine and implicitly others could just as well consciously go along with it, and that it is just as implicitly legitimate as of the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the prior/transcended/superseded categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology notwithstanding its failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism; highlighting how across the successive registry-worldviews hollow-staging-and-performance or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing arise, however, different the perception from ‘very-crude’ (with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) to ‘seemingly polished’ (with our positivism–procrypticism) depending on prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is to point out that at uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-emanances-registries as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought do not necessarily acquiesce to intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality or asymmetrisation (as Z’s … looking down on A, B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability that ensue where socially-functional-and-accordant due to lack of constraining social universal-transparency—or-understanding-as-ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’; not only as a specific/particular construal/conceptualisation but of universal import as having to do with endemisation/enculturation of perversions-of-reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought).] Does the ‘intellectual romanticism’ of a Rousseau articulation of universal human rights necessarily register fully in the
mindset/reference-of-thought of the averaging-of-thought of his epoch or is it rather more truly a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion until the necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure generations latter that brings this beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion to the fore of the averaging-of-thought, and this interrogation could be extended to say superstitious notions and their implications in a non-positivistic social-setup as the drive of say a rational-empiricism/positivistic emancipating agent in many ways will be a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion for the averaging-of-thought in such a social setting, and equally similar issues faced today in many a traditional society like female genital mutilation is more than just an issue of stopping the practitioners of genital mutilation but has to do with averaging-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology in such social-setup that is a question of a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion with respect to recasting of gender rights in a prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, it could be asked whether such an aetiolgisation/ontological-escalation notion as deprocrypticism institutionalisation implied suprastructuration over our positivism–procrypticism is rather not a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion as of the present averaging-of-thought mental-disposition and mental-projection. The fact is that registry-worldviews/dimensions operate meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their ontological representation of reality within the limits of their categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which provide them with their ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (so derived from prior ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism induced projective-
insights/postdication/deconstruction), but then the further possibility of expanding the
axiomatic-construal/axiomatic-conceptualisation of ontological representation of reality as
prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration requires new projective-
insights/postdication/deconstruction to establish more profound categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as new/prospective
‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific
referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’; but then, such
‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific
referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ of each
registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration comes with a fundamental mentation-reflex
flaw that their given ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific
referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ is
absolute and non-transcendable’ beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
existential-extirpation-as-of-existent-unthought, failing to grasp that projective-
insights/postdication/deconstruction (factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination) about prospectively more profound categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will certainly imply an altogether new/prospective
‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific
referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ and
notwithstanding the fact that that present registry-worldview/dimension is the result of prior
projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction induced transcendence. Such that it is a cross-
generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose as a
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existent-extirpation-as-of-existent-
unthought notion that enables the fulfilment of the promise of projective-
insights/postdication/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism effectively with deconstruction/engaged-destruktion/ontological-reconstituting; and so, with respect to transcending from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation right up to our positivism–procrpticism institutionalisation suprastructuration, and prospectively the same existentialism-form-factor issues arise with respect to the possibility of our prospective transcendence to deprocrypticism, as we perceive our ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as absolute failing to construe the all-encompassing redefining implications of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction with respect to the possibility of an altogether new/prospective ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as conflation of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising)). So the challenge as of this aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implying futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, is one of making conscious beyond the nombrilism/closed-structuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology within all registry-worldviews/dimensions just as ours inducing transversality/logical-incongruence, that doesn’t tend to consciously recognise that prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought imply as of the institutionalisation process that new projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction necessarily induce new ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ defining new/prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Particularly so, as averaging-of-
reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness) not geared to uphold eternalising and emancipating possibilities implied by projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction notwithstanding the fact that its ‘construed-as-of-contingent-circular-pervasiveness closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-instant-and-absolute-basis-for-being/existence’ (naively perceived as the only one as of mechanicalism with a poor sense of organicalism, despite the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) arose by projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism. Further, even more decisively though by reflex we naively-and-falsely tend to construe of human virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments as arising mainly as of their conscious choices, paradigmatically/structurally a registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought notion is the more decisive/salient notion as to human ‘objectively construed/analysed virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments’ even though individual ‘conscious choices’ will tend to ‘simply qualify the effective possibility of such virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments arising’; such that a registry-worldview/dimension incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is paradigmmatically/structurally susceptibility as a state of ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the vices-and-impediments so implied to arise-and-be-endemised/enculturat beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. This explains why the institutionalisation process is basically about shifting apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings to
supersede the state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in handling the more and more profound/depth of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construing reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct that avails as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence or increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; (such that such meaningfulness as expressed herein is more than just of logical construct implying simple logical meaningfulness as within only a single-as-our-present positivistic predicative-insights framework of reasoning and understanding, but requires a more profound retrospective and prospective mental-projection in its contemplation). This equally explicates the empirical reality associated with the occurrence of human transcendence cross-generationally as the timeframe for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction induced prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ to take hold. It equally explicates why hollow-staging-and-performance or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing (as ‘vague staging and performing’ and not truly dialectically-thinking meaningfulness-and-teleology) tend to arise in each registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This has to do fundamentally with the antipodality of the mental-dispositions of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as of effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology and prelogism-as-of-conviction as of effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is important to grasp that such ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction
comprehensive-and-insightful itemisation for developing storied-construct. At both registry-worldview/dimension-level and individuation-level of analysis unlike ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’, ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ is associated with relative ‘temporal-mental-dispositions’-construed-as-surreptitiously-or-palpably-committing-as-of-extrinsic-attribution-or-its-perpetuating-with-other-mental-dispositions-as-supposedly-superseding-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of mentally-invested with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ notwithstanding subsequent apprehension of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, that speaks of ‘ad-hoc social-commitment-thresholds for foregoing the upholding of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ and assuming denaturing as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency at the uninstitutionalised-threshold beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. It is this dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect that underlies perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with prospective uninstitutionalisations.) This thus conveys the individuation-level of analysis ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as well as differentiated intemporal-conflatedness-as-effecting-wholeness-as-of-profundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology-or-temporal-constitutedness-as-effecting-parsimony-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (so implied by metaphysics-of-absence as of our procrypticism prospective uninstitutionalisation as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). By mental-reflex a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction stand is a ‘mental-shortcut’ that is fundamentally perverted as it perceives meaning as ‘deterministic of others behaviours by its empty-form’ while a prelogism-as-of-conviction stand is one that relates to meaning on the basis of its assumed existential validity, or at worst involves omissions or exaggerations relative to such fundamental existential validity, but doesn’t countenance by mental-reflex the projection of empty-form of
meaningfulness which is ‘existentially invalid’ in the very first place. Consequently, where there is ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency at the uninstitutionalised-threshold due to ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, postlogism-as-of-non-conviction implied meaningfulness-and-teleology will tend to be incidentally conjugated with prelogism-as-of-conviction dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. This is the case beyond just any such specific instances and such specific postlogism-as-of-non-conviction character(s) and specific conjugated-postlogism character(s) but rather as of aftereffect/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, and thus defining together with the registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at its ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold the hollow-staging-and-performed-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as a dementing enculturation’. This is characteristic of the successive uninstitutionalisations whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition hollow-staging-and-performance as random/impulsive mental-disposition), ununiversalisation (non-universalising hollow-staging-and-performance like animistic attributing of misfortune to someone else’s malevolent spirit), non-positivism/medievalism (non-positivising/non-rational-empirical hollow-staging-and-performance like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery) or procrypticism (disjointed-misappropriating-of-meaning hollow-staging-and-performance like psychopathy and social psychopathy), thus construing of a registry-worldview as of its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as rather reflecting ‘virtue-and-ontological-veridicality’ as of its institutionalisation and ‘vices-and-impediments’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This consequently implies at the uninstitutionalised-threshold an ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in

Now supposed Z was another character inclined for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as preserving the inherent intemporality of additionality as allowing civilisational/institutional-being-and-craft setup preservation, brought in by the Donor, there is no question that Z will register the newly divulged ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of the defective apriorising/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising and its derived-implications
prospective-reference-of-thought (wherein Z’s disposition is an ordered-construct or second-naturing institutionalisation over B, C, D, E and F mental-anarchy/mentarchy inducing of ‘prospective uninstitutionalisation’). Though metaphorically in the mortal’s temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms, that ‘low-life’ of universal import may be utterly oblivious to the practicalities of B, C, D, E and F so engrossed in a world of ‘high-life’ of temporality/extirpation as the ‘fullness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the appreciation of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, be it that the latter disposition as philosophically intemporal is what creates-and-enables the being in civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft in the first place, as the metaphorically ‘high-life’ of temporality/extirpation cannot count on an overall principle of temporality/extirpation for its existential sustainability (as B, C, D, E and F needs that the Donor grants the rewards by not factoring in the deceit, thus their existential principle doesn’t sustain the ‘civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft setup’ in which they are living in, hence qualified as extirpatory/temporal/parasitising/co-opting as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’) but unavowedly and paradoxically rather on the parasitising/co-opting of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm enabling the institutionalisation process; and besides, it is because the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler as prospective ontologising (as undertaken by Z) can supersede denaturing postlogical-backtracking towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ (referenced by B, C, D, E and F) that the further
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning’ that ‘retraces’ the existential-reality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with the implications thereof ushering in the successive institutionalisations as the need for new ‘contextualising-contiguity of imbricated-becoming-transitioning as of-existential-reality’ when the idea of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought arises (as prospective uninstitutionalisation); i.e., from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism and prospectively to deprocrypticism. While for the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal mental-disposition individuations the form-and-perception or derived-form-and-perception of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether upholding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality or not (and so whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously) is a sufficient basis so long as it is socially-functional-and-accordant such that the possibility of blurring or undermining existential-reality by ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing reference-of-thought in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality’ is just as valid, hence a

Hence the reason why the vices-and-impediments inherent of a given registry-worldview/dimension cannot be structurally/paradigmatically/ontologically resolved within it as there is need for prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought structured to inherently supersede such vices-and-impediments, whether as base-institutionalisation in superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation superseding base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism superseding universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and deprocrypticism superseding positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The central idea here being that the most critically important notion in the situation of A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, is Z’s upholding of prospective transcendental-enabling over any temporal extirpatory paradigm, however, the enculturation and mass thinking behind temporal extirpatory paradigm. (* Noting that individuation as defined elsewhere speaks of temporal-to-intemporal trait characteristic, as anywhere between shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that can accrue atleast incidentally/on-occasion in all individuals-as-receptacles-of-individuations but more recurrently as teleologically defining in a-life-phase-or-life-phases-of-given-individuals, thus critically enabling a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimension/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness analysis as metaphysics-of-absence/postdication). Finally, thus it is critical to note that the existential contextualisation above as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-
that-remain of-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectically-thinking-
reference-of-thought as depth-of-thought’) is a priori and supersedes the mere notion of
additionality as ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ since mere additionality is bound to wrongly represent the
additions of B, C, D, E and F as correct (as it is a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-
construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
existential-reference in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present-
present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence) thus overlooking their ‘wrongly-
projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-
or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather dementing hollow-staging-and-
performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing reference-of-thought in
shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-
becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’. Such ‘an absolute
teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ of Z’s
intemporal-emanance-registry reference-of-thought as supratransversality over B, C, D, E and
F temporal-emanances-registries references-of-thought as subtransversality, can be
demonstrated in the archetype characters of say a Socrates or Rousseau (even though no
human individual as receptacle of individuations can be qualified as purely of intemporal-
emanance-registry or purely of temporal-emanance-registry). Wherein within their respective
registry-worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising-as-transcendental recomposuring
mental-dispositions in projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future conventioning, as supratransversality (as the grander intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions) is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing reference-of-thought in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-emanances-registries do not appreciate that there is a more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-contemplation of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that then ‘invents/creates’ the structural/paradigmatic possibility for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft as there isn’t any inherent
with positivism, in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. This equally explain why the notion of human transcendental progress is relatively ‘outlier driven’ as it requires an intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism of thought more than just institutionalised second-naturing such that it has often been the erudition periphery of institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures that had tended to fundamentally put into question their present with new paradigm shifts. It is ontologically-speaking impossible to comprehensively undermine a dimension’s/registry worldview’s postlogism without undermining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought itself as implied by its state of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, for instance psychopathy in positivism–procrypticism or notions of sorcery in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism (wherein from the prospective point-of-reference respectively as deprocrypticism or positivism, it is in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology), given that this fundamental ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought of the given registry-worldview/dimension as reflected from a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, by its ‘contextualising-contiguity of imbricated-becoming-transitioning’ means it is structurally bound to enculturate/endemise its given postlogism. Obviously we can appreciate that without a positivistic outlook/reference-of-thought there is no chance that a non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension will do away with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, as the latter is bound to arise as of human hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing in non-
positivism/medievalism where the mindset/reference-of-thought is not rationally-empirical/positivising. Likewise the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought wherein the perversion-of-reference-of-thought from a psychopathic character is contextually likely to be engaged with (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’) and even exploited (whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously), implies a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic undermining of the phenomena of psychopathy and social psychopathy is impossible without putting in question and undermining our prospective uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism which is effectively the structural/paradigmatic resolution of psychopathy and social psychopathy (besides palliative conceptualisations that can hardly make a dent on the comprehensively defined structural/paradigmatic phenomenon in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the larger aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic ontological resolution of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, and ad hoc tempering with medieval postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) as instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery doesn’t grasp the underlying and comprehensive medieval social-construct structural/paradigmatic endemisation/enculturation of such a phenomenon. Further, registry-worldviews/dimensions being closed-constructs with their ‘intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ or ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation’ determined by their ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’, there is a need to circumvent and break these ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’ by prospective ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ to allow for new defining transcendental meaningfulness and its corresponding grander teleological-differentiation/teleology that can then perceive the prior registry-
worldview/dimension as of its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought and accessorily its enculturating/ endemicising of its postlogism, and superseding both of these in the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. For instance, the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler of a medicine based on natural causes and drugs as natural cures carried the effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that undermined non-positivistic/medieval ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’ to do away with such notions as curses, sorcerers, etc. being the cause of disease, and undermine the whole degraded teleological dispositions based on such ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’. Likewise only by articulating comprehensive and effective aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation resolutions to the defect of procrysticism and its postlogism first with respect to formal constructions that the derived effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework can feed back as percolation-channelling to dimensionally (registry-worldview) to undermine the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought of our procrysticism and accessorily its enculturating/endemising of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) and as of the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective, ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality’ implies a transversality/logical-incongruence as ‘an
or-ontological-preservation’ determined by its ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’) and ‘the prior subtransversality reference-of-thought’ (as denaturing postlogical-backtracking dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing towards the reference-of-thought ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’ in undermining prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler)] is comprehensively rearticulated all across the ‘reference-of-thought existentialism construct’ i.e., from the registry-worldview (meaning by its specific teleological differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation construct), the contending-reference (meaning teleological construct), the ontological-reference (being/existential construct of meaning), meaningful-reference (meaning contextualisation construct), the reference-of-thought (operant construal of meaning), and right down to the registry (basic defining construct of meaning, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology). This suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/post-convergence insight from an ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-of-construal underlines ontologically that, Deprocrypticism (by its ‘non-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology i.e., deprocrypticism-or-pre-empting-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising) is abject-ontologising-recomposuring by subsuming-as-supplanting-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) Positivism–procrypticism which (by its ‘positivising/rational-empiricism’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology i.e., positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules–(as ‘third-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising)) is maximalising-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-confflation’
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level pseudo-confflation’
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level
pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), and up to when prospective uninstitutionalisation is structurally/paradigmatically superseded by ‘notional-deprocrypticism’ construed as deprocripticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echo/ness/deprocripticism-as-of-its-reverberation as ‘notional-deprocripticism’ accounts for both deprocripticism and procripticism since it is a potency-construal and not a given reference-of-thought construal (contrasted with ‘conceptual deprocripticism’ as a given reference-of-thought construal); just as ‘knowledge notionalisation’ implies a potency-construal of both knowledge and the ignorances wherein the enlightening referencing of knowledge extends to a grasp of the nature and possibilities of the ignorances as well, in contrast to human ‘knowledge conceptualisation’ as of knowledge as of its enlightening or intemporal referencing only.]
Thus just as deprocripticism subsuming perspective (of institutionalisation-upholding), on the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratio/mination maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness institutionalisation, will construe the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of ‘structural/paradigmatic apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology towards deprocripticism-as-the-real-notion as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence-or-
deprocrypticism-or-pre-empting-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising – that underlies the construal/conceptualisation of ‘existential-reality as imbricated-becoming-transitioning’ (as of its imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring divulged by the various rules inflections highlighted above starting with non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘base constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) and developing with limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination, construed as of ‘increasingly-profound-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to existential-reality in-of-itself). The above articulation points out that our conceptions of rules as of their psychical and institutional implications is more of ‘our apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devising’ (reflected in our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology) as of the given level of our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination with respect to existential-reality-in-of-itself in superseding–oneness-of-ontology, that is, just becoming-in-of-itself. Thus for construing/conceptualising a supratransversality reference-of-thought over a subtransversality reference-of-thought with respect to postlogism articulation (whereby suprastructurally/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and from a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, the same maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness rules that enable prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation but within the institutionalisation (as intemporalisation) limits turn out to be dementing hollow-staging-and-
performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing beyond these limits as prospective uninstitutionalisation in want for prospective institutionalisation):


Postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) is ‘the abnormal application of logic for virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ or ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is very much different from ‘the normal application of
logic for being-construal-or-intrinsic-reality-construal as-abstract-construal-as-of-veridical-existential-reference’ known as prelogism (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) as conviction whether good or bad conviction which is at the least ‘of sound logical-dueness of reference-of-thought’, whereas postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness being ‘of non-conviction’ do not operate on the same logical-dueness of registry//anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview mental-devising-representation basis of prelogism-as-of-conviction as ‘of sound reference-of-thought’ which is reflected as mental straightness and candored. Rather postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness being about ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’, harkens back to a registry/mental-devising-representation that is reflected/perspectivated as dementing (oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase). Thus postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (psychopathic-and-the-temporal-emanances-registries-conjugation-to-its-as-conjugated-postlogism) implies fundamentally non-veridical implied categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation and thus the implied registry elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology are undue for logical contention but rather ontologically reflected/perspectivated as perversion-of-reference-of-thought. In existential terms, postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (psychopathic-and-the-
reference/implied-teleology implying as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect as first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (inducing circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of a subsequent implication of a second-order level wrongly implied deception of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation of infinite deception possibilities with respect to the infinite possibilities of ‘perfect logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ on the false basis of the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought). Such perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-devising-representation-perversion has various shades of ‘temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness depth/register of meaningfulness stranded finalities/teleologies’. This can be demonstrated as follows with psychopathy at childhood (which at this point is relatively transparent to the critical observer). Let’s say John is a psychopath, he wants to get his brother Peter punished for annoying him. John knows that dad will punish anyone who spills water on the chair. John, in a ‘dereifying act’, then spills water on a chair and goes and tell dad Peter has spilled water on the chair, and waits for Peter to get punished (and, this way of acting and thinking is not limited only to a benign notion like spilling water as it could be setting fire, destroying an equipment, etc.). This is different even from bad conviction or prelogism in that a child who has a bad conviction or prelogism is ad hoc and circumspect by taking advantage or reacting to a situation that has developed to accuse another as of temporal-existential constraint. They don’t initiate such a situation ‘as a rational way of thinking’ and even less to the gravity that the psychopath does.

One other major flaw in the perception of the psychopath is that they are liars (a pathological liar, it is said). This again is a flawed notion. To lie is to be in prelogism-as-of-
conviction (bad or poor conviction), whether by omitting or exaggerating in a circumspect and ad hoc manner but relative to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Lying as such is ‘an ad-hoc defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance that doesn’t speak of the true postlogism/psychopathic phenomenon which has to do with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention with regards to registry/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview as the psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought speaks of ‘a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as enabled by social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ implying a ‘being or ontological or meaningfulness or existential defect’ which is poorly construed as ‘pathological lying at the level of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation of conviction/prelogic mental-reflex engagement’ rather than being construed as a mental and teleological disposition defect at the level of the reference-of-thought as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed as mental-unsoundness). In fact, besides ‘lying’ such poor characterisation of the psychopath extends to other notions like ‘bullying’, ‘manipulating’, ‘fooling’, etc. which are all in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking notions though ‘bad or poor convictions’ (bad or poor conviction/prelogism construed as wrong logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or wrong operation of prelogism-as-of-conviction but nonetheless prelogism-as-of-conviction). Fundamentally, psychopathic slanting is particular in that it departs from a relation to the ‘empty-form-of-meaning-as-inherently-deterministic outside the framework of a veridical existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’
contrasted with bad/poor conviction which departs with a relation to ‘omitting or exaggerating within the framework of a veridical existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. But while poor-or-bad prelogism may be what is perceived from a ‘normal’ social and conviction point of view, particularly with adult psychopathy; these are all wrong and actually will make an analysis of the psychopath and psychopathy ontologically-flawed. The psychopath is in a state of non-conviction-or-’existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-’impulsive-dementation’ (not recognising/giving-up-on the sound operation/processing of logic as the basis for deriving essence of meaning but rather perceiving meaning as just a hollow mimicking form that determines how others will act, more like a projection of form, i.e. non-conviction-or-’existential-decontextualised-transposition’ being a state of ‘conscious, unprincipled and instrumentalised non-conviction in veridical unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as the psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework value-reference reflected by its perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ in contrast to conviction as a state of ‘conscious, principled and uninstrumentalised conviction in veridical soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as the conviction mindset/reference-of-thought ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework value-reference’. This is the fundamental fact that explains the evasiveness in grasping the psychopath in its motive and orientation as the psychopath’s actions can be as simple as a basic formulaic-formic (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated-or-postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented)
understanding of the effects on interlocutors of endearing, pleasing, laughter, etc. in inducing distraction, empathy, suspension-of-profound-reasoning or reference-of-thought teleological-degradation in relation to its mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemoral-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness in undermining an prelogism-as-of-conviction perspective which reference-of-thought is veridical. All the bad or poor conviction terms above, i.e. lying, bullying, manipulating, fooling, etc., wrongly point to the fact that the psychopath is having a ‘deliberative prelogism-as-of-conviction mental process’ with respect to its end purpose, and thus wrongly implying it is in ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction’ with the wrong idea that its apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising reference-of-thought-elements/registry-elements/anchoring-of-meaning-elements of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology are existentially veridical. The psychopath is operating on the basis of ‘a last mimicking denaturing postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness retreating iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-with-succeeding-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’, and so to satisfy ‘a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’; and so, one narrative iteration at a time. Now the faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge implying ‘a convictional deliberativeness’ is coming from its interlocutor’s ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’ itself which prelogically/in-conviction (as the prelogism, which is wrongly induced in distracting-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, conjoins all the denaturing postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness retreating iterative-looping-set-of-
hollow-narratives-and-acts-with-succeeding-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, to wrongly imply a depth-of-conviction whether as of bad or good conviction/prelogism) in reality is wrongly assuming a depth-of-postlogism-slantedness/insane integration. The psychopath being postlogical—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness or pathologically/impulsively ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is not lying (or manipulating or bullying), in fact the psychopath will prefer that normal conviction minds think it is lying (or any notion of a bad-or-poor conviction rather than the idea of non-conviction), as at least they will then wrongly realign prelogically/(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) again to it with respect to its subsequent narratives to examine the pertinence of its logic/logical-processing i.e. engaging logical operating/processing and wrongly granting it conviction (be it even bad-or-poor conviction as this will then wrongly imply its wrong or poor performance of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation, rather than its hollow-constituting/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging/slanting of empty narratives that are flawed or non-existent as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction) thus wrongly involved in prelogism hence wrongly validating as real its ‘fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ which is its ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements, that in reality are out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology (instead of
examining in the very first place their relevance/pertinence or its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought); in so doing, analysing its meaning as essence instead of analysing it as non-veridical hollow mimicking form or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated or non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives. What the psychopath is doing is 'SLANTING' or impulsive-dementing. That is to arrive at a sought-outcome by subknowledging-or-mimicking the non-veridical hollow-form of the meaning of other persons conviction narratives which it perceives as ‘being blatantly deterministic’ of the views and actions of the ‘normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’, i.e. the psychopath is 'narrating veridical emptiness/hollow narratives’. The idea being about arriving at a sought-outcome by taking a posture that does not attach a depth of conviction on narratives but rather simply ‘the mere possibility of the hollow narratives being articulated, and then integrated by interlocutors as real’. Thus the psychopathic postlogical mindset and by derivation conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration mindset is one of relating to meaning and meaningfulness as valid by ‘the mere performative-form representation of meaning and meaningfulness’ rather than veracity/ontological-pertinence of meaningfulness. The psyche is thus fundamentally one geared towards how to perform in interlocution rather than express a genuine sense of conviction and hence the disposition for extrinsic-attribution by active social-aggregation-enabling. Meaning and meaningfulness is seen not as an end-construct that is of passive social determinism by its inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence as of intrinsic-attribution associated with transcendental-enabling, but rather as a potent and active construct of social determinism which requires actually eliciting a sought after outcome and not a notion of intrinsic existential/ontological inherence. This mental-disposition is qualified as ontological-decadence or postlogism and its derivation/ adoption by temporal-emanances-registries is
ontological-decadence-integration or conjugated-postlogism. More precisely, it is critical to distinguish between the notion of slanting (cิงลี in French) as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and the notion of a lie which is prelogism-as-of-conviction (be it a bad conviction) as with a lie the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially veridical with the ‘lying deception’ being of ad-hoc exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality but as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation. The narratives-and-acts-foci of the set-of-narratives of a ‘lying deception’ do not successively shift (as with slanting) but carry an overall coherence implying deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives. This is because a lie is more of deception arising out of ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) ad-hocly articulated as deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives to resolve the ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s), and lying doesn’t fundamentally imply where such ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) is non-existent the interlocutor will still not be predisposed to a veridical and appropriate logical-engagement/interlocution/implicitation. This equally explains why a lie collapses as a whole (or whole pieces of the lie) since such a collapse arises out of the truth/ontological-veridicality resolution of the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) behind the coherent structure(s) of the lying deception. Slanting on the other hand speaks of a fundamental pathological faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge associated with postlogism-as-of-non-conviction with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction (and by extension ‘derived-slanting’ induced as conjugated-postlogism-opportunism and conjugated-postlogism-exacerbation arises out of purposeful enculturation/endemisation of the slanting habit where it is viewed by some interlocutors of the psychopath as socially-functional-and-accordant, since its manifestation is not universally transparent as ontologically decadent); due to the slanted child psychopathy mind’s developmental incompleteness (as it is so
focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, whereas the latter is exactly what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology), with respect to construing meaning and meaningfulness as prelogism-as-of-conviction, but instead construes meaning and meaningfulness as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction explaining the circular nature and its particularly overblown extrinsic-attribution mental-disposition to elicit social-aggregation-enabling over relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling with regards to inherent reality and meaningfulness. The peculiarity of slanting is that it is deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts wherein the initiation of a hollow falsehood narrative is followed by the projection of another hollow falsehood narrative on the basis of the former as if the former was true, and the projection of another falsehood narrative on the basis of the previous one as if the previous one was true, and so on. Thus slanting doesn’t have a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as is the case when someone tells a lie, and actually where such a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is wrongly implied about slanting, it has to do with prelogism-as-of-conviction mind/mental-disposition
‘wrongly conjoining the succession of slanting narratives from the last iterated slanted narrative’ to wrongly imply that the slanting psychopath narratives are a ‘coherent whole of narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, and this is the mechanism that induces conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration by some interlocutors of the adult psychopath, whether conscious or unconsciously. It is interesting to note that at childhood psychopathy where the mental-disposition is relatively universally-transparent what is perceived and related to by conviction interlocutors is not a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ but a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect/mental-unsoundness-effect arising out of its contemplation (as if it were true), pointing out that the reality of mental-states in wrong prelogism-as-of-conviction alignment to psychopathic slanting is actually a mental-unsoundness not different as contemplating aligning in conviction to the childhood psychopathy slanting as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another. A salient comparison that strongly highlights the difference between slanting and lying, is that a lying child doesn’t come across as delirious since its lying deception is a coherent whole as of contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) while a slanting deception is as of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge due to psychopathic developmental failure to relate to meaning and meaningfulness as of prelogism-as-of-conviction with the personality development out of that developmental failure bringing about the adult psychopath slanting mental-disposition with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and as the adult psychopath developed maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, induces interlocutors conviction/prelogical alignment to its non-conviction/postlogical narratives whereas at childhood psychopathy interlocutors will not align in-conviction/prelogically (in order not to
wrongly conjoin the psychopathic postlogical slanting narratives as deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts as if of coherent whole as conviction/prelogical narratives, and this is what actually occurs by inducing conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration in interlocutors at adulthood psychopathy) given the obvious and transparent deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect associated with slanting over a slant over a slant, successively. Hence, this slanting deception (deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts) is also qualified as deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing. Thus, with slanting the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially unreal/non-veridical/flawed explaining the meaningful emptiness/hollowness of slanting (as not even an exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implication), thus explaining why ‘slanting and derived-slanting’ is construed as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing as opposed to lying deception construed in a shade of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, it points out as well that the basis of the postlogism/psychopathic induced deception is not the psychopath itself (as it is commonly asserted about psychopathic manipulation), but rather it lies in the very nature of the reasoning of the prelogism-as-of-conviction interlocutor mental engagement reflex who ‘aligns in-conviction’ as it will ‘normally do’ with other conviction/prelogical minds to a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mind, and then wrongly validates that the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mind is in prelogism-as-of-conviction. In order words, the operation of the psychopathic mind as of its incomplete mentation development (as inclined to induce a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception) as it fails to construe meaning and meaningfulness as based on prelogism-as-of-
conviction but rather as based on postlogism-as-of-non-conviction with its personality development into adulthood on this basis, paradoxically leads to the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’s deception since the latter operates on the basis that everyone must be conviction (be it bad-conviction at worst) and the notion of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction doesn’t register naturally except where the personality development of the childhood psychopathy into an adult psychopath is experienced closely, and the adulthood psychopath mentation processes structure can be retraced to the delirious mentation processes structure at childhood psychopathy when it is universally transparent as maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness continually developed during its personality development into adulthood psychopathy now enables it becoming socially-functional-and-accordant. This induced deception does not however occur at childhood psychopathy since it is very much transparent as a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect as the childhood psychopathy has hardly achieved maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness of its slanting-deception mental-disposition. What underlies the slanting of the psychopath is its rather unnuanced understanding and gauging of social situations and social cues as out of existential-contextualising-contiguity by its dereification on a mental-processing disposition that is rather a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’, and so in contrast with the expected ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ of convictional dispositions in existential-contextualising-contiguity, however bad-or-poor their ontological-performance of convictional mental-processing. This underlies the apparent vividness of interlocution with the psychopath especially with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction due to a ‘conviction by non-conviction cross-perception effect’ wherein the convictional interlocutor by its mental-reflex is wrongly inclined to perceive and so specifically with adult psychopathy a ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-
processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the psychopath ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ out of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification, while the psychopath view of the convictional interlocutor’s supposedly ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is rather as of its ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ inclination out of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification. While at childhood psychopathy such a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ out of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification is socially inefficacious and trouble-inducing giving the deliriousness effect from universal-transparency of its acts, at adulthood psychopathy the lack of such universal-transparency of the postlogism-slantedness rather makes the latter ‘sound impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirited’ to the unsuspecting interlocutor who by mental-reflex wrongly assumes as ontologically-veridical the falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity, giving the psychopath life-long learnedness and adaptation from its childhood inefficacy as of its increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness with adulthood, and this latter ‘apparently impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirited but rather falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity’ disposition tends to be socially enculturated/endemised as of conjugated-postlogism. But then, more than just the deception this state of affairs has a further nefarious effect on the natural human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, as the induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency with respect to intrinsic meaningfulness further elicits conviction minds temporal-emanances-registries dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, which can actually be more decisive grounds for the perpetuation of
disposition/reference-of-thought’ construed either ‘as out of the scope of the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ or ‘the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought’ (as-failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation ‘by projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought’, as of an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) as so reflected from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. With the attainment of registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation by social universal-transparency we can very much uphold a second-natured quasi-intemporal-emanance-registry mental-disposition/reference-of-thought as ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ which is why humankind pursues institutionalisations as devising human collective emancipation from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism in resolving the vices-and-impediments of their respective uninstitutionalisations as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. But exactly for the purpose of ensuring the perpetuation of this human institutionalisation capacity (as in enabling futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism) as the very essence of human virtue itself, it is equally important to
nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) scheduling or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’, at uninstitutionalised-threshold (reflecting prospective uninstitutionalisation), is now substituted (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought) by its ‘decentering and dialectical-dementation of its reference-of-thought’; which we can effectively acquiesce to as of the retrospective uninstitutionalisations but will rather have a mental complex when this is implied prospectively to imply our prospective uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism, just as all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto displayed a mental complex when their construal as prospective uninstitutionalisation is implied. Thus this implied human ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as driven by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence will explain the specific natures of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought (as ‘underlying scheduling of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’) behind the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures peculiar psychologisms/psychologism-constructs of meaning and meaningfulness in explaining the empirical-realities of the various anthropological societies mindsets/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology; whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation psychologism, base-instutitutionalisation–ununiversalisation psychologism, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism psychologism, positivism–procrypticism psychologism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psychologism equally qualified as suprastructuralism. Hence, our present positivism mental-
disposition/reference-of-thought is just one of human historical psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, and it is not absolute as to imply there aren’t or weren’t other human psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, wherein in their own realisation, perception and thought they are ‘not decentered’ and ‘not dialectically-dementing’ as of their ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance rather so construed from a higher psychologism’s articulation of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as ontologically-veridical. Thus, deprocrypticism as decentering and dementing the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought will certainly imply an altogether different psychologism of meaning and meaningfulness-and-teleology as suprastructuralism. It should be noted that the implied meaning of psychologism here has to fundamentally do with a psychology arising out of ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality establishing a mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with its psychologism/psychologism-construct, and so it is ontologically-driven. As further ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality arises (as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination) a renewing of mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with its corresponding psychologism/psychologism-construct occurs, with this institutionalisation-process leading to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, and implied prospectively as well with the deprocrypticism worldview/dimension reference-of-thought psychologism/psychologism-construct. Critically, a psychologism/psychologism-construct takes a
registry-worldview reference-of-thought; as of the fact of fundamental registry-worldview/dimensional ‘prospective as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect, so construed in order to supersedes its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments. Paradigmatically, this idea extends to all issues implying metaphysics-of-absence ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’. This brings home the underlying notion of rational-realism as construed herein, as rational-realism attends to the idea of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring, as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as enabling its more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by way of a concurrently more and more ‘rational realistic’ construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of a natural human psychological growth disposition (‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). Wherein, going by its first impulse with respect to its ‘construal/conceptualisation activity as of its coming into existence in the world’, human natural mental-reflex starts out with a simplistic idealism to account at one fell swoop for the comprehensiveness/complexity of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality it faces and has to contend with while construing/conceptualising fundamental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This then gives rise to such a simplistic idealism of the natural idea of Gods or God or Spirits, as taking away the chore of understanding and purpose, and giving a sense of intuitive guidance, hope, peace of mind and as to what humans should expect in their existence. But as of the intrinsic-reality constraints of having to deal with matters of the world on its own by developing notions of understanding and purposefulness as the mere imagination of God or Gods or Spirits by itself doesn’t give agency (or at least
‘perceived’ sufficient agency) in resolving human issues of the world and making its need for understanding and purposefulness go away. This induces a bifurcation of human intellectual and moral allegiance to the supernatural and the real in adjunction, as of their ‘perceived’ effectiveness. With a commitment to the idealism of the supernatural not only as of its ‘perceived’ virtuous import, but as of ‘perceived’ nefarious effects to human nolition to it, man hangs on to both an effective realistic as well as idealistic conceptualisation/construal in existence. Such a growth psychology ultimately goes beyond construing idealism as the supernatural but as a complement to more and more profound realistic understanding and purposefulness in existence, but then having to readjust such idealism wherein the real as of its critical import to critical existence issues increasingly comes to take presence as of its effectiveness. Such that as construed today, human history overall has been an exercise in toning down the grander notion of idealism as of notions of the supernatural, essences and metaphysical ideals, and enabling increasing permeation and/or superseding of such notions with an effectiveness-driven realism leading to a general and increasing elevation of knowledge as the-human-and-social-emancipator, the present ascendency of philosophies increasingly concerned with the human realities of existence (strongly so, lately with such movements as positivism, phenomenology, existentialism and post-structuralism) and science in all its facets whether physical, biological or social, as well as a human-centeredness of arts and culture. Rational-realism is grounded on this historic empirical state of affairs of increasing human realism in taking hold of its destiny on ‘the premise of a deference to intrinsic-reality as of its effective inherence validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ that has accompanied human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in construing/conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology. Rational-realism thus finds in the grander notion of idealism, an avowal of human limited-
mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) that actually is
behind all hollow-staging-and-performance (as apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing) of
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; with the idea that there is no place to hide behind
idealisms and that human emancipation and virtue has been and is fundamentally about
buckling down and undertaking the requisite effort in ‘understanding for real’ and not
differing to ‘thin air’ in the name of idealism. Rational-realism pushes the grander notion of
realism further by asking the question, have all the idealisms as of the grander idealism been
identified and superseded? It comes to the conclusion that while that has been decisively the
case with supernaturalism, belief in essences and metaphysical idealism, as of
structural/paradigmatic social implications, one other sort of idealism remains to be recognise
as ‘false realism’; the idealism that doesn’t grasp what man itself is, rather as overly
indulgent in not recognising how a thorough understanding of itself in enabling
pivoting/decentering is effectively the strongest asset for its full emancipation. Central to
such a most basic realism is grounding human knowledge of itself and thereof all knowledge
on the ‘mediocrity principle’ as to enable the full construal of both metaphysics-of-presence
and metaphysics-of-absence ontologies as enabling a further human emancipation registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, deprocripticism psychologism.
This is the insight behind the articulation of the social construed in threshold terms of social-
functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction rather as socially-
functional-and-accordant. This insight further divulges the reality across all registry-
worldviews/dimensions of ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-
thought’ and ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation
mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’, as powerful conceptualisations for framing issues
in their appropriate psychologism however unpalatable/inconveniencing, as history has
always shown that unpalatability, inconvenience and contrariety have always been the test
that all humans have had to undergo to effectively achieve their respective prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence, and the more complete conceptualisation of knowledge goes beyond its technicalities and plainness to imply its underlying sense of dedication as the very intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism disposition behind its creation, cultivation and projection. And as with all previous realism drives, the idea of rational-realism is not as an articulation within the finite scope of the present meaningfulness-and-teleology frame of thought and social-stake-contention-or-confliction but rather carries a prospective scope, just as the vocation of the realism of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought in a non-positivistic social-setup should not be about elaborating meaning as of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology to engage the non-positivistic social-setup in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic sense of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of human relations as that will certainly just induce an ‘idle circularity and contrariety’ within the non-positivistic social-setup. But rather the point is all about recognising ‘human prospective institutionalisation capacity as the very essence of human virtue’ available to all humans past and present, that enabled this animal among all creatures to be engaged in a grander collective exercise of ‘existential-tautological eudaemonic-contemplation’ (as of human ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness’), to imply that there is a prospective virtuous possibility of human institutionalisation that can be grasped, and so expressed in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the notion of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of that prospective institutionalisation psychologism, just as the vocation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought is all about eliciting the notion of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivistic psychologism to imply that the non-positivistic community has the capacity and should come to terms with its human emancipatory institutionalisation potential. Insightfully, the
fair to say ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ in this reference-of-thought is of quasi-intemporal-emanance-registry (and the whole point of human knowledge aspiration and virtue is to achieve this state or deferential-states-of-this-state as with formalisations and percolation-channelling). Thus calculations (logically-derived meaningfulness) in such an institutionalised framework are effectively in intellectual-good-faith but for failure in performance as defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance. But then human existential-reality comes with human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} with limited grasp of intrinsic-reality at various stages of human emancipation up to the present day, such that social universal-transparency required for ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ has been made transcendentally available only in partial construals/conceptualisations that are as-of existential-reality, and where non-available at uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is naïve to construe human mental-disposition as of quasi-intemporal-emanance-registry; as the anthropological and historical evidence consistently points to a different structure with regards to the ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context elucidated ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. It points to a fundamental structural disposition for human temporalities-drives to adhere to the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication) of the given registry-worldview/dimension, when incapable of construing a prospective registry-worldview reference-of-thought as providing the resolution for the vices-and-impediments associated with such a present registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Such notions as the following that can be at the very centre of ways of thought in various social-setups or subcultures are not fortuitous but speaks of the reality (as metaphysics-of-absence) of the notion of ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ that structurally/paradigmatically ‘notionally acquiesce to the possibility of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporality and is non-transcendental to that possibility’: she deserves to be rape because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc. [We can note here that such statements as of a variance of more benign to weightier nature can be made as being socially-functional-and-accordant (without or hardly any negative consequences at the acceptable socially-functional-and-accordant-threshold like being repudiated or incriminated, etc.), construed as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’ in the same social space that statements of ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ are made but with both construed in the conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as
effectively ‘non-dissociable’, thus validating the notion that institutionalisation is not about solipsistic transformation into the intemporality-drive (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition) but rather about acceptable thresholds for the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, explaining why prospective uninstitutionalisations are bound to arise successively in the institutionalisation process (out-of-human temporality) together with corresponding prospective institutionalisations (out-of-human intemporality) with the latter enabling totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of the notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity of the institutionalisation process. This equally explain why and in particular in certain domains like the philosophical construed as ‘notional philosophical’ (by its very ‘first-ontology responsibilities’), the social-construct conventioning cannot and should not be considered and related to as an absolute determinant of meaningfulness, value and worth as it is more of a conventioning however ontologically-informed the conventioning, and ‘the need for the social-construct further development requires that it can utterly be put into question by pure-ontology conflatedness with no conventioning complexes’! (As a reminder, the notion of intemporality/temporality is an ontological-as-of-being construct and the apparent references to virtue imply the subsumed construal of virtue by the ontological-as-of-being construct, such that it is important to grasp that all notions articulated herein are ontological, just as the notions of the being domains-of-study of the natural world are ontological, and the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature of the being domains-of-study of the social world should not naively imply a construct that isn’t ontological or otherwise, as in both instances the aspiration is for ‘intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as an otherness from any emotional-involvement/subjectification/notional-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising predilection of the inquirer’. This elucidation is equally to highlight that the idea of socially-functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-dissociability is beyond just a construal as of virtue analysis but rather an ontological analysis, as it applies in all social conceptualisations of performance and functionality whether virtuous or virtuously-neutral but necessarily as of the social being/existence domains-of-study.) The conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction effectively ‘non-dissociable’ modular construal of temporal-emanances-registries and intemporal-emanance-registry rather as of socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds, has deterministic implications with regards to ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis’ as well as ‘temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries individuation-level of analysis’; for construing the implications of such ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-dissociability social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction effectiveness-or-ineffectiveness and ontological-resolution as of ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven psychology/psychologism by way of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ in resolving registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance capabilities, as the very foundational operant conceptualisation of an ontologically-contiguous ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. This fundamentally highlights a ‘notional-conflicatedness/constitutedness-to-conflicatedness dynamic relationship’ with meaningfulness-and-teleology as directly reflecting ‘ontological-normalcy/post-convergence dynamics (in
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of socially-functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-dissociability-{as of base-institutionalisation constraining rulemaking-over-non-rules, and
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abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of Stevens taxonomy, ‘possibly reveal an unrecognised mathematical depth in the reality of the evolved human condition’ rendering possible the full mathematised interpretation of the social sciences as of ‘conflatedness/conflation of analysis’ (just as the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling constructed scientific reference-of-thought of the natural sciences, as ontological-reference-of-thought, revealed a mathematical depth that enabled their full mathematisation; as mathematics just like logic cannot reveal the full intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling constructed reference-of-thought/axiomatic-framework of a domain-of-study like the social but once it is revealed enables its full mathematisation)! Critically, central to attaining (intemporal) ontological-contiguity as of the deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance with no-temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-non-dissociability (due to social universal-transparency of deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology), is equally the need to supersede human ‘emotional involvement’. As ‘emotional-involvement’ is self-centering-and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification, but actually such reality is otherwise of the same ontologically-veridical nature as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency into which everything else is caught into as superseding–oneness-of-ontology (even though our high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction will often tend to induce a relatively flawed meaningfulness-
and-teleology construal in this regard, that explains our metaphysics-of-presence mental-disposition). Thus an appropriate ontologically-veridical social-conceptualisation and/or storied-construct as aetiological/ontologically-escalatory that has the capacity to supersede the inherent human high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific element (which tend to denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology construal, as high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is behind manifest human ‘non-dissociability’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ within the ontological scope of any given institutionalisation), should be able to imply the same underlying ontologically-veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency of the superseding–oneness-of-ontology as any other truly ontologically-veridical conceptualisation, be it of animate or inanimate nature. The implication being that the underlying notional-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising (of our ‘emotional-involvement’ as self-centering-and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification) can perfectly be escaped from to more profound and unsuspecting depths of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal (enabling ‘dissociability of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ ontologically), and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, ushering in ‘an ontologically-veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency’ contemplation to a point that subsumes equably both animate-existential-referencing/subjectification and inanimate-
authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism meaningfullness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and
sounder relationship with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; an idea we appreciate as
we can garner that we, as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, are
relatively psychologically geared to handle meaningfullness in a relatively objective way than
say a non-positivistic/medieval mindset cannot and rather parse over towards arriving at its
final ‘greater egotistic/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising driven’ belief/conclusion and
this explains why their mental-dispositions were relatively alchemic, feudal of mentality, etc.
For instance and why the corresponding transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-
process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism of our registry-worldview enabled the
natural sciences to arise, our relatively developed sense of democracy, globalisation, etc.
Likewise we can appreciate with such phenomena today like ‘fake news’ easily spreading
socially and often just as ‘real news’ our very own limitations of transcendentally-enabled-
institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism meaningfullness-
and-teleology construal as manifested in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview,
with the implication of metaphysics-of-absence insight that a prospective registry-worldview
as deprocrypticism will be an improvement over our transcendentally-enabled-
institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism meaningfullness-
and-teleology construal capacity). Prospectively a transcendentally-enabled-
institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism to the point of
attaining ‘effecting teleological-determination’ of the same level as inanimate ‘effecting
interlocutors ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ tend to be circular with respect to their ontologically-effective ‘decided temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology non-conviction commitments’ and are no longer of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ such that the naïve implication of a mutual logical exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation) is deceptive. This construal effectively enables delineation of underlying ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of mental-dispositions. ‘Madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ across all registry-worldviews/dimensions refers to the constituent temporal individuations mental-dispositions at a registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold and points to its hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as of its prospective uninstitutionalisation pointing to an inclination for untranscendability and undementability as of mechanical-knowledge even beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought but for the constraint of prospective social universal-transparency, and so in contrast to the same registry-worldview/dimension ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ mental-disposition that reflects its ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as its institutionalisation and equally points to an inclination for transcendability and dementability as of organic-knowledge once it construes of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such construal of temporal individuations ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold is critical because then and in effect, the mental-reflex to ontologically validate these as of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ mental-disposition so-construed as of sound/existential-contextualising-contiguity logical-dueness is ontologically put into question given the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Such that ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling is established at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and not as it is circularly construed within the institutionalisation frame as a construal of logical pertinence (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation), but rather priorly the determination of temporal individuations ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ as these reflect soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, that is, whether or not there is perversion-and-derived-persistence-of-reference-of-thought in the first place before any implication of logical-dueness/logical-pertinence arises. Consider as metaphysics-of-absence the case of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivistic social-setup uninstitutionalised-threshold which is rather in want of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology. Effectively establishing deconstructive ontological-veridicality implies recognising the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as superstitious/non-positivistic inclined, its postlogism and conjugated postlogism as acknowledging and contending about notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought leading to perversion-and-derived-persistence-of-reference-of-thought, with this succinctly reflecting the reality of temporal-emanances-registries mental-dispositions of ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ as of such non-positivism reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such that it is not a logical exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation) that is in order which will rather be circular as fundamentally operating on false non-positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of superstition but rather one of determination of temporal individuations ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ as this reflects postlogism denaturing and conjugated-postlogism derived-persistence-of-reference-of-thought as deconstruction of ontological-veridicality in implying prospective institutionalisation as of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (rather than a naïve operation of logic as is further highlighted below). The fact is with or without postlogism and derived conjugated-postlogism, human ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ tends to be relative. That is, even within an institutionalisation basis we don’t necessarily function socially absolutely on the basis of veridical sound logic as we are limited by capacity/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought given our ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and secondly by arbitrariness in the choices we make, and this get even worst at the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consider in this regard even the case of Heidegger as one of the greatest thinker of the last century in his ‘perplexed cooperation’ with the Nazi regime. The closest we come to absolute ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ has to do with the abstract and uncompromising determination of mathematical meaningfulness, and receding more and more as we get towards domains of increasing ‘emotional involvement’ (the social) as ontological-veridicality increasingly takes a backseat to extirpatory/temporal paradigms and further so with respect to increasing informality as in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of all human institutions, and particularly where social universal-transparency is blurred and not forthcoming as logic tends out to be an issue of making-a-mistake-at-one-moment-expressing-the-most-profound-conviction-at-the-other-moment in a circular reference-of-thought. This tendency is further exacerbated with the dynamic conjugation of temporal-emmanances-registries (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to postlogism-slantedness. This reality of our ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ as being in effect subpar rather than
absolute and specifically more compromised at uninstitutionalised-threshold and as associated with postlogism as conjugated-postlogism is what qualifies contextually as temporal individuations ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ as a temporal mental-disposition defect contrasted to a wrongfully implied supposedly ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ as of ontologically-sound mental-disposition. This manifestation as a social dynamic (dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect) of such contrastive ‘madeupness of reference-of-thought’ and ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ takes the form of temporal-to-intemporal social interlocutors beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought de-convergence as of transversality/logical-incongruence. Such a distinction particular at the uninstitutionalised-threshold is required because it then implies ontologically the relegation of logical engagement as rather irrelevant and in lieu determines ontological-veridicality by the soundness-of-the-reference-of-thought as of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place to establish or not perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This delineation is in line with the idea of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) individuations nature as implicitly recognised in the structuring of formal constructs like the law, formal institutions, etc. It equally falls in line with the idea of knowledge notionalisation on the basis that it is equally critical to understand the possibility of the ignorances just as conceptual knowledge itself to further uphold, advance and skew for the latter. The point being that meaningfulness-and-teleology construal should supersede just a naïve unilateral construing of interlocution mainly on the basis of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ as of reflex but equally examine ‘as of circumstances pointing to uninstitutionalised-threshold’ the possibility of the ontological-veridicality of interlocutors
‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ mental-dispositions, and as is often associated with mental-dispositions geared towards ‘flawed impression-driven, expletive-driven and non-intellectual critique’ contention. This difference between ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ and ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ critically explains how the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions psychoanalytically-unshackled/memetically-reordered/institutionally-recomposured going by the fact that projective insights about prior registry-worldview/dimension ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is what needs to be superseded for prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought effective ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ (as operant construal) by social universal-transparency rendering the prior registry-worldview/dimension ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ (as operant construal) untenable. This brings to the fore the idea that the salient point about human mental-disposition whether construed as of institutionalisation basis or uninstitutionalised-threshold has to do with the possibility of attaining or not attaining social universal-transparency. Where this is effectively attained, it becomes psychically and institutionally untenable for interlocutors to act as of subpar (madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought) to ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’. This will explain why the hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing within a prior registry-worldview/dimension utterly disappears within the prospective registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance are not entertained in a positivism social-setup as the positivism/rational-empiricism social universal-transparency knows this to be non-veridical ontologically-speaking giving its prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This imbued potency in social universal-transparency across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is what explains the possibility of social transcendence. The reason for this is that the entire construct of human social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as the ‘social existential contract’ is implicitly built on supposed ‘prologism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both the individual’s expectation and the social’s expectation such that failure in this respect arises mostly surreptitiously since even the most disingenuous individuation will want the social-construct to function well in order to ‘parasitise’ it, as a failing social-construct as of ‘universal social surreptitious parasitising/co-opting’ puts even such individuation in jeopardy. We can appreciate this notion by the fact that even a miscreant will tend to advance, however dubious, a rationale that is meant to be socially functional. Basically, the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mindset ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ arises out of its temporal individuation’s surreptitiousness (‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency such that it can induce hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/understandabilitysetup-caricaturing) as of marginal social instigation (consider the targeted nature of the adult psychopath’s maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness within the scope of social functionality) while socially enabled circularly (due to the underlying prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as social procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is itself an enabler for psychopathy just as a non-positivistic registry-worldview/dimension social superstition is itself an enabler for its corresponding postlogism for ‘imaginary’ accusations of sorcery); and so, while socially inducing temporal-emanances-registries conjugated-postlogisms derived ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’, and so overall, on the flawed mental-reflex that such protraction of ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ is supposedly ‘prologism-as-of-conviction
commitment of reference-of-thought’ (as ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency).

Such conditions as highlighted above (surreptitiousness, marginality and circularity) are not fulfilled at childhood psychopathy explaining why conjugated-postlogism as a social dynamism of protracted ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ doesn’t socially take hold then, as such childhood postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought hasn’t superseded the social universal-transparency in further inducing temporal-emanances-registries derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. The further implication is that such surreptitiousness, marginality and circularity with regards to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporal-emanances-dispositions are often construed rather as circumventive issues as of temporal extirpatory paradigm, and not by ontological-veridicality insight as of structural/paradigmatic projective-totalitative-implications with respect to vices-and-impediments. Thus ensuring ontological-veridical social universal-transparency is structurally/paradigmatically inherently ‘advantaged ultimately’ by the social-construct functioning. (But then this can rather be achieved in the medium to long term as of a cross-generational transcendence import and hardly so in the short-run, given that in the short-run the issue of the registry-worldview/dimension ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a drawback in this respect. As the framework of generalised social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology is a circular-pervasiveness closed-structure as of the habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology based on the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension as prior (despite the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness). So the transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of projective-insights about the prospective registry-worldview/dimension predicative-insights of meaningfulness-and-
teleology going by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t supersede the prior’s ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in the short run. Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart Okonkwo returning from his long banishment construes meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms of the old/prior whereas his Umuofia village which had the same inclination as his as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought before he was banished and likewise at the very beginning of the foreigners cultural diffusion inducing a subsequent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought had moved on to the new/prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology which is now antipodal to his, hence his confliction with his circular-pervasiveness closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology which is equally a reflection of the confliction the village had had with the same prior circular-pervasiveness closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology when the foreign cultural diffusion arrived before superseding it cross-generationally. We can equally construe of the inverse situation as in H.G. Well’s The Country of the Blind which also highlights the implications of relative contrast of ontological-completeness-by-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology construal where Nunez’s ‘seeing of the environment’ reference-of-thought as of it prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought doesn’t make an impression but is actually frowned upon on the habituated ‘feeling of the environment’ reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This is because the personhood and socialhood formation have been constructed in circular-pervasiveness out of the prior reference-of-thought as ‘feeling of the environment’ explaining why a registry-worldview is a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that hardly entertains its own transcendability/dementability, and why transcendence is rather cross-generational for the requisite personhood and
socialhood psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise to be initiated. Consider that the ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’ for both Okonkwo and ‘feeling of the environment’ reference-of-thought are temporally construed as definite-and-set as of their given perspectives or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in the circularly-pervasive closed-structure of their reference-of-thought’ despite their respective inherent prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought without room for countenancing new perspective-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-new-predicative-insights overcoming their circularly-pervasive closed-structure of reference-of-thought, speaking of their distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism. Interestingly, facing their respective conundrum to take a drastic and immediate decision as of their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’, and without the prospect for cross-generational adjustment, their decisions are equally dramatic in terms of considering physically doing away with Nunez’s notion of ‘seeing of the world’ reference-of-thought, and Okonkwo’s tragic acts upon the foreigners messenger and subsequently upon himself. This reflects the mental-disposition of all registry-worldviews prospective uninstitutionalisations, including our own as positivism–procrypticism as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’ rather temporally construed as definite-and-set as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought notwithstanding any notion of relative prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Furthermore, it should be noted that the relative validity of a prospective totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-
processing-or-logical-implication validity’ but rather such a demonstration is more structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations of the prospective totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of the prior totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in its circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its defectiveness/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; thus qualified as transcendence/suprastructuration. Just as the exercise of demonstrative convincing on the basis of a scientific principle within a non-positivistic social context ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-implication validity’ but rather structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations as of scientific and positivistic principles/axioms/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of non-scientific and non-positivism totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its defectiveness/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. We can grasp an abstract sense of this situation as follows. Supposed human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as inducing more and more profound projective-insights construed as the successive apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings representing the
insights’ (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) will hardly countenance operating the perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for predicative-insights of the former as more ontologically profound, given its ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights for earth landscape measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose’ on the basis of its ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for predicative-insights’; and this same mental-reflex applies successively to relatively ‘lower-level-heights perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for predicative-insights’ (prior registry-worldviews/dimensions) with respect to relatively ‘higher-level-heights perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for predicative-insights’ (prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fundamental difficulty is that ‘no given perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for predicative-insights’ (registry-worldview/dimension) recognises that there is any above it, and by reflex circularly undertakes predicative-insights from its perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (and it is only the long run cross-generational habituation construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics with the prior ontologically construed as decentered and dementing as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, with the implication that its logical-dueness doesn’t exist just as the logical-dueness of the animist reference-of-thought with their God of plane proposition doesn’t ontologically exist.) We can grasp as well that it is the ‘space-satellite-level-height perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for predicative-insights’ (as deprocrypticism) that ultimately provides the ideal ‘ascertaining-perspectives for
gauging the overall earth landscape’. Besides, why the explication herein is necessarily implying a prospective reference-of-thought (as the author in here with a supposed deprocrypticism reference-of-thought construal as implying a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism), the fact is that any transcendental analysis is caught in two worlds as two different reference-of-thought in striving to explicate the ontological pre-eminence of the prospective reference-of-thought as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, thus facing the dilemma that by mental-reflex we are not ‘habituated’ to the notion of our reference-of-thought being construed as ‘dementing and not thinking’, and so whether speaking of being construed within our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold as dementing and not thinking, within non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold as dementing and not thinking, within ununiversalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold as dementing and not thinking, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold as dementing and not thinking. We can grasp this by imagining how a non-positivism uninstitutionalised-threshold will react when construed as dementing and not thinking with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery it considers given as a matter of fact, and imagine of such a reaction with a dementing and not thinking representation of ourselves construed from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perspective as in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and rather in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought! Thus the reality of this analysis in that sense is ‘sparing as of our high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature’ for the sake of deconstructive-engagement/engaged-destruktion because an analysis construed as of reference-of-thought is all about mental-soundness or unsoundness representation (with no
logical engagement implication) hence rather of a psychoanalytic-unshackling purpose; as a change of reference-of-thought implies a change of perspective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as a shift of the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct and not a change in logic as a change along the same reference-of-thought/curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/logical-processing-or-logical-implication. In other words, a truly direct deprocrypticism ontological analysis will be a ‘mental break-in’/dementing of our positivism–procrypticism as we by reflex ‘mentally break-in’/dement a non-positivistic reference-of-thought (as we don’t engage it on the basis of the non-positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology just as a deprocrypticism analysis will not engage us on the basis of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so in both cases as of the relative defectiveness/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of non-positivism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). But then wholly carried out in both instances it will be off-putting to both prior reference-of-thought, explaining why a transcendental analysis is a deconstructive-engagement/engaged-destruktion recognising and harnessing the human potential to psychoanalytically-unshackl. This is more than just an abstract conceptualisation but an empirical reality of how cultural diffusion possibility as of ‘relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ took place historically (and so for instance, as of the relative ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ allowed to the animist to say ‘God of
plane’ in the view that in due course there will be psychoanalytic-unshackling towards positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology; considering as well as of registry-worldview level of analysis that such a conceptualisation of ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ is cross-generationally associated with the meeting of cultures wherein their meeting points often as of cultural and commercial relationships initiate ‘acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation). Likewise, this ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ as of a deprocrypticism construal herein may elicit a misconstrual from a positivistic perspective failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness implied in the notion of positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and thus failing to grasp the deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights that construes our positivism–procrypticism as dementing/not-thinking and decentered, and wrongfully trying to engage meaningfulness-and-teleology in positivism–procrypticism terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness of the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. (More like a non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought insisting to contendingly engage a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought but failing to grasp the implications as of circular-pervasiveness of being of non-positivistic of reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Such insight point out that the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective state
of base-institutionalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective mental state of universalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective mental state of positivism, and prospectively the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of positivism–procrypticism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective mental-state of deprocrypticism. Thus unlike is the case with issues of logical-dueness/logical-pertinence as of appropriateness or inappropriateness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation, issues of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather render such notions as forgiveness/overlooking/resetting nothing more but vague totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag misconstruing based on ‘a naïve traditional reflex’ that truly has no grander virtuous implications but quite the contrary as actually endemising/enculturating vices-and-impediments as when so-construed as a ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation; thus transforming such ‘denaturing notions of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting into a temporal mental-disposition ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘misconstrued vicious insight disposition’ thus rather endemising/enculturating vices-and-impediments! As the question that arises is what does it mean to forgive/overlook/reset with regards to a temporal mental state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought circular-
of grander human lives should not be construed as of the mental-disposition perpetuating the institutionalisation process in an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology allowing for categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ but rather closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology starting at the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation in permanence that doesn’t allow for any such transcending enabled by the institutionalisation process. In other words the notion of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting with respect to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is rather vague, as the more fundamental issue here is that human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity for construing virtue-as-ontology/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘ever structurally/paradigmatically in need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and that is what is to be sought after as with the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised striving for base-institutionalisation, the base-institutionalised–ununiversalised striving for universalisation, the universalised–non-positivist/medievalist striving for positivism and in our case the positivist–procryptist striving for deprocrypticism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism; and so as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination enabled by categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ and so allowed by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics. Such naïve construal of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting is on the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness basis that human mental capacity is a given as if there is no structural/paradigmatic issue of ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought with no recognition of any such institutionalisation process as human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring-as-of-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination retrospectively to prospectively. This equally explains the ontological vagueness when it comes to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought not only with regards to the notions of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting but also such notions associated with positive psychology as positivity, flourishing, emotional intelligence, etc. as naively instigating social totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with their implications when considered at a more profound level turning out to be rather vague and at best palliative since these are not construed structurally/paradigmatically as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling within the framework of the institutionalisation process involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. In other words, what does it mean in a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mental state to have a positive psychology when its fundamental paradigmatic/structural issue as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules is not factored-in in its virtue-as-ontology construal/conceptualisation? And the same can be asked of us with regards to our positivism–procrysticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In which case such vague approaches will simply imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought naïve perpetuation in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the fundamental vices-and-impediments with both uninstitutionalisations, thus explaining the fundamental dilemma of all institutional Establishments in their closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such confusion arises from a misconstruing of what is veridically implied deconstructively/ontological-reconstitutively by ontological-dementation/dialectical-
teleology of deprocrypticism as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ as ‘a dialectically-thinking-and-centered-to-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’, we are rather less apt to concur going by our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex such that such notions as forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and notions of positive psychology are rather just a failure to structurally/paradigmatically recognise the implied perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of our ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and what we are doing then is ‘re-referencing from the same positivism–procrypticism ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ and thus wrongly implying our undementability hence our untranscendability for a structural/paradigmatic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’, and paradoxically thus by implication that there is no ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to then wrongly imply such articulations of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and positive-psychology are of intemporal projection whereas these are actually of conscious or unconscious beyond-the-consciousness-awarenesss-teleology temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection. This insight explains the bizarreness we face from time to time discovering that even institutions we imagine should relatively be spared by scandals as human vices-and-impediments like many public-facing institutions, the media, faith institutions, etc. are now-and-then plague with scandals bound to re-occur because of this misunderstanding of knowledge as virtue-as-ontology/ontology articulated above as of structural/paradigmatic nature of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation, and not naïve at best palliative construals in impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness. A further reason for the difficulty has to do thus with the fact that each registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is inherently a metaphysics-of-presence construed as dialectically-thinking-and-centered-to-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought that is in a circular-evasiveness from more ontologically-veridical metaphysics-of-absence construals/conceptualisations as implied by prospective relative completeness-of-reference-of-thought which rather construes it as a dementing-and-decentered-to-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The ontological implication is that beforehand/axiomatically with respect to the cross-engagement of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, the former is priorly invalidated into a dementing-and-decentered-to-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by the latter as a dialectically-thinking-and-centered-to-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, invalidating by implication the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence as of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation of the former. This we can grasp retrospectively in a cross-engagement with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery between our positivism and the non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension going by our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But since we have been habituated as of our existential formation within our closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to be
in logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation by default and thus always contendingly relevant on the basis of sharing a mutual positivism reference-of-thought, we will hardly entertain though a deprocrypticism cross-engagement implied invalidation of our logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation and thus rendering us contendingly irrelevant on the basis of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construed as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. But then ironically such a undementability posture could as well be adopted by a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought in its own existential formation that recognises non-positivistic ideas and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as relevant and dialectically-thinking-and-centered-to-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with its logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation valid by default. This point out that there is necessarily a central growth element of a structural/paradigmatic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology for cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ allowing for dementability and thus transcendability as enabling human virtue-as-ontology/ontology. Further to the points made this far, talk of such a narrative as of such structural/paradigmatic projective-totalitative–implications of vices-and-impediments of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that does not focus on substantive critiquing/assessment of the arguments made but is rather geared to imply beforehand that such arguments are impropriety, is actually nothing more than our falsehood as mortals circularly pretending to imply that humankind-in-its-deficit does have a status above its mortal shortfall, and so paradoxically as a flawed and unsubstantiated route to wrongly imply no such argumentation is admissible. This is often a choice deterrent of institutional and eruditical Establishments of presence failing to recognise that more profound
human insights arise from Dionysian dispositions and not just a reflex of looking at the presence as forever given as it is. The bluntness of reality/ontology doesn’t recognise the mortals that we are and we can’t advance our mortal statuses as superseding inherent reality/ontology, but we are rather bound to be much more substantive than that to avoid ‘human closure of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which easily arises given our temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/tatalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The fact is such an articulation is not idle but rather the requisite fervour associated with many an enlightening thought, however qualified as impropriety, as a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology start arising when we temporally carve away statuses out of the reach of ontological contention making the mortals that we are bigger than intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.) On any such occasion, ontological-veridicality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is restored by doing away with ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ and articulating a ‘mental break-in’/dementing of positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold as of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, just as we’ll appreciate that were the animists insistent say on relating to the plane as God of plane to a point implying their potential non-transcendability as of psychoanalytic-unshackling in due course, ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ is no longer warranted but a direct ‘mental break-in’/dementing by a demonstration to uphold ontological-veridicality. Such a demonstration might be construed as of a simple paper plane demonstration of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework principles or extraordinarily a flight from the flight deck with explanation or more extensively articulating that things work by natural causes and effects with no spirits inside
them thus implying that a positivism-centered meaningfulness-and-teleology is more ontologically pertinent. Certainly such a ‘mental break-in’/dementing demonstration with regards to our procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed from a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought perspective or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights will look weird to us going by our circularly pervasive totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but it is more of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality even though we are unhabituated to it since it is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and not yet by social universal-transparency, just as had been the case from the perspective or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of all the retrospective uninstitutionalisations reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘mental break-in’/dementing of their corresponding prospective institutionalisations reference-of-thought. The bigger point being that by definition a reference-of-thought doesn’t fathom the nature and degree of its ontological-incompleteness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights. (Thus suggesting base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, implying universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, suggesting positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and suggesting deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism will be perceived initially as ‘bullshit’ going by the human existentialism-form-factor as of our temporal inclination to subjectification/nombrilism/self-referencing. But then human temporal disposition to utter expletives is not intellectual argument but a mark of intellectual ineptness, with the
‘ontologically relevant’ intellectual issue being about understanding the ‘habituation exercise’ as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and percolation-channelling involved in the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure behind the institutionalisation process as pertinent for deprocrypticism ‘without in the very least entertaining’ the averaging-of-thought mental-reflex as has been the case across all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures that has always been a drawback as of temporal extirpatory paradigm and parasitising/co-opting inclination subpar to the warranted ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism perpetually upholding the currency of the institutionalisation process across the times; as at this point, intellectual commitment overtly meets ontology.) Explained in other terms, implying in a non-positivism social-setup that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are inherently vices-and-impediments as of the transcendental prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will-not-be-convincing-on-a-par-with-other-argumentators in that social-setup but rather for such temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology purpose requires making a ‘temporal palliation argument’ of the type oneself or another person is not involved in sorcery or a counterargument that the accuser is the sorcerer, and so on the basis of the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to-be-more-convincing-on-a-par-with-other-argumentators in that non-positivism social-setup (but then all this will wrongfully validate superstition and thus fail the very point of ontology/aetiological/ontological-escalation as an exercise in ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation and not a temporal extirpation exercise of ‘social-aggregation-enabling as of symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought, as this is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-
contention-or-confliction’). Thus there is a fundamental ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality argumentation handicap in the short run for undermining the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology which is ‘superstitious’ in the very first instance such that any argumentator putting into question superstitiousness like there is nothing like sorcery is ‘shooting itself on the foot’ in the short run. It is rather the long run cross-generational resolution construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics by superseding the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by ‘continuous habituation going by the latter’s ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the long run as superseding the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and initiating the appropriate prospective social universal-transparency that will structurally/paradigmatically harken back to undermine the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism grounded on notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension. That is, it is by turning the non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that the possibility of ‘ontologically’ and ‘not palliatively’ resolving notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery can arise in the very first instance. Likewise, it is the cross-generational resolution of our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its circular-pervasiveness in countenancing of procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights of meaningfulness-and-teleology as conceptualising, articulating and pre-empting such disjointed meaningfulness-and-teleology of our positivism–procrypticism that is the structural/paradigmatic resolution as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that can structurally/paradigmatically harken back in undermining the circular-pervasiveness in countenancing of ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and the enculturation/endemisation of the manifest postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in our positivism–procrypticism as psychopathy and social psychopathy, and so going beyond just a temporal palliative resolution within a positivism–procrypticism circular-pervasiveness closed-structure countenancing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, and hence overlooking the structural/paradigmatic ontological vices-and-impediments implications of postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism including psychopathy and social psychopathy arising given the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of our procrypticism as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This explains how and why outlier ideas can supersede conventionalised ideas where the former provide in the big picture the possibility for the social-construct to function better by social universal-transparency at a cross-generational depth of analysis, and equally explains human historical suspicions of new ideas just in case their social universal-transparency turn out to be better and possibly leading to the dismantling of the prior and vested and contingent interests. It should be grasped that the comprehensiveness/dynamic-cumulative-afereffect of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ (as an operant construal) at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is what defines it as prospective uninstitutionalisation which is decentered and dementing from the prospective institutionalisation perspective while that of its ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ (as an operant construal) of its institutionalisation is what defines it as
prior institutionalisation. (As implied by this author the nature of human individuations accounts respectively for human intemporality and human temporality as the ‘more fundamentally ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework analysable operant agency of the human condition as of human knowledge-and-virtue or vices-and-impediments respectively as such individuations then accrue in varying degrees in individuals as of varying circumstances’; and so-construed respectively as of intemporal individuation conflatedness which enables prospective institutionalisations or temporal individuations distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought that induce prospective uninstitutionalisations at all the institutionalisations uninstitutionalised-threshold.) The conceptual technique for disambiguating individuations as of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ and ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold has to do with what is qualified as the given ‘closeness-of-tethering-trajectory or looseness-of-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ of the interlocutor wherein on one extreme the prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-disposition individuation adheres to a ‘closeness-of-tethering-trajectory of meaningfulness-and-teleology to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ (not necessarily implying their logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation appropriateness but logically-due as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) while on the other extreme the temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction individuation’s mental-disposition as a ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’-as-non-conviction-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought construed as ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ is a mental-disposition for hollow-staging-and-performance (with respect to
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by its specific institutionalisation. And that ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of the social at uninstitutionalised-threshold involves a holistic/nested-congruence social construal/conceptualisation that necessarily should factor in the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor but we fail to do this due to our presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness metaphysics-of-presence disposition as of institutionalisation and thus wrongly implying intemporal construal as of our second-natured institutionalisation which while inconsequential within the am凭着 institutionalisation is not ontologically-veridical at the institutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold with the latter rather requiring a temporal-to-intemporal appraisal as of metaphysics-of-absence as its ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The implication is that postlogism/psychopathy and other human temporal phenomena (and so, across all registry-worldviews) which speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold are often wrongfully construed on the basis of intemporal second-natured institutionalisation human nature whereas the conflatedness requires ‘synopsising-depth of a human temporal-to-intemporal nature’ and so by conflatedness to establish the uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ rather as of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness (construed as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as should be the case at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so over the mental-reflex of assuming second-natured
institutionalisation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ (construed as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as the latter is only practically effective when dealing with an already established human institutionalisation/institutionalised-construct but not at uninstitutionalised-threshold which require their own new specific ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,.for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which so established then enables the practical effectiveness of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. Consider the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, even at that relatively social universal-transparency level there is a chance of mistaking as with the visitor sitting on the wet chair and needing an explanation of the whole situation including the child’s condition, and such insight gets more and more opaque with the manifestation of adulthood psychopathy. This is an uninstitutionalised-threshold situation which is necessarily beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and without social universal-transparency of the visitor. This example is exactly along the lines of the ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,.for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ needed for construing postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism as of its social model at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so by way of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (the latter is what sets up apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings and is of
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, in contrast to ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ which is what renders-operant/incidenting predicative-insights). It is only then that such an established institutionalisation framework allows for ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ on the basis of the established ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Such a conceptualisation/construal is dramatically different from how we ordinarily conceive the construal of social meaningfulness-and-teleology before the institutionalisation of such a specific uninstitutionalised-threshold takes place. (Consider in this respect how the visitor erred in its relation with the childhood psychopath on the basis of its commonly assumed social ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. At this individuation-level representation of the disambiguation of the transcending and transcended registry-worldviews, the visitor is using the ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ of positivism–procrypticism that do not factor in the possibility of the childhood psychopathy’s slantedness as inducing procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the visitor’s ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of positivism–procrypticism, while the explainer of the situation has factored in deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to pre-empt the induced procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology from the childhood psychopathy slantedness. At this individuation-level, the fact is that in order to be certain to avoid a similar deception again in its relation with the childhood psychopathy the visitor will now construe of deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to pre-empt the slanted inducing of procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology and gives up on positivism–procrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to its relations with the childhood psychopathy. Thus at this individuation-level uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the childhood psychopathy, a new deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ has superseded the prior positivism–procrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as it is the one to be circularly/recurrently/repetitively/repeatedly be utilised for operant/incidenting predication as ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. This is equally implied at the registry-worldview/dimension-level by dynamic-cumulative aftereffect, but in this instance factoring in well more than just one incident of childhood psychopathy but rather the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect implications on the social structure of myriad cases of psychopathy, and as of postlogism/psychopathic personalities development from childhood to adulthood.
together with the implications of conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy not only with regards to conjugated-ignorance as with the visitor but all the temporal-emanances-registries including ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of habits and thinking patterns consequences as of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) by formality dynamics; with the implication of lack of social universal-transparency as the manifestation is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought at this uninstitutionalised-threshold, together with the inherent human complex of non-transcendability and hence undementability across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions. At this registry-worldview/dimension-level it is obvious that a straightforward articulation going by the incidental situation of such an individuation-level analysis will not be the case, but rather requires focussing on the bigger structural/paradigmatic picture of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. However, suggesting at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of a new deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that implies that the registry-worldview/dimension is in circular-pervasiveness of procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology will meet with a mental-complex of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage metaphysics-of-presence and can only arise as of a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. (Such an insight can be further elucidated in a storied-construct given the limits of the possibility of explanation as herein about the ‘lived social’ as
of the aforementioned implied deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ construing a storied-construct driven by such postlogism/psychopathic associated vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness induced narration-construed-as-instantiative-moultng involving childhood psychopathy to adulthood psychopathy development, and corresponding evolving of social relations as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology involving non-conviction/psychopathic/postlogism–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology–as–prelogism-as-of-conviction thus leading to hollow-staging-and-performance; and so construed as of ‘themes-driven underlying-agency-or-sous-agencement dynamics for narration-construed-as-instantiative-moultng’). However, we can still get a sense of such structural/paradigmatic projective-totalitative–implications from a retrospective registry-worldview/dimension perspective like postlogism in a non-positivistic social-setup as of our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective but it is more difficult to grasp from a deprocrypticism prospective perspective of analysis where we will rather be unpalatably represented as decentered and dementing, given our state of metaphysics-of-presence. Supposed with regards to a case of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as highlighted before as of a social-setup whose ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is non-positivistic, a positivism minded interlocutor arguing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist upon an accusation of sorcery is literally undermining itself but is seen as ontologically necessary for the cross-generational possibility of prospective transcendence. Supposed however that the interlocutor isn’t an
isolated individual but a member from a positivistic society bringing about a cultural diffusion in the non-positivistic society such that the latter looks up to the former by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it effectively has greater control on intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected by way of say its relative technology, then in this case the non-positivistic social-setup will at least in ad-hoc instances be circumspect in countenancing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist as of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising. This new positivism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ voiding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition generally as of the prior non-positivism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ will more likely be taken-up-fully/habituated only cross-generationally in the middle run as the mental-reflex will constantly relapse into notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition of the prior non-positivism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, highlighting that a postlogism like psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism or one associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivism social-setup is not truly speaking an isolated phenomenon as construed from an individuation-level of analysis but speaks in the bigger picture of an underlying registry-worldview/dimension registry-worldview/dimension-level ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency; such that implying that our prior positivism–procrypticism, as of its ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’, cannot longer be upheld at such uninstitutionalised-threshold but requiring in lieu a deprocrpticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ will be difficult to countenance but for a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure since the issue is one of as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect. Thus supposed the case of the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair arose in say a non-positivistic social-setup, as of its superstitiousness, with its explanation that the reason had to do with its suspicion of sorcery from the brother. While the social-setup entertains superstitious notions however the childhood psychopathy relatively poor maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness means that it is more likely to be disbelieved in this instance as well in addition to the household familiarisation with the psychopathic/postlogism condition of the child. Likewise, a visiting stranger in such a non-positivistic social-setup might just as well have a similar reaction as the visitor in a positivism–procrpticism social-setup by believing and reacting to the childhood psychopathy manifestation as the non-positivism social-setup apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification entertains/is-cognisant-and-integrative-of/is-in-notional-contiguity-or-epistemic-contiguity-with superstitious claims in its meaningfulness-and-teleology. An explainer to the visiting stranger in the non-positivism social-setup case about the whole situation would have articulated at the individuation-level of analysis a prospective ‘logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, going by their familiarisation with the childhood psychopathy ‘logically-undue postlogism-as-of-non-conviction denaturing as of non-positivism reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the visiting stranger prior superstition believing ‘logically-undue conjugated-postlogism/conjugated-non-conviction derived-denaturing as of non-positivism reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, with both latter logically reference-of-thought construed as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought or lacking-an-ontologically-veridical-reference-of-thought due to their derived-denaturing which as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect at registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis is the very ontologically-central notion of every registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold which should thus be always construed as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to its prospective institutionalisation. It is effectively derived-denaturing that induces hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as of prospective uninstitutionalisations, as we can appreciate that the childhood psychopathy and the visitor’s meaningfulness-and-teleology are in effect ontologically-speaking hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. But then at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis however, when compared to the simplistic individuation-level postlogism analysis insight, implying ontological-veridicality/ontological-reality on the basis of ‘logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to the overall non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect/aftereffect with regards to the manifest registry-worldview/dimension-level social construal of superstitions and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in general, can only arise from a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension is a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology just as our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, in that as with all registry-worldviews/dimensions both do not contemplate of their transcendability and thus dementability, and keep on relapsing into their respective non-positivism and procrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in lieu of the respective prospective positivism and deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is further rendered difficult by a natural human ‘emotional involvement’ driven social-aggregation-enabling as of human condition that undermines intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling. This insight equally explains the pertinence of understanding postlogism/psychopathy in general as an epiphenomenon that can provide deeper insight about human nature given its ‘lateral-and-transversal disruptive nature on human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and with the structure/paradigm relatively easily perceived at childhood, much like the early modern human biologists relatively simplistic but counterintuitive-as-of-their-epochs understanding of disease provided deeper insight in understanding how the complexity of the human body
works. Both individuation-level understanding of postlogism in a non-positivism as of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and positivism social-setup as of psychopathy and social psychopathy divulge a bigger reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect that is hidden by registry-worldview/dimension-level complexity, wherein the childhood postlogism individuation-level construal points out the reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of respectively a conventioning non-positivism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical positivism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and a conventioning positivism–procrypticism as procrypticism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical deprocrypticism ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. That insight then brings up the idea of how does a registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect reflect the more simplistic individuation-level ontological-veridicality at childhood postlogism/psychopathy; which is the more elaborate purpose herein. That is, how distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as undermining conflatedness induces psychological-complexes pointing to, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, the registry-worldview/dimension-level defectiveness/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Considering again the childhood psychopathy case in a ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair, these basic elements can be expounded at the individuation-level of analysis. It should be noted that the visitor ‘as of its conjugated-postlogism as conjugated-ignorance’ is rather inclined to wrongly imply a ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising that may induced its inclination for desymmetrisation for its perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction but for the fact of the relative contextual innocuousness
with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction when it comes to childhood psychopathy compared to adulthood psychopathy’. The explainer of the situation ‘as of its prelogism-as-of-conviction-of-reference-of-thought’ is in an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation relative to the visitor and childhood psychopathy with respect to the construal of ontological-veridicality. Hence the explainer of the situation construes the conflatedness as of its asymmetrisation with respect to the visitor whose reference-of-thought defectiveness/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as not factoring in the childhood psychopathy postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-of-reference-of-thought which is ‘pathologically ontologically-destructuring’ implying both the childhood psychopathy and the visitor are rather in a state of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not bad or poor logic such that the notion of logical-dueness doesn’t arise in the very first place, as a reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct is fundamentally construed as of its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought prior to the notion of logical-dueness arising once soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought is established; thus, given the asymmetrisation of the explainer of the situation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as existential/ontological as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as contextually-manifest prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in contrast to the visitor’s ‘supposed reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct’ which is non-existential/non-ontological as not-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as contextually-manifest prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is this fundamental fact that underlies the notion of ‘distractiveness or arrogation or usurpation or co-opting’ associated with the construal of the meaningfulness-
Distractiveness as it implies that in such a context, ontological-veridicality is construed exclusively as of intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction reference-of-thought conflatedness denying any implied symmetrisising of meaningfulness-and-teleology from temporal-emanances-registries in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as their logical-dueness doesn’t arise in the very first place, hence the reason why perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is construed more than just as of ‘ontological-destructuring-constitutedness’ but more completely and critically to avoid misconstrual rather as of distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought; to point out that temporal-emanances-registries perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought haven’t got any ‘existentially/ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought’ given that they are in arrogation/usurpation/co-opting but rather the reality of their perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is construed operantly as of temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-and-conjugated-postlogism ‘exercise of distracting from’ the intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction reference-of-thought as of conflatedness’, and so construed as distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. That is, a ‘temporality distracting from intemporality’ construct; wherein the ‘conflatedness of intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction projection’ is misconstrued in ‘denaturing’ of psychopathy/postlogism with the consequent alignment to it of conjugated-postlogism as ‘derived-denaturing’. In other words, prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘precedingly/supersedingly structurally/paradigmatically cogent and comprehensive framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ such that any arising temporal disruption of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘has nothing to do with constituting meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the temporal disruption prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’, but rather meaningfulness-and-teleology is reconstrued as structuring/paradigmimg from the very prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
since the latter is structurally/paradigmatically not logically-due for logical-processing- or logical-implicitation in the very first place as is erroneously assumed by temporal projection mental-reflex. But rather, it implies an utter structural/paradigmatic reconstrual of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality wholly by the intemporal projection of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implications associated with perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought ultimately falls to the grander issue of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as fundamentally endemising/enculturing such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought possibilities; such that a intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness is not one that simply identify a perversion-of-reference-of-thought in a social-construct but as ‘covering all the possibilities for vices-and-impediments hypothetically susceptible to arise’ projects how structurally/paradigmatically the social-construct as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘susceptible to integrate’ perversion-of-reference-of-thought as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and ‘build a structural/paradigmatic ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought going from this more comprehensive-possibilities bases that doesn’t allow for incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ with the implication that no logical interlocution of the averaging-of-thought arises as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. We can appreciate that the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair is a distractiveness-drive with no existentially/ontologically veridical reference-of-thought which
when wrongly implied as valid prelogism-as-of-conviction reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct leads to its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction thus supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logico-implicitation by wrongly enabling logical-dueness to arise instead of an issue of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought implying its dismissal as distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought ; and this flaw extends into the visitor’s conjugated-postlogism as conjugated-ignorance given its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of positivism–procrpticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is cognisant-and-integrative as of its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification of the childhood psychopathy slantedness, and so as a derived-distractiveness-drive with no existentially/ontologically veridical reference-of-thought which when wrongly implied falsely as ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct also leads to its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction thus supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logico-implicitation by wrongly enabling logical-dueness to arise instead of an issue of derived unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and thus also implying as well its dismissal as distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. In both wrongful ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetups for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology what is produced isn’t ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing qualified as arrogation or usurpation or co-opting’ exactly because of the induced
postlogism/psychopathy distractivealignment-to-reference-of-thought out of existentially/ontologically veridical context; and its social integration/derivation in conjugation with human temporality of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as conjugated-postlogism due to ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and specifically in the case of positivism–procrypticism, due to disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This equally underlies on the basis of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect at the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analyses the notion of ‘decentering’ as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics, as the idea of value-reference if wrongfully ontologically construed as determined by the ‘averaging-of-thought as non-positivism reference-of-thought’ or ‘averaging-of-thought as procrypticism reference-of-thought’, then in effect the phenomena of non-positivistic/medieval postlogism like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as well as psychopathic-postlogism-and-its-social-integration as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought will respectively be wrongfully construed to be existentially/ontologically veridical. The bigger point being that symmetrisation implying mutual recognition of reference-of-thought can only arise where there is mutual appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness as existentially/ontologically veridical thus enabling the logical-dueness of both interlocutors to arise as of their soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place, notwithstanding thereafter the appropriateness or inappropriateness of the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation exercise which is then an altogether different issue of effective/ineffective logic-as-prelogism-as-of-conviction, and this latter is what tends to be falsely implied in situations of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, and need to be
‘ontologically dismissed offhand’ and brought back to the fundamental issue of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather reflected-as-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in determining whether logical-dueness arises in the very first place. Central to such a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect registry-worldview/dimension-level analysis derived from such an individuation-level insight is the idea that social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is contiguous as of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis, notwithstanding it developing complexification as of dynamic-cumulative-after-effect as from the individuation-level to the registry-worldview/dimension-level and thus with a greater opportunity for the simplistic individuation-level childhood postlogism/psychopathy phenomenon relatively resolvable at that individuation-level to fail resolution with the myriad of such cases at the circular-complexification registry-worldview/dimension-level of more surreptitious adulthood pathological postlogism/psychopathy as the maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness induces ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency with consequent conjugated-postlogism ‘involving beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought dynamics further associated with a generalised social ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency reflected by the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus inducing the uninstitutionalised-threshold backdrop for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. In other words, social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is structurally/paradigmatically ‘ontologically compromised’ as of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
such that what a registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation accede to as socially-functioning-and-accordant is limited by its given beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought with the implication that ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency at this uninstitutionalised-threshold allows for denaturing, which is rather subpar to the notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness required for ontological-normalcy as ‘pre-empting ontological-decadence’, as ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation to be construed as socially-functional-and-accordant, with the possibility for such ontological-decadence being superseded arising only as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought driven by the ‘non-constraining and abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ in rearticulating such a prospective institutionalisation ‘constraining social universal-transparency categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation taking cognisance of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; wherein notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness reflects their institutionalisation and denaturing reflects their uninstitutionalisation. Hence in the bigger picture explaining why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are construed as of reducing-ontological-abnormalcy towards ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. As of a protracted analysis given human limited-mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency which critically tends to be solicited at its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as in this individuation-level analysis, conflatedness can equally be construed as tying down transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism to ontological-normalcy as ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought avails as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, and hence its construal as of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness; while constitutedness can equally be construed as tying down ‘supposed objectivity as of conscious or unconscious denaturing intellectual-bad-faith’ to the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy enabled by ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in temporal prioritisation teleology. As such conflatedness is the underlying drive of a human hermeneutic psychology as of an ontologically-driven developing psyche as ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ construed as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness from constitutedness/recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, first-level-pseudoconflation/base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, second-level-pseudoconflation/universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, third-level-pseudoconflation/positivism—procrypticism, and conceptual-conflatedness/deprocrypticism. We can appreciate that prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought inherently undermines the capacity for transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism of a notional-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising agent of limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification, such that our transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism enabling our ontology/virtue-construal capacity is more fundamentally a drive for ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought driven by conflatedness as articulated above over denaturing, and explaining why conflatedness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigating the institutionalisation process behind the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures is the very determinant of human ontology/virtue-construct, and so more than just an affixed as denaturing referencing of any one registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy, notwithstanding the mere fact of simply being second-natured/institutionalised at the backend of the institutionalisation process as of our positivism–procrpticism. Notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness points out that it is the aspiration for base-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, for universalisation from base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, for positivism from universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively for deprocrypticism from our positivism–procrpticism that are of ontology/virtue equivalency as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism; and not the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-complex of considering the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology while failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism within the given registry-worldview/dimension, be it at the backend of the institutionalisation process as our positivism–procrypticism. A naïve conceptualisation of ontology/virtue construal ideal by the mere fact of simply being at the backend of the institutionalisation process as of our positivism–procrypticism institutionalisation doesn’t speak of our first-nature/philosophical intemporal projection emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-of-thought but rather of a second-natured institutionalisation that induced our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by the institutionalisation process that cannot be confused with the idea of construing our present positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as the definite ontology/virtue closed-structure, but rather warrants that we take stock of the exceptional institutionalisation process that has gone before in providing the second-natured possibilities of our present as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driven notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness, and in that respect conjure how we can equally undertake our own part of the human existential tale homework in summoning ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driven notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as an opened-structure for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, and not a closed-structure naïve totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nombrilism as of flawed/perverted categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and by so doing denying the ‘grander human existential-tale implications of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness’. This fundamental and protracted epiphenomenal insight as of ‘human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-
stake-contention-or-confliction inherent in the social, it is important to grasp that such an epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon insight as implied herein with postlogism/psychopathy and corresponding human social dynamics implications is rather a social construction ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ that goes well beyond any given specific epiphenomenal/incidental occurring behind the inspired/insight-for-the social construction ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for universal retrospective to prospective understanding of postlogism/psychopathy and human social dynamics implications. In other words such a social construction ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ is inherently the more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easier basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationalising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as of the possibilities of easily transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism myriad retrospective and prospective social contexts of analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction
such an apparently naïve intellectual disposition will point to relative intellectual
impertinence at best, and at worst conscious intellectual-bad-faith angling to cynically
undermine universal veracity/ontological-pertinence as of the opportunity of implying poorly
objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental analysis as pre-eminently of universal
import. While this logic is immediately obvious with the low temporal-to-intemporal-
conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-
as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature of many a natural science
totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality with their disposition for replication and other experiments and observations
analyses as hardly any scientist will go on if it is problematic to objectively ascertain the
contextual reality of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree to
contend that Newton’s laws of motion ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—
construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-
existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ is wrong, such an insight about an ‘supposedly coherent
ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-
subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ being wholly construed
as of its ‘very own veracity/ontological-pertinence as of any of its objectificable contexts’
can-and-is often easily flouted and sidetracked with the high temporal-to-intemporal-
conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-
as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction that permeates the study of the social
as of its blurriness. This equally explains why it is actually better and more critical to
construe/conceptualise social knowledge not only on the basis of the inherent
veracity/ontological-pertinence of ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—
construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-
existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as with the natural sciences but equally factoring in the
human social condition as of high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-sync retising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so as of a knowledge notionalisation exercise. In other words metaphysics-of-absence refers to any such projections, as of human imaginative capacity derived from our underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) and existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency; thus enabling human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination insights as apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights. We can further get a sense with respect to the implications of what is meant by ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, relative to the construal/conceptualisation from the middle of the last century in the biological domain as of its specific uninstitutionalised-threshold then over which the DNA-based genetics ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ was developed which induced an altogether new dramatically different but ontologically-veridical imagery/picture of the nature of biology at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that then became
a new specific institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ thereafter amenable to ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ such that the prior non DNA-based construal/conceptualisation (as of ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) with respect to that now DNA-based genetics specific institutionalised totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of biology cannot longer be upheld, and this is so in the bigger picture as a contributory conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview institutionalisation. (In fact, the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are the conjoined effect of all specific uninstitutionalised-threshold institutionalisation breakthroughs of ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ construed conjointly as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation.) In this case, however the ‘emotional involvement’ in conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview of appraisal is way low compared to the high ‘emotional involvement’ in making the same construct as of a contrastive transcending/superseding of a prior registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought into an entirely new/prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought like between non-positivism and positivism or prospectively between our positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism as in this latter instance such a construal/conceptualisation is comprehensively redefining of the human psyche and tend to elicit the highest levels of ‘emotional involvement’ thus requiring rather a cross-generational adjustment as
reference-of-thought (over the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought) with its supposedly grander intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driving/behind its construal, turns out to be a prospective institutionalisation ‘reset framework for human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’ respectively in looseness-of-tethering-trajectory and closeness-of-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of the new reference-of-thought”; as facing/dealing anew with human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions but this time around doing the same thing as occurred with the prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that was transcended/superseded to deliver the new registry-worldview/dimension, but now on the new registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (with the difference as of a ‘relatively lower sensibility’ arising just because of the new registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought limiting/constraining on the possibilities of vices-and-impediments); implying an underlying ontological-contiguity of the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions. Thus while ‘ontologically superseding the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and prior ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency this does not imply apart from such institutionalisation-as-second-naturing a change of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal nature, given that this nature will further manifest at the prospective registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-threshold as its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency inducing anew the new reference-of-thought owns hollow-staging-and-performance-or-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. This social dynamism (dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect) as of the new registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold can be construed ontologically as arising out of a further temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology distortedness of the new totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in the social extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) ultimately extending to the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) spheres of formal constructs distorting formal construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so to a point of equilibrium of the new registry-worldview/dimension between its institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology and its uninstitutionalised-threshold’s hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. The operant and technical conceptualisation basis of this phenomenon has to do with the inherent nature of pure-ontology conflatedness for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology and human temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism condition of reception/distortion across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions involving denaturing where there is lack of constraining social universal-transparency. The establishment or rather coming into being of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought can thus be construed as of pure-ontology conflatedness for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so because it is both the mechanical-knowledge as the constraining technical outcome and the non-constraining driving underlying intemporal-emanance-registry ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, with both constituting the organic-knowledge. This transcendental knowledge construct establishes a dominant social framework of knowledge grounded on its inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (as it supersedes the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the prior ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency), and then imbues the prospective institutionalisation with social validity and social structure of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of deferential-formalisation-transference. This is the social-setup of the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of pure-ontology conflatedness for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. But then in due course and at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of this prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought, its organic-knowledge (as driven by intemporal-emanance-registry ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) wanes as the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism nature sets in as it is related to at the uninstitutionalised-threshold by the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s least common denominator as ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction (in a social dynamics at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold that is a drawback-to/undermines prospective-knowledge-and-institutional deferential-formalisation-transference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and rather endeavours for sovereign parity at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of social-aggregation-enabling), as of its bare constraining mechanical-knowledge since categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only ‘mechanistically’ constraining, lacking the organic-spirit or ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism. Anecdotally, we know as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold that in effect the technical constraints of the law tend to supersede the spirit of the law as it is naïve to think that a ‘sense of rightness’ is all that matters before the law, and this extends to human meaningful and organisational principles in general. Such that temporal-emanances-registries fulfilment of such ‘mechanistic’ effectiveness as mechanical-knowledge ‘without the non-constraining and abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism of the emanant-kind that-had-driven the reference-of-thought construal in the first place’ distort in due course organic meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of temporal mental-dispositions of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus such implied prospective reference-of-thought, social organisations and institutions as organic meaningfulness-and-teleology then tend to develop ‘subcultural reorientations’ that are ‘mildly alien’ and ‘on-occasional gravely alien’ to the (especially in the extended-informalities of the social and institutions) original organic-knowledge conceptualisation as of the implied prospective reference-of-thought social and institutions meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for an ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal for the deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation, it is critical to grasp both the inherent ontological-veracity of the meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the construal of deprocrypticism and the ‘reality of a human condition of temporal-emanances-registries distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and so as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as ontological-aesthetic-tracing in articulating a (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, that is preemptive of a least-common-denominator-of-social-functioning-and-accordance-effecting to bare mechanical-knowledge as of ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-
denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inducing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as of prospective uninstitutionalisation. This is achieved by a perpetuating metaphysics-of-absence that factors in human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal emanance-registries nature. Insightfully, a storied-construct technique apprehending the temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries respective mental-dispositions for ‘looseness-of-tethering-trajectory and closeness-of-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ can be construed, wherein the instigating temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction ‘looseness-of-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ (as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction temporal ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’) as of the childhood psychopathy (where the looseness-of-tethering-trajectory mental defect is of social universal-transparency socially like in a ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, pointing to a mental-shortcut as faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge in relating to social-stake-contention-or-confliction) and adult psychopath (where the looseness-of-tethering-trajectory mental defect is opaque due to its maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction) can be elucidated. The underlying process as of temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/psychopathic looseness-of-tethering-trajectory mental defect beginning at childhood involves ‘its circular non-consequential vague trialing of looseness-of-tethering-trajectory to prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ as of its temporal postlogism ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’ with respect to its iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts, in full conscious-awareness-teleology, which when perceived as uncontested by the psychopath (likely to arise where the concerned party lacks insight of its underlying faulty-mentation-
procedure-deception and as it seem socially-function) will ultimately lead to its slanting-deception (or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing-of-narratives) inducing its hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing and its consequent derivation as conjugated-postlogism or social psychopathy hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. This process is mirrored with the various conjugated-postlogisms conscious or unconscious aligning to the psychopathic/postlogical postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging. Thus effectively such a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction process is rather very simplistic, and the deception arises actually from the prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-states to be by mental-reflex in prelogism-as-of-conviction thus inducing wrongful teleological elevation of the postlogism/psychopathic meaningfulness-and-teleology, which wouldn’t occur at childhood psychopathy. Finally, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect and across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, the ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its organic-knowledge’ can be construed and analysed across 3 lines; - the initiating temporal postlogism distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, - the generalised temporal disposition to integrate such ontologically-destructured meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought explaining its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency, - and the prospective institutionalisation construing/conceptualising the ontological-veridicality and analysis of such registry-worldview/dimension
then still be upheld on the basis of the same uninstitutionalisation/uninstitutionalised apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights rather than the more ontologically-veridical implication of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights enabling utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness. Explicating thus the structural/paradigmatic implication of the non-positivistic or our positivism–procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed respectively as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as an altogether positivism or deprocrypticism utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and not wrongfully asiding/glossing-over/ignoring with the idea that meaningfulness-and-teleology is still to be construed as of non-positivism/medievalism or positivism–procrypticism; as the grander human living as of the species ‘existential tale’ is in construing that the respective prospective institutionalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights when availed by contemplation as based-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism implies transcending/superseding the respective uninstitutionalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism, enabling the cumulative recomposuring of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not temporal extirpatory paradigm parasitising/co-opting to the species existential-tale.] The statements articulated priorly (before the square brackets texts) speak of the reality of ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ even in our own positivism reference-of-thought registry-worldview. It is fair to say the statement made before, “Z … will look down on B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability” is circumstantially relevant even in our positivistic registry-worldview wherein ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency induces a ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ temporality or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology drive. The Milgram experiments, a demonstration par excellence of the human condition at uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction constraints as of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), truly reflect the inherent nature of ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’; and the deprocrypticism-driven understanding of which should rather be an avenue for a pivoting/decentering psychologism with respect to positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimensions vices-and-impediments (just as with all previous transcendences of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’, rather than a naïve metaphysics-of-presence mental complex that only serves ‘flawed egos’ and is of no ontologically-veridical import). The point of this
distinction made between the nature of ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought and ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, as of prospective ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is to put into perspective the idea that the present and as of our present social construction and individuations as being relatively more exceptional than the solipsistic nature of humans in prior epochs is false, with such wrongly implied exception rather being a confusion between ‘cumulated institutionalisation’ (which we carry by being second-natured at the backend of the institutionalisation process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocontingency leading to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) and that our inherent solipsistic sense of intemporality (which overall is no more greater than that of humans of previous successive registry-worldviews/dimensions); and further that we are just of the same ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ as all humans past when it comes to making solipsistic choices at uninstitutionalised-threshold, which choices when of intemporality-drive solipsistic-choices are maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness leading to prospective institutionalisations. This notion of human mental-disposition and by extension meaningfulness-and-teleology as comprising, rather as a more complete and grander conceptualisation, an institutionalisation-facet and an uninstitutionalisation-facet, so-construed by metaphysics-of-absence, carries institutionalisation and uninstitutionalisation implications with respect to the determination of ontologically-verbatim meaningfulness-and-teleology as of pertinent scientific conceptualisation (scientific approach, methodology and methods) as rather construed most critically by its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality transcendental-enabling. Such metaphysics-of-absence considerations are critically relevant in fully appreciating the articulation herein by this author of such notions (that rather speak of uninstitutionalisation implications with respect to ‘a social pretence of scientific conceptualising as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling’), like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling and transversality/logical-incongruence. Insightfully, it is the case that our present-day positivistic institutionalisation second-natured scientific practice outcome of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling is grounded on institutionally-determined peerage/collegiality as of positivistic institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference, so supposedly recognised within the social collective or ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. But then we grasp that at the disjuncture of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as ‘moulting’ first-nature/philosophical emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal conceptualisation of what developed to become today our scientific practice institutionalisation as of its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) from the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension, we can definitely fathom that the enlightenment actors like the Descartes’s, Galileos, Diderots, etc. of those transitioning times would have certainly been circumspect with regards to any such notion of preceding social approval (for their scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling), given the social non-positivistic/medieval prospective uninstitutionalisation non-scientific disposition, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. This points to an altogether different social relation with the notion of scientific practice construed as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling, by such intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism mental-disposition
that conceive of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology in the prospective uninstitutionalisation social-setup of non-positivism/medievalism where they were institutionally-outliers. As exemplarily implied with the Encyclopédistes led by Diderot, such construal is grounded on a more basic and potent construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and actually reveals in many ways the reality of a natural Foucauldian power relations which it turns out is actually in the medium to long term a social-granting-of-power-exercise with respect to the virtue of true knowledge, as of the social percolation-channelling possibilities enabling promising ideas, however institutionally-outlier or institutionally-central, to take hold in society depending on their relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as of veracity/ontological-pertinence; without heed given to mere centrality as veracity/ontological-pertinence but decentering if the centrality is not ontologically pertinent, and rather further second-naturing prospective institutionalisation of scientific practice as of its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendence-enabling; very much highlighting the prospective institutionalisation pertinence of such notions articulated by this author like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling and transversality/logical-incongruence. In another respect, with regards to scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology and as it informs the social-construct of knowledge and deferential-formalisation-transference (as power relations with respect to knowledge as socially empowering), it is critical to grasp that it is relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling that induces social deference to formal knowledge constructs and other formal constructs, on the basis that that will ‘produce the greater human Good’, as at the prior as prospective uninstitutionalisation when such domains lacked or were deficient with respect to formal knowledge constructs or other formal constructs like officialdoms, it was rather a question of ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary
knowledge constructs’ with relatively impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’; explaining why higher and higher registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought increasingly defer domains of meaningfulness-and-teleology more and more to formal constructs while increasingly reducing the sphere of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its free-for-all nature. The bigger point being that even in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension with relatively strong ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ in many domains; however, with regards to domains (and so, more than just about broad subject matter areas and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, but rather and critically the specifically relatively undeveloped knowledge spheres of such broad subject matters and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, and as specific in this instance as with regards to our understanding of psychopathy) that are spurious and blurry, these are often not socially related to in profound knowledge/scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology terms on the basis of ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ profound treatment, and are rather prone to ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary
knowledge constructs’ in rather relatively impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’. This contrasts with those domains that are more pertinently and decisively intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling which quickly obtain deferential-formalisation-transference (deferential as not opinionating randomly with respect to imagining the legal implications of one another’s actions but deferring one’s understanding to the formal legal domain, appreciating in deference scientific principles and not opinionating about what we imagine about the stars but deferring to the astronomer and physicist, appreciating statistics and human geography methods and not imagining how censuses and polls should be done but deferring to the demographer and statistician, etc.; as providing a grander depth of knowledge by deferential-formalisation-transference pointing out that ‘human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ are the basis for ‘inventing’ human knowledge and corresponding virtue (as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation), and not ‘human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions and projections’. Hence the construal of knowledge construct in such domains that are spurious and blurry as with respect to postlogism/psychopathy social implications should as of precedence be about articulating the illuminating insight that ultimately allows for the attainment of their own deferential-formalisation-transference based on ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’, and
undermining a social relations with regards to knowledge and virtue that is based on ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions and projections’, and so in order to release the inherent virtue imbued in true knowledge. The afore elucidations are mainly to point out that it is naïve to construe the analysis of postlogism phenomenon including psychopathy on the assumption of an overall ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ of the social as of the present as metaphysics-of-presence instead of assuming a ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ of the social by prospective metaphysics-of-absence, since the construal of our postlogism as of psychopathy and social psychopathy is necessarily, from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully, by metaphysics-of-absence we can appreciate this logic with respect to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as intuitively we’ll be hard-pressed to recognise that the non-positivism/medievalism social-construct mental-disposition is one of human institutionalisation of an intemporality-drive whereas in fact it is one of human uninstitutionalisation of temporalities-drives such that it is endemised/enculturated in various temporality shades (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence from a prospective positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. The same applies with psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism, as the averaging-of-thought in such a context should not and cannot be the trusted reference of intellectual contemplation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence in the elucidation of psychopathy and social psychopathy (just as it is not a
trusted reference with regards with priorly established formal knowledge constructs whether subject-matter disciplines or formalising constructs including the law, officialdom, etc.), as it is effectively poorly ontological or non-ontological in the sense that it tends to be of an extirpary/temporal paradigm and not intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as when it fails to appreciate the virtuous implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locals) as providing the possibility for prospective institutionalisation as structurally/paradigmatically superseding the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments! It is thus important to grasp that the notion of virtue as of our emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions is more than just about the notion of being at the backend of the institutionalisation-process of institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes, but rather the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-disposition (intemporal-emanance-registry) to strive as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for base-institutionalisation to supersede recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation equates that striving for universalisation to supersede base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation equates that striving for positivism to supersede universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism equates that striving for deprocrypticism to supersede positivism–procrypticism; as the highest human virtue of ontological import. Since the inducing of institutionalisation-as-a-second-natured-construct across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes or registry-worldviews/dimensions inevitably implies a dichotomy of reference-of-thought modalities of the same perpetual emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporalities-drives and emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality-drive (given human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor), respectively as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-
of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’ and ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maxi. Virtue is essentially about the intemporality-drive as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication with categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology subservient to that purpose, and not about the temporalities-drives as ‘mere adherence as intradimensionally deterministic by form’ to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as these are failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication rather than upholding it, their very raison d’être. Interestingly, supposed by some circumstance an individual of a positivistic insight found themselves in a non-positivistic community, whether base-institutionalisation/animistic or medieval, facing a disease attributed to a negative spirit or so, but the positivistic individual knows it is a case of an infection with the idea that a certain root or leaf in the nearby forest can be used as cure, however, the community rather believe that the forest is an evil forest and this will just make things worse for them overall. Obviously, as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, by ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness its mental-disposition will be to unleash its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporality-drive to supersede the non-positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that the evil forest brings bad omen substituting it with
the positivistic one that the root or leaf in the forest brings about cure by walking over the
supposed ‘evil forest’, and more than just the circumstantial situation will equally appreciate
that positivistic thinking over animistic or medieval thinking will go a long way in improving
the community’s existence. It is interesting to grasp the difference in the dereifying and
reifying construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity here between the non-positivists
mindsets and the positivist mindset as of underlying relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and respectively
as of their divergent non-positivists dereification perspective and positivist reification
perspective; as seeing the positivist stranger walking into the supposed ‘evil forest’ will be
the confirmation for members of the non-positivist social-setup of its viciousness-or-
supernaturalness-or-evil-disposition. It can be noted here that seeing the positivist walking
into the evil forest will be branded as proof/evidence by the non-positivists of its viciousness-
or-supernaturalness-or-evil-disposition going by their supernatural conception of existential-
contextualising-contiguity-in-dereification as of their prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, contrasted with the positivist naturalist conception
of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification as-seeking-a-cure as of its prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and possibly ensuing into a
country of the blind scenario. This insight equally highlights the evasiveness of ‘what is
meant by proof/evidence’ even in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought, as the notion of proof/evidence is more critically tied down to existential-
contextualising-contiguity-reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism; just as postmodern-thought by its ontological-discontiguity
decentering of ‘modern-take thinking’ have revealed the underlying bias of the latter
meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected particularly more vividly in gender, race and class.
Interestingly, this paradox is very much typical of all transcendental situations and explains the universal ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ contorted gesturing associated with transcendental thresholds. As we can garner in this case that the positivist constrained to existence rather in such a country-of-the-blind scenario cannot simply be deferential to living and Being as of the non-positivist social-setup value reference while very much aware of the structural/paradigmatic virtue implications as of prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus will ‘contortively’ hold on to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning possibility of positivistic value references over non-positivistic value reference, even as the latter is always in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; with the implication that such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion is rather in transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the contorted prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought from their respective existentialism intelligibility stances. This contortion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projection is what marks ‘transcendental acts of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ whether of philosophical implications as with say Socrates or philo-religious implications as of nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. The contortion arises because inherently the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ever always fails to accompany prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-
of-thought but for the induced cross-generational transcendental metaphoricity possibility, and the contortion is more of a token as of the metaphoricity possibility for prospective transcendence and without which token contortion there is ‘no existential reference for such transcendence’, as a gesturing of metaphoricity that is ‘beyond the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought full meaningfulness-and-teleology implications contemplation’. The contortion implies that there is ‘nothing any more important than upholding the metaphoricity possibility for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’; as transcendental instigation can’t be of ordinary inclination at one moment and at another moment of transcendental inclination, as this will only ‘teleologically-degrade and devalue’ the implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence into the ordinariness of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus psychoanalytically/exegetically/symbiologically existentially undercutting the token contortion existential reference for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence. Thus ‘ontological-faith-notion-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ only evolves into such asceticism as of contortive metaphoricity gesturing for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of nonextirpatory-existentialexisting-of-existentialexistent-unthought; and has historically acted as a sort of internal cultural diffusion disposition. Such a prospective ontological conception of asceticism rather as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning asceticism, different from asceticism as reasoning-from-results/afterthought or institutional asceticism, should basically be understood as of the general notion that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are naturally ‘correlate-aesthetic-constructs as of the various mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-towards-ontological-completenesss-of-deprocrypticism’ as of their specific reflection of the-very-same-purview-
akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) threshold as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, while falsely implying the given reference-of-thought mere identitive conceptualisations/‘candid existential expressiveness’ are existentially veridical; and it is important to grasp that every registry-worldview/dimension is of a reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising that by its mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition falsely implies that its meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of ‘identitive totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking’ even at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold where it is effectively dementing as its mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition fails to induce an ontologically-veridical reifying trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can imagine as of a non-positivist social-setup reference-of-thought identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology, the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ that ‘integrates superstition as-thinking’ as of its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, much like as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective we can imagine the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ in our positivism–procrypticism that ‘integrates procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as-thinking’ as of its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; and in both cases the ‘trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of ontological wholeness/nested-congruence’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification breaks down at the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold thus assuming a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism representation of the breakdown and
going on in both cases to ‘overlook effectively as-if-thinking respectively’ the ontologically-veridical reality of ‘dementing superstition’ and ‘dementing procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’. It is singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in pre-empting any such structural/paradigmatic threshold construed as prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold as implied by notional-deprocrypticism that reflects ‘ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ as factoring in prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of the ontologically-flawed threshold of its mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition from the perspective of prospective registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness to construe ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of notionally-full existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. In other words, existential-contextualising-contiguity as reflecting existence as the absolute a priori isn’t halted at any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s structural/paradigmatic limit/threshold-construed-as-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for ontological conception, but rather reifies as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as implied with ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as of notional-deprocrypticism, with such singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reflecting an ontological-aesthetic-tracing of all such structural/paradigmatic limits/thresholds-construed-as-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition of reference-of-thought ontological conception. In effect, such a trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing can be construed as a ‘creative metaphoricity tracing’ of human intemporal-to-temporal ontological-performances of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the dynamics of ‘human overall Being-personality-growth and the implications for its living-personality-growth and institutional-personality-
growth’ implied as of notional-deprocrypticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism, as a fundamental hermeneutic psychological science which as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism articulates-and-rearticulates such tracing/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of comprehensive/holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness from a most profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification depth of notional-deprocrypticism protracted-consciousness. Such a hermeneutic psychology is necessarily cognisant and departs from a construal of the fundamental instigation of human knowledge and emancipation as of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic asksis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, as establishing in the very first place the prospective relative-ontological-completeness mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup, and so prior to assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising. Hence such a notion cannot be construed on the basis of ordinarily assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising which doesn’t put into question its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as it is rather submerged/drowned into it by mental-disposition reflex; but rather as implied as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such a hermeneutic psychology is more about instigating a parrhesiastic psychoanalytic-unshackling soul-searching acumen. In this regard, it is akin for instance to budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning implied within a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup, in the sense that that budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning then ‘is-not reasoning as-of-yet’ as reasoning is then as of the non-positivism/medievalism social-setup apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘as non-positivism reasoning susceptible to superstition and scholasticism-like pedantry construed as
structural/paradigmatic resolution of the ‘positivism–procrypticism human subject superegoic vices-and-impediments’. It should be noted that the way the construction of knowledge works at reference-of-thought-level of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is utterly counterintuitive to how we perceive prospective elucidation of human knowledge and emancipation going by the given mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising. In this regard, we can construe that even the averaging-of-thought mental-disposition in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup has a sense of human knowledge development and emancipation but with a mental-reflex that such a conception is necessarily by way of the non-positivism/medievalism social-setup mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising. The idea that ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ articulation of prospective mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of positivism reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising is the route for ontologically-veridical human knowledge transformation and emancipation as of prospective positivism is very much alien to the non-positivism/medievalism cloistered-consciousness. Likewise, the averaging-of-thought mental-disposition in our positivism–procrypticism effectively do has a sense of human knowledge development and emancipation but as of a mental-reflex that such a conception is necessarily by way of our positivism–procrypticism mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of reference-of-thought
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising. In the same vain, the idea that ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic asksis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ articulation of prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising is the route for ontologically-veridical human knowledge transformation and emancipation in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism is very much alien to our positivism–procrypticism cloistered-consciousness. In both instances the notion of prospective metaphoricity is one that necessarily faces the fact that the human mind is ever always entrapped in an existentially-invested ‘totality/reference-of-thought/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which effective dislodgment/displacement/decentering is as of a cross-generational instigation, but then wouldn’t happen just by accident and thus has to be instigated for prospective relative-ontological-completeness! In fact such an insight can be extended across ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ to imply that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is cognisant of emancipation but doesn’t anticipate that emancipation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness is rather as of base-institutionalisation mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, and likewise the latter doesn’t anticipate the universalisation mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, with the latter not anticipating our positivism mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition which itself doesn’t anticipate prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism. The
fact is human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor at its uninstitutionalised-thresholds implies that the human psychological reflex as of its limited-mentation-capacity at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘is not geared to adhere to abstract ontological-veridicality’ as it will operate its state of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as if in a fully-attained state of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the-very-central-implication-of-thrownness, as reflected by the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition towards ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism/pre-empting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and thus from a strictly ontologically-veridical point-of-view/perspective, and so beyond our enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology and just as various mystical-and-mythical-practices of prior non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions were their own sort of enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology as of their own times, the notion of a psychological science as reinforcing/propping-up human psychology in any prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology state is downright ontologically ridiculous and the manifestation of a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag naivety. We can appreciate that the psychoanalytic-unshackling of all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought is rather one that shouldn’t wrongly be reinforcing/propping-up the human subject as if a given reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has its very own complete transformative and emancipative potential as if of fully-attained singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, but an ontologically-veridical psychology rather warrants implying the human subject displacement/decentering as the structural/paradigmatic possibility of the
human subject emancipation with regards to the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions superegoic vices-and-impediments; wherein dialectically-thinking reasoning-from-results/afterthought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold is construed as dialectically-dementing as of prospective dialectically-thinking reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition up to the prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism of deprocrypticism. As of its inherent organic knowledge, such a hermeneutic psychology parrhesiastic articulation as herein ‘doesn’t do gimmicks of communication’ as if to imply any favour whatever as of ‘emotional or whatever feel-good trading for the appreciation of the possibility for prospective human emancipation’, since by its ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ it is beyond the idea of convincing for convincing sake as it is simply ‘a blunted eliciting of a solipsistic sense of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection in any human and no more’ with no point going beyond that point as it then becomes as of intellectual-and-moral apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity; and so, as its essential meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a solipsistic transversal reflection of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence as the absolute a priori in its ecstatic singularity, on the same token that a natural scientist is in a transversal reflection of its object of study as of existence as the ontologically ‘superior party’ without any need to be involved in any bogus exercises that may imply that gravity may not be 9.8 m/s² on earth if any given human subject isn’t accommodated for in some way somehow however faintly, be it that it may be the case that gravity is not 9.8 m/s² but that as well needs to be established as of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is existence as the absolute a priori as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. But then the human reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, isn’t inherently ‘of immediate intellectual responsiveness’ to the
notion of its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold and the corresponding superseding of this as of prospective institutionalisation; as even the disposition to assume an intellectually enlightening mental-disposition is existentially-invested and not necessarily a given. We can appreciate from our positivistic perspective the ‘obvious reality’ of the fact that superstitious beliefs are bogus, but then paradoxically from the beginning of times superstitious beliefs had pervaded all the echelons of human societies whether as of true belief or opportunistically, and have only been increasingly undermined with the advent of positivistic reasoning at the beginning of modern times about 500 years ago. This has to do with the ‘existentially invested nature as of assumed mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ of human ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology’/reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus any given registry-worldview/dimension is strongly constrained to represent itself as of its ‘dialectical-thinking’ prior institutionalisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought and very weakly constrained to represent itself as of its ‘dementing’ prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold which it tends to represent as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drang–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing), for the possibility of its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity into prospective institutionalisation. This reality is known as human ‘dementative constraint’ to prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity as of the possibility of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Human dementative constraint is fundamentally associated with poor universal-transparency with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds. This then fails to induce the necessary existential assurance for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity and on that token fails to tip the balance over the ‘social obfuscation dynamic effect’ of ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drang–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ as of
the prior institutionalisation’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that stifle the transcendence-and-sublimity possibility for prospective institutionalisation. Thus as of the more critical insight that prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is actually ontologically transformative as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, over mere palliative construeds as of the very same prior reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, for resolving a given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments; this notion of human dementative constraint is critical for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding insight underlying dynamism with regards to the human mind prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as implied by a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ that emphasises the ‘Lacanian subject’ growth as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics, rather than a second-guessing mented or stigmatic psychology that fails to integrate the decisively ontological transformative implications of human psychology as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative-implications, and thus making the given presence reference-of-thought as our positivism–proprocrypticism ‘all-determinative of what can be construed as psychological emancipation’ as of its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag despite the fact of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocripticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The underlying issue here as well as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism has to do with deficient human capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond the constraint of ‘human lifespan of depth of thought’ to a more profound appreciation of the underlying possibility for human transcendence-and-sublimity as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In this regard as of lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is the human temporal disposition to decontortion construed as a disposition to undermine ‘intemporal ontological-veracity as of universal existential import’ for the sake of ‘temporal narrow-and-specific existentially-invested advantage/interest with little concern about emancipatory universal meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so as the very contrary disposition to reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion. Decontortion as of human totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is rather counter to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism disposition by its deterministic hanging onto prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-from-results/afterthought while ignoring/overlooking the ontological-veracity implications of the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of reifying existential-contextualising-contiguity, and thus adopting a dereification posture as enabled by ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency’. Such a human disposition to decontortion at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise on the naïve basis that human temporal willing/volition can effectively supersede the ontological integrity/veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it reflects existence’s coherence/contiguity as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. But then such a decontortioning disposition as can be manifested by a falsely striving to elevate the temporal frame of our 60–100 years of living above the intemporal/ontological frame of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is
rather definitional of our prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold where we are actually dementing and prospectively dialectically-primitive, notwithstanding our attendant totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and vague temporal-intemporality gesturing. The whole institutionalisation process can thus be construed as one of increasingly undermining the human subject temporal decontortion disposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness; wherein across the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes, decontortion is ontologically-constrained both as of the ‘dynamic construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation-explanation and construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential human mental-disposition’. The former is ontologically-constrained as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in undermining the human temporal disposition to phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation-explanation decontortion, while human temporal mental-disposition for decontortion is additionally ontologically-constrained with availability of universal-transparency. Relatively objectified phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation-explanation as implied in the natural sciences is hardly subjected to decontortion while relatively subjective phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation-explanation as implied in the social is rather easily subjected to decontortion as of blurriness and emotional-involvement. In another respect the implications of flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism also has implications with the ontological-performance as of the effective productivity potential of human knowledge construction. In this regard, this author contends that the historically recurrent critique of naïve formalisation particularly in many a field of study that uncritically strive to adhere to a ‘supposedly pre-given science methodology and epistemology naively construed as of inherent transcendental signifier’ such as in the analytic
tradition of philosophy, naïve scientific psychology as of facetious methodologies as well as many a natural science domain, that purport to conceptualise complex social meaningfulness-and-teleology in naïve naturalistic methodology terms, all arise because of a flawed predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that in many ways ignores/overlooks existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; and so, as of their ‘formalisation credo as identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism’ thus leading to a disposition that considers knowledge as an exercise of mere conceptual patterning inherently validated by formalisations on the basis of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ without the constraint of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of existence as the absolute a priori as its very own transcendental signifier which ultimately manifestly-as-inherently enables transcendence-and-sublimity as the very essence of knowledge. This has led in many ways to a dissonance between their knowledge productivity implications and existential reality wherein for instance psychological and psychiatric science seems to imply that all along its practice human psychological illnesses have multiplied many times over as of ever transforming and expanding formalisation credo, while the analytical tradition of philosophy by the avowals of its internal critics has been involved in a recurrent second-guessing exercise as of its visceral inclination for ‘abstracting reality by formalisation outside of social reality’ wrongly mimicking a natural science tradition whose domain-of-study ecstatically allows for such an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Such an approach that atomises/takes-to-pieces analysis ‘as supposedly elucidative’ tends to be rather abstract as of ‘elaboration-as-
mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-
existent-contextualising-contiguity’. Such that beyond its abstracting exercise, as when it
returns in striving to supposedly elucidate social and other existential phenomenality, it is lost
to it that social and other existential phenomenality is already preceding/supersedingly as of
‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’, with the consequence that it naively construes of
reification as simply projecting ‘the supposedly reifying atomising/taking-to-pieces
formalisation analysis’ on the social and other existential phenomenality. Hence it ends up
abstractly pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality
and thus misrepresenting, denaturing and producing relatively ontologically-flawed
meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such articulations tend out to be merely implied
decontextualised/abstracted constructs with poor appreciation and construal of their
conceptualisations as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-
completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance which is what
enables the reification of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In this regard for
instance, the well-articulated Foucauldian discourse of ‘speech activity’ conceptualisation
associated with the notion of parrhesia more critically enables its existential-contextualising-
contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the possibility of human transcendence-and-
sublimity as can be projected from an Ancient Greece context right up to our modern and
futural context in contrast to say analytic philosophy ‘speech act’ which by its
atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation orientation is in many ways by its mere
denotative/connotative constitutedness nature just an implied existentially
decontextualised/abstracted construct as of its poor ontological-as-existential-commitment
with respect to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-
world/conditions’, in contrast to the reifying conflatedness connotative nature of ‘speech
activity’ discourse as of its contextualising ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence; such that the
performance’ orientation is theoretically, conceptually and operantly ontologically efficacious inherently by its ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence as it reflects holistically the ‘criss-crossing temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of narratives’ as of the social totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This holistic insight is reflected in the Derridean deconstruction orientation with its obvious narratology implications pertinence to literary studies as of its conflatedness with existential-contextualising-contiguity in contrast to such a notion like language games when construed rather in constitutedness. This difference of conceptualising comes down to the atomising/taking-to-pieces flaw reflex of constituting-towards-totality implied as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as against the ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence disposition for reifying-totality-for-completeness implied as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalititative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism; wherein the conflatedness mental-reflex is involved in construing of both the right apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination for completeness as of ontologically-uncompromised ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism/postdication projected conflatedness (as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications of ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratioincination as prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/institutional-recompose/memetic-reordering’ which speaks of.
the recurrent edging towards completion of ontological-performance of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition), whereas the constitutedness mental-reflex assumes uncritically of its right apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising mindset,-in-positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness and goes on as of its categorising constituting to construe knowledge for completeness without questioning its mindset,-in-positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness as if it has got an absolutely veridical apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, and this is exactly what is implied by displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness. This specific deficiency of the analytic tradition as so-reflected in many of its conceptualisations has to do with the very notion of knowledge as being about ‘ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as of ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as axiomatic-construct’, and logic actually being in effect the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, with the implication that all the knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology that exists is about existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications of ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality implied as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In
this regard, ‘speech activity’ discourse speaks of an ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as expressed above (with regards to the social contextualisation beyond just speech for the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity…) which is then being reified/elucidated for the prospective possibility of human emancipation, with logic being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of this articulated ontological-as-existential-commitment having to do with such social contextualisation’. Likewise the underlying notion of ontological-performance as herein articulated by this author is as difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications as from existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’; articulating knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalitative–implications of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This underlying notion of ontological-performance speaks more fundamentally of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, as explicitly underlined in all transcendence-and-sublimity elucidating/reifying subject-matters and sciences, unlike approaches that do-not-or-poorly-appreciate the fact that just as scientific studies are transformative the study of the social rightly articulated beyond-institutional-being-and-craft is just as transformative with regards to prospective human living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, even though it is
more subject to higher emotional-involvement as of its displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject projective-totalitative-implications. Whereas the analytic tradition posture as with ‘speech act’ gives precedence to logical-commitment as reflected in its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach (implied as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’) geared towards identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, which by the token of working by atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation on specific aspects or specific interpretation as of formalisation construct ignores/overlooks ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as the veridical ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality in want of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as can be validated and falsified by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative-implications. This fundamental difference of conceptualisation very often underlies the disagreements between the analytic philosophical orientation and other philosophical traditions, in the sense that while the latter might be implicitly implying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ when making its argument, the former will tend to be making a logical-commitment argument as of formalisation construct that ignores/overlooks-and-hence-is-poorly-constrained to the precedence/supersedingness/ascendency of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
projective-totalitative–implications, and goes on to naively deploy outside existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification such logic notions like non-sequitur, fallacies, etc. and/or mere categorising denotative/connotative formalisations in constitutedness as ends in themselves, rather than construing logic as of the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ of ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality for knowledge elucidating/reifying which validation and falsifiability is rather a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications. The fundamental point here is that logic (reflected by the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach) is instead the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of Being and beings as reflected in first-level ontology and second-level ontologies, and logic cannot derive the superseding/preceding ecstatic existential veridicality of Being and beings which validation and falsifiability is ever always a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications. Being and beings construed-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising in the conceptualising of totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existence or any totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as knowledge, and so as of articulated axiomatic-constructs; is rather reflected either in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking when the conceptualising is in prospective relative-ontological-completeness or is reflected in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing when the conceptualising is in prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness, and in both instances as substantiated or unsubstantiated respectively by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in reflection of the ascendancy of existence-potency. For instance, the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ over ‘classical-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing. This is also the case as of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of the ‘relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ over ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing; for instance, futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism over our positivism–procrypticism or in the case of our positivism over prior non-positivism–medievalism. Logic arises as a mental-reflex of the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in knowledge construing-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising of Being and beings. However, because a reference-of-thought is already an apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of its underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking, logic seems to be the only mental exercise involved since the underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is ever so pervasive-and-
transparent to contemplation by mental-reflex, such that when the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of covert flawed-as-dementing apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising is implied with regards to say adulthood psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing of its meaningfulness-and-teleology as from difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity, we go on to aposteriorise/intelligise/measure/logicise and thus wrongly validating the flawed affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking as of the flawed-as-dementing apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, and so instead of implying its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing, as will be done at childhood psychopathy where it is overt and obvious. Further temporal individuation dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation conjugating to this postlogism-slantedness speaks of socially derived affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of flawed-as-dementing apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, equally requiring unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing; as so implied at the prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds including as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The underlying insight can be garnered as of the temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology in totalising–self-referencing-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency—as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism, while incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is reflected in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing as ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism in ontological-discontiguity; and so with regards to the very same purview of construal-as-existence. Such ontological-discontiguity implies lack of mutual-intelligibility as of lack of common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for common/mutual aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising, beyond just contending differences as of aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising which do not imply the ontological-discontiguity lack of common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This is so-implied with regards to say Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing conventioning-referencing as of sophistry apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness or as with budding positivists Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-
ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing conventioning-referencing in scholasticism pedantry apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of social enlightenment common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing the conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss. The point here being that the stake for prospective transcende

cnce-and-sublimity are ever always beyond any given registry-worldview/dimension closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology conventioning-referencing totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and by that token is geared towards antinihilistic undermining of sophistic dispositions as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. With the very blurry nature of the social, even with the best of intentions as when continental philosophers try to engage the analytic tradition, the experience has often turned out poorly given the failure to explicitly grasp/appreciate the conflicting implications of their differing knowledge commitments as of ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality implied ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence with the former and logical-commitment implied atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation with the latter; even as going by conceptual-patterning, it can be naively implied that similar conceptual wordings imply similar
knowledge commitments and operant articulations. In the same vein, one can say that notions like spacetime, force, atoms, etc. in the physics purview of construal-as-existence are inherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ that are in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications, and logic can only be the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of such ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality, and all the physics that is relevant is their further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as physics knowledge as of its ontological-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as can be validated and is falsifiable by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications. Even mathematics it is often underestimated works rather an ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification constraining implications of its ‘equal sign’, speaking of a self-conscious awareness that calculations should reflect-and-be-constrained as per calculations operative validation and falsifiability with regards to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and with mathematical logic as of mathematics ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality ‘concurrent formatting as formalisation’ being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct
construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ towards that purpose. Such reflecting-and-constraining to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ can difficulty be said with regards to the overall atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presumption; which strangely enough has been subjected to no less than five major successive internal indictments but still keeps up its operative predilection of atomising/taking-to-pieces, with this author of the opinion that such an in-built institutional grip might be in many ways inducing diversion of intellectual and scholarly resources from a more profound advancement of philosophy for greater human transformation implications. It is important to grasp here that ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ is superseding/preceding as of existence’s ecstatic singularity, such that ontology supersedes logic which is rather ontology’s ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. It is rather ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ that provides the ‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as axiomatic-construct’ insight about ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality articulated as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and not mere logic, with logic not able by itself to derive ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as it is often naively implied but instead reflecting the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and as any such implied derivation is rather as of explicited/implicated coherence/contiguity with another/other ‘transversally
devolving-or-complementary ontological/axiomatic-construct conceptions’ as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. Interestingly, such notions like experimentation, testing, trials, case studies, observational studies, interview, data analysis, content analysis, statistics and basically overall research orientations and research methods as of their formal study implications are just focussed-and-contrasted extensions, with regards to the general and normal day to day experience about living itself for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ providing insight about ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality in producing knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology; such that critically, appropriate philosophical phenomenal insight with regards to ‘the general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ as of observational and articulated ontological-pertinence sufficiency, and as supplemented with the grasp and engagement with other philosophical works, speaks of veridical scientific insight and validity subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and so because such well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ in the philosophical domain-of-study is generally more ontologically profound and comprehensive as of conflatedness than any contrasted ad-hoc and focussed domain study, even though such domain studies may be insightfully relevant in specific ways but still as of the more profound background of well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’. The point here is to highlight that by its very given domain-of-study with respect to overall existence, philosophical knowledge more profoundly makes a holistic conflatedness demand on human living experience for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ than
other more specific domains-of-study for which ad-hoc and focussed domain study methods are pervasively decisive for ontological pertinence. But then this is more a question of ‘expanded onticising construal of existence as of totalising-devolved purviews of existence so-construed as subject-matters/domains-of-study’. The ontological-veracity and epistemic-veracity of all such totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are effectively as of the very same underlying congruent philosophical domain-of-study construal of ecstatic manifestation of existence but for their ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’; as so-implied as of overall existence metaphoricity/ecstasy panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence as of supervening-conflatedness. Knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology, whether of underlying ontological-constral or ontical-constral, is epistemically validated as of ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Inherently, because human-subpotency ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality is very much intimately linked with the ontological-performance of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal, it is always ever the case that as of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence the validation of knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality is equally as of the totalising-purview-of-constral-as-existence/totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality constructs; which construal is necessarily as of conflatedness with respect to totalising-purview-of-constral-as-existence/totalising-devolved–purviews-as-
existential-contextualising-contiguity’. Rather any such science approaches and methodologies striving to validate knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology by the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency, is necessarily instigated as from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. Insightfully, while in many ways such an elucidation hardly needs to be explicited in many a natural science domain-of-study as of their directly constraining cause-and-effect nature such that such nested-congruence with existence will often tend to arise naturally as of valid/invalid outcome constraining of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency, this unexplicated implicitness should not be confused with the notion that the natural sciences are essentially reduced to their science approaches and methodologies; as is often and awkwardly naively construed from without in many a social domain-of-study. The fact is notwithstanding the ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’ of the natural science domains-of-study, these are just as driven by a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ as reflected in the often ‘unspoken/unelaborated scientific hunches and fine-tuning’ which is effectively what drives their deployed science approaches and methodologies for their sought after scientific reifying outcomes; and it is this subsuming/nestedness that keeps such science approaches and methodologies in nested-congruence with existential-contextualising-contiguity as of conflatedness; so-implied as of their ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—
construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency. In other words, science approaches and methodologies in reality are simply the extension of philosophical depth of contemplation when it comes to ‘onticising specificisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’ as of the totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of natural sciences; with the implication that the philosophical depth of contemplation has to be undertaken, notwithstanding the fact that the implicated nature in the natural sciences of their onticising direct validation/invalidation outcomes as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will seem to wrongly imply otherwise. Such a philosophical depth of contemplation in nested-congruence as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ is very often incomplete, of-divvied-theorisation and/or ‘poor coherence of theoricisation with operant approaches and methodologies’, when it comes to many a social domain-of-study; as quite often theorisation in many a social domain-of-study strives on disparateness, rather than a tendency to ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency enforced’ unifying coherence as in many a natural science domains-of-study, with the consequence that studies are often aloof to direct existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge reifying exercise as of a tendency to technicality as of institutional-being-and-craft imprimatur, ‘fallback to unquestioned/dogmatic normativities’ and ‘habituated dispositions’ which priorly enframed subject-matters and institutional-setups structurally/paradigmatically stifle the possibility for conceptualisation as of existence-potency validation/invalidation implications, beyond their conventioning-referencing enframing. Ultimately the bigger issue arises as of the poorly-singularised/poorly-
immanented nature of many a social domain-of-study unlike the grand singularised/immanented ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating unifications’ that are actually actively sought in the natural sciences; and this author portends that the suprastructuralism/postmodernism as of deprocrypticism ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ holds the promise for such effective grand singularised/immanented social conceptualisation that doesn’t dodge/ignore/disregard outstanding questions about the human existential reality including structural/paradigmatic biases arising beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as of human emotional-involvement and sophistic distortion of perception of reality so-implied in our present positivism–procrypticism ‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ and just as well when ‘science ideology’ seem to subvert and undermine science-in-practice. Worst still while in effect the idea of specialisation in many a natural science domain is often the natural progression of a ‘comprehensively elucidated/reified unification of the given natural science domain-of-study’ with specialism more of a furtherance of such a unification scheme in a strong arborescent syncing with the subject-matter general-theoretical-level, in many such social domain-of-study of disparateness-of-conceptualisation (including some science domains as well which naively tend to draw comprehensive social and human implications of their studies) the drawback to such specialisms is often associated with ‘major interpretative loopholes at the general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter’ with regards to the knowledge-reification implications of supposedly specialisation domains and their studies since such an approach fails to effectively validate its methodological and conclusive implications with respect to the subject-matter general-theoretical-level implied ontology as of the subject-matter specific subpotency panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence so-reflected in its philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-
superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-
and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
consciousness’. This weakness is often reflected in naïve use of statistics and methods as well
as drawing out conclusions based rather on ordinary average-thinking interpretation as of
human-subpotency ‘rather than interpretations and conclusions ensuing naturally and
arborescently as from existence-potency knowledge-reification implications derived from the
general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter’ whereas this is ever always the case with good
practice in the natural sciences and just as well as with an increasingly self-conscious social
science as specifically upheld by postmodern-thought. For instance, the internal-
coherence/nested-congruence speaking of the underlying unification implications articulated
herein as of the human institutionalisation process can be garnered by the fact that all the
knowledge-reification herein implied arises as of the very same underlying ‘objectifying
cogent unifying process and gesturing’ as of ‘the projective-totalitative–implications of
relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness’, which is exactly
what avails in the good practices of the natural sciences as driven by their ‘cogent-unifying-
operant-dynamics’ whether with regards to say ‘objectifying chemical processes articulation’,
‘objectifying physical principles articulation’ or ‘objectifying biological processes
articulations’, contrary to a practice of disparateness-of-conceptualisation in many a social
domain-of-study wherein supposedly reified knowledge ‘hardly has any underlying implied
knowledge-reification process/gesturing for its derivation’ as ‘cogent-unifying-operant-
dynamics’ such that these turn out to be poorly operant or non-operant with the conceptual-
patterning gesturing of mere-referring-confused-with-explicating, mere-mentioning-
confused-with-deriving and mere-conceptual-synonymising-confused-for-knowledge-
reification, such that the underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of the supposed
knowledge-reification is hardly operantly existent or is operantly non-existent. Bizarrely, the
blurriness of the social seem to be misconstrued as implying knowledge-reification in the social should reflect such blurriness-as-of-disparateness rather than the ultimate objectifying unification-of-explanations, and so by conjugating ‘relative-ontological-completeness projective-totalitative-implications’ together with ‘subject-matter breadth and depth’ to achieve such an overall subject-matter knowledge-reification as of objectifying unification-of-explanations, in order to elucidate the blurriness. Such that quite often as of institutional practice the notion of unification is often misconstrued non-aporetically/undilemmatically/unreframed/untransformed as ‘merely bringing together disparate conceptualisations for their cross-examination (on the basis of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’)’ in a naïve substitution of the idea that unification truly speaks of aporetic/dilemmatic/reframed/transformed reconstrual underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘runs-through/deflates’ implied conceptualisations in elucidating their ontological-veracity by its capacity to ‘objectively deflate-all-conceptualisations as of operand projective-totalitative–implications in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’ as herein implied (involving prospective ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ for veridical ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology), rather than vague contrasting-and-comparison of disparate conceptualisations poorly reflecting underlying existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness; and further, such an insight of underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as herein implied is often misconstrued as being monotonous (whereas such ‘supposedly monotonous process/gesturing of knowledge-reification’ reflecting inherent domains-of-study as of their given subpotency panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence takes the form of the process/gesturing of knowledge-reification in say physics with the ‘supposed monotony’ of differential equations on physical variables, in chemistry with the ‘supposed
monotony’ of valence bonding explaining chemical reactions or in biology with the ‘supposed monotony’ of gene regulation rather ultimately central to all biological processes), with the false implication of construing that disparateness-of-conceptualisation is inherently convenient as of a mental-reflex oriented towards ordinary/averaging-of-thought human-subpotency ways-of-looking-at-things rather than adopting-the-intellectual-hat for reifying the former in a mental-reflex oriented towards existence-potency projective-totalitative–implications ways-of-looking-at-things. Critically, lost to many naive ‘science ideologues’ preaching about modelling the social domains-of-study along the natural sciences, is the fact that more than mere adoption-and-mimicking of scientific methods and approaches, the truly pertinent and decisively scientific notion of the natural sciences lies with their ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ from whence statistical, mathematical and other scientific methods become interpretatively intelligible; such that merely adopting-and-mimicking such methods without precedingly construing of the ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of any such social domain-of-study is ‘massively uninsightful/shallow and subject to institutional-being-and-craft sophistic misconstrual and manipulation’ as it is rather such a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness that points to the specific scientific methodology of relevance or irrelevance, given that in certain cases the qualitative nature of things will for instance render statistical and mathematical methods irrelevant. This further explains why Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis have been found in many social domains-of-study, including domains like medical and healthcare practice for instance, to provide a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘fully-address-in-depth social issues’; in the sense that Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative address the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding to further
advance its constructive/institutionalising nature, thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness transcendental-and-sublimity implications, and thus reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of the projective-totalitative–implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness. It is thus not surprising that naive disparateness-of-conceptualisation leads to subject-matters and studies whose supposed knowledge-reification tend to be most heavily dependent on ‘peering to a fault’ of the contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing of institutional-being-and-craft that is poorly constrained to existential-reality, rather than a peering process that is heavily constrained to existential-reality as of underlying ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as validatable and falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency as it is critically the case in the good practices of the natural sciences. The implication here is that the modern positivist ‘identitive conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is basically caught up in its very own enframed closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology which as of its ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ is rather ‘predisposed to a mental-reflex of construing concepts and conceptualisations in absolute terms of conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation of concepts and conceptualisations as of a presencing inclination in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that poorly or doesn’t recognise the transforming nature of concepts and conceptualisations as of projective-totalitative–
concepts and conceptualisations, and as such identitive positivistic modern thought fundamentally fails to recognise and factor in the aforementioned postmodern-thought knowledge-reification process/gesturing as of projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. Such a recurrent ontologically-flawed predisposition is tantamount to say construing Newtonian physics in the absolute terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its concepts and conceptualisations of say space, time, force, etc. to then project this predisposition by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation of these Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of Einsteinian physics in the hope that this will enable the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics, whereas the latter implies an utterly different reification process/gesturing for its specific physics elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification as of its projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is rather the suprastructuralism/postmodernism reification process/gesturing as of projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness that supersedingly induces postmodern-thought implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification, just as the same can be said of Einsteinian physics reification process/gesturing as of projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness in supersedingly inducing its specific implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of say space-time, force, etc. In both instances, when interpreted from the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective in ontologically-flawed ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ of naïve positivistic modern thought or Newtonian physics respectively, suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought and Einsteinian physics will be ‘qualified negatively as relativistic’ since the latter do not assume an ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ with
concepts like truth, space, time, force, etc. and the latter rather perceive these as ontologically-flawed ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ as from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective which emphasises construing existential-reality as it manifests itself as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness; and likewise, the fact that existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness ‘epistemically implies human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring.-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratioicnation for construing ontological-veracity’, thus ‘putting-in-question/deflating by difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ all ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ traditional conceptions beyond their simplistic conceptual-patterning to reflect underlying ecstatic-existence, will tend to be construed from the relative-ontological-
incompleteness perspective in ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ as nominalistic rather than as of ‘nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-
dynamics—unification-of-explanations edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ as from the relative-
ontological-completeness perspective. In other words, the concepts and conceptualisations of postmodern-thought are meaningless without their relevant and underlying theoretical background framework gesturing, and there is no point in construing them as of simplistic conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation as if these are of positivistic modern thought theoretical background framework gesturing just as the same can be said of striving for the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation as if of the latter. In
both cases, the projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implied displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject points to different sense-of-conscious-representation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology between the relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness such that the former is rather in pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness implying the need for its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing and cannot simply be projected as the latter which is what is rather truly and effectively of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument/axiomatising projective-totalitative–implications implying the need for its true and effective affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking. A further naivety is the appreciation of postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing arises as of a general misunderstanding of what is generally implied with regards to any given knowledge-reification process/gesturing. As indicated before all subject-matters/domains-of-study effectively reflect existence’s panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness with regards to projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-incompleteness, such that for instance even a naïve traditional conception of the physics domain-of-study as of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is shown to be veridically rather as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness going by the successive relative-ontological-completeness physics conception of such notions as space, time, etc. in totalising development of successive theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. using the very same notions and derived-notions but with different implications. This totalising nature of all domains-of-study
in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness as of projective-totalitative-implications, speaks of the epistemic-veracity of the fact that ‘all knowledge is truly developed as of a hermeneutic circle for relative-ontological-completeness’ that involves human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring-as-of-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. This hermeneutic circle knowledge-reification process/gesturing is furthermore reflected in both human scholarly-and-pedagagic exercise wherein subject-matters/domains-of-study are grasped in successive articulations of deeper and deeper hermeneutic insight as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. The implication here is that postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing simply integrates this notion in the sense that top-level postmodern scholars articulate their knowledge-reification process/gesturing at its ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of postmodern knowledge-reification’ no different from say top-level physicists and natural scientists articulating their knowledge-reification process/gesturing at their ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of top-level physics/natural-science knowledge-reification’. In both instances, the knowledge-reification process/gesturing implies that the scholar or student striving to engage at that top-level understanding, needs to grasp the ‘preceding formative/pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of knowledge-reification’. Such a supposed scholar or student cannot depart from ordinary/banal/averaging-of-thought level of knowledge conception to then claim that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing should be directly and fully grasppable to it as of an averaging-of-thought predisposition to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. The fact is the various pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of any subject-matter/domain-of-study as of successive maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness are meant to transmit a ‘totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-knowledge which is much more than
just its technical knowledge veracity’ and that ‘totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-knowledge’ is needed together with the induced technical dispensation of the lower hermeneutic circle of pedagogic knowledge-acquisition to then be able to engage with the higher/top-level scholarly/pedagogic hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification in its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It is important to understand here that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing cannot strive to engage the supposed scholar or student at any such ordinariness/banal/averaging-of-thought level of knowledge conception, and implicit in its knowledge-reification gesturing/process is the notion that the prior/all-the-prior hermeneutic circle level(s) of the subject-matter/domain-of-study need to be grasped beforehand; and this is basically because such a top-level is imbued with fundamental and new knowledge-reification priorities. While in many ways the unblurred/sharply-delineated nature of the natural sciences renders such a ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ more or less very transparent, with regards to the blurriness of the social such a postmodern-thought ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ rather requires increasing familiarisation, habituation and contemplation with regards to such critical texts and analyses (and as is particularly necessary with regards to the ‘parrhesiastic nature of philosophy that is behind the engendering/parrhesiastic-aestheticisation of underlying reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition and thereof derived domains-of-study reified-knowledge as from the underlying reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition’, and one’s intemporal solipsistic level of parrhesiastic contemplation is itself a decisive element for the capacity to appreciate-and-understand philosophical thought more than just an issue of technical acquisition of philosophical knowledge as of mere knowledge mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition). It is important to appreciate here that a history of postmodern-thought criticism driven by populism, media
operations, false intellectual engagement and intellectual-bad-faith, is particularly telling not about postmodern thinkers knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity but rather ‘the knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity of such critics who often pride themselves on not understanding postmodern-thought then by a strange paradox have the knowledge to produce a profound criticism of postmodern-thought which they supposedly do not understand’. Even more critically, the question can be raised whether such critics profoundly appreciate the overall human knowledge-reification process/gesturing as herein articulated, and whether this very fact isn’t linked to the knowledge-reification methodological difficulties arising in many social domains-of-study ‘assuming a disparateness-of-conceptualisation epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’ with the result of their relative knowledge-reification passivity with regards to many a social issue ‘but for adventures into social commentary divorced from genuine operant knowledge-reification implications’; and in this regards could it be that the true ‘unsaid issue with suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought’ lies with its parrhesiastic emphasis on the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject for the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness, an issue that has always been a difficult knot throughout the human institutionalisation process but which inevitably has to be dealt with for the possibility of prospective human institutionalisation. Such weaknesses manifested by many a postmodern critic fundamentally points to an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition that poorly appreciates the projective-totalitative-implications involved in knowledge-reification, and is reflected in a lack of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight that ‘poorly grasp the philosophical analysis implications of the existential background/development of becoming-as-historiality, as if philosophy only started as of our present positivist era with a naivety that seems to imply that
all-that-should-have-been,-that-is-and-that-will-be,-as-of-the-human-potential is as of a modern positivist closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in its given reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition with no or poor insight of prior-and-prospective human becoming as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’; and so when it generally comes to analysing philosophical texts requiring a sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight. This lack is quite often reflected in such misconstrued analyses of traditional philosophical figures by a failure to understand the overall coherent narrative of such figures as of an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism ending up quite often claiming the incoherence of such figures and/or of their narrative accounts, and so in a ‘naïve insight’ arising exactly because the possibility for understanding requires the critic’s own parrhesiastic insight and then hermeneutic conceptualisation to then develop the capacity to grasp first of all such traditional philosophical figures underlying knowledge-reification process/gesturing and thus be able to understand how such knowledge-reification process/gesturing develops and why, and thus enabling the grasp not only of the accuracy of narrated accounts and notions but equally insight about the nuanced and covertly narrated accounts and notions, and all these while being informed by the immediate and broader underlying social background and implicated social and philosophical stakes of contention-and-confliction. In this regards, more than just the simpleminded analysis of traditional philosophical figures, such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic analytical insight actually converges with the epochal philosophical implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and are actually more scientifically profound in that respect than meets the eye as to the fact that such analyses are more than just ‘archivistic retrieving’ but structurally/paradigmatically conceptualise the extended existential possibilities of falsifiability and validation in determining ontological-veracity as of a critical exercise of
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. In this regards, such hermeneutic and parrhesiastic depth of analysis is more profoundly driven beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts about traditional philosophical figures but goes on to analyse the structural/paradigmatic possibilities of overall human social transformation reflected in the narrative accounts of such traditional philosophical figures. For instance, the ontological-veracity of Socratic philosophy is rather more strongly based on the overall social implications and underlying narrative of its novel universalising idealisation that ‘runs-through/is-deflating’ by its event instigating traditional philosophical figures and schools, and as pursued by their successors including the stoics, cynics, etc. and as to its induced universalising idealisation transformative meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact with respect to societies of the Mediterranean including the Roman empire and subsequent religio-political developments. In another respect, it is often touted from an ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ orientation that Socratic philosophers were institutionally ‘anti-democratic’, going particularly by the Platonic emphasis on philosopher kings, by the naivety and mere token that the prevailing ancient Athens ‘mob-rule democracy’ is of the same conceptual-patterning as our modern conception of democracy; but this is rather unnuanced with regards to what was a more pressing question of good governance in Ancient Athens and in the sense that such a ‘mob-rule democracy’ is not what prevails today and more critically the fact is the modern democracy model whether of direct or indirect manifestations is rather more critically informed by these criticisms of the Socratic philosophers (and not intellectual inspiration from any such mob-rule instigating sophists) wherein we rather place emphasis on ‘informed expertising and expertising-institutions for the comprehensive process of our modern democracy’ such that modern day
crises of democratic governance with regards to bad governance, institutional crisis, economic crisis or undesirable wars are rather generally construed as arising from ‘failure or sophistry of expertise and expertising-institutions’ in need of better expertising, and furthermore major political calamities of the 20th century leading to totalitarian governments and their instigation of genocides arose exactly due to misinformed populist democracy. Paradoxically, this insight validates the point advanced herein that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is critically more than just its mechanic-knowledge ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ but rather an organic-knowledge as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism residuality that then feeds into prospective ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’; emphasising as of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific limited-mentation-capacity that knowledge ‘more profoundly lies with the knowledge-reification gesturing and organic implications’, just as we cannot simplistically interpret the importance of Aristotelian science in terms of its constitutive elements as earth, water, air, fire and aether on a naïve ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ basis from the vantage perspective of our modern positivism (as being at the receiving backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring as of the human institutionalisation process) but rather the more critical insight lies with its novel and transformative universalising-classificatory knowledge-reification gesturing as opening up the possibility for prospective human reconceptualisation of science providing the backdrop from which modern science took off from the medieval times to the present. Likewise, the transformative nature of budding positivism more than just as garnered from the precised narrative accounts about budding positivist thinkers, lies more profoundly with its meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact on the developing enlightenment social developments and as this budding positivism metaphorically epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically brought about our positivism/rational-empiricism modern
society. The analyses of human becoming so-implied as of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic development is in of itself a pure science that is epistemically-derivable as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, and so beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts of traditional philosophical figures and besides such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight actually informs about the ontological-pertinence of such narrative accounts. In another respect, even with a most natural sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight, many a figure predispose to atomising/taking-to-pieces analysis, including founders of this orientation and other of its leading figures, have ultimately come to realise its relative underlying platitude with respect to prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity such that a prevailing notion has developed within as to imply philosophy doesn’t necessarily involve a transcendental-and-sublimity promise as of a nombrilistic institutional-being-and-craft predisposition; and as such a merely mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition knowledge culture that ‘dodges potential parrhesiastic implications from its very own tentative analyses’ speaks of ‘a supposed intellectualism’ that does not lead prospective social progress as it becomes a sophistic problem for prospective social progress especially so when it originates from the ‘mother of all disciplines’. The fact is ‘philosophy just as any of its derived domain-of-study is not the ownership of any institutional culture’ but rather ‘a human abstract-property co-opted institutionally in deferential-formalisation-transference to the extend that that deference fulfils its promise of knowledge-reification for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity’. In this regards, the transcendental-and-sublimity possibilities of 7.5 billion humans today and human posterity cannot be construed as hanging on such terms of institutional-being-and-craft dispositions prevailing in many a social domain-of-study and even some of the natural sciences as of naïve science ideology, and so because beyond the
temporal human disposition to contemplate of existence as of a-lifespan-of-existence-implications there need to be ‘human intemporal contemplation that abstractly lives/exists beyond a-lifespan-of-existence-implications to fetch for prospective possibilities of meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’, something which a-lifespan-of-existence-implications projection as of a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is not structured/paradigmised to do! But then the phenomenological question arising with respect to the fact that many a social domain-of-study ‘tend to assume a disparateness-of-conceptualisation epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’, is how exactly does such lack of ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ affect the realisation of the full knowledge-reification potentiality of domains-of-study as of their ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency? Insightfully, this fundamentally has to do with the contrastive implications in construing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of good-practice/epistemic-veracity and bad-practice/epistemic-impertinence for knowledge-reification; wherein objectifying unification-of-explanations as good-practice/epistemic-veracity of knowledge-reification involves the construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ so-construed veridically as ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, whereas disparateness-of-conceptualisation as bad-practice/epistemic-impertinence of knowledge-reification involves the construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as ‘disparateness/disjointing of primemovers’ so-construed wrongly as ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in constitutedness outside existential-contextualising-contiguity’. Thus ‘disparateness/disjointing of primemovers as disparateness-
of-conceptualisation’ basically undermines the veridical underlying ‘ontological-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, and thus undermines aetiologisation/ontological-escalation predicative-effectivity. ‘Disparateness/Disjointing of primemovers as disparateness-of-conceptualisation’ undermines the inherent ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, such that the supposed exercise of knowledge-reification ends up ‘losing the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of axiomatic-constructs as reflective of existential-reality’; as of the flawed disparateness/disjointing of overall inherent existential-reality edginess/incisiveness, and further reflected variously as temporal over-emphasising and/or underemphasising/ignoring of primemovers reflecting ‘ontological-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, and so due to ‘human-subpotency presencing totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag as well as lack of prospective intemporal parrhesiastic aestheticisation for prospectively renewed mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ thus undermining existence-potency projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. While in many a natural science ‘the high-and-immediate subjection to existential/experimental falsifiability and validation as of projective-totalitative–implications of conceptualisations’ acts as a strong constraining effect in relatively undermining ‘disparateness/disjointing of primemovers’ and rather encouraging ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, ‘the blurriness and remoteness of falsifiability and validation as of projective-totalitative–implications of conceptualisations’ in many a social domain-of-study relatively undermines ‘good-practice/epistemic-veracity selectiveness towards cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, as the latter is
tracing creative aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regards, ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ is marked by its greater taxing of human limited-mentation-capacity and specifically so as it ‘re-stakes/put-back-at-stake the capacity of human ontological-performance by its renewing spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ over already set/established prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. This inversely-varying-emphasis of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’, given human limited-mentation-capacity implications, is reflected in all human aestheticisation construals whether as of reflex aestheticisation construct, instant aestheticisation construct, shallow aestheticisation construct, dragged-out aestheticisation construct, profound aestheticisation construct or subsuming aestheticisation construct with respect to sought out ontological-performance implications. The inevitability of this relation of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ in all human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology lies with the fact that, however human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening implications of more and more profound ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ given edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising, human-subpotency is ever always unduly prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limited/prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable in its ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology construal of ecstatic-existence to which it only bears an ‘as of’ semblance (in any of its given presencing) that isn’t constraining in anyway on ‘the becoming of ecstatic-existence/existence-potency/transcendental-signifier’ such that the latter’s ‘becoming-
spontaneity implications of digression’ from such human-subpotency prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ ever always warrant prospective ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and thus the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic prospective implications for renewed ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’; and so, in order to ‘prospectively elevate the ontological-performance of human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the construal of existential-reality’ while overcoming the stalling in ontological-performance underlying the mere complexification of the prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’.

This inversely-varying-emphasis of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ is so-reflected with: prospective reactualising of ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’ (as induced from reconstruing/reconsideration of both mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation and signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation); prospective reactualising of ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, for instance in the dynamic ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ reflected with genres of music as of their ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ setup/establishing in derivating re-originating; and prospective reactualising of ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’, and this is more fundamentally with respect to human underlying ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework as of existence-potency, and so-
reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ which is patternly developed-and-anchored as from its driven ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’; and so at the thresholds of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ unduly deficient/limited/aporetic/undecidable ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology wherein ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ re-stakes/puts-back-at-stake epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically the reconstruing of existential-reality despite the taxingness-of-originariness, and so as of a perception of unduly deficient/limited/aporetic/undecidable ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency validation/invalidation implications. It is important to grasp that the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation (as of human ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, and ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’), is reflective of underlying ‘hermeneutic reactualising as totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ human aestheticisation process with respect to living-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ with respect to unduly deficient/limited/aporetic/undecidable ontological-
performance-including-virtue-as-ontology wherein ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ re-stakes.puts-back-at-stake the reconstruing of existential-reality despite the taxingness-of-originariness. This human aestheticisation process involves inversely-varying-emphasis of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ (so-construed as of ‘high/low parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation’ with respect to ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’), reflecting the ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. For instance with regards to living-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, human aestheticisation is reflected in childhood to adulthood social development wherein a child’s development as of its ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’ involves initially a more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-carefreeness with the child aspiring for social-integration-and-evolving at successive stages as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension in a ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as of its ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ that ultimately involves major stages like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. It is critical to grasp here that such ‘living-as-of-human-personality-developing’ human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology (‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-
integration-and-evolving’ as of a ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’) in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness involving ‘hermeneutic reactualising as totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ always entails the three human aestheticisation manifest elements: ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, and ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’. This human aestheticisation insight is informing about what exactly is meant by such major stages of human personality development like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, etc. in the sense that the underlying/induced ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ already speaks of the ‘hermeneutic reactualising as totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ long before a child’s language acquisition achievement recognition, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, etc. More specifically we can thus factor in that language as formally defined, and so specifically as this reflects a particular phonetic/written signification construct, is rather in reality the ‘teleological outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ of a rather ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ induced from a ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ driven ‘hermeneutic reactualising as totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ that starts long before a child’s ‘recognised’ acquisition of any such ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’, as the child already has
a ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ before its ‘recognised’
acquisition of ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—
construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-
institutional-manifestation’, and that acquisition of a specific ‘language-as-phonetic/written-
signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-
aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ in due course (though
annunciative) is rather secondary-and-prolongative of the child’s evolving underlying human
‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ as of its ‘high
parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’. It is this
underlying ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ that is truly of
‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ ontological analysis in existential-contextualising-contiguity
conflicatedness (and as it may then be reflected in practice with regards to its analysis on the
basis of any specific ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-
incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’); and so, reflecting ‘its prior-not-
recognised-as-language-acquisition stage’, ‘its recognised-as-language-acquisition stage’ and
‘its subsequent-deepening-of-recognised-as-language-acquisition stage and as this extends to
specialised language or secondary language developments’. Beyond ‘living-development
meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ (as implied above with ‘living-as-of-human-
personality-developing’ aestheticisation of underlying becoming ‘complex sense of
meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ as of the ‘more and more profound enlarging-
framework of reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’ of language), with human ‘institutional-development meaningfulness-and-
teleology aestheticisation’ as of any given conventioned human ‘language-as-
specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-
manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language’ is more critically a passive ready-at-hand conception that is epistemically/notionally ever always critical only in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness as it is adapted to ‘human projective-totalitative-implications purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation’. This basically explains the constantly developing nature of human ‘institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language’ which are not truly absolutely of present-at-hand as to wrongly imply ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology in presencing (even as the privileged social conceptualisation of say language is as of ‘language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose’). Insightfully, we can garner that it is ‘human projective-totalitative–implications purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation’ implied as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that fundamentally renders/makes human institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions’ to be necessarily as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and not in constitutedness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-
existential-contextualising-contiguity’. In another respect, ‘living-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ is of ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with regards to human childhood to adulthood personality development as of the forming individual need to assimilate/integrate
human progressive cultural cumulation, and this is very much in contrast to ‘institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ that rather cumulatively holds-on-to and complexifies the culturally cumulated outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecented-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestations—construed-as-institutional-manifestations from historically accrued ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ construed as of human institutional-cumulation such as with regards to any specific ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthecented-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’. This will explain why the human institutionalisation process as of ‘institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ is ‘highly parrhesiastically economical’ as reflected in the overall institutionalisation process ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’; wherein only the perception of unduly deficient/limited/aporetic/undecidable ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically elicits ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’. This is so because given the taxingness-of-originariness any such ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ has to resolve considerably unduly deficient/limited/aporetic/undecidable ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ for such ‘institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ underlying ‘hermeneutic reactualising as totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ to be worth the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic effort, with the
preference for any such effort rather directed at the complexification of the prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. This will explain for instance why as of the furtherance of the human institutionalisation process, the ‘institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ with regards to language development hasn’t warranted any ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with respect to new language creation but this has rather been directed towards language complexification as of advancing human knowledge and construction-of-the-Self. In the bigger picture, the above human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation analysis (and as reflected specifically with language acquisition) is reflective of the fact that human-subpotency specific panintelligibility, reflected in human underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality, is ultimately potentiated as of human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’. This instigation of human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology so-reflected in ‘human existence historiality-as-of-ontological-aesthetic-tracing creative aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ driven as of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ in renewing ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ involves an ‘overall flux of human meaningfulness-and-teleology of varying temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances’ wherein such a flux construed as human aporetic dissemination is confronted to ‘existence-potency validative/invalidative selectivity’ enabling living-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development meaningfulness-and-teleology and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion meaningfulness-and-teleology; and thereof reflected in the second-natured institutionalisation framework of the
given registry-worldview suprasocial-construct and its averaging-of-thought. It is important here to grasp that despite any human registry-worldview.dimension totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag ontologically-flawed inclination to think otherwise, its given suprasocial-construct and its given averaging-of-thought ‘are not the absolute possibility’, as of their induced ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’, for the prospective aestheticisation of human intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology given that such suprasocial-construct and averaging-of-thought are effectively rather second-natured institutionalisation outcome of ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. In this regards, the more profound basis for prospective generation of human intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ that renews ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ as of prospective existence-potency validation/invalidation implications. This underlying insight is reflective of the fact that ‘second-naturedness is no substitute for originariness as of the projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness’, as originariness is ever always about ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-ontological-fideism’ in contrast to the essentially mechanical/mere-form of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition of second-naturedness. This fundamental originariness and second-naturedness conundrum of the human institutionalisation process is reflected by the fact that the human Self is ever always in disseminative constructiveness/destructuring
defining its given registry-worldview/dimension shiftiness-of-the-Self as of ‘a sub-existence-potency/human-subpotency disposition to construe as of full existence-potency at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold’ its prior second-natured ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’; and so in obfuscation and pedantically. The possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity has ever always been able to arise at such prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions not by a ‘false pretense’ that the ontologically-veridical underlying issue of prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limited/prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ in the construal of ecstatic-existence, is one in want of candid analysis as of the very same prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ but rather the ontological-veracity of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ for prospective/renewed ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’; as perfectly understood by the Socratic philosophers advancing of universalising idealisation relative to the Ancient sophists non-universalising inclination, budding positivists/rational-empiricists advancing of positivism/rational-empiricism relative to the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism and equally as of our positivism–procrypticism this author construes practices of disparateness-of-conceptualisation not constrained to existence-potency but rather institutionalised imprimatur as of institutional-being-and-craft as intellectually wanting and in need of the advancing of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations edginess/incisiveness—in-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. In other words, the
prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their shiftiness-of-the-Self are the aporetic point at which their languages collapse into ‘wooden languages’ that are from a prospective perspective not profound but mechanical/mere-form mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition thus inherently raising up the underlying ontological-veracity issue of their prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limited/prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ that can only be dealt with as of prospective ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ so-construed as ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’. The fact is that the possibility for prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity is ever always underdetermined, as between prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought and prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is the ‘aporia of underdetermined madness’ that human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism residuality renders possible as prospective ontological-veracity is only then epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically salvageable as of existence-potency validation/invalidation implications as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. That is, between reasoning–as-reasoning-from-results/afterthought and reasoning–as-reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is ‘aporetic underdetermined madness’ that renders a pretense of hanging unto prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ more like ‘a pretense of already grasping the complete implications of ecstatic-existence while ignoring/not-registering the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’
and rather speaks in effect of a nihilistic closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and this temporal nihilism at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds has ever always been associated with a corresponding intemporal asceticism for opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (not partaking as of transversality/logical-incongruence in any such ‘wooden language’) that is the sine qua non for the habituation of the possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. Overcoming this ‘aporia of underdetermined madness’ despite human temporal-to-intemporal existencialism-form-factor, has ever always been the absolutely determinative possibility for the fulfilment of the construction-of-humanity-as-of-its-developing-construction-of-the-Self enabling human transcendence-and-sublimity to arise; as its overcoming has ever always elicited humankind’s ability to ascetically go beyond its ‘prior comfort zone’ to reconstrue its future emancipatory possibilities. In this regard, the idea of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism residuality, however its recurrent outlier intemporal instigation as of ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ across the human institutionalisation process, speaks to the fact that the sense of prospective base-institutionalisation in prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is potentially an actionable possibility as of the latter’s ‘parrhesiastic structure’ construed as ‘its-given-developed-level-of-Will/Spirit in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’, and likewise between base-institutionalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism/rational-empiricism, and prospectively positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism. But then across the human institutionalisation process what is easily lost is exactly ‘this most vital but brittle ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism residuality element of meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the successive transcendences-and-sublimity’, as the very renewing of ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ seems to induce
a ‘deferment of human instinctual responsibility’ as to temporally imply ‘human ontological-performance strategies are valid by their mechanical/mere-form alignment to any such mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ inducing human naïve temporal-intemporality as of the shiftiness-of-the-Self of the corresponding registry-worldview/dimension wherein the eliciting of a mutual sense of temporality within such a framework as of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is wrongly reconstrued as ‘intemporality’ (but then we can garner from our vantage modern positivism perspective that such defective process in prior registry-worldviews/dimensions effectively spoke of their corresponding prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold and the same does applies in our own respect from a prospective perspective). In this regards the prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as of its notional-deprocrypticism reflexivity of this human ‘aporetic deficiency of ontological-performance’ along the overall human institutionalisation process, effectively induces ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ but then as of its ‘reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’, it is not receptive to a human dephasing shiftiness-of-the-Self as of ‘deferment of human instinctual responsibility’ that dehistorialises humankind into Being/Existential homelessness in a temporal-intemporality nihilism wherein we wrongly deify our presencing totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag while paradoxically failing to articulate a coherent existential narrative underlying human destructuring/constructiveness that is deeper than the nombrilism of our lifespans. This orientation is very much the peculiarity of deprocrypticism as in reality all the other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions are notionally/epistemically various levels of notional-procrypticism-or-notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as of increasing notional-deprocrypticism or increasing notional-
constitutedness’/identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism failing to grasp the dynamism implied in the Socratic knowledge-is-virtue insight when it attributes to individuals inherence of good-naturedness or bad-naturedness and ‘failing to construe of the knowledge-driven ontologically-pertinent structural/paradigmatic process as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications that then reflects the manifestation of human virtue/constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and human virtue/constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’. The bigger point here is that it is at the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as ‘point of projective-totalitative–implications of the human institutionalisation process’ that one can reflect upon the ‘notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ of our procrypticism–positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to construe its induced virtue/constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments/destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. We can thus appreciate that just as an ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’/identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism assessment of the virtue and vices-and-impediments of individuals in any of the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions will find them relatively wanting/deficient with regards to our positivism, this ‘is not decisively/critically the case on the basis that we are inherently better individuals than any of the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions individuals’ but rather a question of us being at the vantage backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring as of the human institutionalisation process relative-
ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications of limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening, pointing out that what is decisive/critical for inducing human
virtue over vices-and-impediments rather lies with the assessment of any such registry-
worldview/dimension prospective ‘point of projective-totalitative–implications of the human
institutionalisation process’ as so-implied by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension
edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
as it reflects upon the preceding registry-worldview/dimension ‘notional-
procrpticism/notional-disjointedness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-
reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ in order to
construe/assess/supersede by its induced virtue/constructiveness-of-ontological-performance
over vices-and-impediments/destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of living-
development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion. The overall emphasis herein of the conjunction between psychopathic
manifestation with the human institutionalisation process arises in the sense that as previously
articulated the ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing-(as-of-lower-
threshold-in-failing-dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension,-with-‘slanting-qualia-schema’-manifested-overtly-at-
childhood-psychopathy-destructuring-threshold-but-susceptible-to-be-wrongly-construed-as-
‘thinking-qualia-schema’-at-covert-adulthood-psychopathy-destructuring-threshold-and-as-
the-latter-induces-conjugated-postlogism-destructuring-threshold) destructuring-threshold-of-
ontological-performance’ manifestation of any given registry-worldview/dimension is just a
‘difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity’ on the basis of the same
totalising/circumscribing/delineating edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising construed as of the
‘underlying apriorising-psychologism/mental-schema’ of the given registry-

reification/contemplative-distension, with ‘slanting-qualia-schema’-manifested-overtly-at-
childhood-psychopathy-destructuring-threshold-but-susceptible-to-be-wrongly-construed-as-
‘thinking-qualia-schema’-at-covert-adulthood-psychopathy-destructuring-threshold-and-as-the-
latter-induces-conjugated-postlogism-destructuring-threshold) destructuring-threshold-of-
ontological-performance’ and ‘ordinary/expected/assumed-normal higher-threshold of human
limited-mentation-capacity in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for living-development’ associated
with ‘prelogism/dialectically-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ (as from
within the very same registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising perspective), is the fact that
‘all that humankind has got for conceptualising ecstatic-existence, as ever the very same
totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existence, is effectively our human limited-mentation-
capacity of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ by which we
then assume/adopt an ‘absolutising identitive constitutedness’ disposition for
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘as if
humankind has ever always been as of ‘prelogism/dialectically-thinking constructiveness-of-
ontological-performance’ disposition and never ‘dialectically-dementing-as-of-
postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-‘dementing-qualia-schema’-at-its-prospective-
uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuriing-threshold-of-ontological-performance
disposition’ when factoring in projective-totalitative–implications as of relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness; in the sense that the
edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising
of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation,
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism respectively
uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuriing-threshold-of-ontological-performance’
onthologically-flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution-for-
social-functioning-and-accordance as from the edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of the prospective registry-
worldview/dimension for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising cognisant-and-
integrative social meaningfulness-and-teleology’ so-construed as difference-in-
nature/notional-discontiguity. Hence, ‘all the human home that exists’ is as of the full
implications of the perpetuation of the human institutionalisation process as it explains what
is the human and its becoming beyond any epochally blinded nombrilism. But then while
realistically the human institutionalisation process is driven as of human first-natured and
second-natured institutionalisation dispositions with respect to the fact that the human
averaging-of-thought disposition of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is very much capable
of countenancing however fragile prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications;
that is, until when that fragility is exploited by temporal sophistic dispositions in wrongly and
cynically implying the equivalency of prospective intemporal-projection and prior temporal-
projection as to when ancient Sophists elicit the contemplation of Socratic philosophers
intemporal universalising idealisation narrative in terms of their epochal averaging-of-
thought non-universalising narrative, as to when medieval-scholasticism fail to engage
prospective budding positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and
harkening rather to its dogmatism pedantry, and as to when modern day intellectual
muddlement seems to be blinded to the implication of ‘prospective event/aporetic thinking
implied deprocrypticism/pre-empting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and take
the route of eliciting disparateness-of-conceptualisation unconstrained to existential-reality as
of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework such that even the idea of a human
existential narrative tends to be put into question together with a tendency to question the pertinence of historically transformative figures and movements, and so in a ‘disparateness-of-conceptualisation impotence-inducing exercise’ (as to the fact that where there is uncertainty, whether real or unreal, ontological implications cannot then be effectively derived). The manifest reality of human ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology is thus one that is ever subontological as of human temporal-to-intemorial existentialism-form-factor. This is reflected inherently in the fact that given human limited-mentation-capacity, human aestheticisation is ever always reactualising/recomposuring towards a fully ontologising mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising; that is, human aestheticisation as from prospective ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ instigation develops by recomposuring as from ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’ to ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’ and then to ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’ with the latter achieving the given registry-worldview/dimension mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. Basically, human aestheticisation, in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity and human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening possibility, ever always involves a ‘human disposition in portraying/reflecting/construing existence/ontological-veracity’ as of ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ and as of ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ which then define together the aestheticisation specificity of the culturally cumulated outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheced-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestations—construed-as-institutional-manifestations explaining why
human institutional constructs like language, cultural practices, etc. are inherently of their given cultural specificness. In this regards, the social-setup in its furtherance of human aestheticisation towards human ontologising of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always drawn between ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ rather in constitutedness as of its ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ rather in conflatedness as of instigative ‘originariness parrhesia as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’; explaining the structural/paradigmatic nature of human living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as to the respective possibility of either a non-transcendental closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and a transcendental opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to reference-of-thought-level edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. The prospect for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity is thus in many ways outlier to any given social-setup by the mere token that it more critically construes of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as being within the framework of its value-construct ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ and so in incoherence with outlying implied ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’; explaining why transcendence-and-sublimity cannot be construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness of ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ but rather as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’. Basically, ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’, as of existence-potency value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications necessarily imply the prospective devaluing of the ‘presencing finitism of
aestheticisation’ implied hierarchisation-of-values. However, the reality as of human limited-capacity is that however a seemingly universal disposition for ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology furtherance, such a disposition is not open-ended as reflected at any destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of prior mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, in the sense that the human investment as of ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ in prior ‘reproducibility mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ implies that it can be rather inclined to reject/ignore prospective ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology, and so where this discrepancy is sophistically perceived as advantageous to social-stake-contention-or-confliction (as manifested with sophistic mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, ancient Sophists, medieval-scholasticism pedants and modern day intellectual muddlement). In this regards, the value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications of a social-setup epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic hierarchisation-of-values (rather in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) is what provides the prospect for deflating/undermining its given vices-and-impediments as from prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. The fact that all registry-worldviews/dimensions are subontological as of their ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ with respect to prospective ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ (so-reflected in the transcendental advancing of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of universalising idealisation rather as from outlier Socratic philosophers over the ancient mythologies and cultism of the technically more potent Ancient Egyptians and Persians, etc., the transcendental advancing of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology by outlier budding positivists over medieval
Europe scholasticism pedantry notwithstanding its medieval institutional hegemony, likewise modern day disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought muddlement involving institutional-being-and-craft speaks of our prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective deprocrypticism transcendental advancing of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness of parrhesiastic and mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in organic coherence and as ultimately reflecting the panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence of all human knowledge’) points out that all registry-worldviews/dimensions tend to assume a subontological equilibrium as their prospective destructuring-threshold with regards to their given mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition; with the suprasocial-construct, averaging-of-thought and sophistry ‘presencing finitism of aestheticisation’ dynamics seemingly substituting in effect for prospective ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of ‘aporetic absencing/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’. The projective-totalitative–implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of the human institutionalisation process critically and insightfully highlights, in reflection of inherent human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, that ‘all registry-worldviews/dimensions are ever always at the crossroads of knowledge-reification and sophistry as the latter is facilitated by underlying social averaging-of-thought as of the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity’; and so, as to the confluence of ‘prospective parrhesiastic instigative intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism (inherently so as all prospective knowledge in inherently initially underdetermined thus depended at its instigation on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, and is only prospectively validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in reflection of existence-potency/the-transcendental-signifier) parrhesiastic seeding-promise of
prospective knowledge-reification mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility seeding-misprising as mere-form of the prospective knowledge-reification mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought induced destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance. This structurally/paradigmatically defined existential framework of knowledge-reification of any given registry-worldview/dimension is known as its ‘parrhesiastic structure’ and is intimately associated with its given shiftiness-of-the-Self. The ‘parrhesiastic structure’ speaks of ‘a-given-developed-level-of-Will/Spirit in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’ that then allows for the corresponding ‘mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition reference-of-thought-level edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising’. This is fundamentally what explains why the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation cannot all of a sudden start reasoning as of base-institutionalisation, and the latter as of universalisation, the latter as of positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively the latter as of deprocrypticism. The overall point here is that it is the ‘parrhesiastic structure’ as of parrhesiastic-aestheticisation that ‘invents/creates’ the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, and carries the ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’. But then human temporality loses sight of this ‘parrhesiastic instigative intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective
knowledge-reification’ and assumes at the given registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance ‘an absolutising disposition as of temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility seeding-misprising as mere-form of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition reflected in the absolutising of normativities, conventions, practices, etc.’ without or a poor sense of the ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ (that is, as the shiftiness-of-the-Self loses sight of ‘Will/Spirit parrhesiastic instigative dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’). Such an ‘absolutising disposition with the registry-worldview/dimension mere-form of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ is what underlies disparateness-of-conceptualisation at a registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance ‘wherein normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as second-natured institutionalised constructs assume absolute determinism that flawly override any parrhesiastic totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity’, and explains the Sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation non-universalising inclination on the basis that that social practice is absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology and the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation non-positivising/medievalism dogma on the basis that that social practice is absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as well as present day overall intellectual muddlement as of institutional-being-and-craft normativities, conventions, practices, etc. in ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ on the basis that such social practices are absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, adherence to prospective knowledge-reification as of human temporality arises as of the existentially constraining untenability of positive-opportunism induced mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition but doesn’t necessarily elicits intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality for prospective knowledge-reification as of ‘a weak social mental-reflex that any parrhesiastic totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity will put in question prior mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as can be reflected in normativities, conventions, practices, etc.’, and this is what explains the prevalence of disparateness-of-conceptualisation at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds as ‘mere-form of mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ temporally takes pride-of-place and so unconstrained to prospective existence-potency validation/invalidation implications ‘as of parrhesiastic totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity’ thus providing the framework for intellectual-bad-faith and sophistry hanging on unto second-natured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. thus rendering prospective transcendence-and-sublimity impotent. Thus ‘the possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity is ever always a renewed parrhesiastic structure’ that as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning can overcome such a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so counterintuitively to any given registry-worldview/dimension notion/sense of transcendence-and-sublimity as rather occurring along its already second-natured established mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition normativities, conventions, practices, etc.; and this very much explains why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are successive parrhesiastic instigation of renewed mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition. Further the ‘renewed parrhesiastic structure’ in undermining prior ‘reference-of-thought-level and thus reference-of-thought-devolving-level
of disparateness-of-conceptualisation’ implies ‘reference-of-thought-level and thus reference-of-thought-devolving-level unification-of-explanations as of existence-potency’, and not ‘unification as of human-subpotency elicited contrasting-and-comparison’ as the latter just leads to a complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation along the very same mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency dialogical-equivalency that ‘allows the mortals that we are to average our thoughts’ rather than existence-potency imposing ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This explains why the universalising idealisation of Socratic philosophers, budding positivists thought and herein as well suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought are all characterised in their knowledge-reification not by an articulation along the prior established mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition but rather prospective existence-potency constraining parrhesiastic aestheticisation of prospective mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, that in all three cases looks down upon the notion of human-subpotency sophistic pretense of unification that is no more than complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation. Critically as of such parrhesiastic instigation of prospective relative-ontological-completeness the prior mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition ‘sophistic pretenses of candour’ are edgily/incisively trampled-upon parrhesiastically as the Socratic philosophers go out of their way to highlight the intellectual discredit of the sophists, as budding positivists go out of their way to highlight medieval-scholasticism dogma, and likewise suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought is beyond just our positivism–procrypticism mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition and as reflected herein with the parrhesiastic highlighting of institutional-being-and-craft and intellectual muddlement as of positivism–procrypticism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as reference-of-
thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising; as all that is as of knowledge-reification at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds is necessarily as of prospective parrhesiastic instigation beyond the priorly parrhesiastic instigated mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition. In all these three instances of parrhesiastic instigation for human transcendence-and-sublimity, it is important to grasp that their validation lies in their ‘parrhesiastic totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of reference-of-thought-level mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ construed as from projective-totalitative—implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness as of ‘existence-potency induced unification-of-explanations at registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ reflecting a nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations so-implied across the human institutionalisation process successive registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening implications of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising, and so ‘over human-subpotency dialogical-equivalency implied disparateness-of-conceptualisation unification as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency contrasting-and-comparison driven notion of unification’. Rather the Socratic philosophers are not obstinate as all the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality for prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human limited-mentation-
suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought is not obstinate as all the prospective possibility for our prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise residuality for prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening) can only arise as of existence-potency implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness parrhesiastic instigation implications of ‘deprocripticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ as the cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of ‘unification-of-explanations at reference-of-thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and ‘not contrasting-and-comparison disparateness-of-conceptualisation in human-subpotency dialogical-equivalency of positivism–procripticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought matthesis/motif/thrownness-disposition second-natured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as of its lack of prospective deprocripticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as reference-of-thought-level nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’. In furtherance of this prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic indictment, this author laments a covert practice of an intellection that has been critical of postmodern-thought but in latter years ‘reformulates the implications of postmodern ideas’ as original thought even as such practices supposedly passes their institutional thresholds of admissibility with the caveat though that much of such thought is poorly operant given its ad-hoc depth of knowledge-reification gesturing/process as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation implications, and along the same parrhesiastic prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemic line this author is very much befuddled of a perverted exercise to undermine the originality of this work supposedly because of the theoretical orientation by a
naïve ad-hoc synonymising exercise that this author is very much confident fails as it overlooks the coherence and knowledge-reification gesturing/process articulated herein. Generally, such perversion of thought as it discreetly networks fails society in the long-run when it seems to assume a foreshadowing posture with regards to what can be thought or not thought as of a ‘realpolitiking of thought’ exercise. Such intellectual shadiness of vague highmindedness is no more different from the gross inanity of ancient sophists or medieval-scholastic pedants, as of naïve flatminded incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a poor sense of intemporality beyond earthly materialism. The transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity of all singularising/immanenting subject-matters/domains-of-study ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating unifications’ reflecting existence’s panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence, as of the implications of philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, whether with respect to say evolutionary theory in the biological sciences or physics unification theories for instance can ultimately imply the reconceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to supersede the fundamental approach of ‘finite categorising axiomatisation’ as of positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising towards hermeneutic existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying projective-totalitative–implications of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought referentialism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence involving ‘ontologically-projective-as-of-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence aestheticising/designed axiomatisation insight’. Basically thus, naïve mimickry of mere scientific approaches and methodologies isn’t inherently
contiguity knowledge-reification of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so over analytic atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism that goes on to analyse as if all the analysis that has ever been is as of presencing while ignoring the projective-totalitative-implications of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance as from past to present to future with regards to existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Another criticism is the inclination for such atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation predisposition to start out with ad-hoc disparate conceptualisations as of identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism that often poorly reflect the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality rather than the contrary approach that delves directly in existential-contextualising-contiguity and then reifies-out conceptualisations as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. The implication here is that quite often when required to explicate social phenomena outside the framework of such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach, what happens is that responses will often tend not to be as of the direct import of such analytical atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation frameworks of supposed reification/elucidation, but rather as extra-contemplative articulations and commentaries that in many ways fall back into the very averaging-of-thought that is supposed to be reified but now under the imprimatur of authority. This is very much unlike the case with proponents of ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ whose social and existential analyses are just a natural reification/elucidation projection as from within the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality framework of their study. Furthermore this contrast equally produces other distractive effects in the sense that when such abstract
atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis is presumed to be more profound as of its poorly nuanced interpretation of existential-contextualising-contiguity in a rather blurry social domain-of-study, then it assumes that issues of mutual misunderstanding are due to poor writing, poor use of language or ambiguous conceptualisations of such ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ proponents thought, failing to factor in the existential-contextualising-contiguity dereifying effects of abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation as decontextualising and pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality, wherein the constraining effect of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the ‘superior party’ is ignored/overlooked on the naïve token of working on specific aspects or specific interpretation, and so out of sync with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Again, what is loss of critical pertinence here is exactly what is implied by ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, as being rather all about elucidating the necessary-existential-states-and-conditions so-construed as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and not presuming-and-skirting-around them, before further expanding on the elucidation/reification of their manifestations as validated or can be falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; or otherwise this simply leads to a loss of the sense of ontologically-veridical reality. Ultimately, such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation tendencies and further as of a frequently gestational knowledge state with respect to the possibility for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity, induces a penchant for flawed intellectually supplementing rhetorisation rather than reification as well as naïve focussing on disparateness of conceptualisations-and-interpretations as of lack or poor constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition rather than an orientation towards the transversality/transversal-analysis-towards-validatory-selectivity-for-unification
of conceptualisations-and-interpretations as constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which is what further reifies the body of knowledge by enabling existence as the transcendental-enable/transcendental-signifier to continually select the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sound and complementary conceptualisations-and-interpretations out of a genuine ecstatic mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition disseminative insight, with unsound/superseded conceptualisations-and-interpretations being discarded thereafter. Concretely, we can easily appreciate the greater pertinence of a Foucauldian statement of relative truth as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, construable rather as a more precise theoretical, conceptual and operant notion of truth by its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reifying projective-totalitative–implications as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as reflected with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion; and so when compared to the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation notion of truth-value as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such a construal of relative truth doesn’t imply a lack of commitment in truth, but is utterly the contrary as of ‘a much more critical and ontologically decisive commitment to truth and growing truth’ as any pertinent critique can garner in Foucault’s truth-delogocentering works/research-programme and its extensive interpretational citability in other scholarly works/research-programmes as of its scholarly advancing of the humanities and social sciences; as his works/research-programme quest for truth ‘expands the conception of truth beyond our presencing totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions as if all the world that has ever existed is as of presencing’, and displaces/decenters the human subject as of its presencing cloistered-consciousness for a more mature and nuanced conception of truth and the implications of truth; and so, beyond the contemplation of naïve atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation dereifying rhetorisations that border on averaging-of-thought populist interpretations rather than elevating human ontological construal of the social domain-of-study! This author contends that existence as the absolute a priori as of its ecstatic singularity actually points to appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of every domain-of-study; as the fact remains that the domain-of-study of the social world is utterly different as of existential-contextualising-contiguity from the domain-of-study of the natural world, and not to mention that even within the natural world or social world there are equally subject-matters peculiarities that require their own specific approaches to elucidation/reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity – and this said without undermining the idea of the ecstatic singularity of existence from which all such subject-matter-human-specialisms ecstatically arise as veridically implied by singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism speaking of an underlying ecstatic commonness though not common phenomenality. Thus, in all cases the overall implications for the optimum advancement of human knowledge is most critically about constraining knowledge to existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/reification rather than just mere formalisation as of conceptual patterning for its own sake. The fact is the natural sciences are already naturally constraint to existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification by the implicated immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendence-and-sublimity whereas the human world is rather blurry
in this regard and hence requires the requisite explicited insight about existence as of its ecstatic singularity for its appropriate approach for transcendence-and-sublimity. In many ways such an insight is often implied in the natural sciences as of its relative transparency of cause-and-effect reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity but not by a naïve/mimicked formalisation as of mere conceptual patterning. Consider in this regard the implications of interpreting natural science transcendental-enabling knowledge say between Mendelian heredity and DNA genetics or say Descartes Physics and Newton and Leibniz Physics on the basis of naïve formalisation as of conceptual patterning, then in many ways the latter contributors would be poorly appreciated given that the spectacular transcendence-and-sublimity implications of their studies are massively overlooked by a poor appreciation that knowledge is critically all about formalisation as of conceptual patterning rather than existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalitative–implications. Actually, formalisation in the natural sciences and mathematics is the effective ‘formatting outcome’ of an implicated creative process of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. This process is one of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ reflected as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, and not just a prior formalisation exercise as mere conceptual patterning as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ reflected as of identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism; with ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existentl-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ rather reflected as of ontologically-veridical difference-confoundedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism which implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism enables transcendence-and-sublimity which is ‘concurrently formatted as formalisation’. Thus we know of the recurrent stories of ‘mathematics invented by physicists or mathematicians working under the physics existential-contextualising-contiguity guise’ as of the insight of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of the physics domain, with such mathematics ‘very often not well presented but essentially sublime’, and thereafter such existential-contextualising-contiguity initially reified mathematics is further reified as of mathematics more generalised-level of existential-contextualising-contiguity insight while ‘exquisitely formalised in concurrence’. This reality of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existentl-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ is very much obvious from the accounts of ‘successive partial contributions-and-failures’ that lead to major breakthroughs in the natural sciences as of the ‘very same totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existentl-reality’;
with this ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-
contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance
of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-
existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing
prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ construed as occurring within the very same
scientist, across scientists of the same interest-of-study in a generation, and across scientists
of the same developing interest-of-study cross-generationally as of the ‘very same totalising-
devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existential-reality’. In this regard, we can appreciate that as of their differing
ontological-performance the threshold where the ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-
quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ projects its prospective relative-ontological-
completeness is considered as dialectically-thinking, and striving to operate the ‘classical-
mechanics axiomatic-construct’ in its projected prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is
effectively dialectically-dementing; even though both address the ‘very same physics
totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existential-reality’. The implications of flawed formalisation credo as of
conceptual patterning identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-
dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied dissingularisation/epistemic-
onimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism extends, as of its flawed primacy of
conceptual patterning on the basis of a conception of knowledge that tends to belittle and
trivialise original knowledge contributions geared towards creative existential-
contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification while naively overrating contributions to
knowledge of a conceptual patterning orientation, in further blurring the study of the social
with mischaracterisations and poor appreciation of transcendence-and-sUBLIMITY implications and ultimately induces self-perpetuating artifices of institutional-being-and-craft that mechanically ‘paradoxically then supersede knowledge’ as of its very organic authenticity. One recurrent consequence of the formalisation credo that keeps on arising for instance in the analytic tradition of philosophy as of its non-holism or ‘poor conflatedness of holism/nested-congruence’, is that the underlying conception about growing the body of human knowledge seems to be the ‘incrementing of all such conceptual patterning conceptualisations’ going by their cross-analysis as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. Basically, the underlying implication of conflatedness, and so over naïve constitutedness, is that all ontologically-veridical conceptualisations can only be veridical by their ‘abstract reduction to the holistic/nested-congruence implication of existence as the absolute a priori as of its ecstatic singularity’, and thus implies the articulation of all such ontologically-veridical conceptualisations as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; while avoiding any such conceptualising naivety that may imply ‘existence in existence’ as this can only lead to flawed conceptualisations, totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism as of constitutedness. Critically, no concepts have any veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but only rather as of their conflatedness with existence, and cannot be construed as ‘existing in existence’ as implied by constitutedness which just leads to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism implied identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. We can appreciate that the naïve conceptual patterning of conceptualisations in many a social domain-of-study failing to disambiguate divergent knowledge implications-
and-contributions as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification end up transforming subject-matters into descriptive enunciations of weak existentially explanatory and predicative capacity. The entire project of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is nothing but one of creatively elucidating/reifying existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, ‘with no out of existence knowledge project’, which is merely delusional. Thus, what is critically missing here is the fundamental constraining reality for creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and so over the mere possibilities for abstracting conceptualisations. This very much explains why many of those who subscribe to the formalisation credo have a poor existential projection and appreciation for grasping the existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying gestures of postmodern-thought and other critical theories, and end up often haranguing such orientations by striving to constrain them on the basis of vague abstractions as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’. This failure in fully appreciating the import of ontologically-veridical difference-conflicated-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ as of implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism has fundamental projective-totalitative-implications, as transcendence-and-sublimity only arise as of human expansion of its reifying grasp of existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consider in this regard that the repeated maximalising-recomposured articulation by this author on the theme of conceptual patterning here further complements as of further
articulated reification of this very theme elsewhere herein, more than just about a mechanical repeating; and this knowledge-reification insight often goes missing with many a subscriber to the formalisation credo, as of reification along the three frames indicated above (as of same scholar interest-of-study, scholars of the same generation interest-of-study and scholars cross-generationally developing interest-of-study). In this regard, the contribution of post-structuralist scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Lyotard, Lacan, Deleuze have now and then been belittled as not original, as of a very much naïve conceptual patterning conception of knowledge; going by their profound association with earlier scholars and more specifically Heidegger and Nietzsche. From a creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification perspective of knowledge construal, this is no less silly as dismissing and belittling as unoriginal the ideas of later physicists since their contributions are just more evolved formalisation as of conceptual patterning of concepts originarily/as-of-event available to earlier contributors to the ‘classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ propounded by Newton together with the conceptual patterning influences of Galileo, Descartes, Leibniz, etc. as of the conceptual patterning of such concepts like space, time, force, etc. Such a conclusion certainly reflects a ‘massive ontological dearth’ in failing to appreciate the creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalitative–implications of the latter contributors in both instances. This further speaks of a poor grasp of the human knowledge project as being all about further reifying human grasp of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with the intellectual’s job to the best of their abilities rather being about orientating its effort for the best possibility to further this goal whether as of critical altogether new thought development or critical recomposuring of prior thought, or both. More likely than not the headway made by prior scholars means that the good intellectual knows as of the true goal of human knowledge advancement beyond just institutional-being-and-craft
that their best effort is rather in further advancing/reifying/elucidating the headway as of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’. This is especially the case where such headway mirrors ‘pure ontology’ articulation, as there is only one ontological as existential reality. This orientation and rearticulating exercise by postmodern-thought speaks rather of an assurance that they are on a solid ontological pathway just as physicists orientation and redevelopment of the ontic lines setup by the early Galileos, Newtons and Leibnizes speaks of an assurance of ontological depth, in both instances as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Ultimately, and it is this author’s contention, the various scholarly contributions to postmodern-thought can be understood as rather pointing to the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-veridical difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can equally appreciate that much of the disseminative rational-empiricism/positivism implications of the works of such pioneers like Copernicus, Galileo, and specifically Descartes, etc. created ‘a rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative metaphoricity orientation making the human subject thinking as of mathesis universalis conceptualisation central’ reflected by Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’, and as followed and adopted to resolve various human knowledge issues by subsequent thinkers in successive generations as of human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning wherein in their states of undecidability/aporia ‘left it’ to
existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabler to ‘continually select’ rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity, leading to our present refined positivism/rational-empiricism conception! But then because our present ‘positivism–procrypticism human subject is rather undecentered’ relative to the prospective postmodern—deprocrypticism self-conscious mindset we fail to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern-thought as of the prospective exercise of ‘leaving it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabler to ‘continually select’ postmodern—deprocrypticism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity, in the same vain that the ‘non-positivism/medievalism undecentered human subject’ failed to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of prospective positivism/rational-empiricism thought. On the other hand, recurrent conceptual patterning predispositions and orientations arise because of poor appreciation/reference for judging knowledge often as of poor institutional mechanical conceptualisation of knowledge, wherein the constraining metrics of institutional setups including strangely enough also many such tertiary institutions where poststructuralist thinkers studied-and-taught-as-outlier-intellectuals, ‘apparently and falsely surpass existence as the absolute a priori’. Such institutional nombrilistic inclinations operate on the naivety that institutional processes are inherently reifying by their mere infrastructure and deferential-formalisation-transference, and set up enframed constraints that are in many ways self-defeating for the purpose of profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. But then with regards to the social notwithstanding its high emotional-involvement disruptiveness to knowledge, more profoundly existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification here implies human displacement/decentering even though our
temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology dispositions certainly have a hard time assuming the full implications of such prospectively implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This further speaks to the fact that human knowledge is much more than distantly/remote abstracted conceptions of meaningfulness-and-teleology of trite existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification projective-totalitative–implications, as on critical occasions this puts the human subject itself into question; and so, as of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ even where this edges into contortioning asceticism as of nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. Such ‘pure ontology’ orientation grounded on creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is ever always a ‘conflatedness holism/nested-congruence’ as it aspires to grasping and articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology as portends to the wholeness/nested-congruence of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with such construal in reality rather very much as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism rather than dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. It is thus not a surprise that many natural sciences in their ‘creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ develop as and aspire to be whole/congruent in conception, even though their concepts can be misconstrued as rather disparate but in effect are ‘operant as of wholeness/nested-congruence’. Likewise, the underlying deprocrypticism-or-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness holism/nested-congruence suprastructuralism conception herein is rather articulated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of epistemic reflection of the ecstatic singularity of existence as the absolute a priori. Unlike the constitutedness rampant with human and social conceptualisations, it is important to grasp that conceptualisations in many
a natural science domain tend to be naturally as of conflatedness holism/nested-congruence given their theoretical, conceptual and operant existential contiguity/congruence projective-totalitative–implications with ‘the ecstatic singularity of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied with regards to all such seemingly ad-hoc conceptualisations being contiguously reflected across space and time’. We can consider in this regard the strongly nested-congruence/contiguity of seemingly disparate conceptualisations as force, energy, etc. in physics or hereditary and functional conceptualisations in biology; reflected as of the specifically ecstatically nested-congruence of such conceptualisations with the existential wholeness, and so more than just abstractable conceptualisations out of sync with effective nesting as of the existential wholeness. In other words, the nestedness of the conceptualisations imply that there is a natural or existential cogency-and-fluidity among the concepts, speaking-of-and-reflecting their wholeness; the implication is not necessarily that all the whole field-of-study must be grasped all at once but rather that this existential cogency-and-fluidity speaking-of-and-reflecting wholeness must insightfully be grasped before articulating existentially/ontologically pertinent conceptualisations that are equally cogent-and-fluid with the wholeness. That underlying dynamic theoretical-conceptual-operant interrelatedness speaking of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is often very much lacking in many a social domain-of-study which ad-hoc nature of conceptualisations can easily be misconstrued as of the same wholeness/nested-congruence nature with many natural science conceptualisations. This reality of comprehensive depth of knowledge is easily lost to ad-hoc and disparate social conceptualisations that by their constitutedness token tend to give up on the central issue of knowledge as of its wholeness/nested-congruence reflection ‘as of creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ of existence as the absolute a priori in its ecstatic singularity. The
naivety of implied constitutedness in the social is in the expectation that the unity of disparateness of conceptualisations as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will take care of itself in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence without human self-conscious wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of conflatedness in this respect; but then such parsimony loses more than just wholeness/nested-congruence in the sense that sound conceptualisations cannot be done without a sense of wholeness/nested-congruence in the first place, and more precisely as of ‘holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’. While in many ways the natural sciences as immediately-and-directly constrained by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework are naturally and ad-hocly structured/paradigmised to implicitly construe wholeness/nested-congruence of conception as of ‘holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ with regards to their conceptualisations, this cannot be said of the same of the social as of the need for its self-conscious understanding of wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of ‘conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ given its inherent blurriness, totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and emotional-involvement, in order to then achieve parallel level of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework knowledge conception as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. In effect this ontological difficulty fundamentally has to do with the inherent difficulty of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension construed as ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’–for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification; with human self-consciousness rather prone to its given reference-of-thought mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for its knowledge construal.
The insight for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism being that as of its ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification, as increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought towards ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism avails, effectively the construal of the social assumes the requisite mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for wholeness/nested-congruence conceptualisation as of the conflatedness of ‘prospective ontological-normalcy/post-convergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’, as implied by the suprastructuralism conception herein in fully reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence as the absolute a priori, and so over our present parsimony/disparateness of conceptualisations ‘mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition–as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising positivism–procrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup’. Thus we can appreciate here that ultimately singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is not just artificially prompted but is rather the structural/paradigmatic consequence of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, ultimately as of prospective ontological-normalcy/post-convergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Our mental-disposition is caught up between its capacity to conceptualise as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness constitutedness; and basically intemporal ontological-
performance arises by drawing out the full projective-totalitative–implications of meaningfulness-and-teleology exclusively as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implied conflatedness prospective relative-ontological-completeness as it enables ‘ontological-performance to be utterly as of predictable structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism so-construed as immanence-function-conflatedness’. Thus the inherent ecstatic singularity of existence carries intemporal ‘immanence-functions-conflatedness projective-totalitative–implications’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism conflatedness, while dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism constitutedness arises as of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontological-construal defect when naively failing to convey the ‘immanence-function-conflatedness implication’ of panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence phenomenality axiomatic-construct. Thus naturalistic methodologies are only as pertinent as of their explaining of underlying background of the social as of physical and biological reality, but not as substitutive explanations of the human panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence social immanence as this is bound to induce constitutedness. What is misjudged by many naturalistic methodologies with regards to the social is the fact that the very reality of an outright human panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence social immanence as arising from ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as of ‘abstract cumulation of human memorisation and knowledge immanence’ is beyond the human neuropsychological background, and as human consciousness is as of an altogether panintelligibility-as-reifying-
Immanence-function-conflatedness points out that the mental-reflex for objectifying discursivity between prospective relative-ontological-completeness and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is fundamentally flawed as of constitutedness, as all the objectifying discursivity that is ontologically-veridical is as of the conflatedness of prospective relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as immanence-function-conflatedness. Thus metaphoricity of non-positivism mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with a positivism mindset registers as of positivism immanence-function-conflatedness reflection of the underlying non-positivism mental-disposition with regards to such issues like existential manifestations of superstition, spiritualism, etc. This same conception holds with the deprocrypticism immanence-function-conflatedness overriding the meaningfulness-and-teleology of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with the deprocrypticism mindset, as the latter reflects the underlying positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition mindset with regards to existential manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In both instances, the issue lies in the lack of a common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising, with immanence-function-conflatedness implying that all the meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness; respectively as of positivism and deprocrypticism. If by anticipation we do know immanently that a non-positivism mindset is bound to a non-positivistic-as-existentially-superstitious apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of structural/paradigmatical internal-necessity/determinism insight from positivism immanence-function-conflatedness with the obviousness there is no point implying an
ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the non-positivism existentially-superstitious inclination, the same implication will extend to deprocrypticism immanence-function-confaltedness as of structural/paradigmatical internal-necessity/determinism insight with regards to anticipating the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising mindset of our positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition with no pretence of such a positivism–procrypticism ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inclination. In other words, immanence-function-confaltedness is all about reflecting the straightforwardness of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in arriving at ontological-veridicality over the human mindset flawed-and-naive predisposition to make of its objectifying/contending discursivity as structurally/paradigmatically deterministic by mere mental-reflex of naively elevating prior relative-ontological-incompleteness meaningfulness-and-teleology as if of prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup. Immanence-function-confaltedness equally highlights knowledge as of its essential organic construct implications. As a constitutedness predisposition tends to imagine that knowledge is basically a cumulative exercise to an already soundly structured/paradigmised mindset, but nothing could be farther from the truth as knowledge is really an exercise of re-forming-or-reshaping-as-transforming the structuring/paradigmising of the mind. In other words, it is rather vague to ‘surreptitiously sneak in supposedly positivism knowledge’ into an unquestioned/unchallenged non-positivism mindset, as at best the outcome will be simply a further complexification of the non-positivism mindset apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as with such a reflection as ‘God of plane’ in a non-positivism animistic social-setup, speaking of non-positivism complexification and not positivism knowledge acquisition. This is
effectively what validates the notion of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ as central to the very notion of organic knowledge as it enables prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a ‘decentering of the human subject’ implies that the false ontological-certitudes of the non-positivism mindset as of its non-positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising are necessarily ironically trampled-upon in the discourse of positivism organic knowledge in a non-positivism social-setup. For instance, walking into the evil forest to retrieve a plant cure with induced curing eliciting psychoanalytic-unshackling with respect to the non-positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as its superstitious value-reference structure is shown to be inadequate given that it is the violation of that non-positivism value-reference that is what carries the potential for its prospective emancipation into-and-as-of-the-implications-of a prospective positivism mindset. Thus organic knowledge as of its transcendental implications cannot imply that the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is an appropriate framework for prospectively implied reference-of-thought knowledge acquisition. Likewise, this author contends that similarly a deprocrypticism contortion reifying gesture necessarily questioning our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for the possibility of psychoanalytic-unshackling implications as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ is the necessary organic knowledge for futurual Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/pre-empting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought transcendence. The implication of organic knowledge conception is that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by its
consider that while the natural sciences are generally more amenable to strong immediate cause-and-effect determination, such complex studies like string theory in physics, medical research, etc. send to assume in effect the research-programme epistemic model. The underlying insight here is that many a complex study purview as well as the study of the social given its poorly constraining immediate cause-and-effect determination, renders knowledge validation more of a ‘construct of comprehensive-coherence and competitive claim to ontological pertinence as of extensive research-programme implications’, but this should however implicitly reflect concurrently the underlying notions of falsifiability-or-deferring-falsifiability and validation-or-deferring-validation. This author contends that it is the implicated orientation of many post-structuralists thinking as of the research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein that renders their thought scientifically credible and pertinent as such scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, to cite just these few have turn out to be the dominant scholarly-cited authors in the general humanities, and so precisely because of the very thorough existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification in their scholarly output, and paradoxically so over purported scholarly approaches ‘supposedly of a more scientific methodology but when evaluated as of such authorial scholarly comprehensive research-programmes’ turn out to be of weaker existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. This insight equally informs this author’s conviction that it is ultimately as of such comprehensive research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein and its further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, as well as existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of the disposition for advancing the metalevel transversal unification of the ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern and other human textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ thought, that the ontological-pertinence assumes ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework unassailability; and so, not for the
mere sake of research-programme extensiveness but as of its internal constraining to falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-validation as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied by the articulation of authenticity herein as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme ‘implicitation of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, on the basis that the very first epistemic frontier for ontological-pertinence lies with the scholarly developed creative insight for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge. Ultimately, postmodern-thought has been unassailable to vague scepticism and intellectual-bad-faith criticism exactly because of its strong scholarly research-programme existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and thus an immanence-function-conflatedness insight in the study of the social as of its inherent complex nature is certainly justified to adhere to a research-programme epistemic model as herein articulated. In another respect, while intellectualism as of organic knowledge implications in many ways commands massive social deference and adherence, it is equally important not to naively assume that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, human existential-investment as of its temporality cannot be predisposed to anti-intellectualism, as this insight is pertinent in the sense that transcendental knowledge is articulated mostly as of its undermining of human temporal existential-investment. The bigger point here being that the possibility of prospective transcendence lies in upholding-and-defending authentic intellectualism even as of metaphoricity beyond averaging-of-thought socially intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising. Metaphoricity as such ironises on social intellectual nihilism as it is bent on undermining any temporality as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism solipsistic intemporal parrhesiastic askance, and as of immanence-function-conflatedness ‘highlights and keeps wide-opened the prospect’ for prospective authentic intellectualism by undermining its blending with
inauthentic temporal-intemporality manifestations that usurp and undermine human transcendence. Further, while ‘human projected conception of knowledge cumulation’ seems to be ever always ‘perceived absolutely as within an only same institutionalisation reference-of-thought’, with their merits at least for expanding human mastery of its environment at their given level as well as their defects as of undermining the possibility for prospective knowledge, for instance as of the animistic social-setup to perceive its animistic knowledge system as absolute, as of the medieval/non-positivism social-setup to perceive its medieval scholasticism as absolute or as of our positivism–procrypticism social-setup to perceive our positivism–procrypticism humanistic knowledge system as absolute; it is immanence-function-conflatedness by its implied internal-necessity construct that best reflects the reality of human knowledge cumulation by the institutionalisation process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion conception, recognising the underlying retrospective and prospective epistemic dynamics behind knowledge as of protracting self-consciousness over the cloistering self-consciousness of falsely absolutising specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. With such immanence-function-conflatedness insight, the epistemic and methodological pretences as of our humanistic positivism–procrypticism are evaluated on their true merits, and such an evaluation reveals that such epistemic and methodological pretences while ‘developed institutional practice’ are just that as-more-or-less-mechanically-institutionalised, and that critically from a deeper perspective the reality is that it is the research-programme as articulated above that underlies human knowledge cumulation, and so as of the competitive evaluation of various epistemic and methodological commitments made in immediacy and their ultimate prospective evaluation as of their research-programmes productive outcomes. The research-programme as such can be reconstrued as the reevaluation of any propounded knowledge and epistemic paradigms as of their ultimate existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge;
such that the immediacy of contention of appropriateness of epistemic and methodological approaches is less critical, as ultimately all knowledge constructs and their epistemic and methodological commitments face their long term bottomline reevaluation as to their relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge construed as their research-programmes. This speaks of the fact that such a conception of epistemic commitment as of research-programme is effectively one of epistemic singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism so-implied as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence associated with ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocripticism; and very much overcoming the limiting effect of our present conception of epistemic commitment as rather dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of ontologically-compromised—categorising positivism–procripticism. Thus, if immanence-function-conflatedness reveals that it is the ‘projected research-programme of any given knowledge construct as of its prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ that is its preeminent epistemic and methodological validation, ‘pretences of pre-given epistemic predispositions’ that do not attend pertinently and similarly to prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification are nothing more but totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag predispositions that pretend to supersede existence as the absolute a priori, and institutionalised, such totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag predispositions may actually be structurally/paradigmatically stifling for the possibility of prospective knowledge and transcendence, and more seriously so where the possibility of varied research-programme choices are difficultly entertainable without institutional backing for research needing major funding and/or resources. Finally, the research-programme epistemic model attends to the social as of the reality of human emotional-involvement by its extensiveness. Consider that
many a transformative natural science idea have certainly been ‘supposedly gross conceptualisations’ but with varied social responses as of their given social epoch sensitivities; consider in this regard Copernicus and Galileo heliocentric world argument eliciting social sensitivities then and equally stark physics ideas at the beginning of the last century with relativity and quantum mechanics hardly eliciting any social sensitivities, rather as of the disarming effect on conventioning simply on the basis of their matter-of-fact cause-and-effect. In many ways the prospect of prospective knowledge very much lies with a shakeup of the social ‘sense of presence’ and this is not contradictory in the sense that if the present was all that great then its very transcendence wouldn’t be occurring, and so existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality warrants that transcendence occurs as to conflict with the naïve social ‘sense of presence’ as absolute, and so because it is all about the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with contrastive underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is quite absurd to think that the possibility of prospective human transcendence especially, as of our apriorising/intelligibilitysetup, lies wholly within the ambit of our ‘sense of presence’ agreeableness; as this rather speaks of the framework of our limited certitudes as this limits/stifles the possibility of further profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. While today that notion of contrariety has in many ways sanked in and been accepted with natural science knowledge especially so as it hardly elicits social emotional-involvement, the fact of the matter is that the possibility of the profound study and emancipation of the social inevitably comes with a contrariety of our social ‘sense of presence’. Just as the ‘decentering of the subject’ was what brought about the positivistic mindset today that allowed for modern day science to develop and just as well modern day social science, it is inevitable that a further development of
human knowledge as of its organic knowledge construct warrants a further ‘decentering of the human subject’ as implied by deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and justified by the fact that if previous generations had to undergo their psychoanalytic-unshackling for prospective institutionalisation, we can only ever be pushed into the corner of our intellectual nihilism when we seem to pretend that we are beyond the prospect of our transcendence. Immanence-function-conflatedness analytical implications equally arise as of the ‘countervailing transversal relation induced as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ between ‘existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities as the selecting transcendent-al-signifier/transcendental-enabler’ and ‘the ever developing human limited-mentation-capacity as of its deepening from relative uninstitutionalised-threshold to relative institutionalisation so-construed as prospective institutionalisation dissemination’, as this transversality is exactly what validates epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as relevant for the protracted-consciousness of notional-deprocrypticism. Thus for such a notion of research-programme as articulated herein rather than just implying mere epistemic latitude/anarchy, it speaks instead of the construal/justification of epistemic-veracity as of precedence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-totalitative-implications, and so as of the structural/paradigmatic implication of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Thus prospective relative-ontological-completeness is inherently bound with its very own epistemic projective-totalitative-implications as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ involved in knowledge-reification. This inherently projects a ‘practical picture of human epistemic determination’ of ‘maximal disseminative human epistemic articulations at relative uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and ‘minimum select human epistemic articulations at prospective institutionalisations’, and so as of existence/existence-potency/existential-
possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabler transversally induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selective epistemic-veracity transcendence-and-sublimity. In this regard and at the general epistemic level of reference-of-thought-devolving, we can appreciate the massively shrunk epistemic-veracity possibilities available for our present positivism credible construal of ontological-veridicality over the epistemic-veracity possibilities previously available for non-positivistic social-setups credible construal of ontological-veridicality as of their full existential cognition of superstition, witchcraft, spiritualism, etc., and their social implications; and this reflects the very fact that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ is one associated with increasing thinning out of epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-totalitative–implications induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Central to such epistemic-veracity thinning out is the very essential process behind increasing human institutionalisation process which is deferential-formalisation-transference. Besides deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity relevance for institutional construction and institutional rules of critical importance for human organisation like political and legal institutions, such deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity has been inherently of strongest relevance in knowledge domains more easily amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and low emotional involvement like the natural sciences but weakly so inherently in many a social domain-of-study not readily amenable to strong ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and of high emotional involvement, and as such social domains practically tend to get into amalgamation with the extended-informality as of its deficient averaging-of-thought epistemic impertinence. Prospective notional-deprocrypticism
necessarily implies a further epistemic-veracity thinning out as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, with the implication that our positivism–procrypticism prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold epistemic-veracity is in many ways construed as of epistemic impertinence at its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold and superseded by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism disseminative epistemic-veracity and so as the prospective epistemic-veracity thinning out outcome of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabler determinant selector as of the deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought disseminative research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity. The idea being that the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity as of such disseminative research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity equally imply an underlying falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-validation as a constraint to the social domain-of-study meant to render it more thoroughly amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications capable of reflecting the unassailability of the most transversally profound theorisations and conceptualisations on the basis of their demonstrable operant implications as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. Such a deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implication is pertinent because blurriness and un-disambiguation underlies the indecision and relative impertinence in many an instance of social knowledge conception that is not thoroughly subjected to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, such that it is obvious to all that the epistemic-veracity as of existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities selective function of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as developed in the natural sciences tends to be poorly developed in many a domain-of-study of the social. In this regard,
we can appreciate for instance in the physics and other natural sciences purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality the ‘thin epistemic-veracity line’ arrived at transversally as of concurrent cause-and-effect determinations that allows for developed singular or near-singular comprehensive explanations of phenomena ‘discarding the demonstrably impertinent conceptions’, while in contrast with many a domain-of-study in the social, without necessarily implying this as all-encompassing but still critically and substantively so, such a spearheading towards the ontologically decisive is lost/obliterated in an approach driven by theoretical and conceptual mutuality/equilibrium rather than a transversal constraining to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/existence-potency/existential-possibilities, and thus specifically giving room for many an instance of obvious muddlement as well as intellectual-bad-faith with a corresponding relative passivity to social issues and problems as if institutional-being-and-craft was an end in itself as structurally/paradigmatically knowledge certifying. Furthermore, while the idea of falsifiability and validation have traditionally been associated with the fundamental research methodologies of experimentation and observation, however the complex nature of social phenomena and even some natural science phenomena has dragged out the epistemic-veracity of the scientific methodology. Such that what increasingly underlies the scientific methodology is more extensive as of the reflection of pertinent phenomenality experimented or stated or demonstrated, by the coherence and implied ontological-contiguity of observations, conceptualisations and predictions, in their conflatedness holism/nested-congruence or how these conflate as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with existence as the absolute a priori. Ultimately, the contrastive epistemic-veracity of theoretical and conceptual articulations rather lies with regards to their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of their critical operant implications and unmuddled conceptions. Furthermore, the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implies a further extension
of deferential-formalisation-transference as of less predisposition to extended-informality averaging-of-thought. With the projective-totalitative-implications that the deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought extended-informality requires an organic-knowledge type of pedagogy based on eliciting an ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism solipsistic sense-of-things, over the usual mechanical-knowledge type of pedagogy which is rather based on eliciting positive-opportunism sense-of-things. This is critical because the deprocrypticism reference-of-thought warrants a more originary/as-of-event mental-disposition ‘beyond just responsiveness to second-natured institutionalisation’ but equally the capacity to assume the first-natured ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen behind the ‘inventing’ as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning with respect to ‘upholding and defending ontological-veridicality beyond constraining-and/or-second-natured institutionalisation framework’ as well as actually perpetuating prospective ontologically-veridical sublimity-as-of-deprocrypticism-immanented-implications, and so as of a fundamental mental-disposition for perpetually preempting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. With the foregoing immanence-function-conflatedness insight, of most critical importance and decisiveness as structurally/paradigmatically anchoring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is the need for a deprocrypticism reconceptualised conception of the human construction-of-the-Self. In this regard, we can appreciate critically that hitherto and as of a natural human predisposition to totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, the psychology traditions have tended to ad-hocly construe the construction-of-the-Self as of a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference, and so over an existence-potency absolutising epistemic reference, specifically as so-construed from our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension flawed absolutising epistemic reference. The
fact that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality precedes human-subpotency thus questions the veracity of the ontological orientation of traditional psychology/psychoanalysis; wherein ‘the human psychology of absolutising epistemic reference is wrongly conceived as of ontological-normalcy rather than as of ontological-abnormalcy’ considering the necessarily decontorting human-subpotency psyche on the constraint of our ontologically-compromised mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of our totalising–thrownness-in-existence. The implication here is that we cannot have a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference that as of human-subpotency can surpass the ontological-veracity of the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as absolutising epistemic reference as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, and so given human-subpotency prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied flawed prospective ontological-performance. Such a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference for meaningfulness-and-teleology can be construed as of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’; as of ‘human-subpotency temporality flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it induces flawed ontological-performance as by its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag it ‘wrongly seem to advantageously substitute’ for the potent as intemporal absolutising epistemic reference ontological-performance of existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is this construction-of-the-Self human-subpotency deficiency element construed as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’ that raises-the-charge-that-and-reflects-the-notion-that the mental-disposition of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is structurally/paradigmatically bound to fail the ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of base-institutionalisation mental-disposition, that of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation
will likewise fail as of universalisation mental-disposition, universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism will likewise fail as of positivism mental-disposition, and
prospectively our positivism–procrypticism will likewise fail as of deprocrypticism mental-
disposition. This element of the dynamic evolution of the human psyche and the underlying
instigative agency, herein articulated as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-
drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’, is
mostly lost to traditional psychology that doesn’t register our own positivism–procrypticism
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of an ontological-
normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism notional-deprocrypticism perspective of analysis
as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can perceive
the ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ associated with akrasia-
susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex only from the perspective of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of the latter’s difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
as from the ontological-conguity of its
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, as it reflects-and-
contemplates of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, whereas the prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought mental-disposition reflects its prospective
uninstitutionalised-threshold as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-
drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) in ontological-discontiguity by ‘resetting its
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuring-instrument which is flawed at its prospective
uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus taking a flawed posture of identitive-constitutedness-as-
totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism in ontological-
performance-including-virtue-as-ontology-and-vice-and-impediments’.

Rather an ontologically-veridical construction-of-the-Self is necessarily in conflatedness as of the intemporal absolutising epistemic reference of existence-potency-constrainous-implications-over-human-subpotency so-implied as of ontologically-uncompromised ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism and construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. Such a conflatedness construction-of-the-Self is one that is structurally/paradigmatically enframed in grasping the ‘notional dissonance/consonance of human superego and existence-potency’, as it construes of human-subpotency reference-of-thought given level of ontological-veridicality-commitment/aetiolgisation/ontological-escalation/otherliness implications; and so as devolvingly thereof, the construction-of-the-Self is the individual autonomous ecstatic/existential registering, contemplating, responding, conceptualising, articulating, effecting and acting-out of its social meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the projective-totalitative-implications of living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Thus fundamentally the projective-totalitative-implications and orientations underlying the construction-of-the-Self as of a deprocrypticism conception is rather transformative, in reflecting its protensive-consciousness insight of varied human constructions-of-the-self as of institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes with successive registry-worldviews/dimensions human-subpotency reference-of-thought induced recurrently from the instigative projective-totalitative-implications of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics. Thus, what critically stands out from traditional psychology as inducing such a novel differentiated and transformative articulation of the construction-of-the-Self is the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’. Interestingly, many a traditional take on
the notion of akrasia, construed herein as akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, like the Socratic argument of its non-veridicality strangely enough rather confirms its veridicality, in the sense that such arguments are being made from the perspective of human-subpotency, which is exactly the irrelevant perspective for ontological-veridicality articulation. Consider the idea that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 B.C. will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; as existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will not factor in such a state of ‘human-subpotency in its totalising–self-referencing-synchretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’, and adjust to it by stopping such an epidemic. This is exactly why ontologically-verical meaningfulness-and-teleology implies a displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject with its emancipation arising as of its submitting to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as is falsifiable and can be validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus intemporal ontological-performance ever always warrants human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for empowering and responsible meaningfulness-and-teleology for transcendence-and-sublimity. Thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive to vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding
whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human totalising–thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective human institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology as of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. It is this insight that validates the ontological-veracity of the conception of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’, and it is inherently so-validated as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridual-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ as it cogently-and-fluidly as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence ahistorically-and-aculturally reflects-and-accounts-for the transitioning institutionalisation process development of the human species psyche. This insight equally specifically underlies the psychoanalytic ontological-veracity of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising correspondingly with existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as so-entertainable/permissible by its given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance’, in the construction-of-the-Self’s existential narrative; involving existential reactive temporisation/bouncing-off of the construction-of-the-Self elements (- akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex, - repression and releasement as subconsciousness, and - anxiety as of reconstitution/reparation involving dreaming/psychical-reshuffling as unconsciousness; as of a psychological analysis of direct mental-processing ontological-performance implications with respect to the constructiveness-of-ontological-performance of the social totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology so-reflected in the construction-of-the-Self all along the human institutionalisation process). The psychoanalytic pertinence of human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, so-implied as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’, is hinted at even by traditional psychology but rather indirectly as of its ontologically-flawed perspective as of human-subpotency totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when it recognises that we do fall short of intemporal ontological-performance, but strangely enough hardly has there been articulated any conception about this obviously fundamental structuring/paradigmising ontologically-veridical implication of human-subpotency psyche limitation/compensative complex as from the perspective of existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality which is exactly what is ontologically pertinent, and so out of our presencing totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination. Thus, human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather construed here as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness projective-totalitative–implications in the
We can fundamentally appreciate that just as the institutionalisation process is associated with epistemic-veracity shrinking with the increasing existential outing of superfluous notions like superstitions, etc., likewise ‘human akraia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness epistemically shrinks with the institutionalisation process. That is, as of the institutionalisation process epistemic-veracity shrinking constraint, the ‘human akraia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’ for everyday existential occurrences as of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is of less-and-less-degenerate epistemic-veracity prompting’, and so successively as from:


- the preclusive-consciousness degenerating-construction-of-the-Self complex (by its epistemic universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules relative neuterising as of its qualifying–circumscribing-as-totality-or-delineating-as-totality existential-totalisation-
scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-
failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor
existential-contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification;
- the occlusive-consciousness degenerating-construction-of-the-Self complex (by its
epistemic positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
on-rules relative neuterising as of its categorising–circumscribing-as-totality-or-delineating-
as-totality existential-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its
positivism–procrypticism perceptivity-as-of-full-rational-account-as-exclusive-cause-and-
effect-conceptualisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-fourth-level-reification; and
prospectively
- the protensive-consciousness nondegenerating-construction-of-the-Self (by its epistemic
preempting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-
empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules deneuterising—
referentialism as of referentialism–circumscribing-as-totality-or-delineating-as-totality
existential-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its notional-
deprocrypticism perceptivity-as-of-full-preempting-of-dementing-disjointedness-of-thought-
conceptualisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-level-of-reification.
In concrete terms, we can contrastively construe of such akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-
drag complex ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ existential
manifestation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both a universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension
with regards to ‘mental-dispositions of general social living, institutional and Being
intellectual-bad-faith geared to undermine ontological-veracity’; but then the positivism–
procrypticism perspective as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness will be less
complexed in identifying the mental flaw of the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism manifestation of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ as of the former’s totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as it underlies non-positivism dementing acts ‘like say a plot to accuse someone of sorcery’ than its own akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ as of its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) of its dementing acts of disjointedness ‘say like a plot to frame-up someone’; as the latter on occasion as of a positivism–procrypticism averaging-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising contemplation may be construed as smart while it construes of the former as abhorrent, but then not factoring in its own abhorrence from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising contemplation. This point out the ontological-veracity for avoiding the absolutising referencing of psychology/psychoanalysis as of any human-subpotency perspective in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism in ontological-discontiguity, and the critical pertinence in this regard of the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’ as it reflects a more profound and fuller construct of the human psychological potency as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity as from
existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism; speaking of the veridical protractedness of the deprocrypticism protensive self-consciousness as of its notional-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as can be conveyed with an elucidative storied-construct. In many ways, akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is simply a validation of the fundamental structuring/paradigmising of the human psyche as it is caught up between dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. Such a notional-deprocrypticism articulation herein of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex as the structural/paradigmatic constraining pervasiveness of any given registry-worldview/dimension akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex as of its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold construes that: as of the very same purview of construal as existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness like base-institutionalisation with regards to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as from its singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism perspective, lent to the akrasiatic judgment of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as from its dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism perspective, will be construed as of the latter’s totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation conventioning-referencing over any such prospective base-institutionalisation pretence of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion projective-totalitative–implications, and as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction it further elicits sophistic significant-otherness dispositions inclined to undermine such prospective transcendental implications as it falsely absolutises the conventioning-referencing of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation over any such implied prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion of prospective base-institutionalisation; as so reflected across the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds of the institutionalisation process inducing human transcendence-and-sublimity. This explains why prospective transcendence is actually reflected by the human institutionalisation process as of epistemic ricocheting reasoning-through/messianic-reason metaphoricity, and not incisively about dialogical level of contemplation induced transcendence even as such a dialogical conception arises as of mutual apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising say with Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing conventioning-referencing as of sophistry apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness or as with budding positivists Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing conventioning-referencing in scholasticism pedantry
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of social enlightenment common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable/measuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing the conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss. Thus more critically prospective transcendence is induced as of the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, and so as of epistemic ricocheting reasoning-through/messianic-reason metaphoricity that exploits the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, -as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. The reality thus is that prospective transcendence from a presencing perspective is not actual meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather such is rather acting as a constrained metaphoricity upon a social-setup ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, -as-of-existential-reality’ to which the social-setup cannot overtly turn around and wholly assume a contradictory nihilistic disposition; with metaphoricity rather inducing prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology mostly as of prospective cross-generational reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In this regards as of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective
deprocrypticism transcendence, this author is of the opinion that any intellectual endeavour must precedingly guarantee that it is truly involved in a transparent ontological reification exercise exclusively as of the full existence-potency reflection of its ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence, and so rather than subject to sophistry, as the latter instance will fundamentally undermine and ridicule the underlying intellectual a priori aspiration for reification. In this regards, and as of extensive contemplation, it is this author opinion that in many ways such ontological virginity with regards to intellectual practice today is covertly being undermined at the more fundamental level of social emancipation contemplation, and explains why this author has seen it as relevant to introduce the notion of intellectual-bad-faith anticipating of such anti-intellectual dispositions. As of a further indictment, this author is sceptical of ‘covert cohorting initiatives’ that substitute intellectual work for ontological-veracity with ‘politicised intellectualism’ as to which type of theories can be entertained or not, as if there can be knowledge without knowledge! Such cohorting initiatives pretences like those of many supposedly ‘thinking political societies’ since the end of the Cold War have rather had catastrophic consequences on the world all round in terms of the price of wars including with regards to the hegemonising policies these covert initiatives were supposed to instigate. Generally, the idea that such entities and initiatives covertly undermining the sovereignty of democracies, serve any given society, nation or human progressive purposes is rather counterproductive, as in fact this actually disrupts the natural course of sensible human answers to problems and issues and because of their parochial vision end up aggravating and escalating them, furthering a social narrative of double standards. The last frontier one can contemplate of with regards to such a proclivity is when it comes to undermining the intellectual sovereignty as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. Knowledge cannot and should not be forestalled because of any supposed politico-economic penchant. The idea that liberal society
can only be upheld by artificial and anti-intellectual undermining of many a critical theory including postmodern-thought as of the vital possibility of human social regeneration, is ridiculous and speaks of intellectual lack of self-assuredness; with such institutional grip subterfuges rendering such inclinations just as objectionable as the former ousted communist regimes. Ultimately, it is up to free intellectuals to affirm themselves as to what they think society and human intellectual potential can be, beyond the institutional constraints geared to such naïve conventioning-referencing which seem to imply that as of its anti-knowledge posture it will determine the limits of what can be human knowledge. Human history has systematically shown that despite human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor nature there is an effective mechanism of human institutionalisation that draws out the best from mankind, and the more critical problem for human emancipation arises as of the contending sophistries that confuse-and-disrupt-as-of-significant-otherness that institutionalisation mechanism in one way or the other, and that’s why at all stages of human history, the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning disposition has more critically focussed rather on calling out the prospective institutionalisation perturbation of such sophistries; especially when these show no qualm in integrating the most ignoramus of averaging-of-thought dispositions as of a supposed notion of intellectual advancement. In this regards, this author is very much proud of the theoretical orientation taking herein as of a strictly ontological-veracity inclination as to the reality of the fact that existence-potency supersedes human-subpotency, and it is the latter that adjusts to the former. This is exactly what is reflected by ontological-fracturing, wherein the potential for ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is structurally/paradigmatically fractured-at-given-ontologically-compromised-thresholds in the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the successive given levels of the institutionalisation process; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ontological-fracturing, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation

The implication here is that all projections of idealisation should be anticipatory-and-preemptive of the possibility of their prospective ontological-fracturing, for efficient institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling, ‘in order to be more ontologically pertinent and resilient constructs’, as they are otherwise subject to the temporal denaturing of such idealisations with regards to their more profound transcendence-and-sublimity implications. In the same vein, we tend as of habit to construe
of the fulfilment of human ideals as of the inherent institution and/or inherent individual identitive dispositions, rather than the fact that it is actually brought about by the structural/paradigmatic relations as of projected principles and essences implied intemporally (in cognisance of human temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-within-the-receptable-of-the-individual); and thus that our capacity to fulfil such principles and essences lies with our grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection rather than falling back to identitive individual inherence or institutional inherence. As even where it may seem that any given individual or institutional ontological-performance is inherent, the underlying structural/paradigmatic reality is rather guaranteed and accounted for as of the effective grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection for ontological-performance in that individual or institution rather than just identitive inherence. In the bigger scheme of things, human institutionalisation outcome as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling doesn’t substitute for the totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the underlying intemporal first-natured individuation disposition that of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning brought about second-natured institutionalisation. The bigger point here is that there is never going to be an inherent supra-social or averaging-of-thought framework that ‘invents’ and accounts for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation, in the way that human idealisation is often wrongly construed and propounded. All the human idealisation that exists is as of effective individuals and institutional intemporal individuation projection for prospective totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of what they as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning idealise as from their underlying baseline registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought and the subsequent second-natured institutionalisation of its given intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology; and so, beyond the naivety of construing a given registry-worldview/dimension
reasoning-from-results/afterthought as a supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-veracity for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation. We can garner that it is intemporal individuations transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation that induced prospective base-institutionalisation and not a supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, likewise for prospective universalisation and not a supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, likewise for prospective positivism and not a supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism; and so prospectively it is naivety as well to construe that we do have a supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference for our prospective transcendence rather than as of prospective intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in our positivism–procrypticism to bring about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup. Consider in this regards for instance that while we generally tend to wrongly imply of a supra-social absolutising epistemic reference that can structurally/paradigmatically bring about human transcendence-and-sublimity, it is inevitably the case that the examination of any such representation with say for instance the physics purview of construal of reality since medievalism points that such transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation necessarily had to pass through the intemporal individuation transversal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Poincarés, Rutherford, Einsteins, Bohrs, etc and the subsequent second-natured
institutionalisation as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. There has never been any supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-pertinence for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation as we seem to construe/contemplate of today-or-at-any-given-presence-epoch as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as the fact is human transcendence-and-sublimity arises ultimately as of internalised epistemic responsibility of intemporal individuation transversal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that supersede the pretence of any such absolutising epistemic reference on the basis of a supra-social reasoning-from-results/afterthought. Thus the abstraction as of supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference about human nature transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation ‘doesn’t truly exist’, but for effective operant human intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and subsequent second-natured institutionalisation. Critically, it is this grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology over the flawed notion of individual inherent and institutional inherent absolutising epistemic reference of intemporality, as of the awareness of the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, that underlies the institutionalisation process as of its retrospective, present and prospective possibilities. This doesn’t speak of subjectivity, no more than a doctor’s judgment is necessarily subjective as to the fact of its validation going by the primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected in effective remedy as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over imagined supra-social or averaging-of-thought opinionatedness, but rather that human transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation is more operantly and effectively as of solipsistic occurrence as from intemporal individuations first-natured solipsistic epistemic
internalisation for intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology. The second-natured institutionalisation as reflected as of supra-social or averaging-of-thought abstract integration/assimilation of such resultant intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology is ever always ontologically jeopardisable/compromisable as of the structural/paradigmatical reality of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, wherein human temporal individuations are ever always bound to prospectively denaturing second-natured institutionalised intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology at the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold as without the constraining prior institutionalisation mechanical-knowledge the underlying ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism sense of intemporal-projection behind its ‘inventing’ is lost; as is needed for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness epistemic want of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning to overcome the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness projective-totalitative–implications. Interestingly, thus if there is no supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-veracity for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity but for prospective first-natured intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding second-natured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology, then all the critical human intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that exists-and-can-prospectively-exist-respectively effectively arises-and-lies in the ‘induced metaphoricity of such prospective intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding second-natured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology’. Just as demonstrated above with the physics purview of construal of reality, in the instance of the
philosophy reference-of-thought purview of construal of reality we can as well appreciate, going by the projective-totalitative–implications of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism over identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, that there was no supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference for the transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation of say Plato’s idea concept nor say Descartes’s cogito concept but in both cases for their operant prospective intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding second-natured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology. Likewise, this author contends that this difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications equally applies prospectively with respect to the deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implied apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation, and so as of operant prospective intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding second-natured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology, as herein implied; overriding pretences of supra-social or averaging-of-thought absolutising epistemic reference, and as subject only to falsifiability and validation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the ‘superior party’ that is existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. The fact is and as confirmed by ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
projective-totalitative–implications’, prospective reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation as of their prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning highlight that the traditional reasoning-from-results/afterthought construct is construed: - for the Platonic idea transcendence-and-sublimity as of sophistry, - for the Cartesian cogito transcendence-and-sublimity as of scholasticism pedantry, and prospectively for deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity as of spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement. Effectively, the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor implies that metaphoricity why tending ultimately towards intemporality, is effectively of both intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology manifestations. But any given social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology as well as the fact that human perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests drift within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities, implies that any such registry-worldview/dimension social-setup has basic structuring/paradigmising ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ for its effective functioning which lays it prospectively exposed to metaphoricity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as from prospective existence-potency perspective; as such a registry-worldview/dimension would difficultly renege, as of contradictory and incoherent implications, on such critical prospective ontological-veracity implications of such prospective relative-ontological-completeness of meaningfulness-and-
teleology. It is this element that equally ultimately renders the study of the social, notwithstanding its strong underlying totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, as of potentially the same ontological-performance possibility as with the natural sciences. That is the apparent conventioning-referencing of the social as of an immediacy perspective naively implies the social is of a poor ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality but from a more profound level of appreciation this not the case as explained above, as in effect a society/social-setup conventioning projects correspondingly a profound ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ as of its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which is then enabling for the critical metaphoricity of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-veracity implications of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. In other words, as of the transversality of human metaphoricity of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, we know that the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that underlies existence-potency validation/invalidation implications of ontological-veracity is bound in the long run to select/skew-toward the intemporal/ontological over the temporal, whether as of internal cultural transformation or cultural diffusion. This is exactly why the overall ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ ultimately has a direction as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, notwithstanding the temporal-and-intemporal zigzagging in historiality. We can appreciate both with regards to the social fabric as well as the natural
sciences this common basis of ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ from a long-term perspective, in the sense that technical and scientific progress associated with the industrial revolution ‘could hardly be socially reneged’ not only in Western Europe but with respect to its diffusion throughout the world, and so because the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ of human societies conventioning as of their ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ render themselves exposed to the transcendence-and-sublimity of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness as projected by the industrial revolution underlying technical and scientific knowledge manifesting as of existence-potency selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and so because these project beyond subjectivity-of-truth-as-of-human-subpotency as implied by the universal objectivity as of existence-potency of the underlying sciences and their applications. It is this insight as of ‘existence-potency selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications’ that animates the elucidation of metaphoricity herein as of ontology-driven ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, more than just a notion of mere subjective human-subpotency perspective narratives; and so, as underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination inducing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative–implications. This ontology-driven assessment of intemporal metaphoricity perspective rejects the often wrongly made critique of relative-for-the-mere-sake-of-relative-disparateness by atomising/taking-to-pieces identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-
dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism critiques when misrepresenting the ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/’constatations’ as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence of postmodern thinkers. Rather as construed herein, relative truth speaks to human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of the projective-totalitative–implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so-construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism perspective. In other words, this author contends that the implied notion of relative truth expressed by postmodern-thought is not a rejection of truth as they are wrongly accused, but that truth deepens relatively with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratioicination; and this notion of relative truth is reflected in their works/research-programmes that undermine our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism perspective. Further, the implication as well is that the adjudicator/transcendental-enabler/transcendental-signifier with regards to truth as it enables transcendence-and-sublimity then is existence-potency as of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications selecting/skewing for ontological-pertinence within the underlying human metaphoricity scheme of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’, and not just mere human subjectivity. Even though in the short-term/immediacy perspective the specific metaphoricity of say a scientific and liberal worldview narrative as implied with the industrial revolution may actually be in the most part ignored/overlooked in a pre-industrial society from a merely meaningfulness-
and-teleology transmission/spreading perspective, the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ exposes it to the metaphoricity of the scientific and liberal worldview narrative; wherein for instance such pre-industrial societies were constrained politically and as of national vision, economically and culturally to the effect of progressing industrialisation as it induced the requisite knowledge, skills, beliefs, lifestyle, organisations, etc. changes undermining systematically prior paradigms of societies. Such an overall prospective institutionalisation metaphoricity constraining is very much unlike what we may naively imagine the prior human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be from an after the fact analysis; since such a process is much more critically more than just ‘mere transmission/spreading of scientific and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology for say a supra-social or averaging-of-thought human mindset processing’, but critically was an epistemic ricocheting process that was in many ways beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought unlike our subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought contemplation afterwards ‘wrongly implying a metaphoricity as of a self-consciously instigated prior supra-social or averaging-of-thought comprehensive sense of prospective metaphoricity’. This points to a more comprehensive reality of human epistemic-veracity arising as of our totalising–thrownness-in-existence with regards to the fact that while of immediate epistemic strive for knowledge we are naturally predisposed to immediate validation-and-falsifiability implications as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, in the long run our sense of epistemic-veracity is rather more aptly refined as of our overall existential knowledge insight as reflected with say the research programme knowledge implications, and ultimately we come to realise that even then epistemic-veracity
is in many ways more profoundly as of a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought non-presencing ricocheting that speaks of the structural/paradigmatic reality of a human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal. The reason for making this point is equally to undermine any overrating of human comprehensive contemplation of any such implied supra-social or averaging-of-thought presencing mindset not dispensing-with-immediacy-for-prospective-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension, and so in order to effectively put in perspective the deficiency of epistemic-veracity so-inherent when it comes to prospective metaphoricity implications of operant prospective intemporal individuation transversal intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. We can appreciate as well in the bigger scheme of things the ontological-veridicality of this scepticism with regards to any such supra-social or averaging-of-thought epistemic-veracity pretence, as expressed before with respect to Plato’s idea universalisation involving the undermining of the supra-social epistemic-veracity pretence associated with sophistry or Descartes’ cogito implications of positivism/rational-empiricism involving the undermining of the supra-social epistemic-veracity pretence of scholasticism pedantry. Just as we can appreciate that in ‘the very same physics totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought, the epistemic-veracity as implied in succession from Corpenicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz, Faraday, Rutherford, Poincaré, Einstein, Bohr up to our very present 21st century physics is mostly as of ricocheting prospective non-presencing. In a certain way this is obvious, when we appreciate that having the right epistemic-veracity should provide the direct possibility for constructing its structural/paradigmatic meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, such that the fact that a
domain-of-study prospective knowledge possibility is thresholding/has-attained-its-limits somewhere is ever always directly related to the fact that its epistemic-veracity has equally thresholded/attained-its-limits, with the possibility of prospective breakthrough arising as of shifting epistemic-veracity; such that we can appreciate that the history of physics or any domain-of-study can be construed as the history of its emanance/becoming/intersolipsism developing epistemic-veracity in succession as ultimately constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation-and-falsifiability. Naivety will be the pretence of constraining the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge on a vague notion of any presencing epistemic-veracity that at the very least doesn’t rise to projectively contemplate and appraise of such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge prospectively implicated epistemic-veracity of research-programme and validation-and-falsifiability. Thus metaphoricity as such is a notion that is beyond just simplistic transmission/spreading of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, even though this can be relevant as of a shared prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology as say the commonality of such metaphoricity inclined outlier thinkers sharing a common emancipatory metaphoricity mathesis/motif-thrownness-disposition like Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and their schools with their universalisation projection or the Descartes, Galileos, Copernicus, Newton, etc. with budding positivism/rational-empiricism. But rather beyond such shared prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for meaningfulness-and-teleology that is instigative, metaphoricity is critically about the prospective ricocheting structuring/paradigmising implications for inducing such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology implications on the fabric of the social as a totality framework beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, as
the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,—as-of-existential-reality’ of ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the social-setup exposes it to such an epistemic ricocheting metaphoricity. This is so because in the long run the transversality of temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives is rather as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selecting/skewing-towards intemporality/ontological-veracity as of existence-potency—as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism. It is important thus to grasp that a social-setup value construct lies somewhere between the possibility of its conventioning-referencing and its presencing Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, when it comes to assessing the possibility of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology inducing of metaphoricity. It is not necessarily the case that a society that doesn’t or poorly appreciate the implication of science will value as of immediacy prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion like the cultivation of science over its conventioning-referencing as a cultural inclination or metaphysical predisposition or a creed; as we can appreciate the contrasting disposition towards the cultivation of science as in Europe and the Arabic world during the medieval period, or even disparity in ontological progressiveness within the very same societies at various epochs. Thus the assumption that any given society or period is absolutely turned/committed to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion including our modern period, is a flawed appraisal; as in many ways, beyond our totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perception, a closer look at institutional functioning easily points out the pre-eminence of spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold as of the privileging of conventioning-referencing over purely prospective Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion, and in many ways this explains at the more socially visible spectrum that is politics, the perceived political impotence today. This insight is critical for appreciating the implication of the conception of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity in our positivism–pro crypticism; as its brings to the self-consciousness the reality that the implication of such a deprocrypticism articulation is bordering on the limits/thresholds of our institutional capacity for prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of a privileging of conventioning-referencing disposition to adopt and assume intellectual nihilism at such a prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, this author contends while of a difference-in-kind/notional-contiguity is not really a difference-in-nature/notional-discontiguity from that which scuppered Arabic medieval science or scuppered medieval China progressiveness. The ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’ warrants such intemporal relaying of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion beyond just conventioning-referencing; as the very possibility of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness arises because such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning can devalue their presencing conventioning-referencing to value prospective possibility for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as explained above with Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion common universalising idealisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-
dementing devaluing their presencing conventioning-referencing as of sophistry apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness or as with budding positivists Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion common positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing conventioning-referencing in scholasticism pedantry dogmatism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of social enlightenment common apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing the conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss. Ultimately, the question can be asked as well of our present positivism–procrypticism wherein its conventioning-referencing procrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising falsely seem to project ontological-pertinence why assuming little or no prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion responsibility in an existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought posture; as such conventioning-referencing narratives increasingly protrude into supposedly prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion purviews in usurpation, and so together with generalised intellectual decadence as of its populism and pecuniary value drive substituting for intellectual reification, and as so increasingly reflected
mediatically. This human contrastive mental-disposition to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion and presencing conventioning-referencing speaks at a more fundamental level of the reality that the human subject is not psychologically necessarily driven by an absolute commitment to prospective ontological-veracity given its registry-worldview/dimension structural ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’; and thus that it has an ontological-veracity destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding, where beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought it will relate to ontological-veracity as relatively impertinent on critical occasions as of its apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so-reflected socially as of the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold. The underlying insight about such ontological-veracity destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding is that the state of human-subpotency is one where overall its capacity to reflect existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism is inherently limited such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology construal ever always varies as of ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘averaging-of-thought narratives ontological-performances’, ‘supra-social narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, with the latter as critically bound to fulfil ontological-veracity as of its direct and utter subjection to the superior party that is existence-potency/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework and then its deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling implications, while it can be appreciated that the preceding three dispositions as of their totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are not critically as so-committed to ontological-veracity. Narratives as such are the very totalising–self-referencing-syncretising drive for human meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying language development, wherein ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness profoundness is as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and so over the temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Unsuspectingly, the reality of projected narratives as of human temporal-to-intemperal existentialism-form-factor across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is rather regular and stable as of the dynamics of temporal-to-intemperal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, and so as of their respectively poor to profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension implications with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at the given registry-worldview/dimension. It is equally critical to note that as of the profoundness of their social-stake-contention-or-confliction existential-investment, temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives will drag out as of dialectically-dementing–apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex in obviation of prospective ontological-veracity without the constraining untenability as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency of intemperal ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, going by the fact that the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it up to the prospective intemperal-as-ontological metaphoricity of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-
narrative ontological-performance’. The reality of a regular and stable dynamic of human temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, critically and naturally makes of anthropology more of a universally and operantly principled construction of human existence reification as of anthropopsychology, beyond more or less a traditional orientation categorising epistemic disposition with regards to human cultural life, the social and practices of specific societies, with respect to the coherence of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor projective-totalititative–implications as of the structural/paradigmatic projective-totalititative–implications of ‘human akraasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’; as reflected as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Basically, the possibility of the human institutionalisation process arises as of human generation of ‘criss-crossing temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of narratives’ as of the specific destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding of ‘human akraasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’. It is ultimately ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ that is implicated with respect to the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opening it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, such that human historiality as of the institutionalisation process can effectively be construed as of the dynamism of the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, as it supersedes temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives as of its constraining to existence-potency as of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over human-subpotency, and so with respect to human construal of existence and purviews of existence. We can appreciate in this regards the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ drive in generally overcoming human egregious superstitious beliefs towards our positivism and science orientation today as well as ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ about purviews-of-existence which are today articulated in institutionalised frameworks as of subject-matter narratives like physics, law, biology, etc. delegating social opinionatedness and substituting social deferential-formalisation-transference and percolating-channelling for ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’. The institutionalisation process successive overcoming of prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds involves a migration of the hegemony of social meaningfulness-and-teleology away from ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘averaging-of-thought narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘supra-social narratives ontological-performances’ which reflect human-subpotency totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, towards the hegemony of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ rather reflecting existence-potency as validated or invalidated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject with regards to human transcendence-and-sublimity arising as of constraining to existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. As such we can appreciate that our present positivism institutionalisation outcome is the result of prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring in succession of mainly the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of existence-potency, while all ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘averaging-of-thought narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘supra-social narratives ontological-performances’ as of human sub-potency constraining were discarded. The implication here is
syncretising/circularity/interiorising as the mechanism of prospective positivism institutionalisation rather than engaging in defective non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Besides and overlaid on this underlying human-subpotency background deficiency as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, is the reality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology fundamentally develops out of the constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature of the social-construct (as significant otherness to the individual), and as this social-construct conventioning-referencing is thereof reflected in its relationship with inherent ontological-veracity as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, that goes into building the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as its significant otherness is constructive/institutionalising of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge while by the same token can undermine the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as significant otherness is as of destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge; as social-construct settings are fundamentally the background of significant otherness for their inherent generalised purposefulness and their enlivening of the possibility for individual human purposefulness as well, such that beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought the notion of ontological-veracity is not necessarily of absolute pertinence to the individual as of pure ontology implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation where individual emanance/becoming/intersolipsism possible construal of ontological-veracity is subject to its perception/engagement/endearment of specific and/or generalised social-construct settings significant otherness destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding implications of its possible constructive/institutionalising
construal of ontological-veracity. This destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding effect of social-construct settings with regards to individual emanance/becoming/intersolipsism possible constructive/institutionalising construal of ontological-veracity is validated by the idea that even the most assured critique in the ontological-veracity of their ideas when this elicits the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot just articulate them as if the social-construct is ‘purely/absolutely receptive-as-constructive/institutionalising to ontological-veracity’ but need to implicitly recognise the social-construct predisposition to destructure such meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so in order by its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension to strategically articulate such meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the possibility of the social-construct as of its potential constructive/institutionalising significant otherness to tolerate it in the immediacy, even as the social-construct is rather predisposed in the immediacy to destructure at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its registry-worldview/dimension structural ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/degenerating-construction-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation complex’. From the foregoing, while the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, it is rather ‘naïve to construe of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in any social-setup as absolutely about ontological-veracity’ giving a social-construct predisposition to destructure meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; with any such superseding
ensured by ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, as of existential-reality’ to undermine the social-construct predisposition to destructure meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, and enable the construal of prospective ontological-veracity by ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, over ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘averaging-of-thought narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘supra-social narratives ontological-performances’ in their various flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism postures. The social totality reality of the metaphoricity flux of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives thus implies that in effect a social-setup is a construct of ‘criss-crossing temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of narratives’ as a totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology, wherein the most universalising/ontologising/institutionalising of narratives as of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ is structurally superseding over more specific and spurious temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives but with all such temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives susceptible to recombination in unsuspecting ways given human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor, and are variously enabled or inhibited in different spheres/settings wherein the extended-informality including the extended-informality of institutional frameworks is more susceptible to spurious and specific temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives unlike the strictly formalised institutional frameworks tending to universalising/ontologising/institutionalising of narratives. It is this possibility of narratives recombination as of formative and
enculturating implications as well as the criss-crossing of formal and informal spheres/settings differing temporal-to-intemporal value-references that renders even universalising/ontologising/institutionalising narratives susceptible to recombination with temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, thus leading to their possible ontological denaturing as of prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold implications. Ultimately, this author contends that conceptualising ontological-veracity reflecting existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism as this underlies retrospective, present to prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology rather boils down to grasping prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-totalitative–implications as of notional-deprocrypticism. Effectively prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology, as articulated from ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ reflecting existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism perspective, can be construed as: prospective relative-ontological-completeness re-structuring/re-paradigmising in superseding/undermining/deflating the ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness perception of prospective relative-ontological-completeness structuring/paradigmising’; wherein the former’s apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of its re-structuring/re-paradigmising substitutes for the latter’s apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising structuring/paradigmising, and so as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This knowledge notion, construed as organic-knowledge, involving articulating prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its structuring/paradigmising apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising substituting of prior meaningfulness-and-teleology structuring/paradigmising apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising can be referred to as
edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring.,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,.-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as of prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering; speaking of the recurrent edging towards completion of ontological-performance as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, which by that token as of the reference-of-thought-level induces the institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity from notional-deprocrypticism. In other words, ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as organic-knowledge is more critically overtly walking into the evil forest and finding a root or leaf cure as emancipatory to such animistic social-setup beyond just the immediate remedy as mechanic knowledge but more profoundly as of the prospective worldview possibility of undermining the flawed ontological implications of the animistic social-setup mythology in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising with the latter so-construed as its ‘identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, rather than surreptitiously sneaking around and getting the root or leaf cure from the evil forest as remedy but then failing as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibility for superseding/undermining/deflating-the-evil-forest-notion to enable the animistic social-setup to put into question and supersede the existential implications of its prior presencing
structuring/paradigmising apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for prospective non-presencing re-structuring/re-paradigmising apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising with the latter so-construed as of ‘difference-confoundedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; in both cases, as of the-very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with differing ontological-performances of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it is such ‘difference-confoundedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ construed as edging/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising that induces the animistic social-setup reference-of-thought-level prospective society-wide transcendence-and-sublimity into positivism/rational-empiricism. Thus, the prospect of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent/relaying instigating, at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds, of the human institutionalisation process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratioimulation implications for prospective relative-ontological-completeness inducing the human ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of edging/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. We can appreciate in this regards that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are actually in an edging/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising relation with each other as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with regards to construing the very same totalising/circumscribing/delineating purview-of-construal-as-existence: wherein base-
totalititative-framework validation and falsifiability implications. It is important to grasp that since every registry-worldview/dimension social-construct is involved in a constructive (as of its institutionalising disposition) and destructuring (as of its disposition at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold) relationship with ontological-veracity, this is exactly what inevitably validates the articulation of ontological-veracity/ontological-veridicality as more completely involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject priorly as implied with Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding to further advance its constructive/institutionalising nature, thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications; reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thus the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected conflatedness. This is very much unlike the Ricoeurian narrative theory conception that while of palliative and practical significance is in relative constitutedness since it poorly deals with logocentrism implications as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness on ontological-veracity; as it construes of ‘logocentric habituated social conditions’ as inherently ontological or beyond ontological treatment while failing to countenance the ‘decentering heavy lifting’ involved in undermining ontologically impertinent ‘logocentric habituated social conditions’ in enabling the human institutionalisation process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion right up to our present, and as of prospective transformative emancipatory possibilities. In the bigger scheme of things, the social-construct as significant otherness is ever always inherently put into question itself given its constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature speaking of its reasoning-
from-results/afterthought, with regards to its capacity-and-disposition to uphold prospective transcendence-and-sublimity ontological-veracity/ontological-veridicality; as so implied in the epistemic ricocheting unorthodoxy herein expounding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, just as with the unorthodoxy of postmodern-thought or generally the unorthodoxy of all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology whether with regards to the Socrates/Plato/Aristotle, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Darwins, Rousseaus, Nietzsches, Einsteins, etc. as reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. This basic idea of the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature is effectively what underlies in ontologically neutral/objective terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct such displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject narratives like Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative. However, the capacity to appreciate the ontological neutrality/objectivity of a decentering narrative like deconstruction as being fully more of a purely ontological notion is caught up in our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and thus deconstruction will tend to be deficiently construed in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the circumstantial social primacy of this temporal framework social-stake-contention-or-confliction over its fuller pure ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism; explaining in many ways the difficulty for Derrida to define deconstruction. Again, such a social situation is no more different with say the articulation of budding positivism/rational-empiricism science in say a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup as caught up in the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that the more ontologically pure idea we may
appreciate today as science is poorly disentangled from that circumstantial social primacy of the non-positivism/medievalism social-stake-contention-or-confliction like the entrenched interests that will rather focus mindsets rather in a nominal adversarial binarity perspective as of defending or attacking the traditional scholasticism pedantic literature over a more pure, nuanced and enlightening ontology contemplation of science as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism, as a result of the failure of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension; which will explain in many ways the difficulty of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes’, Diderots, etc. so effectively enculturate their budding positivism. With respect to deconstruction in this regard, this author contends that such a Derridean deconstruction notion like binary opposition effectively speaks of the fact that it is encrusted/caught-up in our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought but that a more fuller pure ontology appreciation of the deconstruction notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism rather subsumes all such binary opposition conceptions basically into the binarity of intemporality and temporality as to human limited-mentation-capacity relative ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology. It is effectively from this fuller pure ontology perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism that we can appreciate more profoundly the universal ontological epistemic pertinence of decentering narratives like deconstruction, and so pervasively well beyond the stereotypical grand themes of gender, race, postcolonialism, power, etc. but rather just as of an all-pervasive universal ontological profundity for analysing everything as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism herein construed as human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; with the implied knowledge emancipation rather construed as of mutual human emancipation
beyond just the idea of a decentering narrative being about stronger and weaker but transcending that framework of contemplation in projecting of aetiology/ontological-escalation/otherliness as of a converging vision of emancipation as conjoint human emancipation, as the reality of the supposedly unemancipated speaks of the ontological emancipative deficiency of the supposedly emancipated in need of the latter’s state very own deconstructing. Such a mutual-emancipation appreciation of deconstruction will appreciate for instance that the civil war ending slavery in the U.S. was both as emancipative to its practitioners as well as to the freed beyond just the overall social adversarial practical implications, just as in decolonising terms it will appreciate that the more matured as mutually-emancipative notion of decolonisation involved both the capacity of colonised territories to attain and choose independence in mutual cooperation and even in other cases with such territories choosing to follow a mutually respectful and healthy relationship with the metropolitan country which in a few cases turn out to be more beneficial to both. In this regards, we can appreciate that the human predisposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension as of a nominal adversarial binarity predisposition in many ways renders such an ontologically more profound construct of deconstruction difficult. In this very contrastive sense with regards to our present prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism/rational-empircism, we don’t ideally construe of science as of its pure ontology as discriminatorily selective in its conclusions and we further appreciate that its usefulness is universally emancipatory as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so in both instances with regards to say medicine or civil technology or consumer technology or even scientific and technological nomenclatures; with any such discriminatorily selective predisposition and failure to share its usefulness being an indictment of a lack of the requisite liberalism for perpetuating human scientific progress and basically overall human emancipation. Ultimately, the social-construct
as of its constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/prospective-uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature inherently points out why human transcendence-and-sublimity as of intemporal metaphoricity epistemic pertinence doesn’t lie with any inherent supra-social framework or inherent averaging-of-thought framework. The fact is that the inherent human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor nature renders such averaging-of-thought framework or supra-social framework epistemic pertinence for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity untenable, as susceptible to prospective dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such epistemic pertinence for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity is rather structured/paradigmised dynamically as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning epistemic ricocheting possibility exploiting the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. It is by this token that the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness can as of existence-potency ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation induce transcendence-and-sublimity thus constraining the positive opportunism for prospective human second-natured institutionalisation as of cross-generational deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. The insight here is that the epistemic possibility for human prospective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as reflected in all prior transcendence-and-sublimity is more decisively about such intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning exploiting of the ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-
reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality’ so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than a naïve reliance on averaging-of-thought or supra-social epistemic relevance which is actually the outcome as reasoning-from-results/afterthought of second-natured institutionalisation poorly inclined to such requisite prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. Human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiate-drag complex is rather reflected operantly and pertinently as of human ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasiet disposition’ so-construed from existence-potency ontological-veracity perspective and so over our human-subpotency perspective which is rather in an ontologically-flawed totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasite-drag. (It should be noted here thus that going by the entire projection of this work rather towards futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as of the notional-deprocrypticism framework as implied by existence-potency perspective as a more originary reformulation as of the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject projective-totalitative–implications with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, institutional-development and living-development implied as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, this author has rather thought it pertinent herein to use the term ‘akrasia’ differently from the more traditionally restricted personal development implications of the Greek interpretation as of a universalising idealisation self-consciousness but very much along the lines of Socratic unification of knowledge and virtue, with a deliberate adherence to the derivation ‘akrasite’ rather than the traditional derivations ‘acratic’ or ‘akratic’ to mark such a break, and further the term ‘antiakrasiet’ also along the same lines is further meant to emphasise the underlying idea that akrasia is a ‘notion of lack’ which ‘anti disposition’ as of relative-ontological-completeness is then about superseding the lack, and such relative-ontological-
incompleteness is superseded rather as of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising projective-totalitative-implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposing,—as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocation that goes well beyond a ‘golden mean’/moderation/temperance, etc. behaviour interpretation as implied with ‘enkrateia’ which, as explained and further elaborated elsewhere herein, doesn’t has an ontological basis as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-prime mover-totalitative-framework reference of ‘ontological-contiguity’ but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured qualities as being ontological; and such ‘antiakrasiac disposition’ is more critically reflected as of underlying human ‘intemporal-as-ontologically-vernacular/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis—or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ with the ‘akrasiac disposition’ construed as of ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility seeding-misprising of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’.) This existence-potency ontological-veracity perspective reflects the fact that as of our human-subpotency, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought we-fail-to-factor-in/we-are-oblivious-to our human limited-mentation-capacity implications as of our ontologically-compromised totalising–thrownness-in-existence, so-reflected with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising projective-totalitative—
implications, to then proceed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking as of our emanance/becoming/intersolipsism existential-instantiations and so defectively as if we have no limited-mentation-capacity and no ontologically-uncompromised totalising–thrownness-in-existence; and this with respect to our articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology, such that inherently our ontological-performance is ever always constrained as of constructive and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology. The destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of human articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, and as structurally/paradigmatically reflected at the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaks of a threshold at which as of our human-subpotency we fail to assume the intellectual-and-moral responsibility arising as of ontological-veridicality so-reflected as from the full implications of existence-potency ontological-veracity perspective insight of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking. This is the overall notion explaining human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, and so as of human limited-mentation-capacity notional implications. Thereafter, understanding of this human ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition’ is all about conceptualising the effective operant ontologically-constraining conditions as of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism existential-instantiations given our limited-mentation-capacity implied as of temporality and intemporality implications, and so construed epistemically as a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence analysis. Insightfully, we can appreciate that the absolute human ontologically-veridical antiakrasiatic disposition can only be as of existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism so-reflected with futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, over human-subpotency–as-of-ontologically-compromised-ontological-abnormalcy so-reflectedly variously with the preceding successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; wherein notional-deprocrypticism as of existence-potency will rather speak of prospective ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ which as of its inherent constructive ontological-performance is of a structural/paradigmatic implication that ultimately supersedes the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance notionally underlying human-subpotency. Thus all the problem of human ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition boils down to construing the underlying human mental-processing disposition, construed as of phenomenally-abstractiveness implications, as from human-subpotency dispositional possibilities of ontological-performances to existence-potency possibility of ontological-performance. In this respect, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought of the human institutionalisation process are effectively differing structural/paradigmatic antiakrasiatic dispositions-as-of-self-consciousness varying from most ontologically-flawed as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to most ontologically-veridical as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism. We can further appreciate that all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are marked at their reference-of-thought-devolving-level by temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances speaking of differing ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of intemporal and disambiguated temporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic-disposition as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation reflecting ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—

This analysis so far sums up the overall framework of human temporal-to-intemporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition as of the social totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology across the human institutionalisation process. Further and of much more profound reification implications, is the reality that the social-construct constructive and destructuring nature can be fundamentally accounted for by the fact that human antiakrasiatic disposition aspiration is truly reflected as from the effective implications of the intemporal ontological
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’; thus with the latter reconceptualised as ‘human-subpotency equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’. This reflects the epistemic-veracity of construing human-subpotency ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ of its articulated-or-acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology as from existence-potency ontological-performance, which underlies beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought the universal-transparency of the social totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; with the implication here that human-subpotency is ever always as-of-its-level-of-constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation-by-destructuring/uninstitutionalisation in ‘a metaphorising vacillating-conception’ of the social totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology as can be fully reflected from existence-potency epistemic perspective in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. This thus points out that human-subpotency ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ supposedly of universal-transparency is mainly and rather the overtly presumed social posture of articulated–or–acquiesced-to
apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising (as so-construed notionally/epistemically from the ‘prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of existence-potency constructiveness perspective’) as a nondescript/ignorable void that actually speaks of akrasiatic-drag—dementing—narratives-as-of-denaturing, and goes on to systematically ‘contend recurrently’ on the basis of its ontologically-flawed destructuring apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising. Consider the case of the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance with a ‘God of plane’ proposition in say an animistic social-setup (reflecting the underlying ‘animistic superstitious totalising/circumscribing/delineating—narrative-disposition’ and not any such notion as propositional attitude because human meaningfulness-and-teleology is totalising/circumscribing/delineating as of its given totalising—thrownness-in-existence apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising thus construed in notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations and as its ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating—narrative-disposition’ can then be reflected in an infinite number of propositions by that notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as so-construed in such approaches as Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis, as such a reification is all about elucidating the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of human-subpotency perspective meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated within any given registry-worldview/dimension social-setup going by its ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,—as-of-existential-reality as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction exposing it to existence-potency perspective of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-totalitative–implications’, whereas the notion of propositional attitude is rather as of
constitutedness and not in conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as failing to reflect the given totalising–thrownness-in-existence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising devolving ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’, and seem to imply that propositions themselves have their attitude rather than the fact that the true ontological-depth lies with the underlying ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ in notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations which is thus reflected in the devolving specific propositions aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising, wherein for instance as of a holistic insight one or a few propositions in a series of propositions uttered may actually decisively imply a ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ of temporal-as-ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology or intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to revealing the series of propositions implied phenomenal-abstractiveness as of ontologically-flawed destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity as when respectively projecting a destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of ontological-discontiguity of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ reflecting a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) or as of ontologically-veridical ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in ontological-contiguity of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’, and thus with their corresponding differing ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ and ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’; and further the notion of propositional attitude fails to reflect the fact of varying registry-worldviews/dimensions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-
completeness with their varying totalising–thrownness-in-existence reference-of-thought-level apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-dispositions’ translating in the differing nature of propositions veridically admissible by differing registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as implied in the contrastive example here between a positivism and a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension with their differing ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’ and ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’), since it is fundamentally an ontologically-flawed destructuring non-positivism/superstitious apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising eliciting this misconstrued proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising as ‘God of plane’, a further proposition as of positivism aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising like ‘wings generate lift’ will just as well elicit a further proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising ‘along the lines of a superstitious effect from the wings’; with the positivism relative-ontological-completeness perspective rather reflecting the non-positivism/superstitious relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as of a ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ while the latter perspective wrongly holds on to an ontologically-flawed ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’. This is the fundamental conception underlying the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics as implying an underlying apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising structural/paradigmatic misconstruing for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus disambiguating/differentiating prospective relative-ontological-completeness
further be extended to explain the lingering pervasiveness of notions-and-accusation-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-setups. In all these cases as explained further below as of the ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism non-destructuring disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ of phenomenal-abstractiveness given its persistently pervasive reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human anxiety, the underlying apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition ontological-performance of any given registry-worldview/dimension as of its ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ is limited due to human limited-mentation-capacity with regards to the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that establishes prospective mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, such that this mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising thus necessarily has a structural/paradigmatic prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance that is susceptible to its very own ontologically-flawed manifestation of its ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ so-implied as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation instigated as of ‘random-as-impulsive destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-ontological-discontiguity in
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’. The bigger point here is that, the social as purportedly driven by its constructiveness-of-ontological-performance is rather supposedly all about overtly implicated ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ of articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance with regards to the universal-transparency of social totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, human limited-mentation-capacity renders such overtly implicated ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ unachievable such that this elicits ‘covert pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’–or–destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity that reflects the social-construct prospective destructuring as construed from existence-potency epistemic perspective as of ontological-veracity. Such ‘covert pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’–or–destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity destructuring consequence arises-and-is-reflected more fully and operantly as of human-subpotency destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-ontological-discontiguity in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism of the ‘possibilities-of-human-phenomenal-abstractiveness with respect to their apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for ontological-performance’, as deviating-from/being-wrongly-imputed-as-of existence-potency epistemic perspective of ontological-performance construed as ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’, and the social dynamics developing thereof as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Thus human-subpotency destructuring-
performance’. Inherently, this most profound ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism human phenomenal-abstractiveness is what exactly enables human-subpotency to be able to supersede destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance by the underlying specific existential-as-ontological disambiguating/differentiating disposition. We can thus contemplate of ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as the human mental-processing capacity that is inclined to ever always expand the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, and so as of the very ‘recurrent edging towards completion of ontological-performance of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness, as of successive mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition implied reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such that the very abstract idea of any ‘existential contemplative insurmountability’ arising as of human totalising—thrownness-in-existence is-not-acquiesced-to/is-rejected naturally by the human mental-processing disposition of ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as of human anxiety and as so-reflected by its persistently pervasive reshuffling thoughtfulness. The point here is that the most tasking of human mental-processing is as of ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as of its constructive reconstrual-as-of-disambiguation/differentiating of destructuring-thresholds-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalisation, with intervalist-as-categorising phenomenal-abstractiveness, ordinal-as-qualifying phenomenal-abstractiveness, nominal-as-tendentious phenomenal-abstractiveness and random-as-impulsive phenomenal-abstractiveness reflecting lesser-and-lesser mental-processing tasking for operant meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘already achieved constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation’ enabled by ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness disambiguation/differentiation. It is the ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as of its expansion of human knowledge frontier as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ by its disambiguative/differentiative undermining of destructuring-thresholds-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalisation as it enables ‘ontology/apriorising/axiomatising construal of Being and beings’ that instigates the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaningfulness-and-teleology of lesser-and-less phenomenal-abstractiveness mental-processing tasking, and so rather as ‘already achieved constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation’, as from the categorising register of ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising construal of Being and beings’, the qualifying register of ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising construal of Being and beings’, the tendentious register of ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising construal of Being and beings’ and the impulsive register of ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising construal of Being and beings’, reflecting the human understanding process (with this so-structured registers of lesser-and-less mental-processing mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as derived from the underlying registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought induced ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination ontology/apriorising/axiomatising construal of Being and beings’, forming the said registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘notional-conflatedness totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness qualia-schema’ of memorisation as of replication-and-differentiation-in-a-totalising-disambiguation-in-notional-conflatedness-with-existence-as-of-existential-instantiations and thus enabling the notional-conflatedness of mental-processing in existence-as-of-existential-instantiations reflected in the ‘evolving-and-
devolving formation/learning-development metaphoricity and transcendence-and-sublimity
metaphoricity subjoining in totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-
teleology’, and so as of impulsive mental-reflex, tendentious mental-reflex, qualifying
mental-reflex, categorising mental-reflex and ratio-contiguity/ratiocination mental-reflex in
their comprehensively underlying ‘notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-
instantiations’); from whence meaningfulness-and-teleology
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising ensues as of notional-conflatedness with
existence-as-of-existential-instantiations (‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-
thinking qualia-schema’ rather arises as of the implied reference-of-thought
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as centered-totalisation
associated ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating psychologism-schema’ and is the reflected
mental-state aftereffect when reflexively, contemplatively, implicitly or explicitly
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising propositions as of the given underlying
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s narrative disposition in its notional-conflatedness with
existence-as-of-existential-instantiations, and it is necessarily induced-from and reflects the
‘developing totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness culturally-directed
eliciting of concepts and contemplative frameworks in notional-conflatedness with existence-
as-of-existential-instantiations’; and so-contrued contrary to just a constitutedness conception
as of singular quale which fails to grasp that the possibility for reflecting a quale arises rather
as of an underlying ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’
reflecting totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology within which
any specific quale then imports as of its replicability-and-differentiability-in-a-totalising-
disambiguation-in-notional-conflatedness-with-existence-as-of-existential-instantiations such
that for instance the self-consciousness for cognising colour and colour schemes with
children develops rather as of culturally-directed eliciting of the colour and colour schemes
devolving qualia-schema, as it is integrated with the child’s developing totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness and by extension we can grasp that the totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are grasp rather as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ as of relative-ontological-incompleteness so construed from relative-ontological-completeness as of existence-potency perspective or ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’ as of relative-ontological-completeness when so-construed in existence-potency as from a protracted-consciousness in relative-ontological-completeness as of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema disambiguation of the other consciousnesses in relative-ontological-incompleteness as of positivism–procrypticism occlusive-consciousness totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation warped-consciousness totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation trepidatious-consciousness totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema). But then at prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, the instigation of the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register will end up being ontologically-flawed but not recognised as such from the human-subpotency perspective of the given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, though from existence-
potency epistemic perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness it is shown to be ontologically-flawed. Basically thus prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold renders the instigation of the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, as of operant meaningfulness-and-teleology, susceptible to be ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ so-implied as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. It is only ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abtractiveness as of its mental-processing persistently pervasive existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human anxiety that is bound at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold to reconstrue the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected from existence-potency notional/epistemic perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness to be ontologically-veridical. It is in this way that ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abtractiveness expands the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, and thereof instigating the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaningfulness-and-teleology of lesser-and-lesser phenomenal-abtractiveness mental-processing tasking, as from the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, and thusenabling new human understanding; from whence new meaningfulness-and-teleology
uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism. This reveals destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity as the destructuring ontologically-flawed failing antiakrasiac disposition, that is further complexified with the blending of instances/instantiations of non-destructuring disposition of ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiac-aspiration ontological-performance’ with the marginal destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-ontological-discontiguity in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of ‘covert pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiac-aspiration ontological-performance’—or destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity thus inducing the overlooking as marginal of the destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-ontological-discontiguity in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism, and thus defining the specific sustainable destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance parasitism in totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag as of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, and is so-reflected as of its endemised/enculturated social construal of the ‘types of vices-and-impediments that can be overlooked’ beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, determining its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold. Critical to the social manifestation of destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity and its-extension-in-complexification is that it is socially perceived decisively as not destructuring going by the narrative of the collective social-setting destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-ontological-discontiguity in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism at its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, to then reflect of such ‘pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiac-aspiration ontological-performance’
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’ as these covertly pass as non-destructuring disposition in ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’, thus distinctly destructuring. It is important to grasp here that this destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis is notionally/epistemically as of existence-potency perspective of deprocrypticism which is in post-convergence and beyond/superseding the internal positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human-subpotency social-stake-contention-or-confliction perspective wherein the human-subpotency totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective of analysis as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising will rather be in a muddling undisambiguated appraisal of its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance in contrast to the notional/epistemic veracity of existence-potency implication as of deprocrypticism in prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; and this is akin to the existence-potency projection to prospective positivism insight of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with regards to say the reflection of destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity in the manifestation of notions-and-accusation-of-sorcery in a non-positivism social-setting social-stake-contention-or-confliction, with the construal of such purportedly non-destructuring disposition of ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ as of positivism ontologically-hegemonising-narrative not necessarily telling from within the perspective of the non-positivism human-subpotency social-stake-contention-or-confliction narratives, but for the implied prospective metaphoricity as prospective ontologically-hegemonising-narrative of positivism. Insightfully, such a post-convergence destructuring-
nature’ of the human psyche across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds of the human institutionalisation process with respect to destructuring at all prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds; as so-implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics. The comprehensive social susceptibility to destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity as the defining element of the social-construct destructuring is what underlies passive to active social mobbishness phenomena as of human limited-mentation-capacity social dynamic implications of lacking social ontologically-hegemonising-narrative. The failing cogency and individual wariness of the social as of the lack of a comprehensive expectation of ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasia-aspiration ontological-performance’ arises because of destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity as of its implied destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance parasitism totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought this reflects the individual psyche conception of the social especially as of its extended-informality as not necessarily of high operant ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasia-aspiration ontological-performance’, and is further reflected in a social dynamics of dual overt and covert implicit interpretations of social phenomenality arising as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought cognisance-and-adaptation to the reality of the ontologically compromisable possibility of social meaningfulness-and-teleology. Insightfully, it can be appreciated that the institutionalisation process is one long process involving the undermining of destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds with relative ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasia-attainment ontological-performance’ as of ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied as of prospective ‘ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism non-
destructuring disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. In this regard, we can appreciate anthropologically as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocontiniation implications the destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiniuity that upheld superstitious beliefs in non-positivism social constructs but as of positivism/rational-empiricism ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied with social enlightenment and the sciences rendered many purviews of existence as of relative ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’. We can similarly project of the same with respect to our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiniuity at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold as to be prospectively superseded by depcrypticism preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-hegemonising-narrative thus rendering this corresponding purview of construal-as-existence as of prospective relative ‘equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’. This destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis effectively points to the fact that human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is such a decisive and determinant notion with respect to the human psyche as the critically interceding notion with respect to human social construction-of-the-Self and as it remains a transitive and constant notion across the entire human institutionalisation process as to the destructuring implications at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds implied human-subpotency perspective in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism relative to existence-potency perspective in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. This panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence interpretation of the social-construct as from the elucidation/reification as
‘destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis’ is rather notionally/epistemically reflective of the social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance, as such an antiakrasiatic analysis of prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds notionally/epistemically reflects the human institutionalisation process; and so, similarly as the analysis of prospective possibilities of disease and illness is not about being pessimistic about the biology of human beings but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further development and provision of medicine and healthcare, and just as the projective analysis of lack of science and technology capacity is not about being pessimistic about human technical development but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further invention of technologies and scientific discoveries. We can appreciate here that the very same epistemic/notional conceptualisation with respect to the human subject as with natural subject-matters elicits in the former high emotional involvement whereas the latter as of its direct ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications elicits low emotional-involvement, but for the case where with regards to high and conflicting human social-stake-contention-or-confliction even the natural domain is not immune from high emotional-involvement as with the climate change issue for instance. The point being made here is that sober analyses of the social as herein articulated tends to elicit naïve criticism that human progress happens anyway, but then such naïve criticism only recounts the fact of human progress while failing to be reifying and is actually dereifying when by its ‘implicated passivity implications for prospective human progress’ it fails to account for how human progress occurs in the very first place or even whether there is any underlying process for its occurrence or non-occurrence. Actually, human progress occurs because of effective human constructive disposition to supersede identified-and-defined destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance and as reflected at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds. As the
Copernicuses, Galileos, Darwins, Diderots, etc. of the world with their subsequently metaphorising societies didn’t progress on the basis that human progress occurs anyway but because they effectively superseded their identified-and-defined ontological-performance destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance and prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, and it is this difficult task of cross-generational mobilisation that enables the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance for human living-development, institutional-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion. The implicated passivity behind such reflections that human progress occurs anyway again highlights why the intemporal mental-dispositions behind the superseding of destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance need to be integrated into the very core of such mechanical-knowledge outcome as part and parcel of knowledge, construed as organic-knowledge. Otherwise, the very vocation behind such organic-knowledge end up being denatured as of deficient apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, and this inevitably actually occurs and reoccurs throughout the human institutionalisation process; such that prospective social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and institutionalisation is ever always a process of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness to prospectively recapture the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for prospective organic-knowledge lost in second-natured institutionalisation with the latter construed in temporality often bound to induce incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of poor apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. Inevitably across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions of the human institutionalisation process, the universally-transparent articulation-and-implications (as herein) of human destructuring as reflected by ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ and constructiveness as reflected by ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking
qualia-schema’ inherently elicits from the human-subpotency perspective reflected as of the ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, a sense of temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction existential-investment ushering in the furthering of temporality as of temporal-intemporality inclination and accompanying sophistic complexes. But from the intemporal-as-ontological teleologically-elevated projection reflected as from existence-potency perspective for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation such temporal dispositions are rather unwarranted and irrelevant since such aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is rather geared towards the prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness social-stake-contention-or-confliction in extirpatory/temporal paradigm; and candidly so to the extent that the intemporal-as-ontological dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is not interpreted from a temporal and existential-extirpatory perspective as ineptness warranting the furtherance of temporal dispositions as of temporal-intemporality inclination and accompanying sophistic complexes as well as to the extent of entailing prospective relative-ontological-completeness. We can appreciate in this regards that the intemporal projection as of base-institutionalisation implies an incisive/edgy apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity beyond recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and likewise with the intemporal projection as of universalisation over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism over universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and
prospectively deprocriptism over positivism–procriptism. In this regards, the notion of dementing as reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is tied-to and a necessarily associated notion with that of dialectical-thinking as reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’ with respect to the possibility of a protracted-consciousness conceptualisation of the human institutionalisation process; and as this explains the successive construction-of-the-Self reflected in the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions. It is the possibility for the human mind to dement as of a ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ by its self-conscious totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its totalising–thrownness-in-existence that structurally/paradigmatically allows for the possibility of prospective institutionalisation involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject. Unlike our naïve human-subpotency perspective inclined to perceive prior registry-worldviews/dimensions in their ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ in stigmatising terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, the ontological-veracity from existence-potency perspective is one that rather entails a forward-thinking appreciation that the possibility of all prospective relative-ontological-completeness dialectical-thinking reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’ can only arise as of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness dementing reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’, and so whether from a retrospective, present or prospective perspective; speaking of the ‘miracle of the human mind malleable potential as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’, and implying an obligation for any given registry-worldview/dimension to maximalise this human capacity for Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion as of its growing self-consciousness and self-awareness. In fact, the notion of dementing as such speaks of the fact that the entire cross-section of humanity as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of a ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’, and likewise universalisation with respect to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism with respect to positivism, and our present positivism–procrypticism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism. The fact is, even the said prospective transcendence-and-sublimity emancipators across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions of the institutionalisation process are just as equally relatively enmeshed in many ways with their reference-of-thought old psychology ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ like say Newton’s involvement with alchemy, and the idea of projecting to a prospective ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’ speaks of a first level of human uninhibitedness/decomplexification that is exactly what allows for human emancipation. This further shows how our seemingly objectified presencing positivism–procrypticism disposition is all-encompassing as of our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when we construe of ourselves as ‘dialectically-thinking as of in-the-absolute’ without projecting that just as prior generations of humans were both dialectically-thinking as of their constructiveness-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-completeness and dialectically-dementing as of their destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-incompleteness, we equally manifest the same and so-perceived from the prospective
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and so up to the prospective human attaining of deprocripticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. Thus the institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ highlights that as of our positivism–procripticism closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, we are involved in a fundamental disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in the sense that we seem to imply in our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that our ‘positivism–procripticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ as reflected by our positivist science ideology and humanism ideology seemingly surpasses the very ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ of the institutionalisation process that engendered our positivism/rational-empiricism creating as of epistemic-ricocheenting the said science without the science ideology and the said human emancipation without the humanism ideology. This fundamental disjointedness explains why and how our positivist science ideology and humanism ideology so-misconstrued beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought rather turns out to be denaturing and undermines prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-development, and explains our inclination to ask the wrong questions given the false sense of certainty arising from this ‘positivism–procripticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’. Such questions with regards to how the humanities can be further developed as efficaciously as the natural sciences, how can philosophy be more socially potent, and on the social paradoxes of our suboptimum institutional-development and living-development, more critically point to the ontological-veracity of the institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ as of
its implied intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition registry-worldviews/dimensions; and so critically by the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposuring. In this regards, as applies with our positivism–procrypticism and so just as with any other prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, there has always been an ontologically-flawed inclination that the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ in its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherently carries all the prospective possibilities of human emancipation and so oblivious-and-substituting of the underlying human institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. In other words, unlike we may contemplate as of our positivism/rational-empiricism presencing mindset, the notion of prospective human emancipation wasn’t alien to the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation mindset though such a conception by mental-reflex was projected as of its very own ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism hardly contemplative of the ontological-veracity of the underlying institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ as of its ‘implied intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent
shot for completeness as of successive mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ inducing the
displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure so- reflected as of difference-conflatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, in order to
attain prospective base-institutionalisation emancipation; such that all such relative-
ontological-incompleteness contingent-ontologies—as-of-conventioning-referencing
including our own ‘positivism–procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-
referencing’ are rather by mental-reflex of their reasoning-from-results/afterthought rather
inclined to be oblivious-and-substituting over the more profound and underlying
institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion’ reflected as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-
totalitative–implications. This reality effectively structurally/paradigmatically explains the
manifestation of all such relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions
contingent-ontologies—as-of-conventioning-referencing totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag induced destructuring-threshold-of-
ontological-performance as reflected by their prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; and
as such a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
supra-social or averaging-of-thought relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising predilection is further
subject to its internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction sophistry, with the implications
that all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology as reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning must necessarily be wary of all such sophistry that go on to
emphasise logic as of the deficient destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance and
thus fails reification as of prospective existence-potency ontological-primemovers-
dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as reflected by ‘totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing qualia-schema’. Ultimately, human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics is the notion underlying human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism self-consciousness as of the-construction-of-the-Self all along the institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. It all arises from the ‘human capacity for decomplexified/uninhibited dementing’ in order to then ‘prospectively induce originally/as-of-event prospective dialectical-thinking’. In this regards, we can factor in for instance that more critically rather than construing the prospective reification of the humanities and philosophy for instance in terms of breakthroughs along the lines of say exceptional methods or capacity along the lines of our ‘positivism–procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’, the reality of any such transcendence-and-sublimity will rather be ‘a more candid face-up with our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ as herein implied by this author as of the notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought institutional-being-and-craft, muddlement and other intellectual complexes/inhibitions’ that structurally/paradigmatically as of a destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance cloud/undermine the potential for further intellectual emancipation, and so similar to the breakthrough that brought about budding positivism/rational-empiricism as of say the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning Galilean gesturing paradigm based on the fact that looking in the telescope we can appreciate how the planets moved around the sun and as this budding positivism/rational-empiricism mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition was relayed by other budding positivists, and so over the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of traditional medieval no-trouble disposition to perceive and take comfort in traditional scholasticism reasoning-from-results/afterthought pedantry as if critical reification will arise by that pathway. In other
words, the possibility of all human prospective transcendence-and-sublimity arises not as we may naively construe vaguely as of exceptional occurrence on the basis of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disposition but rather more concretely only after human decomplexing/uninhibiting paradigmatic development ‘weaning humankind from its traditional complexes/inhibitions reasoning-from-results/afterthought conceptualising flaws’ that then brings about the corresponding existence-potency level for human emancipation as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; and this is effectively reflected in all cases of human transcendence-and-sublimity. Whether of low or high emotional-involvement, it is inevitably the case that the paradigmatic/structural possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity ever always and has ever always involved or been-grounded-on-prior ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’ inducing the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; as we can appreciate for instance that without the second-natured institutionalisation arising as from the Galilean gesturing reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning highlighted above, there wouldn’t have been the human psychology reflected in the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of the resultant reasoning-from-results/afterthought later on in the 20th century to acquiesce to such breakthroughs like ‘theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics axiomatic-construct’ with barely any social contestation. Thus psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics implied prospective dialectical-thinking and prior dialectical-dementing, is merely a reflection of the fact that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always as of the very same overall purview that is existence but then as of
‘shallower implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion devolved institutional-development as of its devolving living-development’ as of the prior totalising–self-referencing-syncretising reference-of-thought-devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology. More spontaneously, a dialectical-thinking representation is construed as of the projection to a given registry-worldview/dimension ‘ontological-depth framework of totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative’ as of its ‘implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion devolved institutional-development as of its devolving living-development’, while a dialectical-dementing representation is construed as of the projection to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension ‘ontological-depth framework of totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative’ as of its ‘deeper/more-profound implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion devolved institutional-development as of its devolving living-development’ in reflecting the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension ‘dementing totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative’ as of the latter’s ‘shallower implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion devolved institutional-development as of its devolving living-development’. This totalising/circumscribing/delineating elucidation about dialectical-thinking representation and dialectical-dementing representation as of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics implications underlies the ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the entire human institutionalisation process as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor projective-totalitative–implications. However, from a traditional/modern/positivism history construal perspective, such a perceptive/astute ontological-aesthetic-tracing is hardly reflected as it tends to induce a naïve, flawed and incomplete representation of the past as being mainly as of the ‘cumulation of human
dialectical-thinking representations totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narratives and as this is often further skewed towards the locus of the present registry-worldview/dimension (positivism/rational-empiricism) dialectical-thinking representation’, and thus in many ways failing to project fundamentally the reality of the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor and further fails to echo the metaphoricity/existential-ecstasy of the human ontological-aesthetic-tracing of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the ‘human institutionalisation process dynamics of successive dialectical-thinking representation and dialectical-dementing representation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ reflected in ‘successive construction-of-the-Self underlying the human ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of successive self-consciousness for meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation trepidatious-selfconsciousness, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation warped-selfconsciousness, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-selfconsciousness, our present positivism–procrypticism occlusive-selfconsciousness and prospective deprocrypticism protensive-selfconsciousness; with this underlying a poor conception of human psychology that poorly and hardly recognises the transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity of human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of relevance to prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge-reification. This comprehensive elucidation as of existence-potency and human-subpotency implications of ontological-performance articulated above, can more fully be abstracted to reflect the overall ‘effecting-phenomenality underlying existence and existential-manifestations’. The implied underlying singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of existence as of existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism notionally/epistemically reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence speaks of the imbued structural/paradigmatic unity of the
coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative-implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency), as shepherding/ushering/heralding the possibility of intelligibility to arise, is ‘the outstanding/in-waiting/in-abeyance/in-pending of existence as of existence-potency that is perpetually stood out’ for ‘totalising–thrownness-in-existence subpotencies’ reflexively including the-human-conceptualising-subpotency-as-human-subpotency to engage with it as of both affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing in order to generate intelligibility as of varying ontological-performances as validated or invalidated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications of existence-potency. This very intertwining of existence-potency as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework potential implications with ‘totalising–thrownness-in-existence subpotencies’ is the metaphoricity/ecstasy of existence in its supervening notion-al-conflatedness intelligibility. This basically captures the very notions of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as can be reflected in explicating ‘totalising–thrownness-in-existence subpotencies’ manifestations ontological-veracity/ontological-performances as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework potential implications of existence-potency, as stood out outstanding/in-waiting/in-abeyance/in-pending. Thus existence can be construed more succinctly as of an
ricochetting projective insight as of ‘totalising–thrownness-in-existence subpotencies’ given ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’. This further reflects the notion that with regards to human-subpotency going by human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor what is veridically ever as of absolute presencing certitude is ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology’, construed respectively ‘as of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration as inducing prospective ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism as ontologically-veridical constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and ‘as of covert pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration as inducing prospective destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity as ontologically-flawed destructuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and thereof, what is ever of absence is the presencing certitude of ontologically-veridical identitive meaningfulness-and-teleology as this is ever always in need for its prospective recuperation as from prospective relative-ontological-completeness induced ‘dialectical-thinking as of apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’ superseding prior relative-ontological-incompleteness induced ‘dementing as of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’. Thus what is particular about the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is that it is ‘beyond just a constraining institutionalisation second-naturing articulation of a mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought’ by which the human mindset can be attached to mechanically as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought while displaying
the transformative implications of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity. The inevitability of a projection for the ‘universalising idealisation coherence of contemplation’ as of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension associated with the Socratic/Platonic/Aristotelian individual emancipation as of universalising idealisation was effectively in reaction to the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for averaging-of-thought disposition by their ‘warped/twisted ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising’, with Socrates not giving in to such apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity as of his symbolic asceticism even at the risk of his life; budding positivism projection as of Copernicus/Galileo/Descartes dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension over medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for averaging-of-thought disposition as of medieval tradition and pedantry; with all such efforts for human emancipation eliciting from the perspective of their times as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension like ending Slavery and the Slave-Trade in the United States involving the American civil war or the French Revolution for instance, meeting with sophistic eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for averaging-of-thought dispositions like ‘in many ways the slaves lives are better off than their kindreds in the darkness of Africa or that their conditions will be worse off when freed’, that ‘the toll of the American civil war was unnecessary’, or ‘in many ways the outcome of the French Revolution was far worse than was worth the struggle’. In all these instances, the sophists as of its existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction are ever always inclined to eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-
ontological-incompleteness-dereification for averaging-of-thought disposition, and when the outcome of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension accrue prospectively the sophists react as if ‘human progress occurs anyway’ as the idea of a human existential tale perpetuation and its implications is alien to the sophists since all that counts is the immediate now and its temporal/mortal social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests; and worst still, human limited-mentation-capacity in inducing prospectively relative-ontological-completeness as of the weaknesses associated in all human transcendence-and-sublimity is held by the sophists against any such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for transcendence-and-sublimity. Inherently, while the intemporal projection coherence of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning spans the human institutionalisation process as the ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’, what is peculiar about sophistry is that the whole tale of humanity starts-and-ends by their given registry-worldview/dimension and other registry-worldviews/dimensions are just other ones and have nothing to say about the present one as of an overall human tale, as the threat of rationalising the implications of such a human existential tale perpetuation may jeopardise their present social-stake-contention-or-confliction temporal interests; and this pattern of sophistic interpretation is the same at each and every given registry-worldview/dimension as it is obviously not oblivious to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning which organic-contemplation spans registry-worldviews/dimensions and identifies the nature of the sophistic inclination in each and every one of the registry-worldviews/dimensions. Inevitably thus since the possibility for human ideal as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications necessarily involves a parrhesiastic reifying gesture of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension which is ‘never always the easiest of notion’ for human averaging-of-thought disposition,
especially as this often always implies the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject, it
is inevitably the case that such ideal as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning’ has to reckon with the temporal social-stake-contention-or-
confliction human sophistry eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-
incompleteness-dereification for averaging-of-thought disposition meant at stifling the
possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, and so beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. In all such
instances as was realised by universalising idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle
as well as budding positivists, the notion of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-
moral-equivalence is not a given, and as the sophists commit to sophistry the genuine
intellectual holds it against the sophists to imply they are effectively of ‘apriorising-
teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’ rather than ‘apriorising-teleological-
elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’ to avoid wrongly implying dialogical-equivalence, as the
latter notion only arises as of mutual apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in relative-ontological-
completeness as of the underlying registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought
totalising-devolved-apriorising-rule; as there can be no genuine contention between a
universalising idealisation mindset and a sophistic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–
syllogising mindset or a positivising/rational-empiricism mindset and medieval
pedantic/dogmatic mindset, if just for the mere sake of preserving and avoiding the
denaturing of the universalising idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology or
positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is more critically the
case as the fact is the possibility for prospective human emancipation is exactly the most
difficult thing for humankind to countenance, and that is exactly why the successive
uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise in the first place; and the sophistic 
treachery/muddlement/acting-out of usurping such difficult quest for its temporal social-
stake-contention-or-confliction has always been addressed not by a faulty pretence of 
amutually objectifying intellation between genuine intellectualism and sophistry, which is of 
flawed epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity, but rather a blunt parrhesiastic 
disavowal of such sophistic treachery/muddlement/acting-out for what it essentially is; as 
with the universalising idealisation philosophers not wasting their time in a pretence of 
engaging the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled– 
syllogising mindset or the budding positivists/rational-empiricists dismissing off-hand 
pedantic scholasticism. The habituated idea of dialogue/dialogical-equivalence arises as of 
the mental-reflex that ordinarily all meaningfulness-and-teleology as of a given registry-
worldview/dimension is grounded on the same 
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising notwithstanding the 
existential-instantiation soundness or unsoundness of its devolving 
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising. But where in the instance of dissimilar 
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, despite our habituation, 
dialogue/dialogical-equivalence as of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-
discontiguity’ does not avail as of epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity as of the 
‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’ closed totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness which rather warrants psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective relative-ontological-completeness. This 
is akin to the mathematician opened to mutual calculating even where one could produce a 
wrong solution as of aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising flawed ontological-
performance but this only holds with the mathematical
apriorising/intelligibilityseutp/measuringinstrument/axiomatising spirit for engaging genuinely and naturally in the calculations; where that apriorising/intelligibilityseutp/measuringinstrument/axiomatising spirit is lost, fundamentally the notion of mutual calculating is then ontologically and epistemically flawed. Ultimately, the notion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-veracity is about the ‘reasoning-through/transversality/logical-incongruence/avoiding-issue-of-mutual-unintelligibility-or-intellectual-bad-faith’ of contentions for the determination of existence-potency as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications; and it is rather different from a sovereign construct grounded on sovereign choice whether there is ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence. The human existential tale as ‘humanity project’ has ever always been one of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied in the ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. The second-natured institutionalisation constructs as of sovereign institutions and establishment frameworks are ‘not to be necessarily-and-absolutely considered as knowledge reifying frameworks’, as could falsely be implied by cohorting sovereign institutions and establishments surreptitiously usurping the knowledge-reification role and as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought surreptitiously defining what can be thought or not thought. The fact is such implied supra-social constructs are mainly second-natured whether as sovereign representation or establishment constructs, and can easily be caught up in their own totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction and are thus not the absolutising framework of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as the
social knowledge-reification role must always be opened to ‘intemporal individuation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as of the possibility of its arising in any humans and in whatever specific purviews of existence, as this is what is instigative of ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’; as it is only by the latter process that the ‘supra-social obsession/myopism as of a given registry-worldview/dimension social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ can be superseded, as of reconstruing recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation supra-social construct rather as of base-institutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation supra-social construct rather as of universalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism supra-social construct rather as of positivism, and prospectively positivism–procrypticism supra-social construct rather as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. We can appreciate in this regards that the universalising idealisation philosophers and budding positivists trajectory of contemplation were actually counterintuitive to what their respective supra-social construct construed as human progress and the possibility for human progress. The naivety of referring to the supra-social construct conventioning-referencing as of its framework of establishments and sovereign institutions as if this was absolutely substitutive of ontology as of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ induced as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, is nothing but totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which obviously doesn’t register/is-unaccounted internally because (but from the existence-potency–as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism deprocrypticism perspective) structurally/paradigmatically ‘no registry-worldview/dimension has the eyes to
see of its defective ontological-performance as it surreptitiously implies that it is absolute beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought’. The fact is, it is this possibility of the universalising idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle and the budding positivists putting into question their conventioning-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology and value that allows for prospective institutionalisation to arise as of universalising idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism respectively. In this regards, it is important to grasp that what is peculiar about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions is the sense that these as of their immediacy disposition are very much cognisant of the Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion leading to the establishment of their given registry-worldviews/dimensions over which their conventioning-referencing is setup but then tend to fail to construe of their prospective possibility of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion; and in this regards, we can appreciate that the pre-Socratic world very much construed of critical ontological insights that went into their various conventioning-referencing like say the Ancient Egyptians with their conventioning-referencing mobilising ontological insights much more obviously with the building of pyramids, the Persians mobilising their ontological insights in empire building, etc. but unlike these relatively cosmopolitan lands with greater technical and knowledge potential, it was the smaller and rustic Greece and specifically Athens that contemplated of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion with the emergence of universalising idealisation over ancient mythologies and cultism, likewise the medieval Europe scholasticism was the height of this universalising idealisation as of its establishment and religious conventioning-referencing but it took budding positivists to come up with the prospect of renewed Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, and likewise it is the case that our conventioning-referencing is rather predisposed to construe of our elaborate positivism/rational-empiricism as absolutising and
hardly countenancing of its own effort for prospective Being/ontological-framework-expansion. This author contends, as of the implications of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, that in many ways just as the manifestation of postlogism-slantedness associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as of non-positivism whether as of animistic or medieval social-setups, was difficultly amenable to address as of their given underlying muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction associated fundamentally with their overall averaging-of-thought and supra-social construct meaningfulness-and-teleology integration of their given non-positivism and superstition, in many ways the manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism is equally subject to our averaging-of-thought and supra-social construct underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of our prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; and in both instances insightfully point to underlying reference-of-thought relative-ontological-incompleteness/destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance which is the grander issue of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as to the fact that fundamentally prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension supersedes-and-deflates the vices-and-impediments of non-positivism as of animism or medievalism and thereof their devolving associated manifestations of non-positivism and specific superstitious nature as well as the idea that prospective deprocrypticism/pre-empting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought supersedes-and-deflates the overall vices-and-impediments of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought underlying the devolving social manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus the practice of construing absolutely the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness like our positivism–
procrypticism speaks of a loss of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ to the given registry-worldview/dimension conventioning-referencing. In this regards, we can appreciate that our own projection of prospective deprocrypticism implied Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of its prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism will construe of our present positivism–procrypticism conventioning-referencing as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to be more than just as of our traditional, cultural and aesthetic idiosyncratic habituations grounded on our positivism–procrypticism underlying reference-of-thought that more or less supresses the possibility of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’, and equally garner that just as the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation never factored in that their respective supposedly presencing construal of ontology as sophistic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising and medieval scholastic pedantry were to be reconstrued as rather being of contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing respectively by universalising idealisation Socratic philosophers and budding positivists as of their respective prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’; likewise, our supposedly positivism–procrypticism presencing construal of ontology as reflected in present subject-matters in many ways will be reconstrued as contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing as of deprocrypticism implied prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. As such deprocrypticism ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ reflects that: our philosophising should rather be able to conceptualise its epistemic-emanence as a holistic
conflatedness reifying of the totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existence as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricoetting retrospective-to-prospective implications of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought underlying the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics of the human institutionalisation process and as such construal of philosophy is rather considered as morphing as of human division of labour into the disparate subject-matter purviews-of-construal-of-existence reification and so in reflection of existence’s supervening-conflatedness, and with all human meaningfulness-and-teleology remaining of philosophical epistemic-veracity relevance as of deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as implied as of suprastructuralism/postmodernism rejection of science ideology for science-in-practice and rejection of humanism ideology for authentic human emancipation as of ‘human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation’; psychology fails ontologically when it naively and wrongly construe of our given positivism–procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising-psychologism as being of ontological-normalcy to go on to imply a practice of reification of psychological traits is what is emancipatory of the human condition with the implication that any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought say animistic or medieval could just as well be considered in ontological-normalcy and that what is emancipatory of the human condition is the reification of psychological traits as of its totalising–thrownness-in-existence totalising–self-referencing-syncretising meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the supposed deficiency of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative-ontological-incompleteness, thus failing to grasp that the more decisive transformation of the human subject is the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of the construction-of-the-Self across the human institutionalisation process
and wherein the in-effect supervening-conflatedness of subpotencies with existence speaks of existence’s ecstatic singularity as so-reflect ed as of notional-deprocrypticism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness- and-teleology in conceptualising ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. Ultimately, Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion points to the fundamental dialecticism of human meaningfulness-and-teleology; as to the fact that the human is that which is in totalising–thrownness-in-existence as of recurrent-utter- uninstitutionalisation

totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by its reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising but then is warranted to ontologically-complete itself successively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising. The human then is what is warranted to reconstrue Rousseauian perfectibility out of its totalising–thrownness-in-existence flawed constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as of its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, as it can’t pretend to avoid this purposefulness as it is, as of its any presencing state, the outcome of such purposefulness as relayed with the institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. This coherently explains the inevitability of human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as when the organic-knowledge avails it is much more than just an idea of choice but rather an obligation as of the implied inherently antiakrasiatic disposition that can’t afford to overlook as if lacking the organic-knowledge for degrading into totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
in existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. When the dialecticism of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its prospective ontological-performance implications as of virtue/constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments/destrukturing-threshold-of-ontological-performance shows itself to be definitely determinable and is no longer the bigger issue for prospective human emancipation but rather the bigger issue becoming one of human psychological cognisance and adjustment to any such prospective emancipatory meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity. The underlying difficulty of all such psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is all about how can a mindset adjusted as of its totalising–thrownness-in-existence as of its given totalising–self-referencing-syncretising apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for construing meaningfulness-and-teleology in an existential closed-construct ever gets prodded into contemplating an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology speaking supposedly of more ontologically profound prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of meaningfulness-and-teleology as implied as of prior transcendences from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, etc. But then as all along the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences, such a parrhesiastic exercise is ever always caught up between accommodating human temporality and existence-potency which knows of no such accommodation for human temporality, inevitably the existence-potency transcendental-enabling implications necessarily comes ahead of human temporality emotional convenience. The certitude and determination of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as from this hindsight, as so-reflected from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, will
necessarily imply dementing implications of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising with respect to our positivism–procreticism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we are thereby emotionally inconvenienced, just as singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as from our positivism perspective of meaningfulness-and-teleology will necessarily imply dementing implications of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising with respect to prior non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we can appreciate the emotional inconvenience of the non-positivism/medievalism establishment mental-dispositions.
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consistency and coherence, Plato’s ideas for universal consistency and coherence and Aristotle’s qualifying-categories and universalising-syllogism for universal consistency and coherence; thus superseding/transcending the ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset as of base-institutionalisation mere rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’. This is the more profound explanation for the hegemonising ontological-grip thereafter of the Socratic philosophers defining universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology thereafter over the antiquity and their defining relevance in the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology of all the medieval societies of the Mediterranean and beyond, and so especially as the increasing population mixing thereafter particularly with the Roman empire naturally required/called-for ‘universally coherent, consistent and credible meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ that went well beyond traditional ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset; as of the knowledge reifying capacity-and-template for developing and cumulating such universalising idealisation coherence and consistency across culturally diverse peoples and across space and time. The Socratic philosophers crucial and defining emphasis for differentiating themselves from sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation was very much a self-conscious insight as of the requisite parrhesiastic gesturing of ‘intemporal antiakra siatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ to allow for the requisite universalising idealisation ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflected as of
singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology; which otherwise would be highly underminable as of a predisposition to ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophisticated ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset by which populist averaging-of-thought could easily be elicited were the Socratic philosophers to imply dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence as of common/mutual aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising whereas in reality there were of dissimilar apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as to imply such sophistic dispositions were rather in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’, and it was more critically a question of upholding universalising idealisation reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications over time. By the same token, the mathesis-universalis of budding positivists/rational-empiricists positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’ for the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ induced the requisite ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ allowing for the requisite ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’ for the second-natured institutionalisation of prospective
positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-
accordance ‘specific bottomline–of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the
constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’.
Here too, the budding positivists/rational-empiricists were very much aware of the lack of
dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence as of common/mutual
aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising as of their dissimilar
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as to imply underlying
medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation establishment dogmatism was
rather in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’, and that it would
be more critically a question of upholding the budding positivism/rational-empiricism reifying
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework projective-totalitative–implications over time as effected ultimately with the
hegemonising ontological-grip of such positivism/rational-empiricism renewed and more
profound meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion that rendered possible the knowledge existential-contextualising-
contiguity reifying capacity-and-template for the transformative development-and-cumulation
of modern science and liberal society. Thus what is transformatively critical with regards to
‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination for prospective relative-ontological-
completeness’ in inducing the institutionalisation process successive second-natured
institutionalisation of prospective ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’ construed as of
prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-

as highlighted as of the constructiveness-and-destructuring-framework of ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enf raming dereifying-gesturing’ and as reflected in any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline–of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ arises as of destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-orderatioccontinuity, so-construed as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism induced deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity; wherein as of flawed edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising projective-totalitative–implications, dialectical-dementing representation is wrongly singularised/immanented while dialectical-thinking representation is wrongly dissingularised/not-immanent. This actually points out why dialogical-inequivalency/intellectual-and-moral-inequivalency as of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’ is associated with sophist representations as knowledge as well as temporal manifestations of postlogism-slantedness and conjugated-postlogism manifestations including psychopathy and social-psychopathy as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview. While as of human-subpotency temporal totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag we may be inclined to construe of the notion of dialogical-equivalency as absolutely requisite, the fact is dialogical-equivalency cannot supersede existence-potency validation/invalidation implications where its eliciting is structurally/paradigmatically flawed for the simple reason that knowledge as of implied underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existing-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications is all about existence-potency and not about human sovereignty; in
the sense that for instance gravity on earth as 9.8 m/s² doesn’t heed to any human sovereignty exercise as of dialogue as the latter is only as pertinent as it structurally/paradigmatically implies an intermediative process for the deferred-outcome as of existence-potency but not otherwise, and as being subpotent with existence it is the human that has to ensure that its meaningfulness-and-teleology coincides with existential veracity, such that where dialogical-equivalency is wrongly implied and thus likely to undermine existence-potency what gives in is the false notion of dialogical-equivalency. This is equally reflected in the idea that the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the implication of relative-ontological-completeness associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination from the perspective of existence-potency—as-of-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/referentialism rather construed as of difference-conflatedness—as-totalitative—reification—in—singularisation—as—veridical—epistemic—determinism, and not identitive-constitutedness—as—totalitadic—dereification—in—dissingularisation—as—flawed—epistemic—determinism flawed projection of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising by ‘mere formulaic psychologising effect’, without ontological-veracity for the manifested formulaic psychologising, due to the failure to factor in relative-ontological-incompleteness as of shallow human limited-mentation-capacity apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising projective-totalitative—implications. Thus edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the very same totalising-purview-of—construal—as—existence or totalising—devolved—purviews—as—domains—of—construal—as—intrinsic—reality/ontological-veridicality,
rather points to the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is not to be construed as accumulated/in-accumulation’ but that it is effectively ‘as recomposured in prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ as of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought since existence or purviews-of-existence ever always structurally/paradigmatically remain the same and it is human-subpotency that is ever always undergoing its transcendence-and-sUBLImity not by cumulating but rather by ‘recomposuring construal of existence or purviews-of-existence’; and this further explains why second-natured institutionalisation reasoning-from-results/afterthought, induced as from parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through, will tend to act as if meaningfulness-and-teleology is accumulated/in-accumulation thus ending up beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought ‘instigating enframed apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising institutional-setups and meaningfulness-and-teleology implications that are poorly amenable to totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’, and so structurally/paradigmatically limiting the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sUBLImity but for the instigation of prospective parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through beyond/overflowing such enframing. Critically just as ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ is associated with edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-over unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of
incisiveness manifestation this is ontologically-flawed as the latter is in effect rather in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’ invalidating any such pretence of dialogical-equivalency. Thus this rather undermines the natural and habitual human mental-reflex where it wrongly construes of the vocalisation/interjection/expletive intensification associated with such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as speaking of profound affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring/instrument-validating-measuring/dialectically-thinking that is beyond contention-as-certain. Thus inducing destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity as of the pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness manifestation of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism instigated destructuring-transitoriness/deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity rather in dialectical-dementing representation but now engaged in dialogical-equivalency of contention as if of dialectical-thinking representation. Pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is what explains beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought narrators in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-discontiguity’ engaging with interlocutors rather in temporal totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as of closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, wherein the last narratives as of pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness induces ontologically-flawed sense of ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in the interlocutor notwithstanding the succession of iterative looping of narratives, as what is always pertinent for the narrator is the pseudo-rationalising of all prior narratives into-and-as-of the last narrative(s). The more simplistic example of such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is with the childhood psychopathy example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another and the dragging out of its postlogism-slantedness narratives as the simpler/uncomplexified representation of the adult psychopathy
postlogism-slantedness mental-disposition, and this further points to the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative-implications when such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness phenomenon is rather at the level of maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness associated with adult psychopathy and associated social psychopathy, or as we can appreciate as of the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor manifestations of sophistic dispositions social eliciting of averaging-of-thought as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought whether with traditional witchdoctors, the sophists, medieval-pedants or in many ways intellectual muddlement today. Thus a given prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, by its implied ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, operantly reflects the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition enframing dereifying-gesturing’ as of ‘a reifying gesturing that is-not-to-be-drag-in/commingle-with the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview’s/dimension’s apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising social-stake-contention-and-confliction meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness projective-totalitative-implications’; as reflected by the fact that positivising or prospective deprocrypticism edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising rather construe respectively non-positivising or procrypticism as of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-ontological-
discontiguity as to invalidate the averaging-of-thought mental-reflex of dialogical equivalency pointing rather to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure projective-totalitative-implications to be reflected by the prospective edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, but then this equally implies the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance is effectively prone to a general averaging-of-thought disposition predisposed to forego ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’ for a closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. It has always been the case that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions second-natured institutionalisations as instigated as from human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ have to contend as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with corresponding sophistic eliciting of averaging-of-thought whether as traditional witchdoctors, the sophists, medieval-pedants or in many ways intellectual muddlement today, with the requisite intemporal-as-ontological reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalititative-implications over-time/cross-generationally inducing the positive opportunism untenability that overcomes such ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert pretence of equivalency/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’; and in this regards, the futural possibility of developing-and-cumulating the capacity-and-template for the renewed and more profound meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion of prospective deprocrypticism pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-
explanation for disparateness here is effectively construed as a question of the implications of ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of ontological-performance’ wherein varying ontologically-flawed superfluous, superstitious, mystical and cultic interpretations of the natural world totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality speaks rather of states of relative-ontological-incompleteness and the prospective possibility of ontologically-veridical grander unifying scientific explanation of the natural world totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality speaks rather of relative-ontological-completeness. Such projective-totalitative–implications construal points out that disparateness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as often wrongly projected in many a social domain-of-study is not an inherently sovereign notion as to the fact that construal as of relative-ontological-incompleteness cannot be ‘qualified as sovereign and beyond the countenance of its ontological-veracity as from relative-ontological-completeness perspective’ given that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are of ‘supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’; such that while recognising the human-subpotency epistemic-veracity perspective of say a given social-setup attributing an ailment to say magic, this doesn’t override the notion of inherent ontological-veridicality as of existence-potency perspective wherein modern society in relative-ontological-completeness attributes the ailment to say flu. In order words, sovereign commitments, recognised as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, do not override the pre-eminence of ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of existence-potency
perspective, in which case no human transcendence-and-sublimity will be possible. Stated another way, if Einstein’s or Bohr’s seminal theories were viewed unfavourably by the physics community of their time as of their sovereign predisposition, that wouldn’t annul the ontological-veracity of their theories even if Einstein or Bohr were to acquiesce to that sovereign predisposition over their own theories, for the simple reason that knowledge is constructed as of the absolute dominance of intrinsic-reality as of existence-potency over the mortals that we as human beings are in order for transcendence-and-sublimity to be possible; and that reality with respect to knowledge doesn’t speak of totalitarianism as will often be sophistically usurped when it comes to the blurriness of the social domain-of-study, as the charge of totalitarianism can only apply with respect to sovereign choice. Further a projective-totalitative–implications construal equally points out that the totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existence or any totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality does not imply the structural/paradigmatic change of existence-as-of-existent-contextualising-contiguity but rather that change is the outcome of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness involving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of prospective dialectical-thinking representation and prior dialectical-dementing representation; with the implication here that the issue of knowledge is all about developing human-subpotency towards existence-potency. The conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity in the natural sciences is often poorly perceived inherently because of their subject-matter/domain-of-study implicit nature of philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’; such that it is
often wrongly construed in atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ but with little consequence since such an atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is generally an ontologically-flawed afterthought reflection/contemplation whereas operantly beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought scientists generally adopt a conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity posture. The reality of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness here is validated by the fact that ‘abstract scientific notions are not the point of departure scientists contemplation’ as they are rather ‘delved in existential-contextualising-contiguity in projective-totalitative–implications conflatedness to then reflect abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification or depart from existential-contextualising-contiguity already reified abstract scientific notions to then reflect further abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’. For instance, we can appreciate that physics never establish any absolute atomising/taken-into-pieces notion of say atoms, space, time, energy, etc. on which it merely then go on to be constituting meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as physics knowledge-reification. Rather we can better appreciate the occurrence of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of projective-totalitative–implications construal in the sense that our ordinary thought process itself is as of totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity construal of notions like space, time, force, etc. with no absolutely given point of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness even when we may harbour such a confusion, and likewise the development of theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. are equally totalising as to the fact that these imply various ways of reconceptualising the notions of space, time, force, etc. as of the precedence of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of existential-
contextualising-contiguity of such notions like space, time, force, etc. in projective-totalitative-implications conflatedness to then articulate their abstract/theoretical notions/conceptualisations of space, time, force, etc.; thus there isn’t any absolutely identitive atomising/taking-to-pieces notions of space, time, force, etc. which are ‘constituted once-and-for-all to later on build/reify physics knowledge as of progressive constituting’ but rather physics knowledge is always re-totalising/re-holistic of ‘the very same physics notions and their derived implications of new notions’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination. We can appreciate that the atomising/taking-to-pieces disposition that is often wrongly sought in other domains-of-study is often ontologically-flawed because it fails to see that ‘their more elaborate panintelligibility nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness in their domains-of-study’ implies that their knowledge-reification should increasingly be explicitly holistic/nested-congruence, as even the natural sciences are implicitly holistic by the mere fact of the ‘precedence of existential-contextualising-contiguity in projective-totalitative–implications conflatedness to which their abstract notions are aligned’ as well as so-implied by their unification orientations which drives their knowledge-reification and are not just idle quest; and this misconstrual is further reflected by the fact that the life sciences (as of their axiomatic-construct ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’) have a more inherently elaborate panintelligibility nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity supervening-conflatedness thus rendering its methodology more holistic and teleological, is often naively and wrongly construed as ‘a relatively weaker natural science’. This underlying epistemic existential-contextualising-contiguity insight reflects ecstatic-existence’s supervening-conflatedness in panintelligibility-
as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence; wherein inherently ‘more immediately constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like physics and the natural sciences generally are of a less elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and can thus be ontologically-flawedly be perceived as being of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness while inherently ‘less immediately constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like the social domains-of-study are of more a elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity nature in conflatedness that speaks to the need for their appropriate holistic hermeneutic depth of ontological-construal. In many ways the natural sciences by the immediate constraining of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implicitly avoid atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness but the misunderstanding that their knowledge-reification gesturing is effectively as of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness in other domains-of-study ends up having naïve and distortive effects on such domains-of-study knowledge-reification and particularly so with regards to the development of their self-conscious philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. This author contends that this poor self-conscious philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ is the structurally/paradigmatically defining issue of many of the social domains-of-study today, as in effect many such domains are turned into technicality as of institutional-being-and-craft imprimatur, ‘fallback to unquestioned/dogmatic normativities’ and ‘habituated dispositions’ which priorly enframed subject-matters and institutional-setups structurally/paradigmatically stifle the possibility for
conceptualisation as of existence-potency validation/invalidation implications beyond their conventioning-referencing enframing, so-implied as of the perspective of notional-deprocrypticism prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. Thus overall existence’s panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence implies the ‘primacy of a projective-totalitative–implications basis for conception due to human totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ as ‘existence/existence-potency doesn’t wait for the human to incrementally have the complete picture’ and thus it is ‘the human subject who has to aspire to conform-as-of-its-self-consciousness-growth with existence/existence-potency in a projective-totalitative–implications conception’, and this further indicts our traditional conception of induction as being epistemically incremental wrongly construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that underlies dispositions for totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag because of ‘failure to draw projective-totalitative–implications as of displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject and wrongly construing presencing situations as of absolute/absolutising grounding’, whereas in reality human totalising–thrownness-in-existence rather points out that the epistemic-veracity of induction is rather as of ‘maximalising projective-totalitative–implications’ (which is rather re-totalising/re-holistic of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to successive inductions) rightly construed as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness and ‘totalitatively involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination’ with displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject; and such a misconstruing of the effective notion of induction speaks of ‘an ontologically-flawed modern positivistic pedagogic reflex of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ that misses-out-on and ends up pruning-and-enframing the natural human projective-totalitative–
invalidate natural determinism’. In this regards we can appreciate for instance that with the positivism/rational-empiricism modern society’s disease theory, parents failing to figure out that a baby is likely to get sick if kept in dirty surroundings due to bacteria and germs as well that high temperature is a sign that the baby needs medical care, such that were it to be established that the baby develops a serious medical condition because of such failure of parental care then the human potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to the parents responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of our positivism/rational-empiricism Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, however, supposed a similar situation arises in a non-positivistic social-setup with the parents acting that way because of say animistic beliefs that are utterly normal in the given animistic social-setup then it is difficultly the case that the human-potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to their responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of their non-positivism/animistic Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion (as the relative-ontological-incompleteness in the latter case renders it as an ‘ought indeterminacy’ while the relative-ontological-completeness in the former case renders it as an ‘is determinacy’); but then, a general underlying human potentiation of freewill of all humans is engaged passively to the effect that prospective relative-ontological-completeness inducing prospective self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self reflected as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion in deflating human vices-and-impediments, necessarily warrants all humans to effectively aspire-for/be-receptive-to prospective relative-ontological-completeness. And such a more broad construal of freewill and natural determinism implications can be contemplated as elaborated elsewhere herein with regards to akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex; thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is
structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive to vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalency/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human totalising–thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective human institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology as of human totalising–thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. Further, in the specific instances it is important to recognise that natural determinism invalidation of sovereign options/choice or freewill ‘applies critically only as of poor self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implications arising from the underdevelopment of Being/ontological-framework-expansion or self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self
incapacity as of say insanity’, and not necessarily as of lack of new knowledge-construct or technical-development; in the sense that say a criminal that had gone uncaught before a new technical-development like DNA testing establishes their criminal responsibility as of human potentiation, cannot talk of natural determinism implications as a defence just as covert predispositions associated with vices-and-impediments as of ‘self-conscious drive’ cannot be qualified to be of natural determinism implications when unmasked. Panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence ‘speaking epistemically with respect to any existential subpotency including human-subpotency’, inherently reflects the veridical-epistemic-determinism-as-of-existence-potency-of-construal of any such subpotency ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, with human-subpotency ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ effectively construable as of the human institutionalisation process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion’. The overall implied notion of ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’ as advanced here is one of supratransversality over subtransversality rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence. Such a mental-disposition of substituting old categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with new ones of prospective registry-worldview/dimension as implied by totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of institutional moulting underlies the concept of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, in dealing with the fact that by reflex all registry-worldviews/dimensions are structured not to construe of their very own prospective transcendence, and thus relating to their categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation on an
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of its ‘deprocrypticism-or-pre-emptying-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising as of pre-emptying-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules is the virtuous-ontological resolution of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments, as it further contendingly implies a prospective decentering and dialectically-dementation reflection/perspectivation of positivism–procrypticism. We can imagine that futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism inclined agent given its ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness can effectively forego the normally construed positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ‘valued-viability’ to expend on a ‘so-construed most important work’ that can be done in a positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as of prospective institutionalisation into deprocrypticism (more like an archaeologist might don on dirty clothing and dig their hands in mud and rubbish ‘like an animal’ to find out about the treasures that are human histories); and by that equally implying prospectively the decentering and dialectical-dementation of positivism–procrypticism averaging-of-thought. Such an insight can be appreciated as with the instance in the non-positivistic community where the positivistic
mindset/reference-of-thought will most likely not necessarily perceive and construe the ‘achievement motives and temporal-stakes in animistic or medieval lives and living’ in the non-positivistic social-setup as ‘grandest living’ but rather the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘of positivistic transcendental institutionalisation projection over the animistic or medieval setup as much more of existential worth’ from its vantage ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, more like an Aristotelian eudaemonic-contemplation. There is nothing inherently wrong with achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively, whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism or positivism–procrypticism. However, this is necessarily superseded and overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted-{as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context-for-conflatedness} in the bigger picture of human eternalising aspiration as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality behind the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities of the institutionalisation process in inducing the successive institutional-being-and-craft thriving; as going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions, to rather assume the notion that ‘achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions
conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively’ take precedence and are not ‘necessarily superseded and overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context-for-conflatedness) in the bigger picture of human eternalising aspiration as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism behind the intemporal individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities of the institutionalisation process inducing institutional-being-and-craft thriving’, comes with the contradictory implication that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation institutional-being-and-craft, which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism inventing’, should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism institutional-being-and-craft, which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism inventing’, should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally,
family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ positivism–procrypticism institutional-being-and-craft (that is, paradoxically we shouldn’t be existing today!), and which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism inventing’, itself should not be transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in denaturing so construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ deprocrypticism institutional-being-and-craft, reflecting rather intellectual absurdity; and speaking rather besides a natural weakness of human incapacity that can arise and do arise as a result of our limited-mentation-capacity rendering us unconscious/unaware/as-of-the-poorer-halves-of-ourselves which is fathomable/understandable, of a graver problem if that was to be the case even when we then ‘understand’, of intellectual and moral irresponsibility of failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to do our own ‘homework’ with respect to our forerunners in the bigger notion of the human species emancipation. In order words, the most vital human activities has to do, whether as of a consciously aware or unconscious nature, with the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that enables human memetic-rescheduling (institutional-recomposure/psychoanalytic-unshackling) as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to present day positivism–procrypticism and prospectively deprocrypticism; together with the idea that by the very intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism essence of that ‘inventing’ it is inappropriate to construe such institutional-being-and-craft construct as a framework of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal extirpatory paradigm relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology (undermining the implied categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, by adhering by flaw rather to the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as deterministic thus subknowledging/mimicking the non-veridical hollow/empty form of the meaning of narratives, and strangely enough ‘inventing’ the prospective uninstitutionalisation, represented ontologically as decentered and dialectically-dementing), but rather appreciative of the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal mental-disposition (as ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism) behind the mental projection associated with and contributing to such institutional-being-and-craft ‘inventing’. But then transcendental constructs of meaning and meaningfulness going beyond the ‘conventioning limits’ of a given registry-worldview/dimension by definition are not actually perceived as ‘most critical in value’ going by ‘intradimensional conventions’ which define registry-worldviews/dimensions ontological and virtue limits; the effort of a Socrates, Galileo, Diderot, Copernicus as of implying a prospective reference-of-thought of meaning and meaningfulness, is an afterthought social recognition by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought institutionalisation, not the social recognition of their own registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (as the prior/transcended/superseded), as transcendental meaningfulness involves psychical and institutional recomposuring of high contrariety implications to human temporality as putting into question the present as prior/old, but then the vocation of all transcendence as all knowledge is not about being responsive to the mortals that we are (including this author’s mortality as anyone’s else) as of social-aggregation-enabling but rather responsive to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling of an intersolipsistic nature.
It is equally important to grasp that transcendence is the more profound origination of reference-of-thought that enables knowledge conceptualisations, and that the praxis of knowledge may naively be construed as non-transcendental. So all knowledge is actually transcendental and this is not to be confused with its distance/remoteness as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’ (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or prospectively deprocrypticism knowledge), and the idea of neutral/equable knowledge is a ‘mental complex of institutional inheritance’ arising from incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness naivety, as if a given institutionalised reference-of-thought for knowledge has always been that way. By its very nature as construed from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling and not social-aggregation-enabling, transcendence (transcendental knowledge) cannot be construed as a neutral/equable exercise that doesn’t involve contrariety, as it implies superseding the prior reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with the prospective one for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in contrast to a naïve incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex as incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness/adding onto the prior. The idea that knowledge-as-virtue will be obtained neutrally and be inserted in the social-construct neutrally is rather a simplistic/naïve virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal, as at best such knowledge is not really neutral but rather remote/distant as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’. For instance, scientific discoveries and our liberal notions today are grounded on the transcendental origination of positivistic modern scientific knowledge and liberal thinking reference-of-thought established and developed from the days
of the Newtons, Galileos, Pasteurs, Copernicus, Descartes, Rousseaux, etc. who and others, then were transcendental originating in their positivistic outlook relative to other outlooks then like alchemy, essences, mysticism, serfdom, feudalism, etc., while equally inducing high social contrariety then to supersedingly establish our positivistic psyche leading to corresponding institutionalisation implications like the culture of science, notions of human rights, etc.; and we now take for granted today such a scientific disposition by the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction but right back in their epoch this elicited a high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The point here is to highlight that where the need for ‘reappraisal of reference-of-thought’ arises as for prospective transcendence, it will be naïve to imply that knowledge is neutral failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to register that all knowledge is the outcome of transcendence as ‘reappraisals of references-of-thought’ and inducing their corresponding prospective psychologisms (apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights). Effectively, the wrong argument of knowledge neutrality is actually the argument of the prior originating transcendence of reference-of-thought that enabled it to be as of the present reference-of-thought, as a statement of knowledge neutrality respectively in non-positivism/medieval or positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions are just naively asserting the former or the latter as the reference-of-thought for knowledge; implying that a mental-disposition doesn’t naturally factor in its very own ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Hence it is rather ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that is the viable construing reference of knowledge with its transcendence implications for completing the reference-of-thought, and so not only with regards to transcendence of
retrospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought but equally with the implication of transcendence for prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as so validated by ontological-normalcy/postconvergerence. This insight about a more succinct social reality as of human institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-facets is critically vital for the appraisal of psychopathy and social-psychopathy as social manifestation of postlogism as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought within the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The social dynamics of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction as elicited in psychopathy and social psychopathy are more decisively determined by its induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency hence speaking of the positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein prospective institutionalising-facet insight will construe perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought while prospective uninstitutionalising-facet insight will rather overlook such implied denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought. This very much mirrors such a dichotomy as articulated before within the same social space of relative perception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold defining its very notions of lawfulness and lawlessness, social-functioning and social dysfunction, accordance and discordance, probity and corruption, principledness and unprincipledness, etc. across the full breadth and depth of human institutions dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction at that uninstitutionalised-threshold especially as of generalised-and-all-pervasive extended-informality. Such a dichotomy points out the reality in positivism–procrypticism that the construal of psychopathy and social psychopathy is in effect a social construction wherein while prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition relates-to-and-construes-a-narrative-of
grave institutional implications of phenomenal psychopathy as of the social dichotomy notions implied above, and so as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, prospective uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition will mostly construe irrelevance-and-benignancy as of temporal extirpatory paradigm. This is very much in sync with the reality that at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold human solipsistic mental-dispositions are temporal-to-intemporal with the implication that such intemporal mental-orientation as ontology divulging is just one mental-disposition among others such that any such pre-eminence arises only as of positive opportunity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the middle to long run or cross-generationally as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality. This dichotomy of contradictory narratives explains why it is the bigger framework of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that perfectly grasp in sync a superseding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in deprocripticism conflatedness and so over procripticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought denaturing and harkening back in undermining psychopathy and social psychopathy as the more specific individuation-level denaturing. Interestingly this construing of psychopathy and social psychopathy within a dichotomy of institutionalisation and uninstitutionalisation mental-dispositions with respect to dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction is very much reflective of the human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries dispositions, as we can grasp the veracity/ontological-pertinence of this uninstitutionalised-threshold dichotomy more transparently with regards to say non-positivism/medievalism postlogism manifestation like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery.
We know that such incidents associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of the more profound ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue wherein the incidental denaturing of such manifestations reflected a social denaturing of the registry-worldview/dimension itself as non-positivistic and susceptible to endemise/enculturate superstitiousness as of the ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. And in both instances it is the corresponding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conflatedness directed to the bigger and subsuming issue of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for inducing deprocrypticism over procrypticism or positivism over non-positivism/medievalism respectively that harkens back to undermine in a decisive and nonextirpatory and non-palliative manner the associated postlogisms. Conflatedness as such implies an utter shift as the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus superseding the curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought now being construed as dementing-and-decentered-to-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as denaturing.] The defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought) comparison can equally be used to illustrate how slanting is different from lying. Insightfully, we can grasp that the fundamental defect of the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising just as with slanting arising as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception explains why it keeps on falsely presupposing new narratives in deception just as a defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising systematically keeps on making wrong measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose (systematically flawed meaningfulness) as its fundamental as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect (or intradimensional-defect, in registry-worldview terms of implications). On the other hand, a lying deception is tantamount to undertaking an inappropriate measurement-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose (flaw logical-processssing/act-execution-implicitation meaningfulness) with an apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising that is not defective (thus appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness). This point to the ad-hoc nature of lying deception wherein there is nothing inherent that precludes subsequent appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication meaningfulness where the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) are resolved. In the bigger scheme of things (at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional level) postlogism ontological-decadence and its integration as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-intemperal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation defines a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold; arising in ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations. This is ontologically/intemporally represented or stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored postlogical mindsets ‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemperal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (which are not ignored/overlooked but cored/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored) wherein ontologically-speaking the psychopath’s interlocutors had hitherto by new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’ represented/registered/related-to the postlogical mindsets ‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemperal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as
of-reference-of-thought annuls temporal-emanances-registries’ implied logical-dueness/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology as ‘logically contending’; from a pure ontological-veridicality perspective, more like a medieval mind with a superstitious registry-worldview categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation doesn’t have the implied-profile-or-implied-stature and the implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation to logically contend about the ontological veridicality of an accusation of witchcraft with a relatively suprastructuring positivistic mental-disposition). This technique of mentally grasping the psychopath and other postlogical minds is by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting a ‘distractive-or-circumventive-mental-alignment-or-postlogism’ (explained further in the text) as against an ‘integrative-mental-alignment-or-prelogism’ (the latter being the normal reflex by which the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind ordinarily aligns to meaning, and it is this mental-alignment reflex to meaning that makes it difficult to truly grasp the psychopath’s and other postlogical mental-dispositions which mental-alignment are rather non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented with respect to meaning).

Paradoxically, this is the fundamental strength of psychopathy, i.e. to get the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind to wrongly elevate psychopathic meaningfulness as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’ rather than reflect the reality of its ‘formulaic-formic formulaic meaning’ which is ‘meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated’. So when we talk about psychopathy we are talking about perversion-of-reference-
of-thought rather than logical defect (defect of logical operation/processing/contention). This
distinction is critical. Why? Basically, meaning is what defines/predicates value, thought and
action. Meaning has two elementary aspects: reference-of-thought or axioms or categorical-
imperatives (reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, by the
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and logic (logical-
operation/processing/contention/implicitation-of-act-execution, and so, ‘fundamentally and
validatorily’ on the basis of sound categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the very first
instance). Meaning and meaningfulness is thus essentially about the ‘operation of reference-
of-thought as-of-its-veracity/ontological-pertinence as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-
reference-of-thought’, with logic/logical-processing basically about the operation of
reference-of-thought as rules as of ontological-coherence/superseding–oneness-of-ontology
validated as of established ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality.
[Otherwise stated, meaning has ‘reference-of-thought’ reflecting its
being/ontological/existential veridicality, and logic as an operation of ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ based on the meaning’s implied categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology valid only inasmuch as the reference to the ‘registry
elements’ of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-
stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-
reference/implied-teleology is ‘existentially’ established. *Critical for ontological-veridicality
of meaning and meaningfulness and knowledge, the relatively ontologically-complete-
reference-of-thought defines what is meaning and meaningfulness as of its ‘soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ construed as ‘dialectically-thinking and centered
understanding’ over the relatively ontologically-incomplete-reference-of-thought as of its
‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ construed as ‘dialectically-dementing and decentered understanding’. Slanting (and by derivation cohering-slanting) is ‘technically coherent logical articulation’ however over flawed or non-existent reference-of-thought elements, and thus falsely implying the reference-of-thought elements of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology as being ‘existentially’ established, with the possibility of a further infinite possibility of logical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge arising where the reference-of-thought-elements are wrongly implied as of existential-reality.

Normally we assume that everyone is sound of mind (that is, assume everyone operates by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, with contention arising by reflex rather with respect to logical coherence and not the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the first place) so ‘we don’t tend to question the being/ontological/existential veridicality of reference-of-thought-{reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’). But with the phenomenon of psychopathy, this is a fatal flaw at its adulthood stage, as at its childhood stage the ‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect’ of the implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry and its elements of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology is rather obvious and we don’t normally process/operate logically the childhood psychopathy’s non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives since ‘we just invalidate those implied registry elements to start with as not of being/ontological/existential veridicality’. For instance in the case above, where John were to witness Dad punish his sister Mary for spilling water on a chair, and by ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of meaning’ (meaning-by-the-mere-
illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) determines that if in a ‘dereifying act’ he spilt some water on a chair and said it was Peter, Peter will be punished by dad; dad, however, having an ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity sense/projection of meaning’ doesn’t even dare to operate/process the logic articulated by John (a logic which in-of-itself while utterly sound technically, but is actually irrelevant in the given context by its fundamental logical-undueness as of its unsound-reference-of-thought/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion) as he simply engages his unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by way of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and then reflect the reference-of-thought or registry-teleology of John as perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology. In so doing determines that John is ‘manifesting a mental defect’ and more so, not an ad-hoc defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance, but rather as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect that speaks to how John may act in many other similar situations, i.e. ontological-decadence (ontological-discontiguity/‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts/’non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) by the denaturing of the reference-of-
thought or the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaning over which denaturing he tries to get interlocutors to operate/process logic; and ‘is not even contending and that he is the subject of prelogism-as-of-conviction contention about his perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The above is the fundamental nature of psychopathy and ‘it should not be lost even more critically at the adulthood stage and the corollary of social psychopathy’ as increasingly prelogism-as-of-conviction minds will tend to align to adult psychopaths and other postlogical teleological mindsets wrongfully as prelogical/conviction/candored/straightened/prelogism instead of rightfully keeping a decandored/oblongated/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought/mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought). [Such reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) inherently implies a dialecticism involving conviction narratives as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism)/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and narratives that are non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented/subknowledging/mimicking/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought qualified as mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness. This points to a perversion-of-reference-of-thought basically or a registry-worldview denaturing (when it comes to a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence). The dialecticism involves stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence pointing to

The underlying fact about meaning is that registry (as basic element of reference-of-thought) precedes logic. For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right and sound in abstract terms but does the registry (reference-of-thought) apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the registry as of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-
reference-of-thought, i.e., slanting-deception or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing-of-narratives! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic, you watch out for the reference-of-thought/registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as perversion-of-reference-of-thought do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogism-as-of-non-conviction non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-’set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. This is known as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed as ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena which protects the internal-coherence of meaning as of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and corresponding virtue’ and so by way of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-
effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration is derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect. It should be noted that both psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism cases of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought (as slanted and cohering-slanted, respectively), by their ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’, involve ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness in arrogation by the fact that taken singularly from the same interlocutor in different circumstances, each (hollow-constituting) narrative is apparently coherent but ‘construed together as of the retracing of set-of-narratives’ these reveal ‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as dementing’. It is rather their respective ‘retracing of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of set-of-narratives together’ that reveals ‘postlogical slanting unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ and ‘conjugated-postlogism cohering-slanted unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (insane-integration)’; as in successive postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts and corresponding conjugated-postlogical conjoining of the iterating narratives, the succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci (thus revealing the
‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cingle-effect’ as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought inducing the dementing which is particularly obvious at childhood psychopathy but its perception easily gets lost at adult psychopathy with psychopath increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction) are constantly modified with circumstantial hollow-constituting by ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’; and so in order to wrongly imply the reference-of-thought/registry elements as the foundation for its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge. However, the natural level of human interlocution engagement ‘is not the enlightenment of the retracing of an interlocutor’s sets-of-narratives’ (as this could vary anywhere from say a few days or weeks to years of conviction engagement, for such an insight to arise), but rather as of ‘specific singular circumstantial narrative of interlocution without a comprehensive existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context projection’ by which interlocutors deduce circumstantially. Thus the postlogical-and-conjugated-postlogical habit of producing sets-of-narratives (which collective retracing reveals their unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insight, but singularly out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and are apparently of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought) come to be endemised and enculturated socially, as of ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-
as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’. Further, this ‘natural level of human interlocution engagement is a perpetuation’ explaining why the conjugated-postlogism mental-disposition is one of ‘slanted-cohering/conjoining’ as it rather re-rationalises the latest iterated narrative as an elucidation rather than a further dementing of adult psychopath/postlogism (as obvious with the child psychopathy ‘delirium effect’ as it slants and re-slants on the initial slanting in a absolving-logic/fleeting-logic/escaping-logic reflex); and, the falsely projected reference-of-thought implied-elements of logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology, create a new foundation for further dementing when wrongly eliciting in an interlocutor logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation issue, such that one salient manifestation of conjugated-postlogism arises with many of such an interlocutor vaguely articulating propositions based on such falsely ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. The idea that the ‘natural level of human interlocution engagement is a perpetuation’ can be understood insightfully with respect to a non-positivistic/medieval setup wherein a contention arising in non-positivistic/medieval reference-of-thought terms when invalidated positivistic terms doesn’t imply that such interlocutors will instantly dramatically change their reference-of-thought into the positivistic terms with their successive contentions (due to syncretising-denial), as their reference-of-thought remains rather in non-positivistic/medieval circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, and in the big picture in all likelihood can only be ‘weaned from’ cross-generationally as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposuring. Likewise the ‘natural basis of human interlocutory
engagement tends to be perpetuating’ when it comes with psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to its eliciting of a ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-(as-procrypticism)’, thus equally implying a syncretising-denial circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the reference-of-thought as of the prospective-uninstitutionalisation or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Thus the central notion for pre-empting psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is the ‘retracing of their sets-of-narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-as-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. That revealing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of the traces of sets-of-narratives is analogous to resolving a list of BODMAS equations where the solution of the first equation is a variable of the second equation and whose solution is a variable of the third equation whose solution is a variable of the fourth; and where the first equation is fundamentally flawed (as of a apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising flaw, for instance), systematically the three other equations will be wrong whether by (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) mental-disposition to resolve the equation of the traditional arithmetic principles as categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation without factoring that such categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only as pertinent (not by habit or tradition or expediency) but as of when they are truly for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-normalcy to then articulate the necessary
as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existent-contextualising-contiguity' which will 'hollow-constitute' and falsely validate the deceptive foundation of 'implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology. This is most apparent with childhood psychopathy as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair where it is directly obvious there is no ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation- 
outside-existent-contextualising-contiguity’ to be had/entertained nor any logical analysis but rather maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness invalidating that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of the child psychopath who deliberately in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on the chair to accuse another even exists, its implied-profile is ridiculous, just as its implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, its implied-assumptions, its implied-value-reference and its implied-teleology (or sense-of-purpose), and such an approach will equally extend with regards to social psychopathy where by ignorance at best or ‘other cynical temporal manifestations as of conjugating affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’ an interlocutor was to falsely imply the need for logical analysis in order to falsely validate the foundational faulty-faution-process-deception-or-urge of the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’.
This phenomenon of the ‘social protraction of psychopathy across individuals and society’ can be articulated as follows. It is important to grasp that the mechanism of SLANTING or impulsive-dementing is actually about ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-‘set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the
narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-
narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. The suspected psychosomatic basis for the psychopath to be slanted/‘cinglé’ is a ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (entitlement folie/folie raisonnante)’ as opposed to a logical motivation of a conviction or prelogical mental-disposition. It is as if ‘the psychopath’s mental state is to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-shortcut’ to the normal process of prelogism-as-of-conviction logical articulation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Going by the example highlighted above, say for instance the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness/formulaic-formic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’, as the statement, not to take young
children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a mental-disposition). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogical-mindset is to be seen as being conviction/prelogical even if it is a perception of bad-conviction since that will validate the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question the reference-of-thought/registry/categorical-imperatives/axioms and to re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation by ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’ wrongly turning the issue into one of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought ‘dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought manifestation’). The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical
hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’. What is critical for the psychopath is that ‘the last postlogic/formulaic-formic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated’ allows its interlocutors to prelogically ‘rationalise’ (align in-conviction to or prelogism, at-a-pedestal,-in-this-case-ignorance-pedestal) the other narratives even if there are all ‘non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives’. This might further involve juggling such hollow mimicking ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic among different set-of-interlocutors (this is simply because postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness operates by extrinsic-attribution, i.e. who can I convince to make my argument right as per ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness’ unlike postlogism as prelogism which operates by intrinsic-attribution, i.e. what is intrinsically real to uphold ontological virtue as per ‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’), and inducing mutual misconstruing; and the reason for a perpetual psychopath’s extrinsic-attribution inclination is that the outcome of its postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (which is an unusual and rare social experience given that a psychopathic personality and postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness are an outlier phenomenon) with one set-of-interlocutors will involve either a temporal commitment to the postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (due to the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-
underlying-phenomena as inducing vices-and-impediments which will then make it alienating) or a ‘fool-me-once-phenomenon’ where there is a relative insight on postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness from some interlocutors with no more commitment given the inconsistency of the ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, in time speaking to the fundamental mental denaturing involved in postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, and so for the acuity of the postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness the extrinsic-attribution inclination is in constant need for new sets-of-interlocutors. The mental process that takes place in the ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction mind is a prelogic/existential-contextualising-contiguity /conviction alignment (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) to the psychopath’s (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented projection (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) such that the former’s mind is rather in a ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness ‘conjoining looping narratives (of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought and developing a conviction or prelogism out of them), to the psychopath’s ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-‘set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as
non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. But again, this is just when the temporal prelogical/prelogism-as-of-conviction mind is ignorant of the slanted mental state of the psychopath.

The general and complete operative psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought mechanism (it isn’t necessarily completed in all manifestations as is rather a ‘mental roaming/drifting-cycle disposition known as postlogism-retreating’ that carries on depending on how the situation permits) involves the psychopath first projecting initially neutral narratives (pre-valuation), then narratives meant to elicit the sense of excellence/exception/accommodation of its interlocutor (pri-individuation) as well as any other person or notion the interlocutor holds in high esteem, which are then contrasted ‘out of context’ unfavourably with non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives about the psychopath’s ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ (de-individuation) ensuring the latter narratives are articulated craftily and at different social locations/spaces. De-individuation further consists of four elements; ‘consternation’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of dismay’ are induced on the interlocutor about the psychopath’s social-stake-contention-or-confliction target, ‘revulsion’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of repugnance’ are induced on the interlocutor about the target, ‘certainty’ wherein narratives with a ‘false sense of undoubtedness’ are projected about the target on the interlocutor, and finally ‘a sense of passive or suggestive alienation’ towards the psychopath’s target is projected upon the interlocutor to ‘subconsciously induce a sense of alienation from the target’. The psychopath then strives to settle on the whole of this process circularly doing likewise with other new and pertinent interlocutors as well (commitment). By and large this circularity perversion-of-reference-of-thought thus involves these four elements as pre-valuation/pri-individuation/de-individuation/commitment. Together with its corollary, social
psychopathy, this disposition (passive or suggestive alienation) is at various level-of-consciousness-and-wittiness extended to the social-construct as a comprehensive nature of extrinsic-attribution. Passive or suggestive alienation as such with corresponding ‘temporal registries miscuing’ is misconstrues intrinsic ‘ontological depth-of-conviction’.

The underlying reason for the entirety of this mental process in the psychopath has to do with its ‘formulaic-formic formulaic perception of meaning’ (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) which poorly perceives ‘conviction contentions’ not in the ‘essence/conviction sense’ but rather as ‘formulaic-formic formulaic mental alienation schemes’ wherein perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness (in order words the developmental psychology of the psychopath is actually to perceive conviction meaning as formulaic-formic-schemes/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated, to which it responds in kind), and so is in transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing to prelogism-as-of-conviction, and strives to ‘square off’ [as perversion-of-reference-of-thought at uninstitutionalised-threshold involving deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) being circumvented/distracted by mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness in a totalising—self-referencing-syncretising; and so, in transversality/logical-incongruence along 3-pedestals (psychopath’s slantedness/impulsive-dementation transversal pedestal, temporal-emanances-registries transversal pedestals, and the intemporal-emanance-registry transversal pedestal in
‘emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetiologisation), enabling the stranding-dialectics, and not totalising–self-referencing-syncrétising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, of mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as being distractive to deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflicatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)]’ to ultimately prevent its own ‘perceived social alienation’ by inducing the alienation of its ‘perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ over a social-stake-contention-or-confliction paradigm. Critically, it should be understood that passive or suggestive alienation is actually the sumsum of the possibilities of the psychopath’s meaningful finality that starts from prevaluation (neutral narrations).

It should be noted that the mental state of the psychopath’s interlocutor as ‘ignorance-emanance-registry-teleology conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing’ is not really ontologically-speaking a prelogical/conviction mental state but rather technically a ‘miscuing/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) postlogical mental state’. There are two stages at which an interlocutor can be in relation with the psychopathic manifestation: first, as an ignorant of psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness to which the interlocutor aligns prelogically and then miscues, and then secondly (in addition), as ‘committed-by-temporality/interest over intrinsic-veridicality’ whether in the form of
affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

It should be noted that this psychopathic manifestation process can be mimicked in the context of social psychopathy, and more thoroughly when as ‘exacerbation-emanance-registry-teleology conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing’. Over a given or extended period the underlying effect sought by the psychopath might stick, especially where the social target, interlocutors and others are utterly unaware of the mental state of the psychopath, and so evolving more like a social-discomfiture of relationship over ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (*social-discomfiture as such can be defined as the subsequent, ignorant or deliberate/disingenuous, adherence as if veridical to the slanted and hollow mimicking narratives of the psychopath with the corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion in the social context). It is important to see that such social-discomfiture is in reality not a veridical logical ‘contention’ but in veridicality/ontologically a ‘protracted manifestation’ of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought of both the psychopath and its interlocutors (even when the interlocutor is at best ignorant of the underlying psychopathic state), requiring ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ initiated by the psychopath’s postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, and resolved suprastructurally by a deprocryptic mindset/reference-of-thought making reference to superseding deprocryptic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (just like an accusation of witchcraft in medieval society is not veridically/ontologically a ‘contention’ but rather a ‘protracted manifestation’ of non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought by
the dynamism of non-positivism/medieval mindset, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant suprastructurally by a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought making reference to superseding positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). It should be noted that suprastructuring implies reflection about an abject and mentally dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase [non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference rather-dementing-reference as-the-temporal-emanances-registries-dispositions-are-dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as suprastructurally reflected by an ‘ordered construct from the intemporal/ontologising emanance-registry pedestal’ (since the state of exhibiting/demonstrating perversion-of-reference-of-thought will annul temporal-emanances-registries pedestals/statures/presumptuousness as dialectically-thinking/‘logically contending’, more like a medieval mind with a superstitious registry-worldview doesn’t have the stature/presumptuousness to ‘logically contend’ about the ontological veridicality of an accusation of witchcraft with a suprastructuring positivistic mind, as the former makes syncretic/circular references to non-positivism/medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in its supposed articulation of logic).]

Paradoxically, the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind is so attached by conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex to the notion of the essence of conviction meaning (as it is not priorly inclined to put into question narratives but rather to quickly operate/process logic to arrive at outcome while ‘trusting’ that the other is also prelogism-as-of-conviction in their registry, and so because psychopathy is a relatively outlier phenomenon thus the natural human personality development doesn’t take it much into account in the bigger scheme of things, i.e. it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the registry – implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-
scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-
arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology – of every
interlocutor, so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting
that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly
speaking ontologically incomplete and underminable but it is standard as it ‘saves mental
energy and time’, hence it is the strongest factor for the social prevalence of psychopathy and
its social psychopathy corollary, and by extension all postlogisms//outcome-sought-precedes-
logical-dueness across all registry-worldviews/dimensions); that it will find it hard to
articulate or for that matter not believe the comprehensiveness and extent by which the
psychopath can produce non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives towards its end purpose,
particularly as it is a rather social outlier phenomenon and hence not usually integrated in
many an individual’s conceptualisation of social relations and phenomena. That’s why the
manifestation of bad conviction, contrasted to the psychopath’s non-conviction-or-
‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing, is ad hoc, circumspect
and highly contextualised since the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind even when acting
temporally/badly has a hard time escaping from conviction or prelogism (it has
qualms/conscience) while the psychopath’s non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-
transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing is comprehensive since the psychopath naturally
doesn’t attach any ‘emotional involvement’ and qualms to the meaning of the narratives it
articulates (it views them just as non-veridical hollow mimicking form narratives that
determine its interlocutors prelogism-as-of-conviction dispositions and actions). In so doing,
the psychopath has a parallel formulaic-formic-representation-of-meaning/meaning-by-the-
mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated which ‘subknowlingding/mimics’ the
fundamental elements of ‘conviction deductive meaning’ such that the (adult) psychopath’s
non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives come across paradoxically as highly credulous.
Basically the relevant question for the psychopath is: ‘how was the hollow mimicking form that can be grasped in a prelogism-as-of-conviction mind deterministic of other prelogism-as-of-conviction minds behaviours, and how can I then mimic-and-project this hollow mimicking form to determine how others minds will act. These parallel formulaic-formic-projection/extrinsic-attribution induced-meaningfulness elements (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) with their corresponding prelogism-as-of-conviction/intrinsic-attribution veridical-meaningfulness elements (which are subknowledged/mimicked) involve: ‘toning-triggering/snappings-of-impression/tenseness-of-interlocutory-engagement-(easily copied with conjugated-postlogism at an intuitive-level)’ as subknowledged ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction toning/mannerisms’; ‘hollow mimicking presumptuousness/arrogation/usurpation’ as subknowledged ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction suppositions’; ‘folie-raisonnante/non-veridical assumptions’ as subknowledged ‘veridical assumptions’; ‘absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic’ as subknowledged ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction logical operation narratives’; inductive/contextual limitation as subknowledged ‘principles/projected-logic’; structured-manipulation/deception-or-mimicking-or-gotcha-logic as subknowledged ‘value referencing/applicative-logic’; ‘taking-out-of-context/offsetting logic’ as subknowledged ‘veridical contexts logic’, and ‘extrinsic-attribution acts with respect to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding contexts on the basis that acts by the psychopath to elicit the temporal-self-interest of its interlocutors will override intrinsic right or wrong; whether such actions include praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.’ as subknowledged ‘intrinsic-attribution of acts as inherently right or wrong’. On the above basis, the psychopath’s relation to ‘deductive meaning’ is actually reverting to ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledged as non-conviction/postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-
narratives-and-acts deductions’ as ‘revert deduction’ whereas ‘conviction deductions’ emphasise the intrinsic attributive essence of deductions with corresponding latent forms of prosody, psychopathic vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging ‘revert or non-conviction/postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts deductions’ imply the psychopath overemphasises in a consciously active manner the empty forms of prosody in-of-themselves first and over the intrinsic attributive essence of meaning like overemphasising the toning form (toning triggering) and the supposition form (presumptuousness) in their expressed deductive reasoning, as it mimicks the fact that the forms of prosody tend to be overemphasised spontaneously when naturally expressing profound/deep conviction; thus naturally the psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought has an unusually large repertoire of ‘sense of meaningfulness associated with empty forms of prosody’ since it artificially perceives them as more critical than the conviction mind’s intrinsic meaningfulness the forms of prosody are latently associated with. The peculiarity with the psychopath and in the instance of protracted slantedness/social psychopathy with the case of exacerbation for instance, is the over-elaboration of such forms in a way that is rather an instrumentalisation of form of expression and not natural expression (mimicking or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging). In fact, it is often the case that such line of rather ‘overly emphasised forms of expression with peculiar tonality’ will be noticeable across an entire set of the psychopath interlocutor’s in conjugated-postlogism in their ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ (pointing to vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging), and can be an advanced insight of a ‘psychopathic/postlogical and social psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism
situation’, construable with an appropriate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This mirrors the operant case highlighted further below, wherein the implied meaningfulness (of postlogical/psychopathic, conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration and conviction mental-dispositions) is existentially-traced as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existent-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ to establish ontological-veridicality, and not simply operating on the ‘naïve supposition of universal human prelogism-as-of-conviction’ without factoring the non-conviction/postlogical-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing mental-disposition of the postlogical/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration mindsets/reference-of-thought.

It is important to note that the psychopath’s targeting is highly evolutive throughout its life (along human personality development stages) as ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ with others arise and ‘the possibility of going undetected’ permits. The psychopath being ‘out-of-phase’ is pushed by a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/urge/folie raisonnante, and the idea of psychopath’s having a grand plan/an overall scheme in its actions is ridiculous and unfounded (this idea again, is due to prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-alignment or in-phasing or prelogism to the last postlogical iterative looping narrative of the psychopath and rationalising prelogically/by-essence/candor all its previous ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-‘set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase’ over ‘the intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality transcendental-enabler’ instead of mentally aligning postlogically/by-form/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought before reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) a protracted unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/insanity). In fact, the psychopath’s faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge occurs because of overthinking (elevating its perverted registry/mimicking-subknowledging to wrongly contend with it) rather than underthinking downgrading the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not contending with it), just as is naturally done with a ‘childhood cinglé’ who is not yet surreptitious and the delirium is rather obvious. Actually, instead of being ‘deliberate of thought’/’conviction logical motive’, the psychopath ‘impulsively learns’ as of its non-conviction/postlogical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge from the successive experiences of its failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct childhood postlogism-slantedness as it grows into an adult by learning first to be socially-functional-and-accordant while being maturated, indirect, spatialising, credulous and crafty about its postlogism-slantedness so that it starts becoming effective in inducing conviction minds to align in-conviction to its non-conviction/hollow narratives. Thus, social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena’ of its slanted/postlogical narratives mental-disposition at childhood ‘gets lost’ socially at adulthood to many a conviction mind just getting acquainted but this is basically the same hollow-formulaic-formic structure. This social loss-of-awareness of the social universal-transparency as being postlogism-formic-non-conviction further elicits a ‘sense of temporality’ as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in many an acquainted or non-acquainted (ignorance) conviction minds to the psychopathic postlogism-
formic-non-conviction-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-existential-decontextualising-transposition/dementing narratives as if it was truly ‘thinking’/prelogical/conviction/about-effective-reality thus inducing the phenomenon of social-psychopathy. Thus, a non-ignorant temporal pedestal mindset/reference-of-thought whether affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation may find it in their temporal-self-interest to cynically elevate the psychopath’s postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/slantedness/hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-mimicking-or-subknowledging, when this is not socially universally transparent (at uninstitutionalised-threshold). Further, the element of the need to be socially-functional-and-accordant first, implies that psychopathy is ‘more than just the drive of a pathological individual’ but inevitably psychopathy and correspondingly social psychopathy involves a ‘social split-dynamism’ wherein the ‘unordinary eliciting’ of temporal interest among some as extrinsic-attribution (praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.) is the basis for the targeting of another or others, further compounded by the fact that while so-called ‘rules of sound logic’ abstractly permeate more or less effectively most of our formal setups, their sociological pertinence is actually far from established, but for the fact that broad and large general education diminishes social egregiousness in this respect, as specifically ‘reasoning by significant others’ is actually the more common mental-disposition in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) including the ‘informal spaces’ of formal setups, with the result that this is a further factor that makes psychopathy poorly graspable as simply of individual denaturing dynamics rather than of social denaturing dynamics, thus
better construed phenomenally as social psychopathy; as logic will often tend to be ‘rationalised in social rather than abstract terms’ depending on level of individuals intuition about the underlying dynamism of the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mental-disposition (going by experience), and then their sense of abstraction or gullibility or disposition to bandwagon effect with respect to a critical aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. (The implication here is that, for instance, it will be very naïve for an investigation involving a psychopath without the investigators being extra-cautious with respect to the underlying social aggregation linkage of potential interlocutors).

Hence, the above phenomenon is further compounded in increasing profoundness (i.e. where the psychopath’s childhood delirium gives way to an adulthood mental articulation which is diffused/with-hardly-any-social universal-transparency—but-rather-select-transparency-to-some about the nature of the psychopath’s veridical mental state) when the ‘temporal prelogism-as-of-conviction interlocutor’, by the mechanism of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ at the point of lack of social universal-transparency about the psychopathic postlogism-slantedness-non-conviction/hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (and wherein there is no transparency about intemporal-to-temporal-emanances-registries disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation), becomes ‘affordable’ (as it doesn’t think it has got anything to lose personally), ‘negatively opportunistic’ (as it occasionally finds a temporal-self-interest in backing the psychopath, even though it knows better), ‘negatively exacerbatory’ (as it gains some insight in the psychopath’s mental process and actually strives to copy it adhocly, as a successful way of going about one’s temporal-self-interest). There is equally a social dynamism aspect wherein the issue of ‘social
allegiance, affordability and initial prelogism-as-of-conviction alignment to psychopath-and/or-the-protracted-postlogism’ comes to override the issue of ‘intrinsic rightness’ leading to what is known as ‘social-chainism or negative-social-aggregation or social-discomfutre’ which in turn (because individuals find ‘apparent social success and conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ in such social behaviour) leads to the ‘temporal endemisation/enculturation of social psychopathy’. The underlying mental-disposition of the psychopath as postlogical and the temporal prelogical/conviction minds pedestals that endemise/enculturate this process thus becoming conjugated-postlogism, is known as ‘extrinsic-attribution’, i.e. the idea of satisfying an interlocutor’s sense of temporal interests is more important and critical in gaining their support than the notion of intrinsic truth/veridicality of meaning (intrinsic-attribution). Ontologically, this requires an altogether PURIST and UNCOMPROMISING intemporal/ontological conceptualisation of such a-comprehensive-social-temporal-hodgepoding which is rather ontologically-discontinuous. This author qualifies as procrypticismor emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real-set-of-narratives, and so as ‘ONTLOGICAL ENTRAPMENT’ going by the ‘human solipsistic/emmanent template of institutionalisation/intemporalisation’, given that reality and predication doesn’t compromise with the ‘mortal’ that man is (more like the positivistic mind can’t afford to compromise positivism to non-positivism/medievalism) exactly for the ‘intemporal good-of-man’.

At childhood the psychopath’s mental process can fully be seen in operation as the slanted effect of its thinking produces ‘a delirium effect’. However, as the psychopath matures it start adjusting to its failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct slanted mental process as it faces the negating social reaction of its immediate family environment and the grander society with respect to its slanting/impulsive-dementing. But then in its child
development psychology, this social negation is rather the backdrop by which it evolves (in a process of trial-and-error ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-absolving-or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic wherein ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’) from ‘a direct and blatant faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogical slantedness’ in a given social space during its childhood to a state in which the psychopath ‘externalises, displaces and transfers its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogical slantedness to attain an apparent normal social equilibrium or socially-functional-and-accordant state within any given social space as it develops into adulthood’. It is in this way that a mechanism for psychopathic and postlogical slantedness is relayed to apparently sound conviction interlocutors, and so along five factors:

- MATURATION (as childish slanted delirious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives give way to increasingly adult and serious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives which unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/slantedness become harder to perceive);

- INDIRECTNESS (as the psychopath makes its motive, i.e. the psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, less direct and obvious, by increasingly appearing to bring up narratives in a neutral and unmotivated manner);

- SPATIALISATION (as the psychopath learns to articulate narratives at different ‘social spaces/locations’ to prevent interlocutors from judging their non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives and comparing with the effective social reality context to establish whether the narratives are sound);
- CREDULITY (as with development from childhood to adulthood psychopathy, its narratives increasingly mimic ‘genuine conviction narratives’ and at an even deeper level mimicking ‘profound conviction mindsets on issues’ the psychopath has witnessed or has experienced insight of, and projecting these out of their social context to elicit the same effect) as well as readjusting its slanting/impulsive-dementing in a roaming/driftin-cycle as per evolving situation whether succeeding, being discovered and undermined, reassessing, backing down whether momentarily or not, bifurcating with the slanting/impulsive-dementing, etc. Once it is evolving in an ‘absolving or fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic’. Further slanting is done at what it perceives to be ‘the credulity-level-of-slanting’ with respect to a given interlocutor which constantly evolves with psychopathic maturation. While the childhood psychopathy slanting is rather haphazard and by reflex, however the successive failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct is an experiential basis that ultimately skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) it into more strategic postlogical slanting at adolescence and adulthood with more matured construction and themes. Thus implying a corresponding development from a low credulity effect at childhood to high credulity effect at adulthood with respect to interlocutors, in addition to the fact that at adulthood its postlogism-slantedness is not socially-universally-transparency, that is, it now passes the intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness or ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) of many an interlocutor;

- CRAFTINESS (with increasingly greater crude-to-polished hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing): Actually when it comes to social-and-confliction-stakes, the psychopath being postlogism-as-of-non-conviction
construes meaningfulness as a hollow-construct driven as a hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing exercise (with respect to same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness with regards to ordinary meaning) as determining of others/conviction interlocutors behaviours and mental-dispositions; this is rather crude with the childhood-psychopath/cinglé such that it fails to elicit conviction in others as the postlogical-effect is rather ‘delirious’ then (as in the case of wetting a chair) but the postlogism at adulthood psychopathy becomes rather polished/less-crude in its effect ‘with maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity’ to the point then of eliciting a prelogical/conviction mental-disposition as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration (conjugated-ignorance, conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and conjugated-temporal-enculturation) which is ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness with respect to the meaningfulness of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology from the hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing. The psychopath perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not essentially in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogical acts as a conviction/prelogical mental-disposition will but rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of not delivering well and failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct in its hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing of the postlogical narratives with the idea of how to further confound/muddle hence the reason it is recursive (postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts) as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking remorsefulness or being a victim as long as fundamentally it ‘succeeds in placing its interlocutor in a prelogism-as-of-conviction relation to its non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism mental-disposition’ in order for the former to conjoin to its postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts). So basically, as social-and-confliction-stakes develop from childhood to adulthood, likewise the psychopath’s postlogical narratives exercise develop and become increasingly serious in its social consequences as the context of ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath. The fact, however, is that many of those who grow together with the psychopath (immediate family, close family friends and relatives, etc.) generally have some insight, however wobbly, into this mental process. Further, psychopathic phenomenon meets with varying impact levels as it’s just a way of being/living for the psychopath, and differences in the setup of ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ context and time might play a role in making its social consequences benign or aggravated.

But then psychopathy and its social consequences, as a social phenomenon, is often wrongly perceived as exclusively due solely to an individual (the psychopath). This is rather an incomplete picture of things actually. The psychopath in a way can be said to suffer from a pathological dysfunction arising in the interaction of biology and the social environment. The psychopath has an urge or the inclination to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception to resolving ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’s. This is the reason why its narratives are of succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci in order to wrongly imply the veridicality of the projected reference-of-thought/registry elements which when wrongly acquiesced to is the foundation for its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge; as the succession of narratives are successive slants over one another,
more like a non-cohering deception which is a deception as the basis for a succeeding deception as the basis for a further succeeding deception, and so on, explaining its peculiar absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and the deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect). Paradoxically, this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge points to the fact that the slanted child psychopathy mind has ‘a developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, which is what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology), in the formation of a basic and normal conviction/prelogical (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) mindset/reference-of-thought’ inducing rather a non-conviction/postlogical mindset/reference-of-thought as it relates to meaning and meaningfulness as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (explaining its absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic mental-disposition); rather than as of the ‘requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of reference-of-thought/registry elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct’ associated with a conviction/prelogical mindset/reference-of-thought. And this fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction of its non-conviction/prelogical mindset/reference-of-thought then goes on to account for the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood wherein it gains maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness in circumventing
its postlogism failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct experiences at childhood and early adolescence to achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance at adulthood. The paradox being that the conviction/prelogical mindset/reference-of-thought will project its own mental-disposition unwittingly upon the psychopath (in the case of adult psychopathy but not in the instance of childhood psychopathy where the latter’s deliriousness/delirious-effect/cingle-effect is often obvious due to lack of maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction), and paradoxically then wrongly validate the psychopath as conviction/prelogical with respect to meaning and meaningfulness as of ‘requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of reference-of-thought/registry elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct’. However, psychopathy tends to take a social dynamism all of its own which cannot only be explained by the nature of the psychopath who initiates it. The fact is, while conviction, the rest of the human mental-dispositions include varying levels of temporality (when there is no social universal-transparency of our acts at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus there is not ‘intemporal social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of intemporal-to-temporal registries pedestals disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation,’ thus creating a ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness).

That is, abstractly, with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ humans do solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly suffer perpetually, at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, from the temporal dispositions of slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. These poor solipsistic abstract temporal dispositions that pervade the social context tend to be overcome with institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisations with corresponding internalisation of values or second-naturing. However, at circumstances where the institutionalisation/intemporalisation threshold is surpassed or often made irrelevant like in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’, then ‘a induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ will elicit the ‘mediocrity/averageness of mind’. This is strongly the case with psychopathy which when ‘successful’ (and not perceived deliriously but rather wrongly integrated prelogically/in-conviction) will often perfectly elicit a ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism dynamism’ in the social-construct such that others will find it to their temporal self-interest to perpetuate, whether circumstantially or profoundly, the phenomenon of psychopathy in society, so long as they can rationalise their dispositions and acts. This as ‘social psychopathy’ as a result of the psychopath’s initiated postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (involving protracted/derived slantedness), in the absence of social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena on the veridicality of narratives with respect to social-and-confliction-stakes tends to induce ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (at the point of such lack of social universal-transparency of its postlogism-slantedness to many a conviction interlocutor as the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’).
Hence psychopathy when studied dynamically is rather ‘social psychopathy’. Psychopathy through this social dynamism effect equally influences social behaviour as at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding rather than ontological rightness for rightness sake’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) or temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), hence its relation to sociopathy which is a more generalised notion of social vices-and-impediments. The social psychopathy phenomenon (in describing the underlying abstract emanance/becoming/intersolipsism nature of man before institutionalisation/intemporalisation; institutionalisation/intemporalisation being the exercise of utilising the intemporal-emanance-registry emanance/becoming/intersolipsism by its purist and universal projection rules in an ‘ontological entrapment’ exercise to undermine/override temporal-emanances-registries subknowledging/mimicking, by virtue of its ontological-prinemovers-totalitative-framework and overall medium to long term good to the cross-section of human temporal interests) is equally associated with the notion of the stages of human transcendence/civilisation, in an intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise, from an recurrent-utter-institutionalised animal through subsequent stages of institutionalisation/intemporalisation (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise, ‘as against the temporal human disposition to subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/pervert intemporal categorical-imperatives) starting with base-institutionalisation (initial sense of social rules/organisation), universalisation, positivism and prospectively the future institutionalisation/intemporalisation this author qualifies as deprocrypticism (in pre-emption of procrypticism, so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectically-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-
supratransversality’). That is, psychopathy as postlogism is associated with temporal-emanances-registries in their ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought of intemporal categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the various institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind an institutionalisation/intemporalisation level that then warrants a subsequent ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation of prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). To grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know 2+2=4, 5+1=6, 7-3=4, etc. was to be undermine by a new human perversion-of-reference-of-thought caused by a disease wherein we tend to say 2+2=5, 5+1=7 and 7-3=3, then the traditional categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subactivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal transversal post-convergence). Thus categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are ‘inventions’ that are as pertinent as the extent of their preservation of intemporal reality (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Hence a false subknowledging/mimicking-and-protracted-mimicking with no relationship to intrinsic reality renders categorical-imperatives/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation null and void, calling for slantedness/deandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of mental-devising-representation and the articulation of new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reflecting intrinsic reality. These registry-worldview/dimension perversions-of-reference-of-thought include:


- NON-POSITIVISM/MEDIEVALISM (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of universalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by POSITIVISM categorical-imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy), and prospectively,


In the bigger scheme of things such ‘institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures’ as articulated above gives coherence in conceptualising a continuity in the human emanant/becoming anthropological experience [putting into perspective and not excepting any particular stage of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, as we might tend to do by focussing on the present positive registry-worldview which is just the backend of the institutionalisation process, while ignoring the ‘effective and causative intemporal
emanance/becoming/intersolipsism behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process’, which skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) ‘the cross-section of human entropic being’ in the medium to long run towards intemporal-emanance-registry preservation while undermining temporal-emanances-registries.] Such a depth-of-thought as projected by the ‘institutionalisation intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ is what creates ‘a sounder scientific foundation’ for ‘a hermeneutic psychological science’ termed ‘anthropopsychology’ or the ‘anthropological continuity’. This can be comparatively compared to the hydrocarbon fractionation column wherein virtue is ‘lightness’. We may be confused to think that being at a lighter state, a particular hydrocarbon fluid like kerosene is inherently the definition of virtue. But actually, the exceptionality (lightness) of kerosene is the result of the ‘distilling process’ which fractionates crude oil into kerosene. So if we start having issues of ‘lightness’ at the kerosene stage of the hydrocarbon fractionation column, what is called for is applying the ‘distilling process’ over kerosene to produce say petroleum gas. So inherently, all the hydrocarbon fluids are hydrocarbon, with virtue being the application of the distilling process. Thus reasoning from the overall perspective of the human species we can’t afford not to pass ‘so-called modern man’ through the ‘distilling process’ (transcendence as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) as it is because every successive transcendental level ‘did its homework’ that we are in the positivistic world, and we can’t confuse ‘being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ with us being inherently exceptional (it is the transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process of undermining perversion-of-reference-of-thought that is). Hence ‘our homework’ is to articulate our very own perversion-of-reference-of-thought for the possibilities of the future,
and not strive to arrive at a normalcy of ‘our temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation’ which speaks of inherent ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy as we get at our ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’; instead enabling ‘intemporal preservation’ (by oblongating/decandoring/distraactive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of our mental-devising-representation as a registry-worldview defect/perversion of positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms known as ‘procrypticism’/emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real, for a prospective anticipation and pre-emption of this known as ‘deprocrypticism’!)

It should be noted that while ‘institutional-cumulation’ and ‘institutional-recomposure’ are used interchangeably, however, the two terms carry two different connotative emphases necessary to make the conceptualisation complete. ‘Institutional-cumulation’ emphasises the contiguity of the process of human institutional transcendence (with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) while institutional-recomposure stresses the peculiarity of the transcendence/memetic-reordering wherein, for instance with regards to positivist institutionalisation/intemporalisation, the constituent institutionalisation and universalisation for positivism are recomposured peculiarly towards the positivism registry-worldview/dimension, and memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation and universalisation, and so too, the constituent institutionalisation recomposured in universalisation is memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation, and prospectively, the constituent institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism recomposured into deprocrypticism will be
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memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism. This speaks of snowballing/expansive recomposuring/memetic-reordering existential capacity depth with higher institutionalisations; a snowballing akin to the underlying evolutionary and genetic principles behind evolution from say amoebic cells across various other life-forms into a hominid like man, wherein the underlying basic principles go on to induce the complexity of man from simple amoebic cells. Institutional-recomposure also carries the idea that successive/prospective ‘memetic-reordering’ had tended to be based on the use of the outcome of prior memetic-reordering, and so focus mentation capacity on developing new memetic-reordering/recomposuring. This implies that mentation-capacity-wise, human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mentation-capacity across all successive institutionalisations is the same but latter institutional-recomposure/successive memetic reordering show ‘grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome’ as this is due to their being at the backend of the emanant institutional-cumulation paradigm, utilising the outcome of previous institutional-cumulation effort. Hence the ‘first-nature-emanance conceptualisation’ of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process (is not analogical but a contiguous notion by it intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation across institutional-cumulations) applies universally across space and time (beyond the institutional mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such that ontologically speaking it is prospectively predicative of future institutionalisation/intemporalisation like deprocrypticism. This thus points to the fact that transcendental analysis (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure analysis) is not, as may wrongly be thought, analagical but is rather ‘a contiguous meaningful reference’ (given the contiguity in the ‘precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency-and-continuity of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation referencing’
across all cumulating/recomposuring institutionalisations); i.e., memetic contiguity as the underlying principle of memetic-reordering which is the ‘contiguous dynamism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the continuous transdimensional/transcendental relation of intemporal and temporal emanances’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so, across all cumulating/recomposuring institutionalisations whether from a retrospective, present or prospective perspective. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling/institutional-recomposure process) can then be defined as arising when a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology is transcended [at its uninstitutionalised-threshold involving-deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness in contrast with mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness; in transversality/logical-incongruence along three transversal pedestals (postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness whether-psychopathic-or-not/slantedness/impulsive-dementation/subknowledging-impulse transversal pedestal, temporal-emanances-registries transversal pedestals, and the intemporal-emanance-registry transversal pedestal with intemporal-emanance-registry aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) enabling the stranding-dialectics, and not totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, of mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness] with the corresponding ‘collapsing’/overriding and ‘stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored’ of the prior registry-worldview/dimension ‘mental-devising-representation’ as demented/decandored/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-
contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) consciousness-awareness-teleology by the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology (and so deterministically and operantly without any discretion of appraisal which only leads to totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) such as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by positivism, and prospectively, procrypticism ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by deprocrypticm. This brings up the notion that while candoring/straightness is the way meaning is represented within any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, this is just a mental-devising-representation for implying intemporality-of-thought without which meaningfulness is not functional in the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology, but then at that same prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, transcendence into a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology put into question this candoring/straightness mental-devising-representation and the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s consciousness-awareness-teleology is then represented as demented/decandoring/oblongated. This process is known as collapsing/overriding the prior registry-worldview/dimension, and such perpetual representation in the mental-devising-representation of the registry-worldview/dimension as collapsed/overridden is known as stranding or stranding-dialectics. Stranding purely has to do between placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-

Each of such institutional-recomposures (along the institutional-cumulation process), have particular ‘central recomposural determinants’ which the new registry-worldview is coming after, as follows:
(i) for Base-Institutionalisation, it has to do with the requisite ‘organising rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (as an inherently-‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ relation to meaningfulness).

(ii) for Universalisation, it has to do with requisite ‘projection rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding ununiversalisation (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of base-institutional meaningfulness).

(iii) for Positivism, it has to do with the requisite ‘empirical rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding non-positivism/medievalism (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of universalistic meaningfulness).

(iv) for Rational-Realism (Deprocrypticism), it prospectively has to do with ‘temporal-to-intemporal emanances registries accountability/intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding procrypticism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness).

prospectively ontologically speaking that it isn’t out-of-the-stranding-template to imply (beyond our own illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such a prospective stranding-dialectics of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of our registry-worldview/dimension (positivistic meaningfulness) as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Noting as well that retrospective uninstitutionalisations like recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism equally had a sense of straightness/candor of their meaningfulness in a full blossoming of their own existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications paradigm as we do in our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview, within the ambits of their the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. But then their stranding from their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation represents them as oblongated/decaixed/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) as the transcendental backdrop/opportunity for the prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This when extrapolated will equally apply with our present positivism/procrypticism uninstitutionalisation/unintemporalisation for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, and any ‘complex’ we’ll have about that has to do with our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage than the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-
thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective). This equally explains why prior uninstitutionalisations equally carried a complex about their registry-worldview/dimension and these complexes certainly sound unintelligible to us given our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.

With rational-realism (deprocrypticism), institutionalisation/intemporalisation raises the issue of hodgepodging/ontological-discontiguity (emanances-registries-undisambiguation as temporal-to-intemporal registries emanances are wrongly given the same elevation), and relevantly so at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. The very specific nature of the deprocryptic transcendence/institutionalisation is to recognise and articulate the veridicality of the fact of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions at the procryptic uninstitutionalised-threshold, and conjugate this in meaningfulness by going beyond just logical operation/processing/contention of narratives but rather in the first instance introducing the notion of ‘temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries disambiguation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference-of-thought of the intemporal-emanance-registry categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation which is ontological (i.e. is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where the effective registries are actually temporal-emanances-registries thus to be construed as of their temporal references-of-thought. It involves stranding-dialectics (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) temporal-emanances-registries manifest denaturing and thus to avoid elevating temporal-emanances-registries to intemporal logical contending status as this result
in the miscuing of meaning as hodgepodge/ontological-discontiguity. Deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation takes stock of the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries dispositions; as successive circular/recurrent/repetitive/repeatable iterating non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging as postlogism) constructs, and not as may wrongly be reflected by the natural reflex to be conviction/prelogical, as conviction (existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness/authentic-vocalisation/prelogism) constructs], to emphasise the ‘dominance/supersedingness/suprastructuring of the intemporal-emanance-registry skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling’) for the fulsome articulation of ontology as ‘abject (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity in conscious transdimensional/transcendental-memetic-depth (thinking-and-dementing-dialectical-dynamism-or-dialectics) of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (unlike all prior institutionalisations which are rather intradimensional in their meaningful-depth construed only as a closed totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘dialectically-thinking dynamism’). As a corollary, meaningfulness or rather memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness (the more veridical nature of meaningfulness beyond intradimensionality as being transdimensional/transcendental) should be notional and reflect this temporal-to-intemporal emanances registries nature of deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation to the point of inducing a collective
consciousness/social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of ‘knowledge notionalisation’ (knowledge as understanding not only of the ideal/intemporal but equally how the temporal/defective works distracting, to anticipate and pre-empt the latter perverseness but doing so rather in a superseding ontologically-minded manner) and intemporal skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference as virtue and (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity; in contrast to the hotchpotching/ontological-discontiguity of temporal-emanances-registries and particularly in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meanfulness-and-teleology) which covers all informal spheres of institutions and society generally. So because knowledge notionalisation recognises that in a specie of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuation dispositions, deferential-formalisation-transference which is the bases for institutionalisation/intemporalisation by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) for the supersedingness/lead of the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation is responsible for elevating human uninstitutionalised-threshold across the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by the resultant formalisation and internalisation involved in institutionalisation explaining effectively the dialectical evolution from deeper primitivites/mental-out-of-phasings to the present state (limited-and-shallower-human-mentation-capacity to limited-but-deeper-human-mentation-capacity) as a result of the inherent ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-
transference for intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and the implications prospectively. For instance, the uninstitutionalised-threshold for getting one’s way slyly will involve higher and higher thresholds with respect to virtue from a low threshold at recurrent-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation compared to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, then higher and higher with universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism and our positivism–procrystalicism, and prospectively highest with deprocrystalicism; in line with the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence nature of ontological-veridicality. For instance, some hideous acts will hardly be seen as vices in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview. Knowledge notionalisation as such carries a transcendent-existentialism/in-full-existential-depth-of-temporal-and-intemporal-implications which is more than just reactionary to the possibility of temporality (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but rather ‘a transcendent-existentialism maturing of thought’ (intemporality as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that takes abstract cognisance of temporality as an intransient potency (hitherto accounting for the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of human circular-prospective-uninstitutionalisations) to be conceptually understood and superseded recurrently and perpetually. Critically, this insight about the effective nature of ontological-normalcy (in its becoming in a conscious transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness) as ‘thinking-dementing dialectics/dialectical-dynamism’ indicates that while psychoanalytically prior registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been based on mental-devising-representations of ‘thresholding meaningfulness constructs’ (with their categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) within their ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, deprocrystalicism going by ontological-normalcy implies a mental-devising-representation of ‘non-thresholding meaningfulness as
transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetic refinement (or a thinking-dementing dialectics/dialectical-dynamism paradox) post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought’ in its ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-approximating-or-proxying-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ as renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existentia...
Insightfully, it implies a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework illumination driven institutionalisation over a impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation as the-Good sticks by essence to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and reinvents categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview to comply with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when the prior one fails, while the latter sticks by form to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether this fails intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or not. The conceptualisation of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology refers to the same deconstructed/ontological-reconstituting notion; axioms emphasises and hints of ‘basis’ and ‘foundation’ as well as ‘fundamental validation’, categorical-imperatives emphasises and hints of ‘necessity’, ‘rigour’, ‘constraining’ and ‘enforcing’, while registry-teleology (short for the implied registry elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) emphasises the ‘operant’ aspect as of human situatedness existential-instantiation elements implied when producing meaningfulness-and-teleology. The reference-of-thought is the fundamental-dispositional mentation architecture for human referencing or construing of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and is capable of ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction involving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation with corresponding stranding-dialectics ontologically-extending-into-the-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation. This explains human transcendental capacity and sublimity as well as perversion-of-reference-of-thought. More precisely, dialectically-
dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) implies as structural/paradigmatic denaturing
construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–
axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect
as of ‘non-conviction-being-teleology’ (reflecting ‘non-conviction-or-existential-
decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing-defects’) and so in effective postlogism as
‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness wherein perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-
dueness whether as slantedness/impulsive-dementation (hollow iterative looping narratives)
or induced as ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, and so ideally reflected in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-
as-conflatedness organic-comprehension as ‘ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction of new
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation’. Fundamentally perversion-of-reference-of-thought has to do
with the defect of the reference-of-thought and not the defect of ontological-
veridicality/ontological-contiguity (which is rather a logical-process/implicitation-of-act-
execution defect and which implies a ‘implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-
disagreement’), as can be reflected in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/from-
ontological-normalcy. A reference-of-thought speaks of the fundamental
appropriateness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation irrespective of their appropriate or inappropriate logical-processing-
processing-or-logical-implicitation (like calculating the answer of an arithmetic operation wrongly) so long as the reference-of-thought is sincerely/genuinely working in adherence to arithmetic axioms to produce the right answer. But this is invalid and not applicable where the issue is about deliberate disposition not to adhere to arithmetic axioms but usurp them (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously). Soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought on the other hand implies being-or-ontological-or-existential-or-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition as of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ (reflecting ‘conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ and at worst conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitations’) and so in effective prelogism wherein logical-process-precedes-outcome thus upholding intemporal/veracity/ontological-pertinence; so construed from a more profound post-convergence or ontological-normalcy insight. This is the fundamental basis and backdrop for an insight for drawing ‘the implications of the (preceding and superseding) post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation)’, in reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting ‘the mental-devising-representations of registries/references constructs and protractedly of registry-worldviews/dimensions (on the basis of the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation) whether as of registry-soundness and thus as ‘dialectically-thinking representations’ (thinking or stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) or as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and thus as ‘dialectically-dementing representations’ (dementing or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase-or-dialectically-primitive), and so ontologically-extending-into-their-existentialism-becoming. Such dialectical articulation of mental-devising-representations can be conceptualised as defining individuations in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ (thinking or stranded-as-
prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions) are utterly different from mental-devising-representations of ‘conviction being teleology’ reflected in iteration as conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation and conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications, with conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications having to do with appropriate or inappropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication (with corresponding protracting as ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions). The mental-devising-representations of ‘conviction being teleology’ performers (reflected as conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation and conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications) with respect to subsequent acts ‘of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation’ by their performers always harken back to a reflex of ‘dialectically-thinking or stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase’ to imply the upholding of ‘ontological-reference/contending-reference’; and so, for the simple reason that the state of being conviction (whether the act is defective or not) implies a ‘mental-disposition’ of the performer to be intemporal/ontological, and in the instance of conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications the defects simply have to do with inappropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication, and not unsound-mental-disposition or perversion-of-reference-of-thought (which in this latter case will speak of a mental-disposition to act with non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ with regards to subsequent acts of similar context by their performers). Hence the mental-devising-representations of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ performers subsequent acts from their prior acts acknowledged to be conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation and conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications are ‘validated/projected by reflex as ‘possibly-thinking’/possibly-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not invalidated by reflex as ‘possibly-dementing’/possibly-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-
reference-of-thought in implying the upholding of their reference-of-thought status. [To illustrate, suppose X and Y are contending (ontological-reference) to know what 5+4 will give as answer (ontological-veridicality), if X is using pencils to count but inadvertently misplaced a pencil or doesn’t perfectly understand how to stack up the pencils to use to count the whole lot, then where his answer was to come out as 5+4=8, we talk of a conviction-implicitation-of-act-execution-defect as X sincerely wants to calculate to produce the right answer but X’s logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation failed. This doesn’t invalidate the notion that Y can still engage X as ‘possibly-thinking’/possibly-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in contending (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) with respect to another arithmetic operation, that is, possibly after pointing out to X where they went wrong in their operation of arithmetic.] While the mental-devising-representation of ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ performers subsequent acts of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation to their prior acts verified to be non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing priorly demented are ‘invalidated/projected by reflex as ‘possibly-dementing’/possibly-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not ‘possibly-thinking’/possibly-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in implying the revoking of their reference-of-thought status. [To illustrate, suppose X above rather slyly and deliberately (non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing) miscalculated (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference) the answer (ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity) and Y grasps this, then this invalidates the notion that Y can still ‘genuinely’ engage X (ontological-pertinence) with regards to another arithmetic operation of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation, with respect to the upheld context behind X’s sly and deliberate basis for miscalculating.]
The ‘ontological-dementia/dialectical-straanding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ notion requiring prospective dementing of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’-acts ‘of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation’ implies post-convergence/postdication/ontological-normalcy deploying of ‘ontological-dementia/dialectical-straanding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in enabling full mastery/grasp of such ‘convolutedness of social dynamics’ as an imbricated-becoming-transitioning of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality, and so based on ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting perpetuation of a hermeneutic circle as ‘ontological-dementia/dialectical-straanding-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’, which is technically non-thresholding/doesn’t-technically-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis in its post-convergence ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity proxying/approximating exercise; as when the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (which can equally be qualified as the ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’, given that ‘ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ can be construed as ‘intemporal-preservation/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ which is actually ‘ontologically-reconstituting’, reconstituting from the base-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions) is attained the reflex is to imply a mental-devising-representation of ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) and thus establishing reference-of-thought whether that is veridically the case or not, such that non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-
self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage,
explaining why we don’t have notions of sorcery and its practice with us today but we do have the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (with our socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis for the former/sorcery as a non-positivistic/medieval perversion-of-reference-of-thought high enough or relatively-ontologically-complete as it is rational-empiricism/positivising-driven to supersede it but not the latter/psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in our positivistic meaningful frame which is relatively ontologically-incomplete for that as in need of the requisite deprocrypticism reference-of-thought as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. In fact every registry-worldview/dimension has its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (and the idea of questioning beyond it is hardly entertained, whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) which existentially explains the registry-worldview/dimension limits or ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought with respect to ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemperal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) in its specific grasp of (post-convergence)
ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity on the one hand, and on the other hand is the reason for the more profound/deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension which is rather in ‘a suprastructural transcendental-meaningfulness conceptualisation with respect to the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’ [as it is construed suprastructurally beyond the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology given the less veridical categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of its ‘temporal conventioning compromise’ determined by its shallower socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis.] Thus we know basically that the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures involved the following intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with respect to their social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific to each registry-worldview/dimension defining its ‘inherent institutionalisation and snowballed recomposuring’ going by the existentialism-form-factor: for the mentation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation – basically ‘arbitrary/spontaneous/demented reasoning as non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) as socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis; for the mentation at base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation – basically ‘haphazard and incidental rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) as socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis; for the mentation at universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism – basically ‘universal-bases for the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) however contestable such universal-bases’; for the mentation at positivism–procrypticism – basically ‘introducing empirical insight in articulating the universal-bases of the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking’; and for the mentation of deprocrypticism – basically ‘upholding an abject ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as ontological-contiguity (over recurrent ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity/’disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism) with regards to the underlying intemporal-preservation behind rules-that-remain of-existential-reality. The implication being that in a contention among interlocutors in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, the mentation is very much different from ours (positivism) as any imagined pretext is a legitimate one with emphasis being rather on established dominance/subservience relations, with base-institutionalisation the mentation was to arbitrarily invoke any of a number of recognised or incidentally introduced rules that are in one’s favour and again where dominance/subservience relations played a large part, while with universalisation while power relations also played a part the rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) was set/given however skewed towards the dominance of say a leader or family/clanic group or priestly class or outright social class; with positivism though, while relatively universal and empirical, the weakness lies in the ontological-contiguity of the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) (hence not ‘absolutely rational’ with regards to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis) which pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context
imbricated-becoming-transitioning-rules in pre-emption-of-rational-empiricism/positivising-rules-dementing-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing-as-procrypticism as ‘uncompromising ontological-reconstituting’ focuses on, as enabling a ‘fulsome ontologising’. Interestingly, while the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation explains how and why successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are at their given institutionalisation levels on the basis of a memetic/suprastructural-meaningfulness analysis or a transcendental/transdimensional-meaningfulness analysis, the notion of socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation actually initially applies intradimensionally in all registry-worldviews/dimensions and it is actually the ‘intemporal/ontological signal’ for the need of prospective transcending/superseding due to ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intradimensional ontologising/intemporal-preservation’. Insightfully, we can grasp the ‘intemporal/ontological signal’ pointing to a socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with regards to a dimension’s/registry-worldview ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing-and-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising phenomenon’ like psychopathy and social psychopathy (with respect to procrypticism or perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness) or accusations and notions of sorcery (with respect to medievalism); as this has to do with human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations dispositions wherein intradimensionally, the ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or
ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation thus inducing prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation by positive-opportunism and the intemporal percolation-channelling of such emancipation/transcendence. Thus for instance with regards to adult psychopathy and the induced social psychopathy, it will be naïve to simply analyse on a dichotomous basis of psychopathy and its violation of social norm, with the idea that psychopathy is associated with temporal-emanances-registries-dispositions thresholding ‘as the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’/socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in conjugation to ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) and it is naïve to simply analyse on the basis that other interlocutors have an intemporal/ontological disposition, in the very first instance. Thus the need, in order to attain such a prior requisite ontological/intemporal foundation, to formalise (as deferential-formalisation-transference) contexts of psychopathy and social psychopathy (and generally formalise contexts of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought–(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)/subknowledging/dementing-and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ in all registry-worldviews/dimensions to attain priorly an ontological/intemporal foundation), before conducting ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis’ as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct, which necessarily implies projecting into a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension, in this case deprocrypticism; as otherwise the ‘ordinary’ reasoning of a social context imbued with interlocutors temporal-emanances-registries thresholds of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ on the basis of the fundamental ontologising limits or the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (procripticism being the fundamental ontologising limits of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension), will pervert/corrupt the possibility of ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ in pre-emption of the said perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon. In this respect, it is equally important to be cognisant of potentially nefarious influences that may arise from pseudo-formalisms as well, and where these are construed out of their inherent context to wrongly imply a genuine ontological analysis especially given the gullible/susceptible nature of the social-construct as it ‘becomes existentially in a dynamism of conventioning and ontology’. Take the case of works of arts like novels and films primarily meant to entertain, and in so doing may induce wrong impressions and conceptions with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon like psychopathy wherein the whims of their creators, aesthetic quality and ultimate financial gain are the primary driving motif, and not necessarily a profound and candid ontological insight of the phenomenon and its social implications/consequences. Basically, as we all know novels and films, while excellent in articulating aesthetic qualities, are not the true world of human lives and consequences. While there is more or less some deontological practice implemented with respect to such tendencies when it comes to issues of gender equality, racism, recently homophobia as well as say the portrayal of victims of some degenerative diseases, such intellectually-sound deontology requiring aesthetic-representations-produced-from-sound-ontological-insight by their creators (which is often not
the case but for a cursory understanding focused on entertainment) is not ubiquitous especially when the relevant ‘theme and the intellectual projection behind its ontological analysis’ seem rather aloof to many in society, as is the case with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy; such that the influential nature of such aesthetic products broadcasted or sold to millions of people can easily induce wrong insights, undue romanticism, a poor grasp of its nefarious effects at individuals-and-institutional levels, and worst still perpetuate social ignorance simply by wrongly implied, naïve and fallacious explanations. Central to all such fallacies prevalent in many an aesthetic product with regards to psychopathy is that these often tend to be short-sighted given the unsustainable nature of the arguments in the middle to long run, and tend to be based on inductive limitation [or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be universalised, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speak more of temporal motive.] In this respect, one can cite at individuals-levels instances of many a human interest story tragedy in the press which often go unanalysed, and in the bigger institutional-level for instance what is the underlying dynamics that lead many an organisation or corporate entities to fail inexplicably due to grave and unprincipled mismanagement with profound social repercussions. The implied intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, contrasted with a temporal extirpatory paradigm, is necessarily the prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension. Consider the case of contending about a perversion-of-reference-of-thought like accusations and notions of sorcery in a non-positivistic/medieval setup where there is no intradimensional intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm given the obliviousness to a positivistic ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality as it is suprastructural/beyond the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology to non-positivism/medievalism. Likewise the positivistic meaningful frame is oblivious to its procrypticism, and corresponding resolution as deprocrypticism as the prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality. Further, this notion of registry-worldviews/dimensions having socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (that need to be suprastructured by prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions) explains why a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ aligned with ontological-normalcy is what escapes and provides for grander emancipatory possibilities that an intradimensionally mented or stigmatic psychology wouldn’t enable. The bigger notion of such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is to reconcile the idea that we have one ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality across all times whereas our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in reference (as ‘tentative references-of-thought’) of this same one (ontological-normalcy/post-convergence) ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality and our corresponding/derived meaningfulness-and-teleology thereof, has been varying all along as we evolve from shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity; with the implication that the finality of such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that aligns with and is driven by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) wherein ontological-
normalcy is ‘an abstract conceptualisation that by artifice covers for human limited but deepening mentation capacity’. Ontological-normalcy (post-convergence) abstractly refers to any relevant/implied registry-worldview/dimension that is in a reflected/perspectivated state of prospective transcending/superseding whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism as having reference-of-thought status, in relation to a corresponding reflected/perspectivated state of prior transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procripticism which is then correspondingly devoid of reference-of-thought, and so going by the inherent existentialism-form-factor that arises by the mere fact that all the institutionalisations are of the same form-factor since their ‘snowballed differences’ arise solely due to ‘the deepening of limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)’. Ontological-normalcy as such will imply that the successive institutionalisations are rather shifts-in-the-curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy (shifts-in-the-curve-of-human-grasp-of-one-ontology/‘ontological-reference-of-veridicality’, which will graphically/as-imagery imply ‘human-grasping-capacity’ on one axis and ‘depth-of-ontology/ontological-reference-of-veridicality/ontological-completeness’ as the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures on the other axis or dialecticisms-of-an-imperfect-human-grasping-of-‘ontological-reference-of-veridicality’-which-mastery-improves-dialectically) which rather implies defects of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of corresponding prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions implying a voiding of their reference-of-thought as ontologically-veridical as these become the subject of contention and aetiology/aggestion/ontological-escalation of the corresponding prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimension which is then the
ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought. It should be noted that a defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance (unlike a perversion-of-reference-of-thought) implies movement-along-the-same-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought whether as an inappropriate/poor-or-bad or appropriate/good or any other variation of the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation, and doesn’t fundamentally voids the reference-of-thought status with regards to the possibility of an appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation in another instance. This insight is critical because the defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance will often be implied with regards to an issue and resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought which rather speaks to a defect of reference-of-thought status construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought speaking of a as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or an intradimensional-defect. For instance, there is no intradimensional resolution of sorcery accusations and notions of sorcery as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm within a non-positivistic/medieval world, as what is required is a shift-in-the-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy to imply a prospective transcending/superseding positivistic registry-worldview/dimension as the resolution wherein positivising/rational-empiricism takes pride of place as reference-of-thought of meaningfulness. This applies with all perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in all
reconstituting perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ that is technically non-thresholding-and-proxying-or-approximating-to-ontological-veridicality-and-doesn’t-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis, and also considering that science as we know today is hardly just a question of adopting scientific methods to obtain scientific results, an unspoken fact is that much of science relies on a ‘rudimentary phenomenology in a heuristic hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction by the researcher’, that simply passes as their personal talents, to obtain results applying scientific methods, and thus we can further imagine the possibilities if this reality came to be fully recognised and sophisticated hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction insights were to permeate scientific research and methodologies, is subsuming of ‘rational-empiricism/positivising’ methodology of positivistic science which is subsuming of the ‘universalising-of-rules’ methodology of universalisation and the latter subsuming of the rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) methodology of institutionalisation – these in reflection of the development of human shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity cumulation/recomposuring/reordering/reorientation. In the case of dementing acts of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation with regards to slantedness/impulsive-dementation (with an underlying element of physiological issue with regards to psychopathic personalities) and the derived social dynamisms of social psychopathy, such implied ‘deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ is potentially beyond just ‘benign-and-specific-shallow-contexts-scale-of-implications’ but can be more profound involving institutions and individuals contextualisation as individuals-lives-and-institutional-
lives-scale-of-implications and in the bigger scheme of things where such dynamics involve social structuring effects on perceived meaningfulness and values in the overall social-setup it has a social-structure-scale-of-implications (specifically not only in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of vices-and-impediments but also in undermining the enculturation of intellectual/emancipatory dispositions). Effectively, such a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ ontologically-extending-as-the-existentialism-becoming of conviction-being-teleology individuation as intemporal/ontological (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and non-conviction-being-teleologies individuations as temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), will comprehensively articulate in ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting temporal-emanances-registries pseudo-ontological-finalities, across social-setups and institutional settings with their evolving 'socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction'. The state of ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ requires ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representations and implies the revoking of reference-of-thought status with respect to interlocution-of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation (in the very first instance) while the state of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ implies a ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation implying a veridical reference-of-thought with respect to interlocution (in the very first instance), and enabling the second instance of engaging in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical pertinence to establish (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. Typically, such an insight with regards to a ‘non-conviction-being-teleology’ is
obvious and transparent with respect to the childhood psychopathy/cinglée mental-disposition, given that an initial encounter often involves a natural ‘dialectically-thinking reflex’ by the interlocutor with respect to their initial narratives but after some familiarisation we come to understand that the initial narratives are in fact demented and thus our expectation of the subsequent narratives they iterate is to initiate or be ready to align by a mental-devising-representation as a ‘dialectically-dementing reflex’. This dementing veridicality explains both the childhood and adult psychopath disposition for absolving-logic-or-perpetually-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic based on extrinsic- attribution wherein the mental-disposition is to move postlogically/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness from one set of narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the other with the idea convincing is the notion of getting more people ‘mechanically convinced by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’ and not an articulation of conviction/existential-contextualising-contiguity principle, be it by adhering to the mere hollow form of principles and narratives in existential-decontextualisation as being deterministic of others inclinations and actions. Intrinsic-reality in its post-convergence indicates that effectively the conjugating/inflecting/deriving/mimicking/in-protration-to-psychopathic-dementing (which is often the case with the adult-psychopathic dementing) whether unconscious (ignorance) or conscious (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) effectively underlies an ontologically valid mental-devising-representation reflex as ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of such derived ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ In the bigger scheme of things, it equally explains our mental-devising-representation ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-
reference-of-thought underlying reflex with respect to prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions and ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation underlying reflex with respect to prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions. A perversion-of-reference-of-thought speaks of a ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness defect (as sticking ‘in form’ to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation that are ontologically defective rather than as being an adjunct to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation per se, and so due to having attained the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis and thus not initiating ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction in superseding this socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis) as impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness defect of ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation; since ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation is veridically of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation (undermining perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing-and-corresponding syncretising as best reflected by ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflicatedness organic-comprehension as ‘ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction of new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’ over circumventing/distractive ‘temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought’ mechanical-
comprehension as ‘hollow-constituting’ defectively/non-veridically of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation whether or not it fails intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’), and the temporal-emanances-registries dispositions to stick to the previous one speaks not only of act defects but registry-worldview/dimension defects at this socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis to the fact that such ‘of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation’, from a post-convergence insight that is preceding/superseding to any ‘hollow-constituting’ of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness), will elicit a same defect disposition thus the need to fundamentally undermine reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that endemises/enculturates the ontological-or-existential-defect due to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis. It should thus be noted that the dementing of reference-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension implicitly reflects a defective/sub-par relative state-of-conceptualisation as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (a fundamentally defective/sub-par state-of-disposition) with respect to ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, as can be demonstrated by ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction, (and has nothing to do, as-being-caused-by, with an inducing phenomena of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ behind say sorcery and psychopathy; even though such phenomena tend to instigate and reveal the inherent defect/sub-par nature of registry-worldviews with respect to ontological-normalcy, with the need for ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction). In other words, the state of being non-positivistic/medieval with respect to ontological-normalcy is already a defective state ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for issues of superstition/lack-of-rational-empiricism to arise whether we talk of sorcery, bodily mutilations and their effects, charlatanisms, etc. Likewise, it will be naïve to imply that our registry-worldview as positivism–procrypticism is in absolute sync with ontological-normalcy by the mere fact that we are at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, as we can equally project prospectively from a retrospective projection insight to grasp how ‘from an abject hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction (of our temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries nature)’ how procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledge/dementing-and-corrresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising of positivistic meaningfulness) in a positivistic registry-worldview structurally endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy. Insightfully, for a grander grasp of ontological-normalcy, the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposing and their related conceptualisations are not just ad-hoc in nature but of ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’; which is fundamentally defined by post-convergence (going by shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity), in reflecting the precedence/supersedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontology to which an ‘animal’ comes-to-and-re-compose-with-cumulatively by ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction (which is the critical subsuming mechanism for re-establishing reference-of-thought and ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, above and beyond the simple ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of any registry-worldview/dimension and requiring their prospective suprastructuring). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-
existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly determined by the post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’; which define their specificities and potentials which are basically abstractly of ‘a same form-factor’, with regards to the reality of their temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries and the existential implications on every registry-worldview/dimension thereof, though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought. Ontological-entrapment (as a deterministic point of reference that defines dialectical-out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity registry-worldview/dimension, and thus avoiding any confusing effects to analysis of the stranding-dialectics of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation) is attained by ‘keeping or aligning’ placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-postlogical-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibility-setup-caricaturing (with no shifting by reflex into conviction-or-prelogism) the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the wrong ontological-references/contending-references of all established perversion-of-reference-of-thought prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy represented by the rightful ontological-references/contending-references of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions whose mentation/mental-devising representation are ‘kept or aligned’ as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’–or-prelogical–or–logical-process-precedes-outcome–or–conviction, as in ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction of intemporal-preservation-entropy–or–contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with sound categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for–intemporal-preservation-entropy–or–contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. A ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as being ontologically-driven is one where placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (as ‘dialectically-thinking’ or ‘dialectically-dementing’) is the reflected/perspectivated implication as ‘dialectically-thinking’ or ‘dialectically-dementing’ of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence or it is one that is ontology-driven. This equally explains why a prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is cross-sectionally dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive given it is sticking to its ‘good-natured’ but ‘ontologically-wrong and failing’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for–intemporal-preservation-entropy–or–contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (‘hollow-constituting’) as the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension has the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework sound categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for–intemporal-preservation-entropy–or–contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction); wherein no amount of ‘good-naturedness’ of any individuation based on the former (prior/transcended/superseded) reference-of-thought can fundamentally supersede its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments, but for the
‘emancipatory moulting’ (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/recomposuring) into reference-of-thought of the latter (prospective/transcending/superseding) of such would-be emancipating individuation/intellectuals and consequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation as transcendence. That is why there is no ontologically-veridical intradimensional resolution of issues and notions of sorcery for instance in a non-positivistic/medieval social-setup with any such pretence being nothing but an ‘temporal extirpatory paradigm’ to satisfy temporal preservation’, but for implying a prospective need for a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in satisfying intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Likewise there is no intradimensional resolution of a phenomenon like psychopathy and its social corollary in a procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension (the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing reflected/perspectivated as dialectically-dementing of positivistic meaningfulness categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with a ‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-postlogical-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology alignment to imply dialectical-out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity) insightfully deduced from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence represented by reference-of-thought of the prospective/transcending/superseding deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Fundamentally, the reason for all the dimensions/registry-worldview perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts as limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) has to do with the
veracity/ontological-pertinence of our temporo-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism as individuations of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, such that whenever relatively sound categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation are institutionalised/intemporalised, human temporality/'hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness individuation dispositions (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) will tend to relate, by limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), to this as hollow/formulaic-formic constraining deterministic constructs which have to be exploited by the mere determinism-of-form about how others will act ('hollow-constituting’) rather than the essence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation being sought originally by the institutionalised/intemporalised categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (ontological-reconstituting). This fundamental dilemma of the cross-section of human mentation disposition is ‘a lost cause’, given the reality of the notion of a shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries inherent in a limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation); any resolution is not by wrongly implying any ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism first-natured transformation’ but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation by its inherent eliciting of positive-opportunism to the grander cross-section of society in the medium to long-run wherein intemporal-emanance-registry/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation dispositions by artifice/institutionalisation/intemporalisation come to constrain-or-dominate the social-construct (over temporal-emanances-registries/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—or—’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness individuations dispositions); with corresponding percolation-channelling facilitating the perpetuation of such intemporal enculturation even when such positive-opportunism gets weaker with grander institutionalisations/intemporalisations, and so as the grander human good. This underlies the fundamental construct of rational-realism that human progress is the outcome of human increasingly realistic grasp of what man is with ‘lesser and lesser vague idealisations’, and that such ‘rational-realism’ enables humans to fully grasp their ‘emancipatory potential’ over ‘deluded idealisms’ that simply create space for falsehood, dead-end dilemmas as well as the consequent incapacity to take action, since basically knowing-is-acting!

Rational-realism (deprocrypticism) as such involves rather distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought/decandoring with three paradigmatic teleologies:
- subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation emanance-registry-teleology (psychopath), with ‘slanted mechanical narratives’ (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored and not totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase);
- subknowledging-temporal-emanances-registries-teleologies (the-various-temporal-emanances-registries-teleologies), with ‘banal mechanical narratives discomfiture’ (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored and not totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase); and
- the intemporally given and ontologising teleology which ontologically reflects/perspectivates the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation-emanance-registry-(psychopath)-teleology and the subknowledging-registries-teleologies (the-various-temporal-emanances-registries-teleologies), from an ‘deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness depth as the stranding-dialectics backdrop of new recomposural
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Thus at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is counterintuitive for temporal-emanances-registries not to perceive their registry-worldview/dimension as ‘un-transcendable’ (acting as if in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while actually in temporal preservation-as-pseudointemporality; hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) due to totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence which blinds the temporal-emanances-registries to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘intemporal preservation discontinuity’ as a result of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-defects (and not logical defect) of slanting/impulsive-dementing (psychopath) and the consequent derived – miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation; arising from the conjugation with the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism. The reason why this is critical to grasp is that the veridical intemporal-emanance-registry preserving emanance has to ‘organically and existentially pass-through’/reflect/perspectivate the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging registry-worldview/dimension for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure on the basis of prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. * It is not an ‘avoidable luxury’ as it is the necessary transcendental element in establishing the backdrop for
transcendence/prospective-institutionalisation. Galileo’s medieval ‘round world utterances’ nor Darwin’s and others ‘evolution contentions’ are not idle-and-dispensable articulations as all transcendences (occurring at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and not logical operation/processing/contention level, are fundamentally about a new existential mental-devising-representation orientation) need to ‘break-the-mind’ of the prior temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought existential mental orientation to avoid totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (for example, no ‘God of plane’ for say an animistic mental orientation that sees gods and spirits as causative, i.e. avoiding to operate the meaningfulness of a transcendent registry-worldview/dimension in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension).

This starts with the would-be transcendence inducing intellectual(s)/emancipator(s) ‘owns reflexive individuation maximalising-as-transcendental liberation/emancipation’ from the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of such prior registry-worldview/dimension from which it/they necessarily come from as well as not heeding generalised-social-temporal-preserving-mental-inclinations; and so, consistently cross-generationally since transcendence/institutionalisation is ‘beyond just logical argumentation/contention’ as it points to ‘being-or-ontological existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications structure defect’ (defect of reference-of-thought/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and so beyond logical defect). It is more like (a knowledge-driven/not impression-driven) ‘intemporal preservation recomposural need or memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for institutionalised/intemporalised being/ontology over uninstitutionalisation, universalised being/ontology over ununiversalised, positivistic being/ontology over non-
(subknowledging impulse) involving a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought construal (as the backdrop of new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and ultimately enabling its transcendental collapsing/overriding for institutional-recomposure/prospective-memetic-reordering). That’s how the ‘given reality’ is being subknowledged/registry-perverted. The technique to be utilised comprehensively for grasping the social psychopathy dynamism is by articulating an intemporal-referencing transversal ontological-normalcy/post-convergence reality construct (by intemporal transversal post-convergence is meant an approach that makes the given prelogism-as-of-conviction reality the ‘reference of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness’, and re-orientating the mimicking-subknowledging into a slantedness/deandoring)/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought based on:

1. Given prelogism-as-of-conviction reality actually being demented/subknowledged/registry-perverted (which ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness should highlight that meaningful projections of implied intemporality from banality/averaging-of-thought are not veridically and demonstrable to be ontologically real and should be related to as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought/mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and are rather involved in ‘temporal preservation’ and not intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation),

syncretising’ in (dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-
contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-
dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-
or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-
backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in committed ‘circularity-of-extrinsic-attribution’ (it
should be noted that there is an internal contradiction reason why the psychopath in its
postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-
of-meaningfulness, and equally other temporal interlocutors mimicking the psychopath’s
postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-
of-meaningfulness, will carry on such a ‘circularity-of-extrinsic-attribution’ as the need to
square up to the priorly slanted hollow mimicking narratives call for new slanted hollow
mimicking perversion-of-reference-of-thought narratives even if it’s just to get a respite to
enable an interlocutor’s or another interlocutor’s prelogical/conviction alignment to the new
hollow mimicking postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-
transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing narrative, a process known as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic).

3. Psychopath’s interlocutor’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought in ‘hollow-
constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or
conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex narratives integration from
its prelogical/conviction rationalisation (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-
logical-outcome-arrived-at) of the last psychopath’s postlogical non-veridical hollow
mimicking narratives in circularity as well,
4. Analyst’s reflection/perspectivation of the above 3 mechanisms as postlogical/subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting with contention never being about logical operation/processing/contention of the non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives but rather mental-slantedness/decandoring (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) of the psychopath and the interlocutors as ‘a manifestation of vice-and-impediment (never contention), i.e. rEORIENTATION’,

5. Analyst’s intellectual articulation known as SUPRASTRUCTURING, wherein the universal ontological implication of social psychopathy dynamism across the human species (across space-and-time)/the-social/ontological-paradigm is drawn so that the principles so articulated can be applied in all incidental cases of social psychopathy dynamism (with the intellectual responsibility of avoiding just an ad hoc/circumstantial based analysis and never elevating such poor rationalisations into an ontology, i.e. avoid the extirpation-paradigm). SUPRASTRUCTURING effectively involves: (a) ‘registering’/stranding-dialectics of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with social psychopathy dynamism, i.e. procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-slantedness/decandoring (b) ‘superseding’ by developing universal axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives in pre-emption of ‘(a)’ above which are habituated over a generation or two of the human species for deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence involving its formalisations and internalisations (psychoanalytic-unshackling by: (i) articulating a social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of the registry-worldview-perversion, (ii) generating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘internal contradiction’ in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview (iii) registering/stranding-dialectics the perversion-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/dimension defect for prospective pre-emption with new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (iv) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic (being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/logically-incongruent/transversal) to reflect/perspectivate a mental-devising-representation of the superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as ontologically-demented/dialectical-demented (perversion-of-reference-of-thought/as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising), inducing a ‘habituation’ of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension cross-generationally. For instance, structurally the positivistic mental frame is in alienated-disposition/logically-incongruent and generates internal contradiction towards the non-positivistic/medieval mental frame as otherwise you have syncretising-denial or the registering of meaning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the registry-worldview/dimension that needs to be superseded/preceded/overrided/abjected, for instance, retrospectively the ‘god of plane’… type of proposition from an early animistic society which doesn’t comes to terms with the prospective positivist worldview construct as it hangs on to its non-positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and this will equally apply prospectively between deprocrypticism and procrypticism as the procryptic mindset/reference-of-thought will strive to register meaning not prospectively taking account of procrypticism as a ‘mental perversion/defect’, and likewise retrospectively with the ‘medieval mindset’ with respect to the positivist mental frame. This obviously calls for an
‘intellectual/scientism detachment’ towards the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension, with an intemporal emanant sense of contributing to the bigger possibilities for of the species, i.e. intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as opposed to an extirpatory or incremental or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ or temporal-accommodation paradigm which is about temporal interest, and so, beyond ‘temporal emotional involvement’ or at ‘reality personality’ wherein the notion of human temporal compromising is not an ontological notion but rather defines and qualify the nature of human temporality in an ontological construct).

This way of hermeneutic ‘ontological reasoning’ to arrive at ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal-or-ontological meaning’ that is beyond any totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/self-centred/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage mental projection within just a given registry-worldview/dimension so as to ‘grasp fundamental intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism as of the inherent nature of existential-reality’ is central to the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as a doppler-thinking exercise known as suprastructuralism. Suprastructuralism is grounded on ontological-normalcy/post-convergence insight and places ‘abstract intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ above the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology devising (supposedly for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) meant to represent it in a given registry-worldview/dimension as prior/transcended superseding (which as such is now construed as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, thus requiring new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to ‘preserve the abstract and intrinsic-reality as of
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’.

Deprocrypticism’s suprastructuralism involves ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so, beyond just about a prospective moral virtue but the prospective overall the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct as ‘ontology and its subsuming of virtue’, just as positivism is beyond just about a moral virtue but comprehensively an overall the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct carrying a virtue that supersedes the vices-and-impediments of the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension). It calls for a knowledge construct, whether social or physical, beyond just positivistic categorisation of knowledge but as a ‘ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation ontology’. Thus, the doppler-thinking exercise of suprastructuralism enables the conceptualisation/construal of institutionalisation-or-intemporalisation-or-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in grasping the denaturing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence basis of analysis, and by so doing grasping the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of intrinsic-reality. [Referentialism involves a reference-of-thought (characteristic of deprocrypticism) construing existence and existential-conceptualisation/construal as about the ‘precedingness of becoming’ as of conflation rather than constitutedness (notwithstanding the instances of the latter’s contingent approximating-nature for conceptualisation/construal construed as pseudo-conflation). Constitutedness tend to fallaciously imply ‘existence of things in existence’ whereas conflation rightly implies ‘things becoming in existence rather as subsumed-in-existence in a superseding–oneness-of-
ontology’; so because constitutedness takes a simplistic shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality practically presuming this to be ‘effectively absolutely real and final’ but then with human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} this is erroneous hence the need for re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘re-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ perpetually when aware of its deficiency. Conflation takes a shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality from an open-ended insight/fugue as of referentialism from the more profound ontological-normalcy/post-convergence of existential-reality factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} as of metaphysics-of-absence, and as implied by the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation that goes beyond ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which are continually put into question, by being open-ended to upholding/not-failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication. Thus, constitutedness will wrongly induce virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, and so, with more and more profound defective construal/conceptualisation consequence with deeper and deeper categorisation and analysis. Often, and where aware, about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, there will be re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as a contingent resetting resolution for the induced ‘virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of axiomatic-
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construct/reference-of-thought’ (by ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’) that will then require another contingent resetting resolution for the subsequently induced ‘virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ down the line when aware of its further critical defect again (though, in a sense the entire recomposuring process could be qualified as a ‘practical pseudo-conflation’ exercise). But then the inherent nature of existence in relation to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) construal of it is one of evasiveness as implied by the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ such that we are only occasionally and partially aware about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, thus fundamentally defining the limits even of a pseudo-conflation as of existential-conceptualisations/construals. The implication is beyond just the notion of knowledge construal/conceptualisation categorisation schemes and scheming but extends to the very inherent construal/conceptualisation of knowledge as of its implied ontological and virtue construct itself; so because the structural/paradigmatic basis of categorisation scheming are equally the structural/paradigmatic basis of the inherent analysis and meaningfulness-and-teleology construed/conceptualised. Since categorisation schemes (whether construed/conceptualised beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) define the ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’, it is critical to grasp that the inherent structural/paradigmatic limits/defects of such ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’ are systemic hence inducing ‘flawed-existential-
elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ as of ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/dementing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality) at the given ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’. Beyond its conceptualisation as of knowledge categorisation and categorisation scheming but rather as of effective ontological-and-virtue conceptualisation/construal, constitutedness implies a simplistic/trite categorical relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to defect as perversion-of-reference-of-thought or derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought; and as such, constitutedness will speak of subtransversality and various shades of temporality in their ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ including psychopathic slantedness constitutedness. The comparison highlighted further below with respect to the 6 BODMAS characters and character A (Addition) as the additionality defect character, is most telling of the inherent nature of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) induced constitutedness which is conceptually associated with conceptualisation/construal of ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ (since such a construal fully reflect the reality of a human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal reference-of-thought nature, with high ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of temporal-emanances-registries reference-of-thought, much like the ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of the other BODMAS characters to A’s fundamental postlogism-slantedness pathological condition/constitutedness as when insisting on upholding the ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-dragn—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and not factoring in A’s underlying condition and
defect as constitutedness, and so out of sync with the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as the more fundamental a priori whose
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring reveals the fundamental defect of applying
additionality categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’.). The resolution by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring
is most telling of the inherent nature of conflation which is conceptually associated with
‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’; as conflation speaks of
a more profound relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology
as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to uphold intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-
convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative
constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-
absence/postdication, and so even when ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ is denaturing as exposed by existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, to further construe new
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation factoring in the
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring reflecting the existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Conflation, as so-construed in
referentialism, by striving to sync with the very inherent evasive nature of existence in its imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring (with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)—as of referentialism is absolutely referencing on the basis of ontological-normalcy or post-convergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as being the preceding notion for construal/conceptualisation with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and so grasped as conflation emphasises projective-insights for upholding ontological-normalcy or post-convergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Hence conflation will tend to avoid systemic defects of analysis associated with constitutedness requiring re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘pseudo-conflation’. Conflation is thus naturally inclined to induce ‘appropriate-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ by the ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectically-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality). As so articulated, these two concepts operantly address in a storied-construct or any other operant conceptualisation the notion of a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions. Further, constitutedness and conflation, as so articulated, are such fundamental notions with respect to how humans limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness towards relative
conflation) come to grasp existential-reality/ontological-veridicality that these two underlying notions are critically definitional relative to existential-construal/conceptualisation of understanding and failing-understanding, and insightfully explain the fundamental basis of the consecutive transformations of human psychologisms as induced by ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level of institutionalisations as well as at the individuation-level with respect to conception and misconceptions of meaningfulness-and-teleology not only with respect to understanding but equally dynamics of ‘personality formation and teleological-differentiation’, and so specifically as associated with the dynamics implied of a human temporal-to-intemporal emanance nature, further reflected in the overall dynamics of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism (including the dynamics of psychopathy and social psychopathy as social reprising out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of psychopathic pathological insane-fitment, as of fundamental/most-simplistic constitutedness socially reprised with ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’) as well as grasping fundamental dynamics of institutions and especially as influenced by the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) which is highly subject to the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal existential-form-factor nature (emphasising socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds rather than abject ontology, thus giving room for ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’). These two concepts are critical relative to grasping and analysing human choice/emanance/becoming/intersolipsism notions relative to categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of meaningful-frameworks. Other implications have to do with human personality development psychology in relation to meaning and meaningfulness extending to the construal/conceptualisation of language development and the overall human institutionalisation-process as well as aesthetics and ethics. In a further elaboration of constitutedness and conflation with respect to psychologism, the reason why a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension needs its own knowledge-construct reference-of-thought psychologism has to do with the fact that every registry-worldview/dimension has ‘its own specific constitutedness/conflation psychological complex reflex mechanism’ wherein its limits in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are defined, and this is subpar to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension knowledge-construct reference-of-thought which thus needs its own corresponding psychologism for its superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology, achieved by ‘pseudo-conflation’ as constitutedness re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification’. Consider the example of the ‘God of plane’ type of expression in an animistic/base-institutionalisation setup, where their fundamental psychologism is so ingrained that every meaningfulness from a positivistic social-setup cultural diffusion is inevitably reconstrued in the animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism, until down the line the latter’s meaningfulness-and-teleology syncretising-denial, by way of continuous ‘pseudo-conflation’ as ‘recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of the prior constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ is critically rid of the very essence of animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism inducing an overall break into a positivism psychologism. It is interesting to note that going by the psychologism of a base-institutionalisation social-setup reference-of-thought for instance, the idea of arithmetic as we may grasp today in a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion, and as of its
operant nature, isn’t the case in its operant conceptualisation in such a base-institutionalisation social-setup totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as rather the mental-disposition/reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in the use of numbers is more about acting in currying favours or in view to receiving favours meaningfully as of ‘nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractioniveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ (as can be observed by anthropologists in various forms in many a hunter-gatherer and animist societies), rather than use of numbers considered as of such a relatively independent-domain and exactness of meaningfulness-and-teleology orientation as we construe of arithmetic and mathematics in say a universalisation or positivism registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus use of numbers is defined by other ideas in such early hunter-gather and animist societies given Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion like the notion of wealth accumulation, which will be predominantly about ‘inducing a sense of social obligation or faithfulness or deference’ from other persons, and so together with other cultural peculiarities that avoid hoarding and emphasise wealth display, gifts, etc. Psychologism (as being central in conflation or rather ‘pseudo-conflation’ as recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of constitutedness), refers to the underlying human reflex mental scheme of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘allowing for its given capacity to supersede its psychological complex in construing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendental-enabling and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The
bigger question could be asked; why doesn’t humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation spontaneously articulate and relate to meaningfulness-and-teleology as humans in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, who do not do likewise as humans in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, who do not do likewise as humans in positivism–procrypticism? Is it a difference in species, as of successive species? Obviously, no! As we know from history and anthropology that cultural diffusion has shown that all humans are able to come to terms and operate at the highest forms of human institutionalisation. This fundamentally points to the centrality of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism ‘placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as arising and determined by its specific limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness in relation to conflation) construal/conceptualisation as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The underlying human psyche is in need of a ‘framework of intelligibility construal/conceptualisation’ as its mental-scheme (psychologism) by which humans, given their limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), can then project ‘mental and existential investment’ in a world of perceived stakes (social, natural and/or supernatural) in a ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ (which holds the resources for individual and collective human possibilities, like prior developed culture, language, skills, etc. available for individual and collective intersolipsistic exploitation and renewal). Noting that at stake is its existential survival and thriving, and so it is involved in a relative zero-sum game of existential possibilities, on the basis of its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) determining its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as enabled by the ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. This ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ is highly linear as of the possibilities for construing human psychical and
institutional readjustments in inducing successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-
recomposures which are thus equally in a linearity. This notion of ‘social framework of
intersolipsistic deambulation’ harks back to that of human institutionalisation by its
socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds of temporal-to-intemporal emanances
dispositions further redefining the possibility of prospective uninstitutionalisation as the
threshold for failing/not-upholding the institutionalisation’s categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the possibility of prospective institutionalisation as
renewing categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for upholding intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-
normalcy/post-convergence with respect to the prospective uninstitutionalisation, thus further
redefining successive prospective socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds as successive
prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. Thus, implying a dual-faceted representation of
human mental-disposition as uninstitutionalised-and-institutionalised, wherein by
metaphysics-of-presence, the present registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought
by its inherent presencing-inclination disposition will asymmetrically be oriented as
institutionalised in secluding its uninstitutionalised facet from placeholder-setup/mental-
devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with any sense of
uninstitutionalisation being rather an afterthought posture rather with respect to the prior
registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised facet of reference-of-thought. It is this
appreciation successively implied registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought emphasising both institutionalised-and-
uninstitutionalised-facets that naturally validates the notion of a ‘contingent ontologising-
capacity driven psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-
convergence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ that is
counterintuitive to a stigmatic/mented psychology as conceptualised today. Such a
‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence
recomposure as the absolute determinant of what can be psychology, with a naivety that
doesn’t allow consciously, (as consciously decentering and pivoting with respect to human
psychical and institutionalisation implications), for prospective transcendence, as it doesn’t
factor in the said registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought to then project that there may be a prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought which meaningfulness-and-teleology as value
judgment transforms psychological-construal/psychologism. The best possible outcome in
this regard is as of the construal of a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven
psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ as it establishes
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-
transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context. As setting up the relevant contingent psychologism is only by a
construal that the best possible psychology-construct/psychologism is necessarily attained by
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions construals/conceptualisations by their contingent
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-
transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context (that is, ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven
psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’), and so successively
across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether retrospectively or prospectively. This
insight about the nature of a mented/stigmatic psychology compares with the instance about a
Kantian absolute apriorising exercise; in that in both instances, human mentation capacity is
construed as absolutely given at all times, with that mentation capacity rather ‘reflexively and erroneously’ absolutely construed as of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, and what is not factored in is the fact that there is a human limited-mentation-capacity that maximalisingly-recomposes as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity inducing the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought with their own ‘specific institutionalisation/uninstitutionalisation mental-dispositions/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatisings’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to their social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; with the implications being that social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought redefines prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology and the corresponding apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, implying a totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought based on prospective maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness ultimately as of ‘notional-deprocrypticism’; as this consciously factors in the reality of the need of transcendence as decentering/pivoting with respect to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and overall existential becoming. This validates the notion of ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of its construing of notional-deprocrypticism as ‘deprocrypticism suprastructuration’ or ‘deprocrypticism suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the
overall registry-worldview/dimension reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’

(permitting


(just as in the natural sciences, physics ontologically-joins chemistry and chemistry ontologically-joins biology). This is in contrast with an ontologically non-contiguous stigmatic/mented psychology construct which relative ‘third-level pseudo-conflation’ largely limits its notion to ‘affect’, and not a full-blown ontological-contiguity as conflation
elaborated ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology’ determination in full ontological converging with the social (as metaphysics-of-absence of the social, ‘conflation psychologism’ based on ‘temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ going by the ‘referentialism technique of point-referencing, explained elsewhere,’ that restores existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in undermining procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). Hence by recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of constitutedness of reference-of-thought as a ‘pseudo-conflation’ exercise at worldview-level, institutional-level and operant-level of meaningfulness-and-teleology, the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought for prospective transcendence is achieved. Insightfully, (beyond ‘pseudo-conflation’) the full projective-totalitative–implications of conflation as implied with referentialism as the underlying transcendental memetic-suprastructural-meaningfulness fugue reflecting existential-reality will take an even more critical bearing with respect to deprocrypticism psychologism as unlike the articulation as pseudo-conflation (rather heuristically and beyond consciousness-awareness-teleology) in previous institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes, with deprocrypticism conflation is rather bound to be perceived and construed as of the (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in its full potential on the basis of referentialism as of the full development of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. Thus, the notion of conflation (including ‘pseudo-conflation’) can be conceptualised across all transcendences as providing the ‘centering platform’ (that reflects the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-reality as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in post-
convergence or ontological-normalcy or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) as the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought, for ‘decentering’ the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought in its ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ with respect to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought overall existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context meaningfulness-and-teleology; (as ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality increasingly supersedes ‘prior-conventioning as social-aggregation-enabling’, wherein for instance scientific explanations psychologism (as of prospective conflation) supersedes mythical/supernatural/ alchemic explanations psychologism (as of prior constitutedness) as ‘prospective-conventioning as transcendental-enabling’; interestingly, highlighting how and why transcendence for prospective institutionalisation is construed in transcendental-enabling terms as its strive for a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought necessarily implies a more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with respect to the prior as prospective uninstitutionalisation prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought revealing which by reflex adopts a social-aggregation-enabling disposition with respect to the prior-conventioning). In this respect, ultimately the full achievement of conflation will involve fully expanding the sphere of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling, as of ‘intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism knowledge constraining construct’, for thorough construal/conceptualisation of social reality which is relatively highly prone to ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought and thus resultant pseudo-conflation’ as of social-aggregation-enabling, hence undermining relative intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling of the social. Ultimately, given the comprehensive and typical underlying proneness of human limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflating) to constitutedness as its fundamental mentation deficiency at uninstitutionalised-threshold or as of ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ (which it tends to resolve by ‘pseudo-conflating’ when aware of defective constitutedness) with respect to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and its overall existential becoming, as so reflected in the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions; deprocrypticism by its very transcendental essence comprehensively comes into grips with the constitutedness in positivism–procripticism as it attains more than just ‘pseudo-conflating’ but an overall comprehensive conflating insight as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism for superseding positivism–procripticism. Conflating as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism in superseding constitutedness, provides resolution as of 3 aspects of meaningfulness-and-teleology: firstly, with respect to temporal instigating as constitutedness like psychopathic-slantedness insane-fitment ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation and its derivation with respect to temporal reprisings of such constitutedness as ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ associated with conjugated-postlogism temporal reprisings by construing/conceptualising such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon, and re-establishing social universal-transparency that by itself is the fundamental basis for human knowledge-and-virtue; secondly, articulating the universal aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ontological-reconstituting; and thirdly, highlighting the structural/paradigmatic pivoting/decentering as prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought possibilities. It should be noted that ‘a mentation reflex
as decentered and in ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia’ is no less valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism uninstitutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’ (speaking of uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ‘a mentation reflex as centered and dialectically-thinking’ is valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human institutionalisation mental-disposition/reference-of-thought’; and so, with no relevant need for attending to any ‘psychological complexes’ with respect to a representation as of a prospective uninstitutionalisation wrongly being construed as of institutionalisation (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as being ‘a mentation reflex as centered and dialectically-thinking’ instead of ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia’. The point of this statement is that when procrypticism as our prospective uninstitutionalisation is bound to be construed as of metaphysics-of-absence, the normal psychologism we know of as of our positivism institutionalisation will no longer apply, as our procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology will be represented as decentered and in ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia as the necessary/requisite backdrop for the construal of prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation ushering in deprocrypticism as prospective institutionalisation. In this regard, we’ll certainly inherently relate to preceding successive uninstitutionalisations of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism effectively as decentered and in ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia, though this will most probably be resisted with respect to such a representation of our denaturing of positivistic meaningfulness as our prospective procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (just as the correspondingly humans in the preceding
successive uninstitutionalisations by mentation reflex had, consciously and unconsciously, resisted a representation as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation); while we can recognise successively the centered and dialectically-thinking nature of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, though probably less so of deprocrypticism institutionalisation as it points to the decentering and ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation of our procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Such institutionalisation and uninstitutionalisation construal at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level is reflected/perspectivated operantly by the concepts of conflation as of centering and dialectically-thinking reference-of-thought implied with institutionalisations and constitutedness as of decentering and ontologically/dialectically-dementing reference-of-thought implied with uninstitutionalisations; prompting the respective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalisation psychologisms as of the apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above our subpar totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism from a deprocrypticism perspective, just as we’ll recognise for instance that a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mental-disposition/reference-of-thought contending against positivism institutionalisation meaningfulness is actually acting out a subpar totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought as of the apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above it from the positivism perspective. Thus it is fundamentally the case that the requisite construal/conceptualisation as
decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation of a prospective uninstitutionalisation is hardly just one of ‘simplistic knowledge elucidation’ but rather an elucidation as of intellectual courage in bluntly asserting decentering and ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation. Intellectual courage as imbuing knowledge with organic profoundness of intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism philosophy rather than just a mechanical construct of technicalities is the central driver for all initiated transcendences and prospective institutionalisations, as this goes beyond intellectual institutional-being-and-craft, since there is ‘no magical knowledge technicality’ for implying a more profound ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over a relatively ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but for such intellectual bravery to buck the trend or subvert as so displayed by the many illustrious positivism registry-worldview/dimension enablers subverting a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought, fundamentally so with respect to such an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality knowledge construct issue associated with transcendental-enabling rather than a conventioning sovereign construct/choice issue associated with social-aggregation-enabling. In this regard, the issue arising is ‘altogether not a knowledge elucidation problem’ with respect to the implied representation of prospective uninstitutionalisation as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation but rather a ‘psychological complex issue’ of the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. This explains why the issue is construed ontologically in ‘psychologism terms as of syncretising-denial’, as requiring a coming to terms with the understanding implied by prospective institutionalisation as of its more profound existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; as more fundamentally, Galileo’s use of a telescope to demonstrate a heliocentric system with respect to the non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought is not about the inherent
knowledge implications to which the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought has ‘mentally shut-off’ to, but fundamentally about the ‘psychological complex’ of the non-positivism/medieval world of countenancing such meaningfulness as jeopardising the prior (non-positivism/medievalism), with the implication rather for the need of the prospective psychologism as the positivism institutionalisation psychologism (totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought foundation as new placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology) requisite knowledge or meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought. Such equally applies with respect to deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation relative to our procrypticism prospective uninstitutionalisation. In other words, prospective institutionalisation as transcendence is construed not in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ which refers to ‘the simplistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes construed as the overtly compelling aspect of the knowledge’ validating a knowledge construct but is construed rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘organic-knowledge’ which refers to ‘the mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discretional contemplative aspect of the knowledge, behind the thought process that eventually leads to and is subsuming of the mechanical-knowledge’. Thus prospective institutionalisation as transcendence is grounded on such an underlying reference-of-thought associated with organic-knowledge qualified as the institutionalisation psychologism. In this regard, a chemist or botanist for instance in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup will certainly not confuse the fact that its demonstration of chemical reactions or a plant demonstration to approval in such a social-setup necessarily imply that ‘the underlying positivism mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discretional contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism of positivistic
knowledge’ behind its thought process eventually producing the validating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes means the medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup has grasped the positivistic organic-knowledge, as it is very much likely that it will surreptitiously and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought conjure up explanations/meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic medieval alchemic or non-positivistic animistic reference-of-thought psychologism; as it is naïve to think that implied organic-knowledge as of prospective institutionalisation transcendence requiring its own reference-of-thought psychologism can simply be construed as ‘mechanical-knowledge’ while still upholding/keeping the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, as the organic-knowledge rather points to ‘validating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes as its mechanical-knowledge aspect but further requires a development of the discrentional contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism of the knowledge’, grounded rather on such a prospective institutionalisation psychologism as its ‘suprastructuration’ or its ‘suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’, and not the prior/superseded/transcended uninstitutionalisation psychologism. Such organic-knowledge gets institutionalised to an extent by the habituation as of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the mechanical-knowledge implied reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling involving syncretising-denial towards the ultimate cross-generational alignment to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, as a positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought. Interestingly,
and so across all successive institutionalisations, what tends to be lost ‘the failure to register fully that the ‘intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism projecting mental-disposition’ behind ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validating the institutionalisation of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is rather the ‘vitality aspect’ of organic-knowledge and it is ‘not a passive dispensation’, just as well that the ‘temporal mental-dispositions’ superseded towards attaining the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is ‘not simply a passive distraction’ with the insight that there is a contiguity as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition relative to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism across all the successive registry-worldviews as at all their uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-individuations-as-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are a drawback to transcendence (by adherence to ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the successive registry-worldviews’/dimensions’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inducing their successive hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intellibilitysetup-caricaturing, and critically so as across all registry-worldviews postlogism leads to a characteristic mental-disposition at their uninstitutionalised-threshold of deception-of-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives and the consequent derivation, due to induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency, to other temporal-emanances-registries as conjugated-postlogism, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought whether conscious or unconscious) while the intemporal-individuation-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ushers in transcendence (by it perpetual vouching for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism in pushing as this enables successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to raise
better and better categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); thus validating the notion of a human intersolipsistic relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology in transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing since a wrong ‘wishful thinking’/intemporal-romanticism/good-naturedness of vouching for logical-congruence will overlook the inevitable reality of temporal-perversion with prospective implications as of syncretising-denial, as its resolution is rather an anticipation as of transversality/logical-incongruence. Likewise, futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology implies that transcendence rather reasoned in our positivism–procrypticism terms of psychologism is inevitably denaturing as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective; as it is in need of the organic-knowledge of the prospective institutionalisation psychologism or deprocrypticism psychologism as conflatedness (conflation psychologism) on the basis of the ‘referentialism technique of point-referencing (explained elsewhere), which involves ‘contrastive temporal-to-intemporal synopsising-depth from a deprocrypticism perspective’ that re-establishes existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and in so doing undermines the relatively defective terms of ‘positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation psychologism’ (disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and setting up ‘deprocrypticism organic-knowledge institutionalisation psychologism including the discreional contemplative as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism aspect in pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought or upholding jointedness’, as structurally/paradigmatically transcending the overall vices-and-impediments of positivism–
procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. The further implication is that deprocrypticism is rather construed as a perpetuating metaphysics-of-absence which driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism can then enable that way the perpetual upholding of organic-knowledge. This ‘mechanical-knowledge by organic-knowledge’ implication for conceptualising institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures is validated by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. This can be further expounded as follows in similar terms. The institutionalisation process behind the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures doesn’t only imply that the institutionalisation process is simplistically the result of ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ successively as: non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in base-institutionalisation–universalisation, ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively in deprocrypticism, ‘pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’. Rather the institutionalisation process is driven by human limited-mentation-capacity as of deepening limited-mentation-capacity in the human drive to grasp a same intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that doesn’t change with respect to existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency (with change rather reflected as a result of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination), such that in addition to the human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination eliciting the successive ‘social-
universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as
highlighted above equally inherently imply (and so, as of complement to human limited
mentation capacity), a grander non-constraining element qualified as ‘ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation’ in-complement-to and reflecting the incompleteness of the
‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’; with
both the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-
construct’ and the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-
constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as of
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ implying the
‘organic-knowledge’ while just the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated
direct-constraining-construct’ is the ‘mechanical-knowledge’. The underlying idea is that an
individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation notwithstanding its non-rules-as-
impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition (social-universally-transparent-and-
implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct), wherein the human existentialism-form-
factor of temporal-to-intemporal individuations still applies and if they project
intemporally/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, is not necessarily utterly
devoid of a basic sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework as virtue-as-of-ontological-emancipation on the basis
that it doesn’t recognise rulemaking-over-non-rules as of ‘mechanical-knowledge’, but while
that can as well be the case when projecting temporally/shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-
construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance in such a setup as not constrained by any rulemaking-over-non-rules (based on mere ‘mechanical non-knowledge’ of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), however at the intemporal-threshold as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance notwithstanding its limited-mentation-capacity, by intemporal-projection it will be able to summon heuristically a sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework from its ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (beyond the mere ‘mechanical non-knowledge’ of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition) as ‘organic-knowledge’, for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm) which subsequently as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect brings about base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ of ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’ as the new ‘mechanical-knowledge’ as well as implying the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, with both forming the new ‘organic-knowledge’. Likewise, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation too by dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect at its intemporal-threshold of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance notwithstanding limited-mentation-capacity, the intemporally projecting individuation will be able to summon
dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect to a broader social derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed as social-postlogism that fundamentally is denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold as hollow-staging-and-performance or apriorising/intelligibility-setup-caricaturing, in want for prospective institutionalisation. The underlying insight being that human formulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily incomplete because of its limited-mentation-capacity and thus comes with an inherent sense/projection of ontological-appropriateness, and as of human developing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as the driving element in upholding ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality. This notion as reflected by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism (as it enables the further expansion of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance intemporal-thresholds and so as of ontological-emancipation-beyond-just-virtue) should be the critical and decisive constructive/institutionalising element for attaining deprocrypticism wherein the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as mechanical-knowledge is construed as overlapping with the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ as organic-knowledge. The reality of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism driven institutionalisation process points to the fact that the traditional construal of knowledge often tacitly as of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is incomplete and rather speaks of ‘vague intellectual intemporal-romanticism’ and doesn’t fit with the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor as upheld by the mediocrity principle underlying a rational-realism perspective, and explains why articulating knowledge merely as ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is bound to lead to its distortion/perversion/misconstrual by the
mere fact of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition adhering rather to ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology implied by the mechanical-knowledge explaining the successive need for ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism to overcome such distortion/perversion/misconstrual; as in fact despite such a vague idealism as intemporal-romanticism, implicitly where highly pressing we tend to be obliged to recognised this temporal-to-intemporal reality as implied in the way we go about developing many a social formal construct. Thus deprocrypticism knowledge as overlapping the mechanical with the organic, as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism behind the mechanical-knowledge, is a further validation of the idea of notionalisation of knowledge which emphasises in principle and beforehand/as-of-a-priori a deliberative consideration of this temporal-to-intemporal human disposition in relating to mechanical-knowledge as of prospective possibilities for a better pre-empting of temporality and skewing towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of organic-knowledge overlapping. Further, the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor means that human meaningfulness at all times is more of ‘a solipsistic transversality of human meaningfulness as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions transversalities/mutual-unintelligibilities/logical-incongruence’ and ‘not a ‘solipsistic commonness of meaningfulness that wrongly implies no temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-dispositions’, as any commonness is ‘a commonness implied with respect to second-naturing institutionalisation as of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction thresholds’, with
the implication that there is no point acting and relating with knowledge as if it is about a solipsistic transformation into intemporality but rather relating to it as a second-naturing exercise of skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling or deferential-formalisation-transference) with respect to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process as virtue (a notion equally implied by many a prophesying metaphysico-theological construct as the intemporality and transcendental projections as of their limited-mentation-capacity in their own times in resolving the issues of human temporality in their times). In which case while such emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality cannot be construed as of a social commonness of reference-of-thought, it’s occurrence if it does occur can only be construed in emanance/becoming/intersolipsism transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing (more like the abstract notion of faith, by definition and as implied in many a creed, however metaphysical though, can only be solipsistic to an individual and not amenable to a commonness of social contemplation) as of abstract intersolipsism. The Nietzschean metaphor ‘God is dead’, as of human emancipation, is one whose validity can only be countenance where it implies the capacity of human pretence of intellectual and moral sublimity, and not the notion of intellectual and moral decadence. *Thus to sum up, the overall notion of conflation in relation with other elucidative associated notions can further be clarified as follows in ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental terms of the institutionalisation process’ as well as ‘individuation terms of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’. With regards to the interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental institutionalisation process level, we can construe of conflation as of the ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context potency implied as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and 
reconstrued in the successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought, wherein the referentialism technique for conflation known as point-referencing 
delineates/disambiguates the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes as 
of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence revealing their ‘contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the varying synopsising-depth of human meaningfulness-
and-teleology (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, 
and prospectively deprocrypticism which as ‘notional-deprocrypticism’ is the ‘point of point-
referencing for conflation’, by the construal of its institutionalisation process reference-of-
thought as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence), with respect to the same intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality such that such varying is attributed to human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing,–as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as of conflatedness (or construed as 
from constitutedness/’pseudo-conflation’ to conflation) inducing both the registry-
worldviews/dimensions institutionalisation-facets (‘centered/in-phase’ and ‘dialectically-
thinking’) and uninstitutionalisation-facets (‘decentered/out-of-phase’ and dialectically-
dementing as hollow-staging-and-performance). Supposed a notional conflatedness or 
conflation abstraction across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions on the basis of the 
referentialism technique of point-referencing (‘notional-deprocrypticism-or-as-from-
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation–to–deprocrypticism’) is undertaken with respect to
based on contingent-ontologising-capacity driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence
apriorising/ intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ and thus rendering its meaningfulness-and-teleology hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing at the positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold, while it ‘pointlessly strives to be centered and dialectically-thinking by reflex’ by not recognising its prospective uninstitutionalisation or the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as all ‘present-states’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions do by reflex), and thus rather involved in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaning as of syncretising-denial. But then we know and can appreciate that all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions were ‘decentered and dementing beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven psychology/psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/post-convergence apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’. This ‘anti-transcendence as anti-prospective uninstitutionalisation and anti-prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of all ‘present-states’ of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is due to the fact of such ‘present-states’ presencing–or–totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-forward-facing-self-consciousness desymmetrisation alignment overly-overemphasising the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation-facet in a corresponding relation with a dissymmetrical alignment over underemphasising its uninstitutionalisation-facet, but with such representation becoming critically ontologically untenable at the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold where meaningfulness-and-teleology breaks into hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-
escalation (which is the very ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality as-of-social-context-holism-construed-conflatedness’); such that an insightful storied-construct as elucidative of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily one construed at the ‘dynamic-cumulative-after-effect transversal crossroads of temporal-to-intemporal individuations synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’.

In other words, suprastructuralism (as of its referential and ontological-normalcy/post-convergence emanance perspective and as a doppler-thinking exercise) ushers in a whole new comprehensive registry-worldview across the entire social construction-of-meaning called deprocrypticism, much like positivism did over non-positivism/medievalism or universalisation over ununiversalisation or base-institutionalisation over tter-uninstitutionalisation. Central to such ‘a universal notion of deprocrypticism’ is the idea of an abject-recomposuring-ontologising by upholding ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, involving postdication with postdicatory techniques and postdicatory mindset/reference-of-thought in reflection of the suprastructural and post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality (more like the positivistic registry-worldview is all about existential positivistic conceptualisations, positivistic techniques and basic positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought superseding existential alchemic conceptualisations, alchemic techniques and a basic alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought that defined the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension); involving ensuring intemporal-emanance-registry deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking that upholds-and-is-the reference-of-thought for ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality, over mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or–’misappropriating-of-meaningfulness by temporal-emanances-registries meaningfulness hotchpotching/disjointing’ as perverted-and-derived-perverted-
A critical distinction between deprocrypticism institutionalisation and positivistic institutionalisation has to do with the former uncompromising relation with respect to upholding ontological-contiguity thus overcoming the temporal-emananances-registries hotchpotching (averaging-of-thought/banality dynamism, and specifically in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) even though it is very much present in the formal sphere as well) and the incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inherent in the positivistic mindset, thus the latter tends relatively to be weakly ontologically-contiguous with all the existential implications thereof, whether with regards to virtue construal or subject-matters issues. Further as with all transcendences, the transcendence going from procrypticism, or the dialectical-dementing (dialectical-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) of positivistic meaningfulness, to deprocrypticism will involve a psychoanalytically demented deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting of our present positivistic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology wherein this is presently stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology wherein the deprocrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought reflects/perspectivates the positivistic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase. So the deprocrypticism institutionalisation (as a renewed existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness-and-teleology or memetic-refinement) ontologising involves a post-convergence-or-postdicatory
deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation, as-prospective reference-of-thought, of intradimensional-meaningfulness psychoanalytically into-dementation/as-dementing of our present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Even though as with all transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions such an implied veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology will probably sound unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural due to our positivistic illusion-of-the-present/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage; as the reference-of-thought, in articulating ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and the suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, moves away from a positivistic registry-worldview registrying/dueness to a deprocrypticism registry-worldview registrying/dueness with the corresponding ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics stranding the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension transdimensional-meaningfulness/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking and the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension intradimensional-meaningfulness as mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (just as successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, in a conceptual grasp of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and the suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, had priorly moved from an utter-institutionalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism to a base-institutionalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism, to a universalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism and then presently a positivistic registrying/dueness/existentialism, with corresponding
ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics stranding prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking and the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness as mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness; as-and-when-it-is-established that an institutionalisation is no longer intemporal-preservational, when it is ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold). It should be noted that human uninstitutionalised-threshold refers to the point where a specific institutionalisation is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by a formulaic-formic adherence (lip-servicing) to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation hence attaining its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein the ontological-veridicality of the mental-devising-representation is ‘in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and not deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking’, and we can envision retrospectively the points of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions from our vantage point of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process like an insight in the recurrent-utter-institutionalised ‘so-called savage’ mindset/reference-of-thought or the medieval mindset, for instance. Likewise such a mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness registry-worldview projection though of a different nature of the positivistic
registry-worldview/dimension can be made prospectively from a deprocrypticism insight that overrides our illusion-of-the-present/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage given its more suprastructural and post-convergence vantage perspective in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontological-referencing. The general underlying principle for deprocrypticism methods and techniques is that of being abjectly ontologising, beyond positivistic meaningfulness conventioning and temporal-accommodation as ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’ for undermining ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity arising from temporal-emananances-registries dementing/subknowledging/registry-perverting-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, and as it upholds veridical ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as the veridical reference-of-thought; which is what is actually up for contention and is effective contention (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking) over what is being ontologically-decadent/ontologically-discontinuous-and-being-so-in-contiguity, and is actually dementing (mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness) and not contending.] When implied specifically with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy (just like a superseding positivistic orientation implied with regards to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and medieval mindset/reference-of-thought to sorcery), deprocrypticism as an intemporal transcendental construct implies ontological-contiguity deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting construct of temporal-emananances-registries ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity as the backdrop/grounding of the veridical reference-of-thought; as what is actually up for contention and is effective contention (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking) over what is ontologically-decadent/ontologically-discontinuous-and-so-in-contiguity, as the latter is actually in mechanical-comprehension-
dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and is not contending as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking. Noting as well that with regards to human mentation capacity, the successive institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations elicit successive circumspections (as recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology) in human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity that are enablers of the associated institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures: for base-institutionalisation the circumspection is one of contrastive uninstitutionalisation – institutionalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding institutionalisation; with universalisation the circumspection involves contrastive ununiversalisation – universalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding universalisation; with positivism the circumspection involves contrastive non-positivism/medieval/alchemy – positivism/rational-empiricism analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding positivism/rational-empiricism; and prospectively, for deprocrypticism the circumspection will involve contrastive temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding the intemporal-emancipation-registry as ontology. Critically, human analytical mentation capacity mainly disambiguates what-is-in-effect deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking and mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, respectively as the mental-devising-representation of reference-of-thought over dementing on the one hand, and ontological-
decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity on the other hand. Equally, with regards to human mentation capacity, the effect of limited mentation capacity characterising a given registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and its social-construct not only defines its inherent vices-and-impediments but such a social-construct further and critically structures and stifles the natural renewal of human emancipative dispositions. For instance, non-positivistic/medieval stifling inclinations to think outside of medieval mental-dispositiona and likewise with regards to our procrypticism. The bigger point of successive institutionalisations has to do overall with their specific emancipative registry-worldview/dimension framework as fertilising the cross-section of human practical and conceptual incidental issues and endeavours as well as the virtue constructs at the said registry-worldview/dimension. What is interesting with regards to an incidental study like psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to the grander deprocrypticism institutionalisation level within the treatment of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures meta-conceptual frame is that it provides (besides being critically important to grasp by itself as a parasitising/co-opting phenomenon that can potentially arise in all human locales) the incidental and the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework backdrop and background that informs and deepens understanding of the overall meta-conceptual analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought issues [issues arising from the tempering or false implying of the registry elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology and thus inducing a fundamental flaw with the reference-of-thought in the first place, and further at a second-order level in wrongly implying the existential veridicality of logical-dueness (thus making irrelevant the construing of soundness or unsoundness) of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation], which in turn further
enlighten the incidental analysis of psychopathy and social psychopath. Such dynamic and mutually beneficial insight at the meta-conceptualisation and incidental further extends to other related incidental issues relevant to the meta-conceptualisation.

It should be noted that this overall explanatory exercise is ‘not reasoning by analogy’ but rather contiguous (ontological-contiguity) as the fundamental notion is institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation contiguity; by a skewing device (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference of the averageness of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-emanances-registries dispositions, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry which is inherently ontological and syncs with intrinsic reality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and hence its supersedingness as it induces overall social virtue-as-of-ontology). Institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) involves:

- recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation [initial state of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ that intemporally calls for the introduction of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as base-institutionalisation),

- base-institutionalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation [whose categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ as ununiversalisation intemporally calls for universalisation]
- universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation [whose categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ as non-positivism/medievalism intemporally calls for positivism],

- positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation [prospectively, whose categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ as procrypticism intemporally calls for deprocrypticism],

- and prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation [whose categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation will carry the ‘virtuous and intellectual responsibility’ to recognise that ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought is an endemic human mental defect/perversion disposition retrospectively to prospectively, and that this is ‘a lost cause’ due fundamentally to mediocrity principle of humans having in reality ‘temporal-to-intemporal emanances registries dispositions’ and not ‘universal intemporal emanance disposition’, and the construct of deprocryptic categorical-imperatives/axioms should be anticipatory and pre-emptive of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ perpetually at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’. More like the modern notion of medicine doesn’t work on the idea of exceptional people, as this will ultimately lead to a wrong and superstitious disease theory, but accepts that structurally bacteria, cancer, organ failure, etc. cause disease and that the virtue of medicine is about how to understand and pre-empt the above causations; likewise deprocryptic virtue operates on a realistic grasp of human subknowledging/mimicking/temporal-to-intemporal-solipsistic-projections at uninstitutionalised-threshold and then strives to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference
for the supersedingness of the intemporal emanance, which is ontological, for intemporal-preservation entropy/contiguity).

We can garner such emanant (becoming) ‘psychoanalytic unshackled insight’ of how we transcended from non-positivism/medievalism to a positivistic registry-worldview. A literary insight can also be grasped reading Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart on how a community where a traditional registry-worldview with its sense of purpose had to deal with positivistic transcendence. Think of the state of the mind of Okonkwo of the Umuofia Clan. Though, in this case the transcendence is by cultural diffusion rather than by internal philosophical transcendence. Basically, all transcendences involve ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling of this sort’. Counterintuitively, it should be understood that no transcendence is rational because you rationalise by operating logic on a sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives but then the need for transcendence due to perversion- and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought is putting the soundness of registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives in question (as reference-of-thought supersedes/precedes logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation), so you rather have a reinvention as totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of a new and better registry-worldview/axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives by the psychoanalytic-unshackling coming from its better grasp/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the world/intrinsic reality. Basically, we can say that human-emanant/becoming-transcendence is the first level of human invention (incremental inventions of relatively sounder minds; with the would-be ‘intellectual-analysts’ undergoing their own philosophical/first-level transcendence to liberate
themselves before second-naturing/institutionalising for the new possibilities for the species; noting that, this doesn’t mean that the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Newtons, Darwins… of the world, miraculously came up with positivism to supersede/precede/override/abject medievalism, as they were of medieval stock but by philosophical transcendence could project beyond the limits of non-positivism/medievalism even were they were still imbued with remnants of the old like alchemic beliefs. Hence it is the transcendental process that is actually critical)!

Now what positive can come from psychopathy? From the intemporal perspective NONE. Besides specific social consequences of psychopathy as the context of ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath; by and large, ontologically and as reflected by the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), the psychopath’s and other postlogical articulations have a nefarious effect, on social meaning particularly in ‘spheres of extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ of society in general and social institutions, as the postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought induces mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness with many an interlocutor, and which by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect, undermines the sophistication/intricacy of
assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology. However, with psychopathy and postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented, a further dimension is added to the defects of mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness which are more or less acts/occasional defects then, as conjugating these into as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as of being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect, as meaningfulness is now not about a ‘defect of failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct contiguity’ intemporality/ontological-veridicality as of specific existential-instantiation ontological-performance but rather a defect in being in ontological-contiguity with non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented as postlogical temporality perversion-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness aligns to the psychopath’s/postlogical-mind’s slantedness and is thus insane/slantedness integrative. And this, in its fulsome articulation taken beyond individual and social contexts to the comprehensive registry-worldview/dimension speaks of an underlying ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension defect of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology: wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of its inherently-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires prospective
base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation which as of its inherently-rulemaking-over-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism which as of its inherently-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires positivism–procrypticism as of its inherently-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and prospectively positivism–procrypticism which as of its inherent disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought requires deprocrypticism. And this memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling process, is fundamentally about ‘the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy/post-convergence of the entropy to preserve intemporality’ known as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with the idea that categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are as pertinent only as these preserve intemporality, and are collapsed/overridden by new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, when shown not to be preserving intemporality, as when subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising the preceding categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Further a registry-worldview dimension that so misanalyses is not ‘shaped’ to review but rather syncretises/is-circular in its failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation rather than implying prospective ones for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; such that ontologically-speaking the phenomenon is in a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of
reference-of-thought denaturing and ontological-incompleteness, and endemised/enculturated
(with a temporal rationalising reasoning that actually validates the veridicality of a human
temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism disposition that should not be
confused with a second-natured/institutionalised disposition in relation to virtue). This
effectively forms the recomposured backdrop for prospective transcendental construct of
deprocrypticism, as the ‘ontologising deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-
comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-
conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that reflects/perspectivates the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-
failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-protracted’. But then,
a psychopath can be so irrational that in temporal terms it might do a lot of ‘good’ to a
specific individual or group of individuals (for instance, steal and distribute or even some
other things but coming initially from a vice; as may be enabled by the psychopath’s faulty-
mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge to attain an outcome). This dynamic element can
make psychopathy and social psychopathy difficult to deal with as a social phenomenon, as
the questions are not only how culpable is the psychopath but extend to who is temporally
getting what from the psychopathic situation, what accounts and narratives should be
believed, etc., thus requiring an abject and intemporally uncompromising ontological
conceptualisation to construct a ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework science.
That said, beyond just about such a present worldly take to societal issues, there is a bigger
question of the universal implications on human civilisation of postlogism as ‘hollow-
constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and
perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena as reflected above regarding the contiguous
process of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation behind
human civilisation.
It is equally important to note that as much as the psychopath seem to have a weird mentality (slantedness), the incidence and initiation of psychopathy, equally has to do both with the nature of the psychopathic/postlogism mind contrasted to the nature of the ‘normal conviction or prelogical mind’, which are antipodal as the normal mind is by reflex conviction/prelogical/existential-contextualising-contiguity and by reflex will tend to see a conviction or prelogism in narratives while the psychopath is non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-‘hollow-staging-and-performance’-or-
‘apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’/impulsively-demented/formulaic-formic (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated)/postlogical and does has an covert vista when not forewarned/experienced about its nature in wrongfully inducing a sense of conviction in the normal mind by non-conviction narrating (an insight that is easily picked up seeing the childhood psychopathy growing into an adolescent and an adult, as its more covert mental structure at adulthood can be retraced and associated to the awkwardness of expression at early life in understanding what the adult psychopath is up to), hence the reason a mind in search of conviction or prelogism (normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind) will speak of a pathological liar, by liar wrongly granting the psychopath a conviction, be it a bad or poor conviction, in the very first place, hence aligning integratively to the psychopath instead of aligning in transversality/logical-incongruence… It is rather a flaw in the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’s perception (prelogism or conviction while the psychopath’s mental-disposition is formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism/impulsively-
dementing)!
Straying into a basic elucidative anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity (a novel hermeneutic approach to psychology); extrinsic-attribution is a fairly common social mental-disposition, at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as we are not inherently intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in our solipsistic projection but have the potential of temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) solipsistic/emanant projections of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’. The mechanism of institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisation ensures that because of the positive-opportunism that the intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism registry disposition (as it syncs with intrinsic reality and is thus ontological) brings to the cross-section of human temporal interests at 'socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction', it tends to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference and dominate temporal dispositions in the medium to long perspective. For instance, everyone will like to see a good legal system to ensure that they do not fall afoul of a bad judgment even if, circumstantially, maybe they themselves may be inclined not to have others or some others to enjoy the same (of course, the internalisation of our ‘present institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic meaningful worldview’ will seem to imply that we do have a first nature disposition to be inherently civilised to want to universally wish that everyone have to deal with a fair legal system, that anyway is to the credit of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process, but that is a second-
natured/internalised construct). This explains why there is no need to breach the scientific principle known as the ‘mediocrity principle’, (which says that there are no exceptions/specialness in science), to wrongly say that man is inherently intemporal (as in reality man is a temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism creature in its moral/virtuous-agency); to explain why society tends to improve/progress. Rather, the intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism disposition structurally brings more overall good and hence skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) man in the medium to long perspective towards ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (institutionalised, formalised and internalised)’. This elucidation is important because while internalisation might point to the social good it is important to understand that when dealing with our solipsism at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ we aren’t anymore intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) than temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) going by the ‘mediocrity principle’, and the analysis should take account of this (by not just operating/processing logic but construing emanances-registries-disambiguation with a stranding-dialectics highlighting deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and the distracting mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness. Why talk of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’? This is the underlying notion of ‘a grand theory of psychology’ that has been missing to turn psychology from a paradigm of the human present as modern into a paradigm of across-and-of-all-times! Why? The foundation of a human psychological science should be fundamentally about ‘the contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the human psyche’ (and as
this permits institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure or anthropopsychology or ‘the-anthropological-continuity’, i.e. cumulating from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, based-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively depcrypticism). The present treatment of psychology will seem to imply that all psychology is about psychoanalytic techniques on the modern positive mind, which is rather naïve and uninsightful not just in terms of scope but critically depth of conceptualisation. The answer to this ‘contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the psyche’ is about how the underlying notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation abstractly allows for human-subpotency survival/existence/emancipation/fulfilment/flourishing in existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency’ and assumes a fundamental referencing base in the study of the psyche (noting that by saying ‘notion’ is meant, the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation covers the concepts of temporal preservation (including subknowledging, mimicking)-to-intemporal preservation, just as the notion of good covers the concepts of good-to-bad). Correspondingly, this notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involves ‘mental candoring’ where mental-devising-representation syncs with intrinsic-reality and mental decandoring where mental-devising-representation is a wrong/flawed perverted representation of intrinsic-reality. If we have an anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology, then the continuity as entropy is the exercise of candoring as ‘straightness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought registering/registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of how an intemporalising registry-worldview/dimension perceives itself) and decandoring as ‘perverted/brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought registering/registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of how a prospective intemporalising registry-

Such a transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation, for a novel genuinely universal psychology as anthropopsychology, involved in all successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is profoundly elucidated with associated notions as follows:

- The concept of ‘stranding’/stranding-dialectics is the very drive (in providing insight on the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, i.e. temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries) for such a conceptualisation of anthropopsychology or ‘genuinely universal psychology’. The philosophical conceptualisation of stranding is rather ‘emanances-registries-disambiguation’ which serves to avoid the conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex (instead of rightly aligning by the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase reflex or transversality/logical-incongruence reflex) of ‘intemporal-emanance-registry’ being wrongly attributed to all interlocutors by reflex without ensuring that their emanance-registry is
consciousness mental-devising-representation, and so, by accounting anticipatorily and pre-emptively for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-its-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether a retrospective, present or prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Hence the need for ‘collapsing’/overriding of the transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with prospective transcending/superseding categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and pre-emption as untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, as second-naturing and ‘not as temporal emanances transformation’ to wrongly imply a universal first-nature philosophical intemporal human disposition. For instance, the veridical stranded mental-devising-representation we may have from a positivistic standpoint of the non-positivistic/medieval mind as oblongated/decandored is not recognised by the non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought by its syncretic reflex to be functionally in its mental straightness and candored (even though such a representation is ontologically wrong regarding its mental-devising-representation with respect to the its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism institutionaldisation/intemporalisation). Prospectively, the stranding-dialectics of our own mental-devising-representation by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocripticism as oblongated and decandored at our uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocripticism institutionalisation/unintemporalisation will equally meet with a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase reflex that will not recognise its slantedness and decandored veridicality. The intemporal-emanance-registry disposition is rather about emphasising
institutionalisation/intemoralisation percolation channels as the means and basis for prospective institutionalisation/intemoralisation. This highlights the vacuousness in all transcendental relations wherein the transcended is vacuous with respect to the transcending. Such vacuous transcendental manifestations involves dialectically (the transcended and transcending relation with regards to:) deductive narratives instances, life episodes, life schemes, general being/existential dispositions and the specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications involved with a registry-worldview/dimension; wherein temporal-emanances-registries present-consciousness (in their illusions-of-the-present) perpetually portray candor and straightness but on retrospection are shown to be decandored and oblongated which ontologically implies these are veridically in stranding-dialectics notwithstanding their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored. This is ontologically foundational (more like the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising grounding spirit of arithmetic cannot be undermined in any way possible and you then have the possibility of sound arithmetic thereafter). Stranding-dialectics prevents temporal-emanances-registries teleologies (in the articulation and re-articulation of narratives) by ‘emanance-registry-teleology disjunction/skipping’ to ‘wrongly imply the narratives subsequently articulated and re-articulated are of intemporal-emanance-registry teleology hence wrongly implying candored and straightness, whereas these are in effect totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag iterating narratives of temporal-emanances-registries teleologies’; and so, by way of coring which involves accounting-for-temporal-emanances-registries-defect/*dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) and avoiding
asiding which rather involves glossing-over-temporal-emanances-registries-defect/\textit{dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising}’ (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation). This ensures in effect ‘the stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence’. Ontology is an altogether coherent construct with no room for excepting from coherence, which then simply implies the superseding of any such pretence of an excepting. (For instance, we can be calculating the sum $5 \times 5 + 5 - 5$, and make the mistake to say $5 \times 5 = 24$ but then overlook it and agree together that the answer should be 24 and go on to resolve the entire equation as 24. This type of non-ontological thinking (a non-ontological thinking is also known as a misanalysis or misthinking or misreasoning or mislogic or totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or circularity or ontological-discontiguity, as there is no veridical meaningfulness that exists out of ontology or isn’t in ontological-contiguity) is highly prevalent in the extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} of society as social-aggregation-enabling, the reason we strive to formalise whether in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of laws, institutions, organisations, etc. The basic fact is that the virtue of intemporal emanances constructs cannot accommodate non-ontology since reality doesn’t adjust to man and it is man that adjusts to reality. The stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence implies that an interlocutor’s retrospectively demonstrable narratives miscuing and subsequent perversion-of-reference-of-thought speaks of the real nature of its present and prospective narratives as decandored and oblongated in effect ontologically but that by an illusion-of-the-present reflex as well as for the sake of functioning we tend to represent by
default such miscuing and perversion-of-reference-of-thought meaning as straightness/candored (intemporal) which is not ontologically veridical; in which case the prospective transcended registry-worldview strands such meaningfulness as decandored/oblongated (subknowledging/mimicking) even if the mental-disposition of the transcended registry-worldview is in an illusion-of-the-present straightness/candoring mental-devising-representation of meaning. In other words, stranding-dialectics ensure an affixing of temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-thought teleologic orientations denaturing to the corresponding temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought mindsets in ‘emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetiologisation without letting for a disjunction/skipping into intemporal/straightness-of-mental-devising-representation emanance teleologic orientation, and so, to the point of the temporal-emanances-registries collapsing/overriding (‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with the new prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension. For instance, the mental-devising-representation of a non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought relating to say an accusation of sorcery by an intemporal positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will not be limited to that particular instance but carries an ‘emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetiologisation that speaks to metaphorically—a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation dispositions of that non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought by way of stranding-dialectics from the intemporal positivistic mindset, and upholding such an ‘emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetiologisation for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that collapses/overrides the non-positivistic/medieval
mindset/reference-of-thought cross-generationally (consider the diffusion of positivistic registry-worldview and its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-positivistic registry-worldviews in the 19th and early 20th century). Stranding defines the ‘decandored registry-worldview/dimension dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention/dialectically-primitive) mental-devising-representation’ such as the mental-devising-representation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procryptic, and so, beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness of all these successive registry-worldviews/dimensions which in their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present will tend to wrongly recover/syncretise to project straightness/candoring of mental-devising-representation as intemporality rather than decandored/oblongated mental-devising-representation as temporality. Stranding is validated by the fact that transcendent/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation speaks of an ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation constraint/second-naturing’ and ‘not temporal emanances/first-nature transformation’; and this idea is so foundational that it is beyond-and-supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjests the consciousness-awareness-teleology of temporal-emanances-registries such that ‘they are not called upon in argumentation’, just as we are not consciously called upon to establish whether blood flows in our body, as it is a preceding/superseding truth that supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjests our thinking or not of it! Thus stranding-dialectics is rather intemporally/ontologically conceptualised for its validation and integration in the survival-and-flourishing imbued
institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels (formalisms and internalisations) mechanism with the implied ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and positive-opportunism as ontological entrapment, with no temporal-emanances-registries first-nature-or-philosophical-level-validation but rather second-natured-or-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-level-validation. At which point stranding-dialectics articulates temporal-emanances-registries teleologies orientations as ‘subknowledging/mimicking/mental-perversions/slantedness manifestations at that ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, i.e. the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of temporal-emanances-registries undermining the very ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ supposedly they are supposed to uphold). Ultimately and in the bigger picture, the teleology for ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia stranding-dialectics is about attaining cross-generational transcendence with corresponding dialectical and psychoanalytic existential reorientations (‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and it is well beyond the idea of just a ‘structural/paradigmatic argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally (based-on-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-the-registry-worldview/dimension) in a registry-worldview/dimension that is defective/‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ of its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, in the first place. Ontology being the intemporal-emanance-registry, the exercise of ‘directing convincing as logical processing/operation’ to temporal-emanances-registries is inherently unwarranted and is rather totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-
dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase as it wrongly implies that temporal emanances
perversion-of-reference-of-thought of their dimension’s/registry worldview’s categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation is mentally sound, rather what is implied is the prospective
intemporality preserving categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with pertinence being about
‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards the
‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’ with the new intemporal
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology [for instance, the positive (intemporal
mind) will not engage in a direct logical convincing with the non-positivistic/medieval mind
as this just validates to the non-positivistic/medieval disposition that its non-
positivistic/medieval relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology is sound such that it goes on
to operate/process logic by totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag non-positivism/medievalism
meaningfulness categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Rather the positivistic
mindset/reference-of-thought will project the new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of
positivism (as rational-empiricism/positivising basis of reasoning) through positivism
institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels highlighting, in the bigger scheme
of things, the relative efficiency and positive-opportunism of a positivism-based rule of law,
social organisation, polity, nation-building, etc. based on positivism axioms and which
inherent effectiveness and supersedingness/transcendence breaks the non-
positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought (which are not rational-
empirical/positivising and tend to essences, alchemic-logic, sorcery constructs, etc.) with its
defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation.] This takes an utterly impersonal form (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) which allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. The ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ refers to the counter-intuition from a registry-worldview/dimension perspective in not representing itself as stranded (decandored or oblongated or in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness when it is demonstrated that it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought as perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and rather syncretises in operating those same categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation prospectively; while that same registry-worldview/dimension intuitively recognises that a prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation as stranded is ontologically veridical as the prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension subknowledges/mimics and self-reference-syncretises it’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The reason for the human ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ is that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are fundamental and constitutive functional elements of its existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and hence the complex when totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present. But then, if such a complex is to stand, the transcendental exercise by which man left the cave-to-so-called-modern-man wouldn’t have happened, and any registry-worldview/dimension (retrospective, present, prospective) that fails its own stranding-dialectics/elucidation-and-superseding-of-its-subknowledge/mimicking-and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising to allow for prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/institutional-recompose/memetic-reordering for transcendence-as-the-grander-possibility-for-human-survival-and-flourishing is obviously failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct its ‘own homework’ for the bigger picture in the human species survival-and-flourishing scheme, notwithstanding it is at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose institutionalisation process!

As an anthropopsychological disposition, rational-realism as deprocripticism just like all successive transcendences in emphasising increasing realism counter-intuitively to a naïve temporal take is actually a ‘positive-minded/well-meaning disposition with respect to man/the-human-species’ with the idea that ‘it is better working with what intemporally/ontologically is (that is, the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) to achieve the best intellectual and moral outcome for man’ than ‘working with what-one-wishes’ from a wrong temporal/impression-driven construal’. The idea of understanding the ontology of human temporal mental defect is not to ‘idle’ in a temporal circularity that defeats-and-debase the grandor of a universal/intemporal projection but rather strives to better stir man towards the intemporal-and-ontological as virtue, an exercise which while of ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ with regards to human temporality wouldn’t however acquiesce to the naïve disconcertment that takes the ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality for temporal correctness towards which the intemporal disposition is definitely intransigent and uncompromising for effective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Such a
rational-realism as deprocrypticism disposition views the fundamental anthropopsychology drive for transcendence which involves stranding-dialectics for transcendence by decandoring/oblongating (representation of subknowledging/mimicking/perverting and corresponding totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) on the basis of the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries rationally, and ontologically represents the social-construct (as validated by the shifting relation of social conventioning and purist ontology) as being in effect ‘a highly cohesive paradigm’ at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation but ‘a poorly cohesive extirpatory paradigm’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold. The notion of the social-construct as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm is actually an aspirational ideal and reference for ‘human intemporal projection towards it’ but it isn’t ontologically veridical by the inherent solipsistic human nature due to a temporal-to-intemporal emanance-registries human reality, and thus the need for institutionalisation to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards intemporal/preservation as human second-naturing. This elucidation is vital in pointing out that the teleology of rational-realism as deprocrypticism is not to strive for the wrong notion of human intemporal/ontological ‘congruence’ with respect to knowledge and virtue (as human emanances registries are not congruent, as thus the idea of nested-congruence of the intemporal-emanance-registry with temporal-emanances-registries will compromise intemporality, and hence compromise ontology), but rather to aspire for a transversality/logical-incongruence of human intemporal-emanance-registry with respect to temporal-emanances-registries (as this upholds and doesn’t compromise the ontological veridicality in intemporal-emanance-registry projection). That is,
knowledge notionalisation involving grasping and understanding both the ignorances/temporal-dispositions and ideals to better skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards idealism as the fulsome ontology, and not failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to understand or overlooking the ignorances/temporal-dispositions as the temporal on the wrong basis that all that matters is the ideal as intemporal. Furthermore, human temporal dispositions tendency to pervert/dement/subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/mimick-and-syncretise at uninstitutionalised-threshold with the dialectical consequence of the development of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (institutionalisations) validates the appropriateness of striving rather for transversality/logical-incongruence and not nested-congruence to uphold intemporality, and hence a complete ontology. To put it in other terms, for instance, the transversality of ‘keeping the faith’ only in the intrinsic operation of rules of arithmetic (transversality/logical-incongruence among interlocutors, in principle or notionally, so that at all times it is always about the intrinsic reality of the arithmetic and not the agreement-disagreement of any human interlocutors as we are all mortals and likely to corrupt such intemporal rules with our mortality out of an intemporal frame of reference that is transcendental-enabling) is vital to preserving ‘ontological arithmetic’ as transcendental-enabling, whereas if the notion of arithmetic calculations was to involve social-and-temporal-trading with other humans (interlocutors logical nested-congruence) instead of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal exercise, it is obvious that down the line the notion of ‘ontological arithmetic’ will sooner or later be corrupted and/or degraded as more likely than not the intemporality/purity of mathematics will be compromised to human mortals stakes of social-and-temporal-trading as social-aggregation-enabling, and so as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-

* It should be noted that in the stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence dialecticism of transcendence involving the transcended and the transcending dimensions, the terms highlighting the transcended dimension like decandored, oblongated, dialectically-out-of-phasing/dialectically-primitive, etc. do not carry the same connotation as a shallower temporal analysis intradimensional to the transcended dimension. The idea is not to idle in articulating meaningfulness within the dimension in need of transcendence. For instance, a positive mind’s articulation of defective meaningfulness in non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension is not to ‘idle’ by relating and staking such meaningful articulation in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the non-positivistic/medieval world sense of meaningful purposefulness but rather to project a positivistic worldview’s transcendentual meaningful purposefulness. In that sense, actually for the social scientist and philosopher words like dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention, primitive, decandored, perverted don’t carry the ordinary and temporal connotations of stigmatising under a temporal extirpatory paradigm. Rather, these are critical and actively sought after notions that provide the ‘dialectical backdrop’ for enabling prospective transcendence by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. The idea is that these notions are veridically dialectical notions that apply in all transcendences unlike a simplistic ‘history fixating conceptualisation’ will have. In other words, our non-positivism/medievalism ancestors’ possibility of being-represented/mental-
devising-representation as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention/dialectically-primitive) is the opportunity for the contrastive construction of a superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension that brought about the relative virtue in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension of their great-grandchildren today. That is rather the uninhibited/decomplexified and forward-looking perspective imbued in a deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation with respect to procrypticism. In the bigger picture, identifying inherent virtue in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process on the basis that humans of all generations (times and epochs) are ‘capacity-wise same’ as per temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism going by a paradigm of mentation-capacity (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, but for the semblance of the superiority of latter registry-worldviews/dimensions which is nothing but the result of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process. Post-convergence equally involves articulating the possibility for the supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry over temporal-emanances-registries as intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and so, involving temporal and intemporal ‘emanances registries accountability’ beyond an ‘idle temporal-dispositions stigmatisation’. In that spirit, it can be reasoned that the intradimensional ‘ontological blindspot’ in human mental-devising-representation [wherein temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought by miscuing, and in subsequent derivation of disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-logic of temporal-emanances-registries perversions/defects
of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing], actually points to a decandored/slantedness of the temporal-emanances-registries (and not candored/straightness), and is definitional of all registry-worldviews/dimensions perversion-of-reference-of-thought whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, as these are in ontological-decadence-and-derived-ontological decadence, i.e. not veridical but perverted and requiring transcendence. This basically undermines the idea that any such registry-worldview/dimension temporal-emanances-registries dispositions should be encouraged to be ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising in meaning’ in a logical engagement with it from an intemporal/ontological perspective (of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence), as it is rather in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought of its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Instead this requires a transversality/logical-incongruence (due to the dialectically-out-of-phasing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementedness with regards to the veridical ontology of temporal emanances registries); wherein the intemporal-emanance-registry (which is ontological) doesn’t recognise nor acquiesce to the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape and subsequent registry elements of implied-profile-or-implied-stature, implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology projected by the temporal-emanances-registries, but rather advances that there is perversion-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of procrypticism/emanant-wrong-or-demented-shades-of-the-real perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as a structural/paradigmatic human-social-cross-sectional resolution for the virtues of deprocrypticism and superseding of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This construal is placed on a solid firmament (that is able to supplant any intradimensional illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation) by the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for emanances-registries-disambiguation articulation)’ that demonstrably oblongates/decandors temporal-emanances-registries as it articulates the dialecticism of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence (transcending-dimension/organicalism and transcended-dimension/mechanicalism), on the validity of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology. Logic and logical-congruence is ontologically valid only as an after-transcendence exercise when through the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels, the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcending-registry-worldview/dimension in organicalism is institutionalised/intemporalised by positive-opportunism with the induced social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena (of both the perversion-of-reference-of-thought transcended registry-worldview/dimension and the discovered ontological-veridicality of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension), untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (of transcended registry-worldview/dimension, from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension), registering/stranding (of transcended registry-worldview/dimension
perversion-of-reference-of-thought as backdrop for prospective transcendence), and intemporal superseding of the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic (as of temporal-emanances-registries disambiguation by transversality/logical-incongruence for cross-generational ‘habituation’ of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension in organicalism); defining the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure process.


* It should be noted that this element of deconstructed meaningfulness is obviously reflected in the articulation of this paper itself in a creative, referential and dynamic grasp of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness-and-teleology in a rather ephemeral subject, the social. In this regard, the hermeneutic exercise originates from an even more wildly idiosyncratic (but personal incommunicable) reflexive process initiated rather spontaneously by the author a few years back which has formed the backdrop for this ‘rather relatively benign idiosyncrasy’ in this paper as the reader may come across and is the explanation for many of the author’s insights. It is this mechanism of deconstructing meaningfulness exhaustively in search of an idiosyncratic but profound philosophical and creative insight that allows the hermeneutic design in a ‘continuous meaningfulness reshuffling in the quest for veracity/ontological-pertinence’ analogous to a twisty puzzle cube exercise in order to infer and arrive at a profoundly explanatory hermeneutic insight extending to the possibility of a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of depocrypticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of, as well as human emancipation over, procrypticism). Such post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-thought of renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of transdimensional-meaning-and-meaningfulness/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising in various shades is just as critical for the necessary reconstitutive insight (deconstruction) that can be
highly evasive and difficult to fully grasp at different registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningful-references or rather dialectically successive existentialisms.


* The underlying idea behind the circular dialectical dynamism of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in relation to mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is that the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is rather an
existentially naïve miscuing (with subsequent disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi

To further elucidate, the underlying idea of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking) holds that ‘critically what matters with respect to ontology and virtue is simply and completely intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective), and holds that other and subsequent notions are as pertinent as they are intemporally-preservational and where those same supposed notions social use was not intemporally-preservational but perverted/subknowledged/mimicked/confounded, their ontological and virtuous validity is nullified; as it is their relay of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation without ontological-discontiguity/ontological-decadence/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts/’non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention’ that matters.’

What’s the meaning of being good-natured/kind/humble/responsible/friendly/sociable/etc. in a subknowledging or perverted or corrupt social-setup or a philosophically-underdeveloped but presumptuous meaningful context (H.G. Well’s country of the blind paradigm, for instance), or worst still in degraded social situations that may be mobbish or genocidal,
wherein by our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising we apparently demonstrate such qualities but ontologically we aren’t veridically intemporal-preservational? And even more pertinent, what will those same qualities mean at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, with their evolving categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology wherein prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-intempestical-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought? The only answer that cuts it in all ways, is inevitably intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism (mentation-capacity-wise, as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, more than just an abstraction as it carries the notion of a contiguous existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinement as post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting in dialectical transformation as of prospective reference-of-thought tied to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Even the idea of morality as being construed as of a sense of morality is vague self-referencing, as it is rather virtue as of knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation of superseding–oneness-of-ontology enabling the possibility of the institutionalisation process of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions that is truly of ontological relevance. The idea of conceptualising morality out of such ontology-driven basis is more or less delusional however ‘good-natured’ when we consider that even a community of miscreants will have to construe of a semblance however perverted of moral conceptualisation that allows for individuals self-preservation and only of a degree of variance however big such a variance is perceived with supposed grander moral
prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought (as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) in dementing and decentering the prior-as-present/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought), as validated by existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Critically, for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of an intemporal synopsising depth of analysis what is decisive with regards to a postlogism manifestation is the grasp of the reality of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for a postlogism manifestation; and just as we can appreciate that the organic-knowledge depth of base-institutionalisation is what is required as resolution for postlogism manifestations in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, likewise that of universalisation as resolution with postlogism manifestations in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, that of positivism as resolution with postlogism manifestations in universalisation–non-positivism/procrypticism, the organic-knowledge depth of deprocrypticism is what is required as resolution for postlogism manifestations in positivism–procriptism. On this basis distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-construal technique of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation involves starting out not with the specific postlogism construal but rather implying a construal dementing and decentering the more fundamental issue of the registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (whether as of ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-or-failing-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of recurrent-utter
reference-of-thought; likewise our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘not the profound ontologically-veridical meaningful-frame’ in which an issue of its corresponding postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy is resolved but rather its state of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is prospectively construed from deprocrypticism as dementing and decentered by its procrypticism/’disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology, implying the more fundamental-and-transversal-and-synergistic need is for our psychoanalytic-unshackling for totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus enabling the attainment of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation required for supratransversality as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that is transversally structural/paradigmatic for the resolution not only of the positivism–procrypticism postlogism as psychopathy and social-psychopathy but basically all its ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought predicated temporal-phenomena construed as positivism–procrypticism vices-and-impediments. (It is important to grasp that tenseness-of-expressions made temporally/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are just ‘vague candoring’ that are ontologically-empty and non-veridical by inherent-and-tautological ontological precedence of the prospective/transcending/superseding deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the prior/transcended/superseded positivism–procrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as what is precedingly
warranted is the dementing and decentering of positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought beyond its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought; and this idea we can grasp from our vantage position with regards to a non-positivism/medieval setup striving to uphold its reference-of-thought psychologism which we understand is prospectively a relative ontological-incomplete-reference-of-thought, however the bigger issue difficult for us to envisage is rather in placing our own minds as not in a dialectically-thinking and centered but rather a dementing and decentered position, as implying the need for prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising which is prospectively dialectically-thinking and centered). Distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as such basically by definition dismisses ‘the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relatively ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising’ as circularly endemising/enculturating its reference-of-thought defect or perversion-of-reference-of-thought, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought and so structurally/paradigmatically even before an effective reference-of-thought issue of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance as of temporal-to-intemporal thresholds (i.e. structurally/paradigmatically being non-positivism/medievalism of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising by definition means incapable of contending as of positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘third-level-pseudo-conflation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising for
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising by definition dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring positivising/rational-empiricism in want of positivism apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, as the non-universalising of the base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising by definition dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring universalisation in want of universalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, and as the non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition/failing-rule-making as impulsive-accidented-haphazard recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising by definition dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring rule-making in want for base-institutionalisation apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising.

The reason behind this conclusion is that in all registry-worldviews/dimensions apart from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, the reference-of-thought ‘fundamentally carries an underlying defect of ontological-incompleteness’ irrespective of the arising of a reference-of-thought incidental issue as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance in the very first place and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, that makes it fundamentally ontologically unsound; and as highlighted before the non-positivism/medieval state of being superstitious and non-positivistic is an underlying foundational problem (as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect) ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology just as our procrypticism state of
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly upholds procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising). For instance and as stated before, such a statement and mental-disposition of the type Socrates or Rousseau by their relative asceticism as of nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought as compared to others of their statuses (conjugated as of various shades of temporal teleologically-degraded synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychologism) in their respective social-setups from a non-transcendental as of its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective by its ‘temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought’ is rather circularly impervious and will not recognise any dissociation between such a mental-projection/psychologism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the mental-projection/psychologism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of Socrates or Rousseau in construing the grander notion of social aetiologising/ontological-escalation as of a transcendent-perspective (as of a teleologically-elevated intemporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychologism contrasted to such teleologically-degraded shades-of-temporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology). This elucidation is important because an insightful storied-construct with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy and the overall ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of procrypticism relative to prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will fundamentally be based on such contrastive mental-projections/psychologisms as of non-transcendental as totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective and the primacy of transcendent-perspective (inherently so because the state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought precedes and supersedes the state

- articulating a dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (mentally sound) deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking of the intemporal-emanance-registry as a coherent ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness which is in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-
thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective), and is veridically ‘the reference-of-thought-or-contending-reference of thought’,


- And so, from the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions, as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, wherein temporal-emanances-registries existentially are stranded-as decandored/oblongated-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as of a retrospective registry-worldview/dimension which is dementing/subknowledging/mimicking/dialectically-out-of-phase-(with-the-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) on the one hand, and the intemporal-emanance-registry existentially stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, in deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-

- And so, upholding the perpetual post-convergence/supersedingness of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation along the continual limitation of uninstitutionalised-threshold, and which continual superseding/transcendence is behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.

misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and conjugation with it perversion-of-reference-of-thought (which is rather integrating-and-conjugating the psychopathic non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing-postlogism), to the same registry as the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and thus wrongly implying a logical contention; instead of the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) rather reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) both the psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and the temporal-emanances-registries mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness integration and its conjugating/deriving of the psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as ‘subknowledging/mimicking manifestations of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ which are the subject of logical contention; thus avoiding to wrongly validate the subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising of the elements of registry (that is, the implied implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) and wrongly imply their logical contention. Taken to the bigger registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level, this points to a registry-worldview/dimension derived-perversion state of temporal-emanances-registries at the present uninstitutionalised-threshold involving the

Without ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness disposition the possibility for transcendence from perversion-of-reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to prospective ones which are intemporal-preservational, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process will not occur and be regenerative, as the circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mental-dispositions rather strives to arrive at an equilibrium at the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a registry-worldview/dimension whether these are intemporal-preservational or not, hence have little transcendental capacity. Going by an ‘ontologically contiguous comparison’ with reference to Arithmetic where a condition was to cause a character to resolve additionality as 1+3=5, 2+5=8, 5+6=12, etc., the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) of additionality with regards to this character will always involve a of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that subtracts 1 from the results of that character’s operations of additions (as the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring for upholding existential-reality), and the usual principles of additionality (its traditional categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of simply summing directly) will be existentially rendered null and void in order to allow for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Now supposed such a framework (reference-of-thought) for resolving Arithmetic calculations now involves the contribution of 6 characters
working in collaboration with each contributing their specific arithmetic principle role while
taking cognisance of the others roles in ‘resolving arithmetic calculations’ (as ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so taking into account the prior mentioned character with its defect of additionality; wherein such a framework is BODMAS-based with character B working on brackets operations, character O working on order operations, character D working on division operations, character M working on multiplication operations, the priorly mentioned character A working on addition operations and character S working on subtraction operations, and so (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) setup for resolving arithmetic calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought setup). Naturally, the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as the usual BODMAS Arithmetic rules) should apply but this is no longer existentially the case in this instance, where the equation is for instance $7(\sqrt{64+3}-1) - (6+4-2)/2$. Going by the natural arithmetic rules for BODMAS, the equation will be resolved first with the brackets, and within the brackets for the first brackets the order operation is first carried out, that is, $\sqrt{64}=8$ and then addition $8+3=11$, then subtraction $11-1=10$. For the second brackets, addition as $6+4=10$, then subtraction as $10-2=8$. The division operation then follows with the second brackets result as $8/2=4$. Then the multiplication operation with the first brackets result as $7\times10=70$. Finally, comes the subtraction with $70-4=66$ as the final answer that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence). But then, in this particular case where character A (Addition) operation of additionality is perverted as stated above as a result of its condition, the equation will resolve as $\sqrt{64}=8$, $8+3=12$, $12-1=11$, for the first brackets, and $6+4=11$, $11-2=9$, for the second brackets. The division operation with the second brackets yields $9/2=4.5$, and the multiplication operation with the first brackets yields $7\times11=77$. Finally, subtracting both brackets gives $77-4.5=72.5$ as the final result which
is ontologically wrong (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective), and points to the fact that all the 6 BODMAS characters, not only A (Addition) the additionality defect character have failed ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as of their ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective), as categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation are not by themselves the definitive basis for ontology/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality as these are only as pertinent as they are ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous/contextually-contiguous (in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence). This ontological state with respect to all the characters registries (not only A) is known as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) precedes projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology nothing more but human mental inventions (construed by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) for the sake of achieving ontology/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, and pertinent in that regard only when not-failing/upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication. Hence the
notion of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and postdication construes intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as superseding/preceding over projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (notwithstanding their traditional personhoods-and-socialhood-formation mental-dispositions anchored on projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) In which case the resolution for the Arithmetic equation (supposedly where A, Addition, is unamendable due to a condition), will involve the other characters taking cognisance of A’s (Addition’s) condition and adhere to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation over projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (as the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness over A’s induced dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought). Thus the new categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation deployed with respect to resolving calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will integrate the notion that additionality requires subtracting 1 from its results as well as taking cognisance that other characters will be perverted in their operation if they do not take cognisance of A’s
(Addition’s) condition and subtract 1 from it before their operation (whether by unconsciously by ignorance, expediently by affordability, and consciously by opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). For instance, B (Brackets) is still in a position to articulate a post-convergence ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) by factoring in all the defects as follows: by reverting all other characters operation up to the point they had to deal with A (Addition) and subtracting 1 from the results at these points before allowing the other characters operations, which then yields the right result. That is $77\div 7=11$ and $4.5\times 2=9$ as reverting back, then $11-1=10$ and $9-1=8$ to factor in A’s (Addition’s) additionality defect to yield the results of the two brackets. Before then letting back the division and multiplication operations for both brackets respectively, giving $8\div 2=4$ and $7\times 10=70$. Finally $70-4=66$, giving the final result that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence). So this approach is the new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontologically-veridical/of-intrinsic-reality that B should be operating. In the bigger scheme of things, this explains institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation with respect to an animal that is always bound to subknowledging/mimicking-and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising by the very fundamental veridicality of its temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions nature. But then, this being an uninstitutionalised-threshold, B going by the human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions at uninstitutionalised-threshold may just as well due to there being ‘no institutionalisation constraining’ (i.e., no social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, no internal-
contradiction induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, no dementing of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and no intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality inducing corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values), choose to act because of one temporal reason or the other whether by ignorance of the need for this new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-(for-intemporal-preservation-entropy) or affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (i.e., induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism); and so, fail to follow the latter categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are intemporally-preservational. That is, choosing circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct the possibility of transcendence. That being the case, this doesn’t in anyway undermine the intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought (in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence) of the above equation as being equal to 66 with the need for new requisite categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation not only for this particular circumstance of the BODMAS characters but all such circumstances that may arise as a perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought thus requiring ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of all such temporal-emanances-registries dispositions. It further speaks of how B will likely act in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (of uninstitutionalised-
threshold, where the constraining elements of institutionalisation are not available i.e. social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, internal-contradiction induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, stranding-dialectics the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values), thence defining the given temporal-emanance-registry of B aetiologisation/ontological-escalation to be accounted for from similar individuations in such situations as a registry-worldview/dimension problem, in order to ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontology. In the bigger scheme of things, this calls for a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation articulation that supersedes/overrides such a temporal dynamism of perversion-of-reference-of-thought dispositions at various social roles going from A’s condition, and the potential overlooking of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation dispositions by all the other characters (B, O, D, M and S). Underlying such an intemporal orientation is the idea that fundamentally the conjugation of such an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics and subsequent conjugation as with B above to the temporal-emanances-registries of a registry-worldview/dimension speaks fundamentally of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of that registry-worldview/dimension, reflected/perspectivated by the marginal perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect of its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation with the prior registry-worldview/dimension now dementing or stranded-as-rightfully-
oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, with a prospective institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the new straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics doesn’t confuse appropriateness of the prior categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for the prior institutionalisation as implying the prior mental-devising-representation is appropriate for prospective institutionalisation as it needs to undergo its own requisite ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to enable and regenerate intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This by itself explains why the different registry-worldviews/dimensions are seemingly demented with respect to one another (from the prospective perspectives), and not that we are talking about different species of humans, as transcendentalism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is the foundational concept retrospectively, presently and prospectively; even though by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage, all dimensions, and not only ours, tend to think of themselves as definitely mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with no uninstitutionalised-threshold which is obviously fallacious. The reason for this is that ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (as mental straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) starts-and-ends/is-sound at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation where the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy/configuity is in
ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective). Where instead such categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation is in ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity/non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference), it is ‘dementation’ that is occurring (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase). This is further compounded by syncretising-denyment, that is, wrongful upholding and projection of the stranding-as-mentally-straight-and-candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase mental-devising-representation at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation unto the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold that requires new mentation capacity, and this is not ontologically consistent and fundamentally undermines and overlook the idea of an insight about a prospective transcendence with the present registry-worldview corresponding as the superseded perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension. Thus but for the inherent difficulty of living and experiencing the effective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialism across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising ‘beyond a one registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness’ like ours is perfectly possible in garnering a more profound and informed insight on human nature whether presently, retrospectively to prospectively. In the bigger scheme of things, just as logic can only be grounded on coherent and concrete categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology based articulations for its ontological effectiveness and veridicality, human ontological transcendental possibilities arise from human individuations that correspond to the appropriate intemporal-projecting
existential becoming allowing for such ontological possibilities, and the latter is enabled by apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as it ‘reasons’ beyond the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology within just a given registry-worldview/dimension as if it were the absolute mental-devising-representation with respect to intrinsic-reality, and instead hold that transdimensional/transcendental (unlike ordinary meaning which reasons only on intradimensional categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is what brings us closer to absolute mental-devising-representation with respect to intrinsic-reality as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

Mementism as suprastructural-meaningfulness is able to do that because it can proxy ontological-normalcy in a dynamic dialectical juxtapositioning/doppler-thinking of ‘dialectically-thinking mental-devising-representation’ and ‘dialectically-dementing mental-devising-representation’ from successive ontological dialectical-moments of human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness} to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation} behind the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, wherein the dialectically transcending/superseding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposition of relatively deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation} is the shifted reference-of-thought (dialectically-in-phase) and is thus of ‘dialectically-thinking mental-devising-representation’ as it is in (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity while the prior transcended/superseded institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposition of relatively shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness} is no longer the reference-of-thought (dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive) and is thus of ‘dialectically-dementing mental-devising-representation’ as it is in ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity; thus transcendentally coming into grips with a shifting but more
and more profound notion of reference-of-thought (in-phasing) and corresponding ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as enabled by ontological-normalcy or post-convergence.

The conceptual pertinence in this Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison can be rearticulated as follows for greater clarity. As previously highlighted the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood, involves a child psychopath who is dysfunctional as its subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is relatively transparent to interlocutors and it induces a ‘delirious effect’ given that it hasn’t yet maturated, is not yet indirect, is not yet spatialising, is not yet credulous and is not yet crafty in ‘its postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’; conditions which it increasingly attains from adolescence to adulthood with a corresponding inducing of the development of social psychopathy as its psychopathy conjugates/inflects/gets-mimicked with the temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously with affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, in an absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic eliciting social psychopathy involving moving from various non-veridical/hollow sets-of-postlogical-‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, to others and from different sets of interlocutors to others. It is obvious that A’s condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation disposition as an adult psychopath isn’t systematic with every interlocutor but rather it arises only in the face of perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction-targets and furthermore the profoundness of the postlogism-slantedness manifestation is directly related to the gravity of
the perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction the situation and how the ‘evolving social psychopathy situation permits’. Hence the notion of A having an absolute condition wherein it increments additionality by 1 is rather an absolute ideal conceptualisation, as in reality it is a question of degree and highly circumscribed with the adult psychopath who needs to have a postlogical-equilibrium that can be socially-functional-and-accordant, unlike the dysfunctional child psychopath. This comparison equally articulates the nature of uninstitutionalisations. Consider B (together with the other BODMAS characters) in the instance where despite A’s conditions they were to stick to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology thus effectively producing the wrong result 72.5 for the particular equation which is not intemporal preservational (not ontologically post-convergence) and likewise for all other equation where A’s condition applies, we’ll then be talking about an uninstitutionalised-threshold. The implication is that the registry-worldview/dimension then loses its qualification as being intemporally-preservational, and the psychological tool that is then elicited (from a prospective and new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as articulated with the arithmetic technique that corrected the equation result from 72.5 to 66 by adjusting for A’s condition which is now the reference-of-thought or veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference/ontologically-veridical/ontological-contiguity registry-worldview/dimension) is known as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics. Even though going by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, the superseded registry-worldview/dimension will still wrongfully strive for a mental-devising-representation at that uninstitutionalised-threshold of ‘ontological-thinking (not demented)’/stranding-as-wrongfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is ontologically wrong, just as all totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldviews/dimensions do at their uninstitutionalised-threshold. For instance, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation mindset/reference-of-thought doesn’t think of itself that way but rather as an nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-ontological-aesthetic-tracing with respect to its hollow-staging-and-performance or apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing, and such a representation of its mentation is the invention/mental-devising-representation of the base-institutionalisation mindset by its better ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, likewise with ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively with procrypticism and deprocrypticism, we will certainly be hardly pre-inclined to acquiesce to a demented mental-devising-representation of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the denaturing of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness. This insights perfectly highlight that our psychological nature is actually about mental-devising-representation which is meant to serve notionally the pertinence of supposed ontological articulations with respect to intrinsic reality, and it doesn’t has any end to itself but for such dialectical readjustments to ontological-veridicality as ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with regards to an intemporal-preservational registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and with superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions which are not intemporal-
preservational at their uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘dialectically-dementing’/oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase explaining the nature of mental-devising-representation of all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures whether from the perspective of a retrospect, our present or prospective point-of-reference. Another aspect highlighted by the Arithmetic equation comparison is with respect to the appropriateness and defects of meaningful references with respect to ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. The comparison highlights 3 transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing pedestals of meaningfulness. Firstly, A’s condition with respect to additionality with the idea that it is bound to fail any arithmetic calculation involving additionality. Thus the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal is in ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity/non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference). This is effectively the pedestalled state of psychopathic postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/’hollow-constituting’-as-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as of vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging inducing existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/non-veridical-hollow-narratives to be reflected/perspectivated from the intemporal/ontological angle as dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging and so in syncretising-denial or absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, from one set-of-postlogical-narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the other, in line with its
‘short cut’ mental relation to meaningfulness as extrinsic-attribution (the temporal eliciting of the temporality of others is the sufficient basis for getting one’s way) as opposed to intrinsic-attribution wherein the intrinsic ontological-veridicality of meaning is the complete and sufficient basis for its pertinence and upholding. This subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation disposition points out that the actual and given meaningfulness being subknowledged/pervertedly-represented is ontologically-veridical both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and logic-wise (the normal arithmetic operation of the BODMAS equation) as it is intemporally preservational and thus ontologically-veridical/reference-of-thought/ontological-contiguity. It is this pedestal that is the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, organic as it is both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and logic-wise striving for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. It is the superseding and intemporal pedestal for articulating ontological meaningfulness (intrinsic-attribution). The third pedestal as demonstrated involves the integrating and totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by temporal-emanances-registries both unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) with A’s condition/sub-knowledging impulse as if it was ontologically veridical, and obviously leading to the wrong result thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. In the case with B it involved resolving the Arithmetic equation as if A’s condition was appropriate resulting in 72.5 which is ontologically-decadent-integration rather than 66 which is ontologically
veridical. This is the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemtemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness pedestal, as registry-wise it is not striving for intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and so fundamentally its logical-contention is voided (as registry precedes and defines logical pertinence), such that such a disposition that integrates subknowledgeing-or-mimicking-impulse/impulsive-dementation registry-worldview-wise/dimensional-wise speaks of the registry-worldview/dimension as in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. The fourth meaningful reference is actually a variance of the given deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-as-confoundedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal which is registry-wise and logic-wise pertinent. It is about the intellectual and virtue driven aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as per this paper aim and other studies) in grasping the human ontological implications and articulating a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework construct for the possibility of a conceptual insight and structural/paradigmatic resolution with regards to (at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level) procrypticism/the-reality-of-human-temporal-to-intemtemporal-emanances-registries-with-consequential-positivistic-meaningfulness-perversion/emanant-wrong-or-demented-shades-of-the-real, resolved by deprocrypticism. Comparatively, for instance, articulating new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to resolve the uninstitutionalised-threshold from 72.5 to the ontologically-veridical 66, and so not only with regards to the specific but as a structural/paradigmatic institutionalisation/intemtemporalisation for perpetuating intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This pedestalled articulation
pedestal. Ontologically-speaking, a temporal naivety with regards to psychopath and its protraction as social psychopathy is that going by the dynamism of its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge towards ‘extrinsic-attribution’ (the eliciting of the temporality of others is the sufficient basis for getting one’s way), is that the number of people ‘convinced’ by perverted extrinsic-attribution involving social-and-temporal-trading can have any bearing to the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality in any way. While temporally-speaking, psychopathic situations often lead to a-country-of-the-blind-and-the-one-eye kind of scenario, wherein a thousand blinds may strive to convention out the one-eye, but then it wouldn’t still cut it, ontologically-speaking. (Certainly, it is equally and very possible that if such a one-eye isn’t beholden to a ‘sense of intemporality’ and it is rather temporally-inclined, it might equally take the easier route of reasoning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of country-of-the-blind temporality whether with respect to temporally outdoing or undermining the phenomena by acting in a manner that is overall of a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology nature. But that will still be temporality and the notion of an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of intemporality will no more be better advanced. Further beyond and more than just with respect to one case of psychopathy but as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence construing the universal human social phenomena of psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism across space and time together with the bigger insight of grasping human nature and the overall possibilities thereof. Insightfully, as well it won’t be surprising that such a universal projection will possibly meet with a more protracted-and-protracting psychopathy and social psychopathy manifestation going by overall human temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition existential-form-factor as varied temporal dispositions come into the frame and are elicited, just as an intemporal projection within a non-positivistic/medieval setup aspiring for a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension-level resolutive construal of their corresponding postlogism-
as-of-non-conviction like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and which is not palliative to a
given situation will equally elicit a social protractedness of the phenomenon as varied
temporal dispositions come into the frame and are equally elicited. But then that is an
inevitability with respect to the more critical universal projection low-life purposefulness in
both meaningful-frameworks). Rather this then points to the nature of postlogical perversions-of-reference-of-thought with temporal-emanances-registries; (unconsciously) ignorance and
(consciously) other temporal-emanances-registries dispositions of
affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Ontologically, it is then
the subject of contention and aetio-logisation/ontological-escalation of the
deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking
(organialism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-confederation/longness-
of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, both in registry and registry-
worldview terms as it is reflected/perspectivated as ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation stranding-dialectics. The critical reason for this is that the intemporal-emanance-
registry is rather inclined to be abject about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation as the complete and sufficient stand for knowledge and virtue
with anything else being denaturing much in parallel as intrinsic-reality transcendental-
enabling doesn’t accommodate human temporality, and so will not even entertain involving
in anyway with social-and-temporal-trading exercise which is non-ontological (since it is
fundamentally a perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and has nothing
to do with issues of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of
incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-
This can further be elucidated analysing perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a different nature in a superseded registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension which should provide an even greater insight analysing from our present perspective, and we can then comparatively project this with respect to deprocrypticism and procrypticism. For instance, accusations of witchcraft in non-positivistic/medieval societies are ontologically about subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing based on the fact that such societies didn’t develop and integrate notions of empirical and rational cause-and-effect positivistic ideas as categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation (a mentation-capacity that further furthers the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as present day positivistic registry-worldview), as it universally informs the present positivistic worldview and thus the impossibility to sound intelligible in case such an accusation of witchcraft is made today. So structurally, the non-positivism/medievalism society is shaped-and-inclined to integrate and entertain phantasmagorical notions of someone being accused as a witch or sorcerer. We can garner a similar insight just as with the disambiguation of the emanances-registries pedestals above, where supposed an intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought who is in a non-positivism/medievalism society was to be accused of witchcraft by someone inclined to accuse people of witchcraft (because of a pathological-condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation) and who obviously is wrong, as we know today that the notion of witchcraft is ontologically unsound and ridiculous as the ability to perform magic and the like by anyone cannot be demonstrated veridically. The disposition to accuse people of witchcraft will be the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal. The disposition to entertain and further exploit such situations (as anthropologists perfectly
understand the abhorrent role of such notions as witchcraft in the social-stake-contention-or-confliction of non-positivism/medievalism societies) in conjugation of temporal-emanances-registries dispositions that are universally-recurrent or universal across all times (postlogism-slantedness, ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) is the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness pedestal which is rather an extirpatory paradigm (of the situation, to fulfil temporal inclinations or distinctive-temporal-prioritisaton and not intemporal preservation); given the lack of a social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of the idea that the notion of witchcraft is bogus, with corresponding lack of perceived untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such a notion, thus a collective-consciousness that doesn’t register it as demented (as we do today) and finally, no ontological alienating reason for not believing, endemising and enculturating the phenomenon of witchcraft. The deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal will rather be an inclination to see that the lack of empirical and rational categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension is actually, in the bigger scheme of things, what is at the basis of not only the ‘one locale accusation of witchcraft, specifically so with this individual but its general integration as a socially viable and entertained notion in this locale’. But more critically, from its intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to be intemporally-preservational, more than the notion of just attaining only to the ‘one-locale’ accusation of witchcraft, for the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought in deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) the problem is now the insight about the intellectually and morally wrong in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiology/ontological-escalation of accusation of witchcraft and the implications across all societies of the human species qualified as non-positivism/medievalism, with the bigger ontological implications of this specific accusation rather being how is this enlightening structurally about the endemisation and enculturation of vices-and-impediments associated with superstition in the said registry-worldview/dimension. That is, the problem is now about the aetiology/ontological-escalation that can be made to address such lack of positivistic empirical and rational notions in all possible human societies qualified as non-positivistic/medieval. In other words, the graver ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics problem’ for the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestal is ‘why is society non-positivism/medievalism, and it is not in ‘mentation equivalence’ with a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation mindset/reference-of-thought pedestal accusing it of witchcraft and the specific locale where such an accusation is made in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/temporal prioritisation pedestal that entertains notions of witchcraft (as the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought is thus
anecdotally ‘boxing far below its weight’). Rather it is about articulating a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic dialecticism reasoning-through/abjection (not reasoning-with/incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness with temporal dispositions mindsets) between non-positivism/medievalism and positivism for prospective ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure away from the vices-and-impediments of a non-positivistic/medieval superstitious mental-disposition towards a prospective positivistic mental-disposition which is the virtue that is the ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution’ to the superseded registry-worldview/dimension not only superstitious specific vices-and-impediments but equally critical the overall structural/paradigmatic projective-totalitative–implications such superstition to the creative emancipation of human meaningfulness and action. With this insight the ontological ‘terms of reasoning’ of the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in dementedly striving to establish whether the accused is involved in witchcraft; the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in dementedly striving to establish and examine whether the accusation of witchcraft is true or not, with all the implied existential implications meaningfulness in both cases; and the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflicatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) will be to be dismissive of the two prior pedestals as in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation and stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase since in reality the elements of their registry are perverted (implied-logical-dueness – of accusation of
witchcraft, implied-profile, implied-presumptuousness/arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology), and the issue will rather be about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension that endemises and enculturates the belief in superstition and witchcraft for a structural/paradigmatic resolution as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In other words, the temporal-emanances-registries are not logically-contending but ontologically or dialectically demented as they are rather the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the intemporal-emanance-registry given that these are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising.

‘perverted reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ (‘temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought’), and is ontologically-demented (dialectically-demented) whether from a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview reference-of-thought/veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference that is retrospective (like base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), present (like positivism over non-positivism/medievalism) or prospective (like depocrypticism over procrypticism/the-
’dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-
corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’-of-the-positivistic-registry-
worldview-or-dimension-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Such a stance equally applies between the superseding/transcending depocrypticism and the superseded/transcended procrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions with deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of depocrypticism superseding the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/alchemic-like-reasoning in circumventive/distractive-
temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology of procrypticism mental-dispositions. While the ontological-deamentation/dialectical-deamentation stranding-dialectics with respect to non-
positivism/medievalism has to do with not integrating empirical and rational positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the corresponding social implications, the ontological-deamentation/dialectical-deamentation stranding-dialectics with procrypticism has to do with not integrating the veridicality of temporal-emanances-registries subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic categorical-

This conceptual ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation/stranding variance of (superseded registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (demented) and (superseding registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (thinking) is critical in grasping the nature of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflicatedness with respect to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as the former is ‘abject’ intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (and thus the requisite categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in order to arrive at 66/intemporal-preservation is downright uncompromisable). Circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought involves various shades of ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness/temporal-accommodation’ with institutionalisation being rather a second-naturing to a given set of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as per percolation-channelling and a positive-opportunism institutionalisation constraining. This is ‘no emanance transformation’ of temporal-emanances-registries into the intemporal-emanance-registry; as such a notion can only be solipsistic to individuals beyond the possibility of institutionalisation second-naturing (point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold). Thus at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought will very well do with an outcome (other than 66) whether it is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, given its solipsistic disparate nature (noncontiguous/ontological-discontiguity) with respect to the notion of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as being about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and so, especially when postlogical and integrating postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’–as-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness. And critically, it should be noted that ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness is about the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as registry-worldview/dimension defining, and not about good-naturedness/vague-temporal-impression-driven notions that may arise in circumstantial
situations. This Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison equally gives an insight on why temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation is needed with 3 pedestals [organic-comprehension/intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness pedal for which the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation as ontology supersedes perversion-of-reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation (which are actually meant to represent it) at uninstitutionalised-threshold, mechanical-comprehension-dementing/hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness pedestal for which categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation are wrongly related to as an end by themselves at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and postlogical-including-psychopathic/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging pedestal for which the hollow form of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation for perversion-of-reference-of-thought of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is a sound existential construct.] That is, in the bigger scheme when it comes to deciding between ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) and the human temporal psyche, what gives-in is the human temporal psyche (and so for the betterment of the species); that is, from an animal that was emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically successively of a mental-devising-representation perspective stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivistic/medieval, and from a prospective articulation, procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought, and so respectively, for their successive institutionalisations mental-devising-representation perspectives as stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. In other words, across all times the ‘limits of thought’ is not ‘the averageness/banality/temporalisation of thought’ but rather ‘the disposition to intemporalise and ontologise human thought’, and so whether from a sense of intrinsic-reality one mortal is rightfully saying that the world is round and by expediency a majority of mortals are saying it is flat. That is the singular construct that man cannot lose across all generations to enable the perpetual existential regeneration of civilisation beyond just being a second-natured construct as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft (which can often actually turn out to be as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism alien to the intemporal-emanance-individuation-kind registry, that we can all potentially cultivate, that created, creates, and needs to keep creating the conditions for institutionalisation perpetuation)!

It should be noted that the establishment of the reality of a registry’s, or in the bigger picture, registry-worldview’s/dimension’s dialectical-out-of-phasing at an uninstitutionalised-threshold speaks of that registry’s or registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation’/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (as it is ‘devoid of reference-of-thought and correspondingly ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ given its ontological-decadence/psychopath or ontological-decadence-integration/psychopath’s-temporal-interlocutor, as perversion-of-reference-of-thought the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), and so, in a state of transversality/logical-incongruence as perceived from the superseding/transcending intemporal-emanance-registry or registry-worldview/dimension which voids the registry-perverting/subknowledging/dementing-
reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of positivistic meaningfulness) wherein there can’t be a logical nested-congruence or engagement between the two mindsets as these do not have common categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) as contention exercise being about the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension as a manifestation of mental-defect/perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness requiring positivistic meaningfulness, and in the bigger scheme of things requiring the second-naturing of positivistic (as against non-positivistic/medieval) categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. The point then is that, from a transcending registry-worldview/dimension, the relation with its transcended registry-worldview/dimension is ‘not ontologically an exercise in logical-congruence with the transcended registry-worldview/dimension as a dialectically-thinking exercise’ but rather ontologically an exercise in logical-incongruence/avoiding-issue-of-mutual-unintelligibility-or-intellectual-bad-faith-or-flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) a demented-representation as manifestation-and-not-contention of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension denaturing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective

Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as such redefines psychology as a postdicatory science (tying the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible post-convergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool), that is memetically/meaningfully not limited to-and-within one dimension-or-registry-worldview/intradimensionally but by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) perversion-of-reference-of-thought, is transdimensional/transcendental in depth-of-meaningfulness as post-convergence or ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation). Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics as such is construed at the
individuation-level as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This involves maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as enabled by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics in disambiguating the intemporal-emanance-registry as ontological and temporal-emanances-registries at the individuation-level; while at the registry-worldview/dimension-level it reflects the determination of the relative registry-worldviews/dimensions ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication is that soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or post-convergence). There is no doubt that if by some secret manner ‘some individuals from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension’ were to appear and be able to live in our present positivistic social-setup (without us knowing beforehand that they are coming from the past to avoid inducing a confounding effect in our analysis), and intent on fully living based on the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation setup, our current psychology science most probably will treat them as pathological (demented). At which point, implying the conceptualisation of such an ontological-mental-pathology or dementation (in contrast to a physiological mental pathology) is much more a question of ‘ontology valour’ (ontology valour being defined as a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontology depth in relation to its conventioning limitations with respect to pure-intemporal-ontology). But then, crazy as it may seem, this extends ontological-mental-pathology or
dementation conceptualisation, on those very same terms of ontology valour, not only retrospectively but equally prospectively, as from a prospective transcendence (with a corresponding insight about how we may be that ‘dialectically-dementing/stranded-as-rightfully-oblengated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ from such a prospective transcendence’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, of course, that is, when precluding our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage). In the bigger picture, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics effectively will seem to place human (recomposuring)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the backseat with ontology-in-its-inherent-dialectical-abstraction taking the frontseat in the articulation of intrinsic reality and correspondingly human mental-devising-representation. Actually, registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather closed-constructs of their own specific evolving successive existentialisms (with their full-depths-of-existential-implications specific evolving paradigms), and with specific evolving percolation-channels for prospective ontologising and ontologising-transcendence. Fundamentally, without the possibility of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation/dementability-of-the-human-psyche-for-prospective-institutionalisation involving stranding-dialectics, no registry-worldview/dimension will be transcendable (hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) for prospective institutionalisation. As it is from dementation (literally ‘de-mentation’) that an unshackling/recomposuring/reordering/new-mentation of prospective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is possible. This is because ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as such allows for a ‘human mentation capacity renewal’ by transcendence (as it is by cumulation/reordering/recomposuring the prior institutionalisation mentation-capacity for a contiguous upholding of intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that transcendence occur) of the ‘veridical reference-of-thought of meaningfulness’ since it dents the mental-devising-representation of the old/retrospective/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension ‘as not dialectically-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought but dented and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and references the mental-devising-representation of the new/prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as ‘effectively dialectically-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a new-and-greater-mentation-capacity and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; on the grounds that the veridicality of the reference-of-thought is what upholds ontological-normalcy or post-convergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. [For instance, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring a prospective positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension which is rather superstitious/alchemic/aristocratic is rather ontologically-demented/dialectically-demented in a stranding-dialectics wherein its mental-devising-representation is dented as not thinking/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase while the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation is dialectically-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, thus ‘granting the latter reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference)’ over the former which is ‘no longer reference-of-thought’ in the sense that ‘we can’t think in medieval terms and be considered soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought today but rather ontologically-dented’. This dialectical conceptualisation equally applies regarding procrysticism and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective
deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions.] In fact, a deconstruction insight with regards to all the interchangeable deconstructing terms in reference to the notion of ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intradimensional categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (i.e., ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation, perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect, unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, mental-perversion, subknowledging, mimicking; and-their-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) indicates that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation is ultimately the ‘ideal reference term’ for the simple reason that unlike the other terms it ‘beats’ the ‘intuition for intradimensional/non-transcendental/non-transdimensional reasoning’ and succeeds to convey, overcoming the counter-intuition, the requisite transdimensional/transcendental reasoning that achieves ontological-normalcy or post-convergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); as this counter-intuition for transdimensional reasoning (which is not easily superseded and not even by this author articulating the notion but for this abstraction insight) is basically due to the subconscious-strength of the ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’ (totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) reference of personhood-and-socialhood-formation existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications such that the other notions will tend-to-get-lost-down-the-line by unconsciously returning to and/or admitting to the wrong intradimensional reflex-conceptualisations, at one point or the other, and so in lieu of and undermining the ontological-veridicality of the effectively veridical transcendental reality. Ontological-
dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics ‘beats’ this counter-intuition by simply and immediately bringing to the mind an ‘overarching conceptualisation’ of a stranding-dialectics of superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase); around which all other dynamic constructions fall in place (whether deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking, ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, subknowledging-impulse, etc.). The other deconstructing terms while having specific analytical bearings do not carry this all-encompassing quality that liberates from ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’ (totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation does as it further induces ‘transdimensional or memetic thinking’ by its implied stranding-dialectics in meeting up with ‘ontological-normalcy or post-convergence’ (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). For instance, while the term as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ brings to the mind a poor ontological disposition like the other BODMAS characters disposition to systematically operate additionality overlooking A’s condition, but it is a sense of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation that carries the intuition of an uninstitutionalised-threshold, and construes a superseding/transcending
registry/worldview-or-dimension and a superseded/transcended registry/worldview-or-dimension, and all the implications thereof. Now analysing the as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ term thereafter, we grasp that it is the ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ or ‘contiguity-of-ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity’ of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing’ that makes it as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect/not-an-implicitation-of-act-execution-defect and this carries the implications of a registry-worldview/dimension defining defect (in a dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded and prospective/transcending/superseding categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Specifically, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as such implies as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect/not-just-a-logical-processing-or-an-implicitation-of-act-execution-or-a-implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement-defect’ wherein we can perceive the complete picture of a registry-worldview/dimensional defect by its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (with respect to base-institutionalisation), ununiversalisation (with respect to universalisation), non-positivism/medievalism (with respect to positivism) and our own dimension procrypticism’s (the-‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-
corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ of positivistic-meaningfulness) ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation (with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism). A similar articulation can be made with regards to each of the other deconstructing terms where ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation provides the better overarching conceptualisation from an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference). Furthermore, by its stranding-dialectics, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation is the only notional term that operantly and deterministically projects the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/recomposuring/new-mentation with regards to the implied veridical existentialism/full-depth-of-existentia-implications taking into account the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries hotchpotching wherein sound knowledge/virtue is pliable to temporal denaturing and corresponding conjugation/derivation thus the need for knowledge notionalisation as a response to the temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries human existentialism-form-factor dilemma.

The very central idea about procrypticism and deprocrypticism (and for that matter the successive dialecticisms of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) with respect to the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries (longness-of-depth-of-meaningfulness and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is in bringing to the fore and contrasting post-convergence in its purity as ontological-normalcy and that human temporal inclination at all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures threshold this purity and pervert post-convergence, thus highlighting the follow dichotomies that are always associated with post-convergence dialectics:
1) impetus for intemporal-preservation beyond categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at uninstitutionalised-threshold versus impetus rather for categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at uninstitutionalised-threshold


4) ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as in priority all that which preserve precedingly the intemporal as it creates the institutionalisation possibilities for the furtherance of intemporality versus circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as priorly unaccountable to the possibility for the furtherance of intemporality whether by temporal circumventing or distraction of institutionalisation/intemporalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.
Central to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is a post-convergence that doesn’t recognise any uninstitutionalised-threshold to the projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology considered circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought over inherent ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; at which point of uninstitutionalised-threshold, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation is implied (in deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking over mechanical comprehension or as a stranding-dialectics) for a renewed/prospective mentation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness that ‘supersedes deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed’, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. That is ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation is effectively the notion that, in recognition of the unchanging, preceding and inherent nature of intrinsic-reality with respect to the human psyche (and its mental-devising-representation of intrinsic reality) which is what ‘gives-in’/collapses ontologically/as-an-ontological-reference; enables, for the articulation of new mentations as transcendence, the ‘giving-in’/collapsing of the mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures mindsets, notwithstanding the fact that the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation (of their categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to these
superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions mindsets due to their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage disposition.

Supposed we were to make a profound analysis of our contiguous human mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (in-dialectical/recomposuring-moments) from the appearance of human beings on earth, the effective linkage as new-mentations between those successive recomposuring moments (whether recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism-or-mediievalism, positivism–procrypticism and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism) is as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics in stranding-dialectics; and this thus predicates or rather postdicates as well our own registry-worldview/dimensional ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics over and as denaturing positivistic meaningfulness categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (procrypticism) and implying a prospective need for deprocrypticism. Postdication, when alluding to an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics defining psychological science, will effectively hold that the conceptualisation of the social is very much a contiguous ontological disambiguation of a demented social of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries, from a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in post-convergence. Postdication means reasoning from a basis of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence wherein the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer referenced (as reference-of-thought) but ‘dialectically demented/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ while the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension is referenced (as reference-of-thought) as ‘dialectically-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-
thought’ in construing meaningfulness. The grander issue that always arises is in existentialism terms, whether with regards to an obvious human disposition for temporal-accommodation as circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought of being-and-existence as conceptualised within the successions-of-existing-in-human-life-spans or rather an abstract eternal-projecting disposition of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness wherein the articulation of meaning, being and existence is in existentialism-terms intemporally-driven on the basis that that which is in need of transcendence-and-the-intemporal (the temporal) cannot be seen-as-or-made-a-reference-of-intemporal/ontological-thought, and that it is exactly for that reason that human progress has been and will remain dialectically possible. That is, the reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) can only be the pedestalling of an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as ontology with regards to registry, contrasted to a circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought-reference implying a perverted-registry reflected/perspectivated by its ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics. Where the natural world is resolute with no compromise with the operation of such a notion as 1+1=2, the same cannot be resolutely affirmed in the human social-and-temporal-trading in the social world where on occasions 1+1 will add up to 5 where the effective constraining of institutionalisation is lacking. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation (stranding) has the merits of articulating that for reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) to establish veridicality, no such social-and-temporal-trading is beyond ontological-entrapment ‘by re-institutionalisation with new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation dialectically implying an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of transcended categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation (in our present case, deprocrypticism of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, for a structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension and just as critically the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential; just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of non-positivism/medievalism together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential, and the same applies with ununiversalisation and universalisation, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation); thus the potential to fully close the gap with regards to ontological-veridicality of the natural sciences in a ‘renewed maturation’ of the phenomenological ontological-performance conceptualisation of the social. Though with the weakness we must be able to rise up to, that ‘the social’ is existentially ‘emotionally involved’. But this can be and is effectively overcome by ‘appropriately universalising and detached meaningfulness by percolation-channelling’ as devised for all formalised and institutionalised settings capable of introducing, upholding and internalising the ascendency of many a social outlier thoughts and meaningfulness which from a ‘purely mobbish social disposition’ as may arise in the extended-informality-⟨susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology⟩ would hardly be countenanced. The bigger picture here (and of relevance to a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence from procrypticism to deprocrypticism as the structural/paradigmatic and general resolution of the vices-and-impediments together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential of the dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness, and specifically resolution of the implications of psychopathic subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought) may be to think, given our own illusion-
of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, that such an analysis applies only to prior institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. But the fact is that such a profound conceptualisation will have to come to terms with the reality of the implied existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications beyond our present sense of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation if it were to avoid platitudinising, becoming circular with dead-ends and lose its intempest purpose and hence ontological purpose, and so for the simple reason that it is the human psyche that ‘gives-in’ with respect to intrinsic-reality as renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality, starting with that of the intellectual analyst/analysts itself/themselves); as the human psyche gave-in from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation to universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism to positivism, and where renewed/prospective ontophysical-veridicality does establish a new registry-worldview/dimensional transcendental paradigm shift as procrypticism to deprocrypticism, then the human psyche will equally have to give-in, and by the way all transcendences meet with some resistance or the other and thus a reason for transversality/logical-incongruence reflex to preserve the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of intrinsic-reality in adverting social-and-temporal-trading of meaningfulness. Part and parcel, of human intellectualism beyond mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft, as has historically been implied in the case with many a great human mind, is to recognise that the social-construct is ‘not an ontological absolute’ but rather a ‘conventioning construct at the limits of human ontological capacity’ and that that is ‘why it has got its defining issues and problems’ and further that ‘it progresses and transcends’, and the intellectual exercise goes beyond just reasoning within ambits of ‘temporally-and-socially-perceived-rightness-of-thinking’ to explore possibilities that might actually be ‘outright unpalatable’ in the temporo-social sense but in the bigger picture as a intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm are indispensable. With the idea that a
intemperal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that prolongs to intemorality/an-abstract-
eternality while obviously of ‘less an immediate temporal existential sense of good to some
humans’ is undoubtable of ‘an intemporal existential sense of good to all humans at all times’
by its percolation-channelling wherein for instance, the structural/paradigmatic effect of the
law is allowing for civilisational living but its circumstantial construal and application may
not be in tune with the temporal interests of many but for its institutionalising constraining.
This contrast between humans appreciating intemorality as potentially of universal import
and at the same time disposed occasionally to advanced their temporality, is what warrants ‘a
constraining institutionalisation’. In the same vain, one may ask what’s the temporal benefit
to Rousseau or Galileo instead of striving for greater aristocratic privileges for themselves;
for the one to rather carry the mantle from one royal court to the other of affirming the
possibility of human emancipation (by which we are all percolatively benefiting from today)
or the other the mantle of a principled engagement and possibility of science starting with an
uncompromising conviction from observation that the earth is not at the centre of the solar
system, by which a culture of science came to be established. And finally, how coherent are
temporal meaningful frames built from such intemoral grand principles but lived on
temporal extirpation principles which emanance-kind are actually a contradiction to such
philosophies, and what is the very relevance of such temporal enculturation and endemisation
to present-day social and institutional failures in society? And what’s the role of ‘intellectual
irresponsibility’ in all of this?

From an intemoral hence ontological depth-of-meaningfulness,
precedingly/supersedingly, ‘limited-mentation-capacity’ (for intemoral-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) is the reason for registry-
worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect at uninstitutionalised-threshold; implying that ‘ontological-normalcy or post-convergence’ is actually for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation beyond the defective ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy’ which is rather a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) inclination to overlook/aside the notion of prospective transcendence at its own (limited-mentation-capacity-threshold) uninstitutionalised-threshold though it will obviously and paradoxically recognise the need of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions to transcend (just as by reflex from our perspective we will recognise such a need for base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism but hardly prospectively the notion that our dimension has an uninstitutionalised-threshold like procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with the need for prospective transcendence as deprocrypticism). However, as previously indicated such an insight can only be garnered, beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as all registry-worldviews/dimensions wrongfully imply, given that ‘doppler-thinking’ wherein our registry-worldview/dimension isn’t the absolute reference of meaningfulness (which is rather an intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy in lieu of the ‘ontological-normalcy or post-convergence’ as that which allows for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). It is this ‘ontological-normalcy or post-convergence’ that reflects/perspectivates perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics as against the defective reflex-normalcy/intradimensional subknowledging-normalcy that wrongfully represent it as
straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. Thus the general notion of an intemporal/ontological resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought is more than just the instigating effect of the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation (psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness) but harkens back to the notion of the intraregistry-worldview/dimension limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation}/uninstitutionalised-threshold in the very first place. As this is the structuring disposition for the possibility of perversion-of-reference-of-thought requiring ontological-normalcy or post-convergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. For instance, such perversion-of-reference-of-thought as witchcraft in the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is fundamentally implying structurally a need for the right human mentation-capacity as the prospective transcendence of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, and likewise structurally regarding procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with deprocrypticism (as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not good-natured/vague-impress construct).

Ontological-normalcy or post-convergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, beyond defective intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy, points to factoring in temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries disambiguation as ‘knowledge notionalisation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference of the intemporal-emanance-registry categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation which is ontological (as it is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where dealing
effectively rather with temporal-emanances-registries. Knowledge notionalisation factors in how temporal-emanances-registries relate to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation

at uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy) and at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds (ontological-normalcy or post-convergence). It should be noted that the particularity for achieving all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes is about bringing the prior registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought to its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology awareness for the collective-mind to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recompose, and thus take-stock-and-supersede/transcend its limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)-threshold (uninstitutionalised-threshold). This is brought to the collective-consciousness so that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction it renews its psychoanalytic-equilibrium, as the latest ‘capacity boost’ with respect to what is the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. For instance, achieving base-institutionalisation requires that it should be brought to the collective-consciousness that it is ‘perilous to survival-and-flourishing’ to remain recurrently-uninstitutionalised for the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. Once this enters the collective-consciousness this leads to an inclination for a renewed psychoanalytic-equilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recompose wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview then becomes dementing/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, as it is recurrently-uninstitutionalised, as the backdrop for the straightness/candoring-and
dialectically-in-phasing of base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. This is relatively
direct by the existential implications to survival-and-flourishing with the lower institutional-
cumulations/institutional-recomposures of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and
positivism. For deprocrypticism, an even stronger emphasis has to be placed on the abstract
percolation-channelling as setup from positive-opportunism for survival-and-flourishing, just
as with the positivistic registry-worldview which as well is relatively deferential with
percolation-channelling (undermining averaging-of-thought/banality) to formalised deference
like the higher developed legal system involving lesser possibility for mob-and-disparate-
justice as with the lower institutional-cumulations, grander subject-matter expertise and lesser
hearsays-and-vague-opinions limiting the ambit of the influence of the extended-informality-
(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-
meaningfulness-and-teleology); all geared to discriminate for supersedingness of the
intemporal-emanance-disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)
over temporal dispositions (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as
percolation-channelling not only in the present but prospectively. In other words, higher
institutionalisations imply greater ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ wherein the ambits
of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-
incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with regards to meaningfulness shrinks as
formal conceptualisations extend the intemporal-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling) and deferential model for construing meaningfulness. For instance,
many a subject matter domain like meaning about the heavens, forces of nature, material
nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially in deference to abstract
intemporal-emanance-registry teleological conceptualisation voiding social temporal-
emanances-registries teleological dispositions. The reason is simple formal settings use the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to construe knowledge and virtue conceptualisations as this is what proxies/syncs-with intrinsic-reality and hence their effective potency while on the other hand informal settings tend more to impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations which may sound appropriate in their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag but are often defective by lack of universality, not ontologically-driven in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of understanding and often with temporal/immediate interests/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In this light, the articulation of the ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation of our mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in explication of our ‘mentation capacity limitations’ accounting for our perversion-of-reference-of-thought that ‘structurally-explain’ the vices-and-impediments peculiar to our own registry-worldview/dimension (procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) or perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness, beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness (just as non-positivism/medievalism ‘structurally-explains’ the peculiar vices-and-impediments and structural/paradigmatic inhibitions to human emancipation requiring prospective positivism with its corresponding ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as stranding-dialectics). The idea is not to assume an idling-temporal-disposition of stigmatising intradimensionally but rather an intemporal/ontological disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), that works with ‘what is as it is’, and bring this reality to the collective-consciousness for the requisite ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of
prospective deprocrypticism (wherein procrypticism is dementing/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, as it subknowledges-or-mimics/perverts-the-registry-of positivistic meaningfulness categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

The idea of limited-mention-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) fundamentally implies that categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are limited at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the specific registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation they enable, and are not absolute with respect to the perpetuation of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy or post-convergence and thus need to be cumulated-upon (or rather more precisely be recomposured institutionally), wherein new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation allow for the furtherance of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. The positivistic institutionalisation reflex disposition is to imply only a human intemporal-emanance-registry disposition/ontological-disposition, thus wrongly elevating issues of temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-thought as being issues of intemporal-emanance-registry/ontological-dispositions and thus wrongfully implying their ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) rather than rightfully their ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity/non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference), and thus wrongly engaging in logical
contentions instead of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-thought, thus resulting in the consequent endemisation/enculturation of the specific vices-and-impediments of the positivistic registry-worldview (procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). In contrast, the particularity of the superseding/transcending ‘deprocrypticism institutionalisation’ disposition over procrypticism is that prospectively it points to the ontological-veridicality of a human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation (at positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold) to its mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology to enable the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the collective-consciousness, and so as a knowledge notionalisation. That is, an institutionalisation setup that perpetually acknowledges and accounts for human temporal-to-intemporal emanances registries disambiguation before engaging either with logical contention in the case of issues of intemporal-emanance-registry-disposition/ontological-disposition or with reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the instance of issues of temporal-emanances-registries dispositions; bringing this conceptualisation to the collective-consciousness for the necessary psychoanalytic-reequilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure that should enable the superseding/transcending of the enculturating/endemising vices-and-impediments together with the inhibiting effect on human emancipation potential associated with procrypticism. To further elucidate, let’s explore again the Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison highlighted previously wherein character A had a condition whereby its results of additionality were systematically incremented by 1, its’s subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-
dementation highlighting a prospective uninstitutionalisation where the other characters wrongly calculated the result (the ontological-veridicality) failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct ontological-normalcy/post-convergence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, as actually intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation supersedes the mere-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the latter’s pertinence is rather about and subsumed as a mentation capacity to uphold the former. The bigger issue with regards to all the BODMAS characters is with respect to the limits of their categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which are readily predisposed to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought and subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation whether by character A or any other character rather than just the fact that the condition (psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness for instance) is the causative factor of their failure to in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. In any case the structural/paradigmatic resolution is with regards to the implications of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the given registry-worldview/dimension as an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations predictable and determinable teleologies). That is, fundamentally the appropriate conceptualisation of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is structurally-speaking about perpetually ensuring intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the superseding/preceding notion (i.e. ontological-normalcy or
post-convergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation). In this regard, we may easily construe the fundamental defects-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as these enable perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation wherein successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are analogical to various defective instances in operating the BODMAS equation. That is, while the condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with A’s additionality results are wrongly incremented by 1, leading to the uninstitutionalised-threshold to be rightfully corrected with new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation involving subtracting 1; the defect of a second registry-worldview/dimension may involve subtracting 1 from the result of S as a condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation of S, requiring similarly new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation correction of the BODMAS characters as with the first registry-worldview/dimension to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Likewise, a third and fourth registry-worldview/dimensions defects could involve respectively a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/condition of M wherein the latter wrongly adds 1 to a multiplier before multiplying and a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/condition of D wherein D wrongly subtract 1 to a divisor before dividing, with these two latter registry-worldviews/dimensions equally requiring similarly new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation adjustment of the BODMAS characters as with the first and second
registry-worldviews/dimensions to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Ultimately, a deprocrypticism construal of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process aiming to perpetually sync categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, is one that will bring to the mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology, the BODMAS characters potential temporal-emanances-registries dispositions to perversion-of-reference-of-thought and subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the resultant integration unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (other temporal-emanances-registries dispositions of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) inducing the various uninstitutionalised-threshold, for a suprastructural resolution to human perversion-of-reference-of-thought disposition, enabling the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the collective-consciousness towards knowledge notionalisation; as the recognition of the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation then allows for acknowledging, accounting for and the structural-superseding of our vices-and-impediments thus enabling ontological-normalcy or post-convergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involving the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase of temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as ontological-dementation is the effective psychological tool for ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-
mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure.

The implications for the science of psychology can thus be drawn out. The articulated notion of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation’ brings up the central conceptual role of psychology as about understanding human mental-devising-representation and the implications thereof. Central to this ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics process is a dialectical exercise of stranding; either as mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to imply a superseded/transcended/unsound registry-or-registry-worldview/dimension or as mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase to imply a superseding/transcending/sound registry-or-registry-worldview. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics further implies that instead of a ‘conventioning influenced and driven’ more or less notational study of human psychological phenomena as is the case today; we can ‘think’ of psychology in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics terms of stranding-dialectics of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements as post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought (stranding-dialectics with respect to either mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation or mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase representation) as ‘directed’ simply by demonstrable ontological-veracity/ontological-relevance/reference-of-thought of transdimensional-meaningfulness/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup; leading to a psychological science which is more comprehensive, timeless and unbounded by its conceptualisation as it emphasises psychological-representation/mental-devising-
representation as more ‘ontologically-driven/ontologised’ rather than ‘conventionally-driven/conventionalised’. In so doing, overriding and superseding the analyst illusion-of-the-present/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage referring to the instance where the personhood-and-socialhood-formation intradimensional conventioning induces an ‘analytical-complex’ with respect to an ontologically veridical psychological-representation or mental-devising-representation. As implied psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation is then fundamentally determined by the depth/profoundness-of-ontological-veracity/depth/profoundness-of-ontological-reference of a given registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as it upholds ontological-normalcy or post-convergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) over reflex-normalcy or intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy. Ontological-normalcy or post-convergence appropriately points to the pertinence for ontological construal as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for an appropriate ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics stranding-dialectics exercise wherein the reference-of-thought (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) is always a moving target (due to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process) in need for prospective dialectical reconstitution (deconstruction), which then puts a science of psychology in phase with the dialectical development of ontological-depth/profoundness-of-reference in superseding ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-
deprocrypticism. This explains why this memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness psychology is a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as it is driven/led by a reference to dialectical/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy in successive post-convergence/postdicatory ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction of dialectical existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications as reference-of-thought, rather than intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy) for ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation, i.e. stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically superseded/transcended/unsound registry registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically-superseding/transcending/sound registry registry-worldview-or-dimension. This ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is the foundation of a pure, emancipated and disinhibited psychology (both registry-and-registry-worldview-wise) as such a psychology is grounded exclusively on ontologically demonstrable references of the veridicality of registries and registry-worldviews successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and the corresponding ontological veracities implied. Such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ contrasts with a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology of weak memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness reference-of-thought for the simple reason that it is not founded on a pure dialecticism of ontological/dialectical-referencing but rather on intradimensional conventionalised referencing which wrongly hardly proxies the
veridicality of ontological-normalcy or post-convergence or construe a dialectical-reference/ontological-reference for ‘ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation at uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus it mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology is stigmatic or mented (set-in-place-or-a-period) as stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the conventioning-superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranded-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase for the conventioning-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This will explain in many ways the more or less fitful development of present day psychology, more or less ‘uncertain of the ontological/dialectical pertinence of temporal-as-out-of-phasing-representation’ (in reflecting dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) thus undermining its ontological-referencing veracity/ontological-pertinence with respect to an ‘ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ exercise of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-deptsof-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements in post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought. A dialectical ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction of reference-of-thought (recognising human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) and the need to re-institutionalised/re-intemporalised resulting in the subsequent institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) as articulated above is not only the basis for memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness, but as well for avoiding what can be termed
as the ‘ontological-circularity’ of present day psychology. Such ontological-circularities are
engrained in all registry-worldviews/dimensions wherein the naïve pretence for a quest for
deeper ontological-veridicality is rather just syncretic/circular and ‘hollow-constituting’-or-
failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as fundamentally the
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the said registry-worldview/dimension are at a
dead-end with a structural/paradigmatic impossibility for a critical breakthrough just by the
mere fact that the registry-worldview/dimension has attained its mentation-capacity-
limitation or uninstitutionalised-threshold (as the nature of intrinsic-reality with respect to the
human psyche is post-convergence or inherently preceding or inherently superseding as it
doesn’t change an iota, and it is the human psyche that gives-in in its mental-devising-
representation to conform to intrinsic-reality). With such naïve efforts to keep up and develop
profound meaningfulness based on the same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology mostly a dead-end.
Such ontological-circularities will include for instance the dead-end of medieval alchemy
paradigm with respect to positivistic chemistry paradigm, a flat-world paradigm with respect
to a round world paradigm, a creationism paradigm with respect to an evolution paradigm, a
universal humanity paradigm with respect to aristocratic/racial/tribal paradigms, a science
paradigm with respect to a superstition paradigm, etc. Naivety will be to think that issues of
ontological-circularity in our present positivistic meaningfulness (for transcending beyond
our vices-and-impediments and overcoming inherent inhibitions to human emancipation) are
not in veridicality about a need for a shift in paradigm, prospectively. This brings forward
fundamentally the limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative
conflation)/uninstitutionalised-threshold construct of our times (procrpticism) and the
paradigmatic implications specifically for such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or
psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as highlighted) over a relatively mented-psychology/stigmatic-psychology. What this reveals is that reality is ‘not a human mental-devising-representation processing exercise’; rather it is an intrinsic post-convergence notion that doesn’t respond to human mental-devising-representation processing. The role of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as a mental-devising-representation mechanism that syncs with evolving ontological insight (insight about intrinsic reality) as ontological-normalcy is to reflect/perspectivate the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectical-primitivity at the very limit of the capability as its mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension (uninstitutionalised-threshold), which otherwise any totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview will overlook as it is a closed-construct that is exclusively operant and deterministic only to its very own categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and is not tied to intrinsic-reality but rather pertinent only for when it proxies intrinsic-reality. It is only ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation that can create the foundation for a new mentation (unshackle it psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully reorder it/recomposure it) to in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence come into grips with a more profound ontological-veridicality as a new reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) for a new existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought. This insight about the intrinsic-nature-of-reality/intrinsic-reality is critical and central to understanding how ‘knowledge-deadend-paradigms’ can be overcome/superseded. Supposed B was to stick to resolving the BODMAS equation overlooking A’s condition on the basis that the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are set and given, whether these uphold
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or not (which is what ensures proxying to intrinsic-reality), and further that the other BODMAS characters will do likewise anyway, this doesn’t in any way transform the post-convergence ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality from 66 to 72.5. Such a wrong disposition rather points aetiologically for the need (in ontological-escalation) of an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation of the BODMAS characters at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. In the bigger picture, ‘knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms’ (to varying degrees of pertinence) are often the explanation of underlying social issues and problems more than just about limited human ability or insufficiently directed effort towards the resolution of such issues and problems on the basis of present paradigms. It is inevitable that emancipation from such knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms will always require that the would-be intellectual-analyst or intellectual-analysts ‘blunt it’ (just as intrinsic-reality is uncompromisingly blunt) to the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage registry-worldview/dimension that what is fundamentally needed is a ‘paradigmatic-shift’. Much like observation and a rational interpretation of nature trumps dogma as with Galileo’s heliocentric argument for instance, this author holds that a fundamental decomplexifying/uninhibiting of our own (procrypticism or dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness) psyche as being ontologically-dented/dialectically-dented from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism as reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) opens up a new world of transcendental possibilities (wherein a comprehensive insight for addressing psychopathy and social psychopathy and other implied epiphenomena/incidental-phenomena equally lies, and critically so since the fundamental argument for a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ has to
do with the foundational nature of mental-devising-representation/mentation/recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the construction of all knowledge) at our positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold; much the same way like a positivistic world opened up from the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation of a non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. To further elucidate the criticality as indicated of such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as indicated with respect to a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology can be further reemphasised clearly as such; a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that is being ontologically-driven or led by ontological-veridicality when it comes to mental-devising-representation by strictly adhering to the stranding-dialectics of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation. In other words, it overrides the mented/stigmatic intradimensional meaningfulness mental-devising-representation and enables a transdimensional-meaningfulness mental-devising-representation, wherein a mented/stigmatic mentation stranding-dialectics in reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/registry-soundness and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (respectively stranded-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) is stranded to the ‘conventionalised institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ whether such a threshold is the ‘appropriate basis for reference-of-thought or not and subsequent ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity or not, as it is limited to what is the convention thus ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness with the result that mented/stigmatic psychology is limited to ‘hollow-
constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness human intradimensional conventioning categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with no prospective/transcending/superseding possibility. For instance, we can project insightfully that a mented/stigmatic mental-disposition in a non-positivistic/medieval setup in a impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness disposition but ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness(failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) will raise an issue of say sorcery in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of who is the sorcerer or sorcerers among us, how should sorcery be stopped and prevented in the community, and not in a prospective positivistic paradigm that is more ontologically-veridical, putting in question the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the non-positivistic/medieval conventioning notion of sorcery, however ‘good-natured’/impression-driven, while raising the positivistic the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework of a positivising/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought. Such an insight prospectively will involve putting into question naïve and ever evolving constructs in our present day mented/stigmatic psychology science like personality disorders on the fundamental argument regarding the relatively poor insight about the requisite reference-of-thought to be established in the first place before then qualifying personalities with respect to such a philosophically and insightfully soundly established reference-of-thought, and not just naïve assumptions whether on the basis of popular axioms, vagueness and personal however well-meaning; with the idea of meaningfulness that goes beyond just a conventioning reference-of-thought and is rather inherently upheld by ontologically-veridical insight and pertinence. Further, such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’ that is ontologically-driven will go beyond an exercise of
mented/stigmatic phenotypes driven abstractly as inherent-personalities nature and in given
settings-of-time, but grasp that human personality is critically about ontological-extending-
into-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation grounded on
ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction as the more profound reference-of-thought and
analysis, and with a more fundamental interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental
insight of the human existentialism form-factor. In this regard, it is the opinion of this author
that many construed personality disorders that do not involve social deviances or not of
physiological nature are actually adaptations at one time or the other in an ever-changing-
and-challenging-construct that individuals make of a ‘wanting and developing social world
with its stakes and confliction’, and it would rather be better to articulate personality as
driven by a pertinence of being/ontological-extension-into-existentialism-or-full-depth-of-
existential-implications with respect to such ‘a challenging and developing social world with
its stakes and confictions’ in the first place, otherwise we are just affirming arbitrary social
classification schemes and not really involved in the requisite paradigmatic shifts; and such
could further be grasped regarding specifically how many an experimental psychology
schemes ‘desperately’ striving to draw social-world level conclusions can’t seem to
supersede the modesty of schemes that it is just too farfetched and synoptically-limiting, thus
trending more towards the defect of constitutedness in lieu of conflatedness as articulated by
this author. Foucault had qualified the current focus on abnormal psychology as tending more
to an ‘economic’ practice. What about the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation as the ‘surreptitious driving mechanism of human mental-devising-
representation or mentation’ that fully encapsulates and explains human psychological
development across all the times and the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-
recomposures of human existential emanance, and so as an articulation that is retrospectively,
presently and prospectively coherent? Given the fact that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation very much explains human transcendence as the recurrent ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of an animal of limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). Such a ‘dialectically-thinking’ psychology driven by ontology or rather ontological-normalcy/post-convergence will be postdicatory, with the implications that this will fully focus the ‘kernels of postmodernism’ to usher in Suprastructuralism [an Age where humankind comes to grasp that its-meaningfulness-with-respect-to-intrinsic-reality as reflected by the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures has been progressing (more and more realistically) by successive suprastructuring of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews ‘beyond their successive corresponding recomposed-consciousness-awareness-teleology’, and introducing the veridical meaningful-frame/worldview of postmodernity with regards not only to the present but the totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought past and future] with the insight that our present recomposed-placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview will be subjected to this suprastructuring-meaningfulness nature of the existentialism-form-factor as well. In fact the underlying difficulty of deconstruction when extended from its ‘textual basis’ to its ‘full meaningfulness basis’ as ‘ontological-reconstituting’, has to do with the fact that the full implications of ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction is that it prospectively calls for suprastructuring-or-construal-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-of-a-prior registry-worldview mindset/reference-of-thought (and so as a tool of the prospective registry-worldview), as implied by the veracity/ontological-pertinence of

Considering that deconstruction as ‘ontological-reconstituting’ necessarily implies not one but two dialectically opposed registries/meaningful-references/anchorings-of-meaning/ontological-references/contending-references/registry-worldviews of meaningfulness; with the implication that the prospective/transcending/superseding is suprastructural to (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-of) the prior/transcended/superseded, and so as a deeper superseding—one-ness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation. The fact is that without the notion of suprastructuring, the exercise of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation will wrongly imply that the ‘dialectically-thinking’ and the ‘dialectically-dementing’ are of the same reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (which is obviously wrong), and is the effect of the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising—self-referencing-syncretising as we recognise this fact from a vantage perspective to the prior (utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation) but have ‘a complex’ recognising such a fact at a disadvantaged positivistic/procrypticism perspective with respect to the prospective (deprocrypticism), just as all institutionalisations tend to demonstrate when their own transcendence is implied, and certainly so the higher the institutionalisation as the mindset/reference-of-thought is increasingly set to ‘relate to its institutionalised second-natured construct as being our very own individuals essential emanance/first-nature disposition and not a second-natured construct’, and thus perceived as beyond or almost beyond analysis due to the implied temporal alienating effect on us (but then it is the human psyche that gives-in to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as the foremost rule of humanity’s existential strive). Suprastructuring allows for the necessary transcendent-al-
insight-projection-capacities for grasping the evasive Derridean conceptualisation of ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ projection/postdication in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising-self-referencing-syncretising as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’. Suprastructuring boldly answers the underlying issue involved with ‘communicating the true implications of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting’ by highlighting the paradox that it is all about ‘articulating a conceptualisation which involves implying that the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of the seemingly reference-of-thought is unsound and needs to be superseded’. It is rather about in the very first instance putting into question a given reference-of-thought and projecting the appropriate reference-of-thought, before even proceeding to articulate more specifically meaningfulness within the projected reference-of-thought. This is akin to the idea of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought articulating chemistry rules and principles to an alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought for the latter’s validation, requiring the latter to adopt a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought in the very first place before issues of substantive pertinence about chemistry rule and principles are raised within their now mutually positivistic mindsets. Such an exercise requires a highly uninhibited/decomplexified human frame of mind. This may sound rather farfetched as a notion but it is important to remember that the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought itself is the outcome of the décomplexing/uninhibiting of the human mind from earlier successive institutionalisations. Such an exercise is necessarily about psychoanalytic-unshackling/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering of the positivistic/procryptic reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism; and with regards to Suprastructuralism as a notion, the implication is that this is a requisite idea that has to come to the collective consciousness (not
just unconsciously as with prior institutionalisations, for instance the fact that notions of superstition are false had to be consciously brought up to the attention/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought for it to effectively undergo the necessary ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by acting as the conscious backdrop that engenders prospectively a positivistic mindset) for human emancipation into a deprocrypticism mindset; as with all psychoanalytic exercise whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/registering the ontological-deficiency with respect to ontological-normalcy is central to superseding it. ‘Suprastructuring as such overcomes the ‘natural human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology reflex’ (in any registry-worldview/dimension) of ‘striving to avert dementing mental-devising-representation/mentation’ (whether such averting is ontologically-veridical or not) and so by a mistaken reflex to preserve a closed-construct of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality (but which closure makes its representation of intrinsic-reality inherently incomplete and biased towards the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising of its given registry-worldview metaphysics-of-presence), by effectively taking full cognisance of the fact that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation is the driving mechanism of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality and thus construe an opened-construct incorporating transcendental-insight-projection-capacities that enable the relative construal of the ‘dialectically-thinking’ and the ‘dialectically-dementing’ [‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-
thought’], and so expanding the potency in construing a much more exact/thorough notion of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality and thus for ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction. In other words, in representing the veridically uninhibited/decomplexified nature of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ that is not limited by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising of any registry-worldview/dimension and so at the deeper memetic/psychoanalytic level, suprastructuring as such reveals that ‘human psychology is very much an active construct associated with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative–implications’ in the reflection as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of retrospective, present and prospective institutionalisations as the institutionalisation process points-of-reference, with the truer nature and representation of human psychology ultimately tied-to/driven-by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence-construct’. [Insightfully, just as highlighted later that existence-defines/precedes-essence, ideally the construction of psychology needs to be priorly subjected to ‘a becoming that defines psychology with its veracity/ontological-pertinence arising in the ontological-reconstituting of that existential becoming’. Is our understanding of psychology notionally complete when we can’t seem to understand what happens in apparently mentally sound minds partaking in ‘socially degraded’ situations like murky human interest stories, mobs, genocides and even ‘the conventional acceptance and numbness to mass casualty warfare’. In other words, in the first place what is ‘ontologically normal’ beyond the subjective conventioning of the psychology science (before even worrying about the abnormal)? Further isn’t it possible to make the contribution of present
day psychology more complete in constructing a more thorough and dynamic understanding of mentation/psyche in relation to individual-social-humanity aspiration, where psychology evolves in a complete existentialism cadre. In other words, so placed in a becoming/existential cadre, is psychology not meant rather than just encapsulating what the human psyche/mentation is all about as if it is a set and determinate construct (strangely enough inadvertently and often mirroring schemes of social classification, and hence of social power relations) equally involve in articulating aspiratory models for human mentation/psyche?] And such a paradigm shift with regards to present day mented/stigmatic psychology can actually be implied by prospective ontological-normalcy as deprocrypticism (involving ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’ in upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation by ‘overriding failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct and renewing ever sound and appropriate’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation”) over the ‘conventioningly-driven/conventionalised ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation whether the latter is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Insight from ontological-normalcy as it matches placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology to ontological-veridicality (notwithstanding that this undermines habituated conventionalised mented/stigmatic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) representing all the institutionalisations in a dialectical moment of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and thus mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase as simply involving the technique of a ‘prelogical/conviction placeholder-setup/mental-
endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect. In the bigger picture, actually the fact is that the various institutionalisations/institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are actually the levels at which their specific quality (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism) actively and comprehensively define and characterise each of the institutionalisations while bringing the notion to the collective-consciousness/personhoods-and-socialhood-formation successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. But then, such notions which can be weakly sensed in all prior institutionalisations are actually inconspicuously, selectively and occasionally introduced in the prior institutionalisation in graduated/staggered stages starting with the proto-prospective-institutionalisation right up to the prospective-institutionalisation; whether as proto-base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of base-institutionalisation, proto-universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of universalisation, proto-positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism up to the graduated/staggered attainment of positivism, and effectively by a prospective insight, proto-deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism. For instance, many an alchemist in the medieval world were actually very thorough and methodical in their pursuit with skills that could be qualified as ‘rudimentary positivistic’. However, the fact that fundamentally their paradigm was a dead-end like the pursuit of the philosopher’s stone and the implications of not having an outright positivistic outlook/ideology is what mostly distinguishes them from the complexity of ‘true positivists’. Likewise, the ordinary practices in the positivistic world of deontological and jurisprudential nature, in disparate formal constructs and settings mostly, are mostly geared to carry abstract and coherent universal virtue implications with respect to all humans as the-Good/understanding-driven formal principles constructs, however approximate their
applicative success (a principle is a notion that can coherently uphold itself, i.e. a principle is a notion that warrants that all persons covered by its ambit act the same way or are subjected to it in the same way, and not disparately, and it carries universal import; the opposite of ‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be universalised, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speak more of a temporal motive). But behind that pursuit is a covert admittance that without the deontology and jurisprudence and the corresponding induced culture as artifices (however approximate their applicative success) humans in their social dynamics do not have the inherent exclusiveness of intemporal-emanance-registry quality to ecstatically/spontaneously/solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly adhere to intemporal/universal notions on the mere basis of ‘preaching’ the intemporal/universal notions and virtues (as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) without institutionalisation design or conceptualisation! This is an unspoken recognition of the inherent reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations nature, and the need to skew/design/institutionalise/intemporalise ‘the social’ for the primacy of the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation, as second-naturing. This is equally an unspoken insight not only to modern institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation conceptualisation of the-Good (positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework). [Such an insight is equally implied in prior institutionalisations of the-Good conceptualisations wherein for instance the prophetic philosopher using the prophecy tools of their times, as the summum of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the social criticism of their
own times, won’t naively imply ‘I have preached to you thus you’ve attain the intemporal’, but rather construe insightfully of a practice (institutionalising practice) that cultivates a relative orientation towards the reinforcement of the intemporal, say like having the believers follow a whole routine from their expression of faith, praying in conscious reinforcement, to a way of living, however approximate in its applicative success in inducing an intemporal inclination.] Positivistic second-naturing of disparate frameworks of deontologies, constitutions and jurisprudence and the associated culture (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) can be seen as proto-deprocrypticism, including their individual and social internalisation in the collective consciousness, and these unsurprisingly are the few elements in the sovereignty constructs of positivistic democracies with their constituent public or private organisations and associations as well as subject matters and specialisms, that are always ferociously, blindly and without further justification upheld by regulation and law and/or newer legitimately made regulation and law even against popular whim given their ‘inherent assuredness to preserve the intemporal construct in a furtherance of intemporal-preservation percolation-channelling. Prospectively, deprocrypticism institutionalisation will imply a superseding memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure/new-mentation and further extension of formalisation as ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ of ‘deprocryptic formalisation’ into the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) implying a greater underlying demystification of procryptic reasoning by way of absolute ontological-contiguity with respect to the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances individuations nature that explains the nature of the positivistic registry-worldview ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity as we are more consciously insightful, pre-emptive and superseding of perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness with its social-construct implications; and
this insight prospectively defines the conceptualisation of the present procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as the backdrop for the deprocrypticism paradigm shift. But this equally as with all institutionalisations imply bringing to the collective consciousness a dialectically demented mental-devising-representation of the present procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension (which is prior) from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (deprocrypticism) as the new reference-of-thought, which will seem unintelligible to the prior even though it is actually more real suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, just as our representation of medievalism though more ontologically-veridical will seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought in its closed mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality. Central to the notion of deprocrypticism is ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ articulation of (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation over ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and so in a prospective dialectical-dementation (ontological-dementation) moment wherein ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontology) supersedes intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy (temporal conventioning compromise). This dichotomy between conventioning and ontology is critical to understand human mentation development along the successive institutionalisations, as transcendentental knowledge is by definition prospective and hence recognises the ontological limits/thresholds of conventioning as knowledge and virtue reference because to start with all conventioning institutionalisations are structurally in want of prospective transcendence whether as recurrent-utter-institutionalised, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism
or procrypticism in a prospective insight. Conventioning as such could only prospectively achieve reference-of-thought status when it prospectively coincides/proxies ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; the holy grail of the deprocrypticism institutionalisation ideal. [But actually a conventioning construct in contrast to attaining such a prospect of ‘abject-purism-of-ontology’ rather tends to operate on the basis of least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator for that conventioning construct, and the latter is thus the ‘effective meaningfulness-or-value-reference’ of the said conventioning construct notwithstanding any grander ontological meaningfulness-or-value-reference striving for abject-purism-of-ontology. The implication here is effectively that grander ontological and philosophical meaningfulness-or-value-references are no more pertinent in a conventioning construct than its least acceptable meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator but for discrectional or prestige basis of discrectional and disparate recognition, out of discretionary formalisation in inducing the second-naturing and internalisation for that recognition. This insight is pertinent in that in the construct of ontology driven meaningfulness-and-value-references of intellectual grounding (purism-of-ontology), it is important to grasp that the social integration of meaningfulness-and-value-references in a conventioning construct is effectively a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator-driven dynamism, and that it is by an effective utilisation of the institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism that such ‘purism-of-ontology’, by it’s the-Good, can stand out in bringing to bear its human and social emancipation potential. In the same token, thus it is equally important to grasp that primacy of meaningfulness-or-value-reference orientations in conventioning constructs do not necessarily has to do with a primacy of ontological-veridicality pertinence especially where it is not driven by intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling but by social-aggregation-enabling, notwithstanding that such a
conventioning construct may be seen as the social reference of grander meaningfulness-and-value-references in its subject area, and so fundamentally because it is a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference play-out notion and not an-abject-purism-of-ontology-reference notion.] Thus the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness in our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension should prospectively be subject to ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation with corresponding stranding-dialectics even though it won’t be intelligible from our vantage superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension point just as with all transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions. The narrative/storying technique for a comprehensive thinking-dementing dialectical representation involves articulating a comprehensive deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking narrative in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness by which varied induced mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness narratives in circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought naively arise, and over which an deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking analysis dents the mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness narratives as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to articulate an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and so whether such mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness postlogical narratives are slanting (subknowledging-impulse), miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic and their corresponding temporal enculturation/temporal-endemisation. Explained in another way, the actual depth-of-storying involves:
psychopathic insane-fitment formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing--of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic perversion-of-reference-of-thought wrongly implied as in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking (the impulsive-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness);

- and this being effectively wrongly elevated as prelogical/conviction/thinking by temporal-emanances-registries by their ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to these formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing--of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic whether unconsciously by ignorance, and consciously by affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (the temporal-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness);

- then the reference-of-thought as the intemporal-emanance-registry deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking in ‘intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness
reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) of the
two above as non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-rather-dementing as
being in veridicality psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic phenomenon of perversion-of-
reference-of-thought (the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-
thinking);

- and so, as an aetiology/ontological-escalation (the deconstruction/ontological-
reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking analytical resolution) that is essentially and
prospectively deprocrypticism; ideally such a resolution articulation technique comes down
to an enigmatic post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting
as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a procrypticism
(dementing-of-positivistic-meaningfulness) registry-worldview/dimension as ontological-
decadence/ontological-discontiguity (at positivism uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect
to deprocrypticism abject ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality (post-convergence),
and so as the bigger grounding for the resolution of the epiphenomenon/incidental-
phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy.

By the way this technique is relevant with phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought
in all registry-worldviews/dimensions. Wherein for instance in a non-positivism/medievalism
registry-worldview/dimension:

- the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/postlogism-slantedness as ‘hollow-
constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness
together with its postlogical social corollary associated with instigating accusations of
sorcery/witchcraft for instance involve formic-non-conviction-or-existential-
decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing iterative looping narratives (the impulsive mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness)


- and so, as an aetiology/ontological-escalation (the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively positivistic, just as the aetiology/ontological-escalation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is essentially deprocrypticism. Likewise, one can imagine the same type of enigmatic post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension as ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity (at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect to positivism as (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, as the bigger grounding for the epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon of say a medieval phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought like sorcery. As fundamentally, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution as against an extirpatory/temporal/non-ontological paradigm resolution fundamentally implies putting into question a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (to be transcended by a prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) that is structured to enable the endemisation and enculturation of a phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought like sorcery in the non-positivism/medievalism world; implying that an ‘intemporal-
emanance-registry mindset’ of positivistic disposition finding themselves in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will not see the proffered accusation of sorcery against them or any other individual as simply requiring defending themselves or the accused of sorcery or ‘playing out’ in the social-and-temporal-trading of that social-setup to extirpate themselves or the accused but rather project that the registry-worldview/dimension in endemising and enculturating the possibility of accusations and notions of sorcery is structurally dialectically-primitive/dialectically-out-of-phase (thus in need of prospective transcendence), and the undermining of that registry-worldview/dimension is the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution of the epiphenomenon of sorcery across metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation.

It should be noted that an intemporal or ontological or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology resolution to perversion-of-reference-of-thought in any registry-worldview/dimension is well beyond the notion of resolving just an underlying causative subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation (condition from say a physiological cause), like psychopathy in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension or a sorcerer accuser in a medieval registry-worldview/dimension. That may explain the initiation of a loss of intemporal social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena arising from postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness for instance which is then at the base of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is overall the structural/paradigmatic issue to be resolved), as temporal-emanances-registries are out of a ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) institutionalisation setup, whether at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism from the insight of their respective prospective institutionalisation as the resolution in the form of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism. The point is reality is as of post-convergence and suprastructural and doesn’t respond to and have nothing to do inherently with human mental-devising-representation incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness, as it is up to us to proxy to it and hence we can’t say we want to think-one-way or we’ve-been-thinking-a-certain-way (as categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to naively imply that reality will and should comply, as failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology speak of human mental-devising-representation dead-ends and the need for paradigm shifts. Likewise, a suprastructural conceptualisation is one construed beyond and not limited to the (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology or mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, i.e. not limited to its temporal conventioning compromise. In that sense, the knowledge notionalisation is about ‘a deterministic and operant construct preserving intemporality as ontology’. This translates as:

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct (post-convergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all recurrent-utter-institutionalised human locales beyond just an extirpatory paradigm of any human locale, requiring the dialectical-
dementation (ontological-dementation) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding base-institutionalisation as ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in a post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of ununiversalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct (post-convergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all ununiversalised human locales beyond just an extirpatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the dialectical-dementation (ontological-dementation) of ununiversalisation by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded ununiversalisation as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding universalisation as ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in a post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of non-positivism/medievalism with such phenomenon as witchcraft and its
temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct (post-convergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all non-positivism/medievalism human locales beyond just an extirpatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the dialectical-dementation (ontological-dementation) of non-positivism/medievalism by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded non-positivism/medievalism as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding positivism as ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct; and prospectively (and so, in a post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending),

and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in a post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending).

* In other words, fundamental construal about the conceptual-and-institutionalisation-phenomena has to do with how any and all conceptualisations and meaningfulness harken back to ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, qualified as the very essence of intrinsic-reality as a suprastructural and post-convergence conjoint-ontological-and-virtue-consistency upholding construct; and in so doing, explicates successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. Hence the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness mechanism that induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought in all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures effectively define each registry-worldview/dimension respective uninstitutionalised-threshold while reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting its mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology specific superseded/transcended ‘stranding-as-(mentally) oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ that is its uninstitutionalised-threshold (going by the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’). This transcended/superseded uninstitutionalised-threshold in the stranding-dialectics is a universal notion in establishing that that which is perversion-of-reference-of-thought and therefore not ontologically-veridical (superseded/transcended stranding-as-mentally- oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) or the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and that which is not perversion-of-reference-of-thought and ontologically-veridical (superseding/transcending stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) or the
institutionalised threshold. This is critical in overcoming our very own totalising–self-referencing-syncetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination with respect to procrepticism, perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness, that is, positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), and so beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness as more of a veridical post-convergence and suprastructural intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality to a veridical existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (of perversion-of-reference-of-thought) over which memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling can then occur. Otherwise, while such an insight is intuitive from our vantage positivistic registry-worldview point of reference with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions dementability/stranding-dialectics, ours will carry a complex implying wrongly it is undementable and thus non-transcendable. Such‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ applies with regards to both psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness and its corresponding postlogism-as-of-non-conviction protraction as conjugation/inflection/deriving to temporal-emanances-registries implying consciously taking such insane-fitment mantle and acting like the psychopathic character once committed from ignorance (due to the postlogical inducing of a loss of social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena that acts as a constrain to temporal-emanances-registries for institutionalisation); at which point for all effective-predicative practicalities the temporal-emanance-registry character is ‘technically psychopathic’. This is the underlying basis for the development of social psychopathy. That is, after ignorance-emanance-registry conjugation/inflection/deriving of psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness postlogism-as-of-non-conviction protraction as assuming
psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in ignorance and out of bad-or-wrong conviction, the other temporal-emanances-registries respectively involve: - (affordability-emanance-registry) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in affordability and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold, - (opportunism-emanance-registry) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in opportunism and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold, - (exacerbation-emanance-registry) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in exacerbation and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold; - (social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation-emanance-registry) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold; - (temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-emanance-registry) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold. What is specific about a mental-devising-representation of psychopathic/postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought and its protraction as social psychopathy to temporal-emanances-registries (not to be confused with the spontaneous conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex of wrongly implying prelogism-as-of-conviction as bad/poor conviction—wrongly implying logical nested-congruence—wrongly implying a logical contention); the specificity lies in the notion of ‘EMPTINESS of psychopathic/postlogical iterative looping
narratives/affirmations and the conjugation/inflection/derivation of that EMPTINESS to the temporal-emanances-registries as postlogical/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-conjoining-looping-sets-of-narratives-(construed-as-of-slanted-cohering-‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’-of-the-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought,-and-avoiding-any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging). It is the ‘reflection/perspectivation’ of this EMPTINESS of narratives/affirmations that is behind the notion of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and so as intemporal deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking insight over mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness distraction. In fact, the technique for dementing involves mentally interceding/intermediating the reflected/perspectivated insight of a postlogical interlocutor’s hollow-narratives or derived-hollow-narratives with emptiness to reflect/perspectivate its unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a manifestation of as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect given the narrative ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity. It is critical to note that this EMPTINESS of mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as the uninstitutionalised-threshold of (ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation) stranding-dialectics mentally-representing prior transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phasing-or-dialectical-primitivity with respect to prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represented as mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; is the underlying process that permits the ‘transcendental shifting of reference-of-thought (enabling ontological-normalcy/prospective-
transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) to the registry of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension while the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer an reference-of-thought but a dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive meaningful syncretising reference. This process basically explains ontologically why and how humans from the very beginning to today are the same as it fundamentally grasps the dynamism of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that elucidates our human contiguous anthropological-continuity or anthropopsychology. Further, in the practical elucidation of social issues having to do with an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) like psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy, it points out that the critical point is to understand what meaningful registry is the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ as reflected/perspectivated by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and what is rather non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing and hence demented as reflected/perspectivated by mental-slantedness/decandoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; and so in an underlying conceptual framework of ontology as an ideal that pulls the social towards the intemporal and the real nature of the social rather as a ‘conventioning construct’ that while susceptible to ontological/intemporal influence is equally the milieu of temporal drawbacks that need to be critically undermined including with ‘knowledge notionalisation’ involving not only the study of the ideal but ‘understanding how temporal dispositions arise and work’ to better skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality/ontology as institutionalisation/intemporalisation together with differentiating between good-naturedness which is rather impression-driven, vague and might
actually be precarious by its meaningful disposition to extrinsic-attribution and associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the-Good which is about understanding in ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework how reality is/how things work to deliver virtue and hence is the basis for formalisations, and actually the ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ has been the process by which throughout human history, increasingly segments of social thinking (present-day subject-matters) are taken out of common hotchpotching and undisambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to be given ‘formal deferential status’ to ensure the supersedingness and internalisation of intemporal-emanance-registry inclination to ontological-veridicality. This ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation insight brings up another definition of the memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposuring process relating human mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology with the post-convergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality, wherein we can imagine ‘an initial state for memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of base-dementation and imagine a completed state of memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-dementation’, with the underlying mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology taking/institutionalising/intemporalising the abstract human mind from base-dementation to non-dementation; involving at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, internal-contradictions induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, stranding-dialectics divulging prospectively perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-
uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values. While this process had occurred priorly rather beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and up to positivism, it will possibly be more driven as-of-consciousness-awareness-teleology when it comes to attaining deprocripticism as the latter registry-worldview/dimension is actually weaker than the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions in eliciting a positive-opportunism and will more strongly depend on percolation-channelling of intemporality to be realised. Dementing as thus implied can be defined as the reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness with respect to ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) as reference-of-thought in a post-convergence and suprastructural proxying of intrinsic-reality, beyond mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology within any given registry-worldview/dimension representation of meaningfulness. The storying/narrating technique for relating dementing will involve projecting suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence in the transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension for ‘ontological-reference meaningfulness’ as the intemporal-emanance-registry (in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking), while representing temporal-emanances-registries as rather in the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension (totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought)-meaningfulness which is not-of-ontological-reference, and in the place of the temporal-emanances-registries (in-
circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulnessss) totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-pervation-of-reference-of-thought wrongful-stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, imply their rightful-stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; just as all prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represent-and-relate-with their prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, even though all the latter naturally by totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag resist such representation by the former. Noting as well that teleologically, the transcending/superseding and the transcended/superseded are in transversality/logical-incongruence. That is, the two ‘reason pass each other’ (wherein the transcending/superseding is deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking while the transcended is in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness) as the transcending/superseding is involved in ‘reasoning-through/over’ and not ‘reasoning-with’ the transcended/superseded (this explains why transcendence is ‘an institutionalisation-constraining/second-naturing process’ and not ‘an emanances/first-nature transformation process’), just as a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought ‘can only be in reasoning-through/abjection over’ a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought and ‘not reasoning-with’ it as otherwise the former wrongly validates that there is no medieval mindset/reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising (that defines medievalism as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase not only with regards to a narrative/implicitation-
of-act-execution-defect but comprehensive narratives as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect given the rather continuous totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of medieval meaningfulfulness, and warrants in lieu of any pretence of medieval mindset/reference-of-thought contention, which is rather a manifestation of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as medieval meaningfulfulness, a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place for the notion of mutual contention to even arise) and in so doing wrongly validating the medieval meaningful frame (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-elements-of: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) as mentally sound. It is the cause-and-effect-effective-predication by its grander grasp of intrinsic-reality that by way of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and social universal-transparency imposes cross-generationally the dominant as transcending/superseding meaningfulness over the dominated as transcended/superseded meaningfulness (there is no social-and-temporal-trading in that regard); as the intrinsic-reality that the transcending/superseding meaningfulness carries is suprastructural and post-convergence and doesn’t adjust to the mortals, that we are, ‘social-and-temporal-trading’, otherwise the supposedly transcending/superseding compromises itself with respect to intrinsic-reality and losses its pertinence as a proxying reference-of-thought to intrinsic-
reality, to start with. Such an insight can be garnered as, for instance, in the natural sciences we can’t negotiate about gravity being 9.8 m/s^2, but with ‘the social’ which is rather ‘emotionally involved’, such negotiated social-and-temporal-trading idiocy is surprisingly quite recurrently articulated. It should be noted that the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in upholding a mental-devising-representation of temporal-emanances-registries as rightfully-stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (dementing) is rather a comprehensive intemporality-preserving ontological-entrapment of the ‘contiguity of the ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity’ (i.e., absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic-by-psychopathic-‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts/other-temporal-emanances-registries-‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex in wrongly implying and exploiting the conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex so as to wrongly align to the next looped narratives as straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase whereas veridically these are also in ontological-discontiguity-contiguity as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dementing-and-not-thinking), as the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing state of temporal-emanances-registries more than just about specific narratives (of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-perversion-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing) but rather in as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect/not-just-an-implicitation-of-act-execution-defect-but-registry-worldview-or-
dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-with-corresponding-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising and the rightful-stranding-as-mentally-
oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase recurrently, for all
registry-worldviews/dimensions (in-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-dementing-or-
subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought), that suprastructurally and in
ontological-normalcy/post-convergence defines any specific registry-worldview/dimension
dialectical-primitivity whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation,
non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The
bigger point is that fundamentally one can’t conjugate/inflect/derive intemporality out of
demonstrated temporality (contiguity of ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity’) as
then one is just in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-
drag and wrongly implying the registry-worldview/dimension is beyond transcendence or is
non-transcendable (hence undementable/still-dialectically-thinking) when in fact it is
This latter idea is actually the totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex of all prior/transcended/superseded
registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the suggestion of
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions, as we can appreciate
from our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure process to be rather not true with prior transcendences though we’ll in turn
obviously act by reflex in totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with respect to the suggestion of
prospective transcendence undermining our registry-worldview’s/dimension’s categorical-
imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation.
The post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality as such explains why ontological-veridicality is rather a reasoning-through/abjection to apprehend intrinsic-reality, over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is more about ‘mutual human conceptual negotiation about reality’ (given that the former emphasises ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as all-determinant); with reasoning-through/abjection generally implied in formal constructs and settings while informal constructs and settings tend more to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and hence are highly teleologically-degraded as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework settings. The reason is that formal constructs and settings emphasise ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness in longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and hence are equally highly deferential by their first-emanance-nature whereas informal constructs and settings do not constrain temporal-emanances-registries dispositions and hence are highly subjected to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and are unsurprisingly rather not deferential by their first-emanance-nature given that they are opened to hotchpotching/undisambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness points out that conventioning constructs like sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic do not supersede the post-convergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/intrinsic-veridicality, as may be naively advance with circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, such that just as the conventioning construct of non-positivism/medievalism cannot be evoked to imply that with respect to a non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought a prospective positivism mindset, which is the outcrop of an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’-as-conflatedness exercise in non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, is unwarranted. Likewise, it is rather naïve and totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to advance circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought concerning psychopathic and its social psychopathic collorary (perversion-of-reference-of-thought) in wrongly implying that a deprocrypticism aetiology/ontological-escalation is unwarranted. More like the evocation of circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought about a past war criminal or rapist based on conventioning constructs like their being in the past, their settled lives, etc. doesn’t dispense them from ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, the need for their judgment and/or in advocating unfailingly/infallibly the uncompromising notions against rape or war crimes, and so without conjugating/inflecting/deriving any excepting human temporal circumstances into it by circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. This further point to the dichotomy between temporal-compromising-conventioning and ontology, with an institutionalisation dialectics wherein ontology as reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation perpetually elevates conventioning. This further translates in the conceptualisation of value-and-valor with the implication that while aspiring for temporal values and valor may be the standard/averaging-of-thought perception, however, grander value and valor effectively lies in the universalising and philosophising orientations (as ontological-profoundness-of-thought/ontological-normalcy in contrast to conventioning-profoundness-of-thought/intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy) that enable the possibility, the construct and the upholding of human emancipation across successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in the very first place, that is, emancipation into base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and
prospectively deprocripticism. Aristotle’s advocating of the ‘golden mean’ is more of a heuristic and aesthetic notion but doesn’t have an ontological basis as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reference of ‘ontological-contiguity’ but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured qualities as being ontological (rather than the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation validated by ontological-contiguity or a ratio-conguity notion), and since the institutionalisation process shows that ‘good-naturedness’, without the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-contiguity, fundamentally has little import or worst bad implications. The truest value and valor resided in what Aristotle and other thinkers or even prophets were striving for actually. Aristotle nor Socrates nor Plato nor the prophets (working rather more assertively on supernatural paradigms) nor latter thinkers like Descartes, Kant, Darwin, Leibniz, Rousseau strove for the golden mean in their overall endeavours. Rather from an ontologically verifiable reality as a the-Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/ontological-contiguity they actually aspired for ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, that is, they were prioritising and focussing on that which establishes universal and philosophical principles as first-order-ontology for-prospective-living as the backdrop for enabling better human emancipation and living (even though where relevant this will subsume-as-supplant-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the golden mean into ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness but with the latter rather superseding/encompassing it). It is the establishment of such first-order-ontology for-
prospective-living as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism which are of transcendental nature as ‘shaping the human psyche’ and providing the emancipatory umbrella for second-order-ontology and their temporal yearnings which are rather non-transcendental and cannot structurally resolve fundamental issues, and of circular institutionalised-being-and-craft. A Rousseau may not be the ‘shrewdest aristocrat’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ordinary value of personal gain of the medieval world but the first-order-ontology resolution of issues of social emancipation passes by his and likeminded first-order-ontology philosophical projection. This certainly applies with regards to defining transformative impact of transcendental constructs across all registry-worldviews/dimensions that does not compare with ordinary being-and-craft second-order-ontology sense of value which is rather intradimensionally circular and is hardly of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm addressed from first-order-ontology constructs. Granted if humans had absolute mentation capacity then ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness will be skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) or rather supersede/encompass all such desirabilities implied by the golden mean. However, we don’t have absolute mentation capacity and the most intemporal of our dispositions should take pride of place in defining our achievement motives whether as philosophies, causes, skillsets and talents in our value and valor aspirations, in line with the notion of a true principle, with the implication that such value and valor is capable of rationally upholding itself and its registry-worldview prospectively when implied universally. Such an insight can further be expanded thus, it is critical to note that the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are developments of human mentation capacity in grasping its ‘internal ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction intermediating environment’
and the external environment. The former refers to the teleological devised representation of
the relationship with the external environment like language, organisation, culture and other
institutional construct by which it existentially accesses the external environment. In effect,
though counterintuitive, human institutionalisation is actually an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-
of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation pre-emptive construct
which paradoxically elicits devised mentation that goes on to build the ‘internal ontological-
reconstituting/deconstruction) intermediating environment’. Thus in effect base-
institutionalisation is the outcome of the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-
as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation pre-emption of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
(recurrent/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising for dementing/subknowledging/perversion-
of-reference-of-thought), universalisation is the outcome of the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-
reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation pre-emption of ununiversalisation (dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising of base-uninstitutionalisation), positivism is the
referencing-syncretising of universalisation) and prospectively, deprocrypticism is the
outcome of the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation pre-emption of procrypticism, so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectically-thinking-
teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality’; and so, in the relation between human
developing mentation capacity and suprastructural-and-post-convergence-intrinsic-reality in
ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). In this regard,
transcendental institutionalisation is basically an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation pre-emptive conceptualisation. Such
‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation
pre-empting that actually create institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures’ is in
fact the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-
framework which in the face of ontological-normalcy as prospective-transcendence-in-
perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-
preservation harkens back to ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework to establish
prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation (as the corresponding mental-devising-
representation of the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of
reference-of-thought’ as stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-
contendingly-in-phase) to-meet-up/proxy-with the ever dialectically suprastructural and post-
convergence intrinsic-reality, explaining the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation,
universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, as reflected/perspectivated by
their deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking. This
contrasts with the defective good-natured construct as impression-driven and
intradimensionally-tied and all so apt to existentially fail ontological-normalcy/prospective-
transcendence-in-perpetually-failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-
ontological-preservation as it is rather tied to and proxies, by mere form, with
intradimensional categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation irrespective of whether these
are failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or-ontological-preservation; and thus as the corresponding mental-devising-
representation of the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of
reference-of-thought’ as stranded-as-oblengated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, explaining the as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect, reflected in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of registry-teleology-mentation, behind this mental-devicing-representation of the registry-worldviews/dimensions of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism as reflected/perspectivated by their mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness.

Briefly, such an anthropopsychological/the-anthropological-continuity conceptualisation as articulated above further enables the insightful conceptualisation of a ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for emanances-registries-disambiguation articulation) analysis’ as expanded upon below, in the ‘ephemerality that is the social-construct’, on the basis of a post-convergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation understanding of the social-construct. This is central in articulating a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocrypticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism):

- Institutionalised/uninstitutionalised thresholdings of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
- Stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence in dialecticism of contrastive totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-
wrongfully-as-straight/candored and stranding-rightfully-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored.


In the bigger scheme of things, anthropopsychology as the-anthropological-continuity as
implied by intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
relation to reality as post-convergence/precedingness points out that at registry-
worldview/dimension-level ontology as the transcending dimension is veridically an abject
organicalism (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-
thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) over
mechanicalism (mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-
intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness which is the transcended
dimension. Further, such abject organicalism (deconstruction/ontological-
reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’-as-conflatedness) in implying registry-worldview/dimension transcendence takes
stock of human perversion-of-reference-of-thought in full dispositional capacity (as such
manifestation in dispositional perversion-of-reference-of-thought fullness in particular
highlights a highly compromised and degraded social-construct validating such abject
organicalism even if it seem counterintuitive to the transcended registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s illusion-of-the-present perception. * So it is important to understand
with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy that the level of profoundness of its
manifestation and consequences is directly related to the level of the associated perversion-
of-reference-of-thought compromised and degradation of the social construct!])

- the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
intemporal-to-temporal-emanances-registries disambiguation (straightness-to-
slantedness/candored-to-decandored) human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
disposition which is ontological correct as contrasted to an ontologically wrong impression-
driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation which wrongly references as human
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework just an intemporal-emanance-registry
universally among all humans (straightness/candored only), at uninstitutionalised-threshold;
while the latter will tend to be ontologically impertinent and wrong as it doesn’t account for temporal emanances and is hence not capable like the the-Good conceptualisation, working with what veridically is, to anticipate and pre-empt subknowledging/mimicking-and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising to achieve veridical ontological/intemporal virtue.

- ‘emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetilogisation (speaking-abstractly-to-metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetilogisation/ontological-escalation/a-deterministic-and-predicative-‘being-construal’ as contrasted to just an ‘act construal’) to reflect by stranding (as decandored/oblongated) to represent the ‘existential being ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ in an ontological entrapment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels at the uninstitutionalised-threshold.

- Institutional recomposuring implying that the fundamental issue of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework across all registry-worldviews/dimensions for survival-and-flourishing along the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is about ‘temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries disambiguation and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference for the intemporal-emanance-registry’ but dealt with indirectly progressively by organising rules constraining as base-institutionalisation, projecting rules constraining as universalisation, empirical rules constraining as positivism and coming full cycle with deprocrypticism for a direct treatment as ‘temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries disambiguation and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference for the intemporal-emanance-registry rules’ as deprocrypticism.

*Such ‘CREATIVE EXISTENTIALISM (FULL-EXISTENTIAL-DEPTH-IMPLICATIONS) STORYING CONSTRUAL’ will utilise the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ to articulate relevant issues of ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ together with the implied percolation channels for transcendence highlighting for such successive issues the temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries teleologies involved, analogical to concentric-cycles of teleological storying development, as follows: ONTOLOGY-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as organicalism teleology or intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—ONTOLOGICAL-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in-an-ontological-discontiguity teleology or distinctive-slantedness teleology or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated teleology; striving to undermine organicalism-or-intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—to—ONTOLOGICAL-DECADENCE-INTEGRATION-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as contiguity-of-ontological-discontiguity teleology or mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness aligning to meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated teleology; with the temporal-emanances-registries teleologies of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ as these integrate/align-in-conviction-to psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness resulting into their miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-logic)—to—ONTOGONICAL-ESCULATION-TELEOLOGY (as ontological entrapment involving an intemporal teleology for stranding the temporal-emanances-registries as oblongated/decandored and ‘dialectically-aligning-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with them’, as the backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence principle teleology. That is, relating to them as ‘dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention’ with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-normalcy/post-convergence at the procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold). And all these, as emanances-registries-disambiguation conceptualisation of perverse/low teleologies to higher teleologies. (That is, temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries teleological reference of solipsistic grandeur as the differentiating element of characters conviction depth highlighting-and-tracing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, based on the fundamental fact that ‘registry/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought precedes logic’. This equally explains the reason for stranding-dialectics including with regards to registry-worldview/dimension stranding where the veridicality of the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework narratives is shown to be of perverse/low teleology ontologically
speaking). The ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal registries-disambiguation) scheme’ is equally critical in other respects. It rightfully prevents the ontological mental-devising-representation from being flipped from formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing narratives and wrongly represented parasitising/co-optingally as prelogical/conviction/ontologically-veridical narratives to be contended with rather than being rightfully reflected/perspectivated (in-reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) as manifestations of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-protracted-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/mimicking-and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, as it is rightfully perceived during the psychopath’s childhood when the psychopath is ‘delirious’ as at the underdeveloped stage it is not decisively maturated, not decisively indirect, not decisively spatialising, not decisively credulous and not decisively crafty). Thirdly, the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal registries-disambiguation) scheme’ equally prevents the relaying of the postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-as-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-formic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ initiated from the psychopath to its interlocutors, to wrongly imply that the veridicality of its interlocutors narratives induced postlogically/in-nonconviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing then wrongly become prelogical/conviction, and as this conjugates/inflects (in-mimicking-protraction) with the temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfutre-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-
temporal-endemisation, and inducing miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi
conventioning-logic/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Finally, the
‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-
emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ allows for
the possibility of a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) both
psychopathic postlogical subknowledge-impulse/impulsive-demention (ontological-
decadence/ontological-discontiguity/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-
preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-
looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-
reference-but-ontologically-or-contendinly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-
veridical-thinking-reference-rather-impulsive-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-
of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) and the conjugated/inflected/derived temporal-
emanances-registries ontological-decadence-integration (ontological-discontiguity-
contiguity/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-
contiguity-as-absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic-or-‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-
intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-
of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex-to-the-‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-
preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-
looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-which-is-not-of-ontological-reference/not-of-
contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-
dementing-since-it-is-not-of-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-
reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) as perversion-of-
Of course, this is more like a ‘notional template’ in a ‘dynamics of benign implications to grave existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications’ articulated over a functional social-construct which however ‘endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy rather at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positivistic meaningfulness categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—on-ontological-preservation perversion-of-reference-of-thought known as procrypticism or emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real, requiring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (for the furtherance of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality). Further, it is important to appreciate that just as with the profoundness of treatment of subject-matters and specialisms (and even more so with regards to ‘the social’ given its characteristic ‘emotional involvement’ aspect), corresponding subject-matter ‘focussing of analysis and jargon’ will seem rather unusual and unnatural to ‘ordinary thinking’. But then ‘ordinary thinking’ is responsible for mostly nothing, if not thinking mostly in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and cannot be made a reference of formal thinking as issues requiring profound treatment invariably are construed based mostly on unordinary formal constructs which, granted, should be able to ultimately by their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework demonstrate that such formal constructs are the best ontological and virtue conceptualisation with regards to the issue or domain of concern. That’s why the populace is not asked its opinion about the law or astronomy or medicine, for instance, as the need for deferential-formalisation-transference arises for the effective ontological/intemporal treatment of domains of reality but for when the issues at stake require a sovereignty exercise requiring individuals informed consent whether political
or decisional or rather as social learning/inculcation exercise; but then sovereignty exercises are not pure knowledge/ontological constructs but for the construals/conceptualisations of inherently sovereign choices as knowledge/ontological constructs of the sovereign choices. Thirdly, the conceptualisation of this paper is rather unusual and unordinary as it is transcendental by its construct and the implied registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and even further unusual by its phenomenological and hermeneutics methodological approaches, which frankly speaking is the only way to creatively garner such insights in broad strokes. Like with all transcendental constructs, which by definition tend to put the usual/ordinary in question, it is not surprising that it will sound highly alienating to ordinary ways of thought. However, its ethos is that it is coming from a depth of conceptualisation that is more profound than our ordinariness when it grasps that other institutionalisations whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, had their own ‘ordinariness’ in totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag no less than we do, and that the underlying ontological reasoning is beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, of any registry-worldview/dimension including our positivistic meaningful frame, to arrive at a superseding and more profound ontological-veridicality or grasp of intrinsic-reality with corresponding illuminating implications. In that sense, an argument of the type our society is great as it is, will then be meted with a same argument that there were great things happening in medieval times as well and maybe we shouldn’t have transcended into positivism; speaking of a fundamental solipsistic intellectual-bad-faith. One could argue in the logic of those times, the serfs were doing great feeding themselves, as many did argue; and there was no need for science, as many did argue, etc. The fact is we are
the outcrop of the possibility and potential for human transcendence before which doesn’t end with us but proceeds to undermine our own registry-worldview/dimension as well. Fourthly, it is obvious that if and where what is factored in is only the folksy lifespan perspectives of individuals existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of shallowness of scale and time, without the requisite philosophical depth requiring a profound appreciation, understanding and insights from ‘humanity existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level scale and time’ which easily gets lost, and thus this bigger pursuit of this paper will be lost and misunderstood by such a shallowness of scale and time of thought, and non-contemplation and pseudologism as a mark of banality/folksy-logic. It is inevitable, as has been the case throughout the human past, that transcendental ideas are inevitably suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension in which such notions are being advanced in. Fifthly, it is more likely that a banal/folksy inclination may hardly appreciate the difference between the outcome of a mindset/reference-of-thought as a second-naturedness and internalisation construct across successive institutionalisations with their requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling, memetic-reordering and institutional-recomposure induced from intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuation disposition, and correspondingly differentiate between being so-institutionalised with a second-natured and internalisation mindset/reference-of-thought and the intemporal-emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-individuation-kind disposition that will equally be responsible out of mere intemporal-solipsism/ontological-notion-or-ontological-fideism (and no second-naturing and internalisation) for institutionalising/intemporalising with regards to the present registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that will be behind the second-naturing and internalisation of prospective registry-
worldview/dimension. This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly determined by the post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’ though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought, defining their specificities and potentials.

This is just a basic anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity elucidation which while original and useful on its own right, is equally pertinent for an insight in the social manifestation of psychopathy. Besides, one can imagine that a thorough grasp and creative application of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology or ontological-normalcy or post-convergence drive, as this psychologically reflects/perspectivates dialectically stranding-dialectics or totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of mental-devising-representation by which human transcendences occur can ultimately be the avenue for liberating the human mind to its full potential and directed transcending capacity. That is, transcendental capacity not only by way of a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction behind the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure history but a ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding, more like deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism could-be and would-need-to-be
relatively highly consciously directed given the relatively lower immediate positive-opportunism (for survival-and-flourishing to the cross-section of human temporal interests) compared to the lower transcendences like base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, but for its abstract veridical pertinence and potentially grander possibilities in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels. Such a veering to the creatively abstract, with respect to the philosophical and the social sciences, but nonetheless ontologically veridical will be liberating/emancipatory from the ‘spontaneously natural dialectical cycle of human progress’ and is increasingly certain to be the defining feature of human civilisation.

It should be noted that Entropy as defined (‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’) relates that the intemporal-preservation-institutionalisation entropy is the preceding-and-defining reference for the hermeneutic-referencing of the ontological meaning of all other associated conceptualisations and notions. (By ontological meaning is implied intemporal/veridical/purism/operant-construct/predicative-effectivity meaning or ontology/reality-centred-meaning as contrasted to temporal/non-veridicalcompromised/non-operant-and-vague/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising meaning or metaphysical/speculative/banality/social-discomfiture/temporal-human-centred meaning).

Central to the hermeneutics approach towards elucidating psychopathy and the underlying psychological science is a method I qualify as ‘referentialism’ which makes reference to the supersedingness/precedingness of the ‘intemporal preservation institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy/contiguity’ before articulating concepts and notions in referential and organic elucidation of the entropic construct. Referentialism as such is actually central to the spontaneity required in hermeneutics. It differs from the traditional
scientific categorisation of concepts and notions, in that referentialism implies a highly contiguous, circumstantial and dynamic referencing elucidating of the superseding/preceding entropic notion while categorisation tends to be basically constitutive, definitive and ‘weakly contiguous/relatively-fragmented overall’ in its elucidation of notions, concepts and ideas. Categorisation has been very efficient with the physical and biological sciences with its classification approach enabling a profoundness of analysis while enabling excellent subject matter organisation. However, this author is of the opinion that categorisation as an approach is actually less efficient in the social sciences (and notions of an ephemeral character) as it underemphasises the ‘organic dynamism’ of social concepts and often leads to relatively trite classification schemes that are often inoperant or poorly operant given the relative ephemerality of the social world (a weakness of many categorisation classification schemes in the social sciences). On the other hand, referentialism carries the promise of ‘point-referencing’ notions and concepts in a contiguously dynamic, evolving and ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction way, putting emphasis on the relative relation of concepts and notions towards the central notion in its dynamic entropic conceptualisation. This author is also of the opinion that referentialism is actually the natural human cognitive development approach to acquisition and classification of knowledge with emphasis on ‘the organic dynamics of understanding’ wherein a child for instance doesn’t necessarily grasp outright the fullness of concepts-of-meanings but rather the ‘relevant dynamic contextualisation of meanings’ ensuring a strongly operant and ‘wealthy’ relationship with meaning in the social context.

‘Intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’ with respect to uninstitutionalised-threshold of registry-worldviews/dimensions, can be construed as follows:
Supposed all humanity across space and time that ever existed was just ‘one human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal individuation’, the process of general-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively to deprocrypticism, is actually one same process but for ‘lack of the human-mentation-capacity and need for time for the cumulation of the mentation-capacity’ (lack of ‘brain capacity’) to get it all right from the start (i.e. to fully grasp deprocrypticism starting from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism as convergent concepts towards deprocrypticism (as ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of the institutionalisation-process, as induced by maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ and involving more profound/richer ontological-levels over shallower/poorer ontological-levels; with deprocrypticism thus implying a ‘full-cycle institutionalisation process undermining of subknowledging/mimicking/emanant-uninstitutionalisation-disposition’). Thus the successive institutionalisations are thus construed as ‘levels of compromise’ allowing for sufficient human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) to handle the requisite transcendence even if from the very start the human doesn’t get a grasp of ‘higher institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions’ all-at-once/as-a-whole but achieves the ‘comprehensive institutionalisation/intemporalisation frame’ only at deprocrypticism; as it goes on to take on the successive challenges of base-institutionalising, then universalising, then positivising, and finally with deprocrypticism absolute ontological-contiguity by undermining ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-
as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-in-arrogation’ (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). It should be noted that the issue of procrypticism had always been present at all times of human existence but the natural priority going by human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) was first to have a base-institutionalisation institutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation before prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation; more precisely, previous institutional-recomposes are indirectly (skewing towards) addressing base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, up to the point of the respective institutionalisation/intemporalisation-recomposure where the reference-of-thought-as-the-registry-worldview is directly addressed. This thus explains post-convergence across human mental-devising-representation as changes to accommodate intrinsic reality by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposures of successive illusions-of-the-present/present-consciousnesses/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage at these successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels including the positivism–procrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, towards intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; that has and will never change, and by way of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primeרוס-totalitative-framework inducing of social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primeרוס-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena and internal logical coherence/contradiction this then validates the need for human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering. In the bigger scheme of things, it points to the fact that ontologically for the full potential of human science, this should be ‘rising from this fundamental philosophical depth/profoundness of thought’ to then transversally address the issues it raises while projecting prospectively.
A further insight can be grasped regarding the relationship between psychopathy, anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity, veridicality (intrinsic reality/ontological representation), non-veridical reality (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence), human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, and registry-worldviews/dimensions (of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism). Psychopathy points to the psychopath’s postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness but postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is equally socially conceptualised. Postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated is not veridical and its genuine mental-devising-representation is ‘a slantedness of the mind/mental-slantedness’ (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention/dialectically-primitive), as there ‘can’t be mutual logical operation/no logical nested-congruence’ between non-veridical postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and veridical prelogism-as-of-conviction, but for a dialectically-or-
contendingly-out-of-phase (as-the-temporal-mind-is-dialectically-out-of-phase) ‘ordered construct from the superseding registry-worldview/dimension validated by ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework and implying a psychoanalytic-unshackling of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview’. For instance, there isn’t any logical nested-congruence between the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought and the positivistic mindset). A positivistic mind can’t explain the denaturing of the notion of witchcraft to a non-positivism/medievalism mindset as the state of being of non-positivism/medievalism means we make reference to non-positivism/medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that end up endemising/enculturating such superstitious notions. Logic as logical-congruence only arises where there is a mutual registry-worldview reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. What is thus needed is a ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ of the medieval mindset/reference-of-thought (which is subknowledging/mimicking) wherein the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generated by the positivist’s scientism (superseding) makes the medieval mind put in question its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place. This ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling process’ equally applies prospectively (regarding the positivism–procrypticism and the deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the phenomena of social psychopathy, it is important to grasp that the reflex to mentally represent the narratives of the psychopath and the protraction of the narratives by temporal conviction or prelogism minds as ‘straightness/candor/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness of mind’ is wrong, ‘mental-slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is thus called for, more like we perceive the ‘slantedness of a childhood cinglé’ (in terms-as-of-
axiomatic-construct of the mental state of the psychopath as well as its protraction on the psychopath’s interlocutor). In other words, *the mind is actually a mental devising tool’ whose veracity/ontological-pertinence must be validated by an abstractly veridical intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In other words, the abstract grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality defines mental-devising-representation as the latter is not inherently given (it is a devising tool validated by abstract intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality established by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. For instance, while the traditional reflex of the human mental-devising-representation is disposed to think otherwise, Einstein theory-of-relativity abstraction, and likewise with many conceptualisations of a doppler-thinking nature, is more real by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus pointing to the error of the human reflex/impulse thinking). In another light, this explains the transformative evolution of our registry-worldviews/dimensions mental-devising-representations of reality from the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised earlymen to our current positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, with the insight that our mental-devising-representation will evolve when prospective abstract reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework shows that it is defective/perverted as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, from a deprocryptic mental-devising-representation.

In the same vain, why we perceive the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mind as that of ‘a savage’, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised in its ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence perceives its mind as straight/candored and as of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-
teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, i.e. transcedence as deprocrypticism. It is a psychoanalytic-unshackling ordered construct (as-the-temporal-minds-pedestals-are-out-of-phase-dialectically-or-dialectically-primitive-by-a-bare-matter-of-fact) from the intemporal-solipsistic/emanant-registry-pedestal in transversality/logical-incongruence. The bigger scheme of things being the structural/paradigmatic pre-emption of a defective/perverted registry-worldview, in this case procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such an emanant insight can be garnered from the fact that, positivism was established by the ‘diktat’/ordered-construct of the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Rousseaux, Newtons, Darwins… of the world, and the rest of humanity complied to the formalisms that ensue, by virtue of their proxying-to-intrinsic-reality and the positive-opportunism that led to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (towards human formalisation and internalisation)!

As registry-worldview/dimension defects or denaturing are responsible for the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension; noting that the fundamental construction is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primeovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation’ making reference to ontological-primeovers-totalitative-framework and not a vague ‘impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation’ making reference to the banality/averaging-of-thought as may illusionary be projected intradimensionally/intra-registry-worldview (the latter being represented as oblongated non-veridical narratives by the prospective intemporal-emanance-registry-worldview)! The reason why virtue (knowledge is virtue) is treated scientifically as highlighted above is that virtue is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ and not a ‘good-natured/impression construct’. For instance, no non-positivism/medieval mindset is ‘good-natured/vague by the registry-worldview/dimension impression’ enough with the
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fundamental defective/perverted non-positivism/medieval worldview to be able to address ‘the-Good/understanding’ of a positivistic mindset which will resolve or structurally-rendered-inoperant the problems of superstition and witchcraft as the former will always make reference to the defective/perverted categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of non-positivism/medievalism no matter how ‘good-natured/impression-driven’ it is. The same applies with procrypticism and deprocrypticism. No procryptic (emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real-set-of-narratives) mind is impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness enough to have the requisite ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ insight to resolve/structurally-rendered-inoperant the issues of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism as it is the deprocryptic mind’s ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ that is the virtue that carries the sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives to be able to do this.

- the-Good is an intemporal/ontological articulation referencing intemporality in a contiguous emanance of ‘transcendental/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ and corresponding derived categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; and is imbued with the ‘memetic reordering contiguity’ of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (base-institutionalisation-to-universalisation-to-positivism-to- deprocrypticism, and thereafter). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is notionally more of ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity) rather than a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative impressions).

- ‘Good-naturedness’ is a temporal articulation that wrongly references (distractively) for temporality-sake registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology priorly-and-over ‘transcending/superseding abstract
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’; and is imbued with the memetic ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) that undermines institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposure). Good-naturedness is notionally more of a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative stigmatising) rather than ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity).

- Virtue (retrospectively to prospectively) is not determined by ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven construal/conceptualisation of meaning but rather by a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation of meaning as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (the emanant/becoming post-convergence determinant of veridicality/the-quality-of-being-emanantly-real). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) as per veridicality demonstrated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is the complete and sufficient elaborative framework for conceptualising virtue! Such ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is rather tangentially the purview of increasing realism of the institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposure) as it is contiguous with ‘human transcending across shifting virtue paradigms for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering); going from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (impulsive-or-accidented-or-haphazard-or-random mental-disposition), base-institutionalisation (mythologies paradigm, which is of nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-

Such an articulation of the human, retrospective and prospective, skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards/development of virtue is grounded in a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven conceptualisation on veridicality established by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation. The overarching and defining notion is that each registry-worldview/dimension is only capable of the virtue reflected by its intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. In other words,
a registry-worldview/dimension defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought is responsible for the vices-and-impediments of that registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought’; and, requiring prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and pre-emption of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Thus structurally it is the prospective registry-worldview/dimension which is always the ‘prospective virtue potential’ for the prior-superseded registry-worldview/dimension. Basically, base-institutionalisation enabled the virtuous resolution of vices-and-impediments of the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and likewise with universalisation and ununiversalisation, positivism and non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively, deprocrypticism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In the present world, we no longer do institutional slavery, we talk of universal rights and equality of all people, mob judgment and mob killing is hardly practised anymore, accusations of witchcraft are now viewed as ridiculous, etc.; it is the integration of a positivist registry-worldview/dimension, with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that enabled such human transformation from a non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension; and not the inherent exceptionalism, as biological or otherwise, of humans living now over their forerunners.

Basically, human ‘conviction deductive reasoning’ as prelogism is effectively a sound construct for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and hence virtue; that is, so long as it is adhered to properly. However, this is not the case on two grounds. It is critical to distinguish a defect in improper processing/operating of conviction or prelogism which is rather construed as a singular/ad hoc ‘implicitation-of-act-execution
defect’ and can be then qualified as a ‘poor conviction’ or a ‘bad conviction’; it being nonetheless a conviction or prelogism as it holds the teleological aim of ‘intemporal preservation with a principled adherence to conviction’ even though it delivered an inappropriate/poor-or-bad logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation. On the other hand, a defect of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing-postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness operates on the ‘parasitising/co-opting’ basis that intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are formulaic-formic determinants of human thought and action and is the basis for perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Such a defect is ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ as it rather holds the teleological aim of ‘temporal preservation/undermining-of-intemporal-preservation without a principled adherence to prelogism-as-of-conviction’ and thus speaks to the disposition to act likewise technically in a large or infinite number of cases (syncretising). It should be noted that temporal dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) are in-of-themselves act defects and not being defects. However, such temporal-emanances-registries are as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect when these relay postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-as-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-formic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing (whether of the psychopath or not) inducing narratives that are
slanted/demented/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/in-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logico-contention and are dialectically-primitive; due to the miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation (occurring at the specific temporal dispositions). For instance, going by the BODMAS equation highlighted before, the mere operation of arithmetic without factoring in A’s condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementing of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness additionality with 1 leads to a systematic failure that is ontological and not a mere act defect, and defines an uninstitutionalised-threshold. It should be noted that at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics that enables the mental-reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with)-representation of the as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in construing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought (stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) from whence an exercise of ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure with new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation initiates a cross-generational transcendence. Ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought is as strands-of-

Reality being blunt/incisive as it is rather preceding/superseding and post-convergence with respect to us, is in essence an operant and deterministic construct that doesn’t have any place for discrete/incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notions but even for the cases where such discretion is artificially devised/implied, it is applied as operant and deterministic (consider quantum-mechanics). So ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as strands-of-temporal-registries-perversions is definitely accurate on two insightful grounds. Reality's
bluntness/incisiveness doesn’t leave room for discretionary judgments about ‘good-natured’/impression-driven conceptualisations of virtue and virtuous judgment within the overarching framework of such a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reality determinism, and such impressions can only pass for an illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness mirage and/or syncretising-denial (attempting to operate logic in a superseding registry-worldview on the basis of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of a superseded registry-worldview; for instance, God of plane type of statement in say an animistic society that comes in contact with foreigners and a plane). The second reason is that we can garner insight on prior/superseded institutionalisations and understand that the vices-and-impediments are actually cross-sectional to the registry-worldviews/dimensions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existentia-extirpation-as-of-existentia-unthought and it is emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal philosophical development that goes on to liberate/enlighten/moult-out ‘actors of transcendence’ who in turn then shine the light across society, i.e. institutionalisation/intemporalisation by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry over temporal-emanances-registries for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Transcendence as such is more of a deterministic and operant process than discretionary, and works on a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework basis, even though counterintuitively we tend to turn towards impressions to construe virtue which only confuses the issue as we then wrongly define fulfilling temporal whims (good-natured impressions or not) of the ‘collective consciousness of the corresponding present-
consciousness/illusion-of-the-present’ as an intemporal reference for defining virtue (with no ‘emanance disambiguation’/temporal-to-intemporal emanance), rather than a transcendental understanding of the-Good – i.e., knowledge/virtue-as-institutional-cumulation/recomposurer-for-intemporal-preservation. This points to the fact that necessarily the structural/paradigmatic virtue construct (knowledge-driven) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation is universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism is positivism, and prospectively, that of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is deprocrypticism/pre-empting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and so as a veridical and contiguous deterministic-and-operant psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, that knows no discretion!

There are ‘traditionally 4 human mental projections/representations/dispositions’ associated with virtuous paradigmatic construct, analysed from the perspective of an ontological-veridicality establishing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework:

(i) A the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) which is effectively ontologically operant.

(ii) A the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation which has poor operance due to bad conviction, though prelogism-as-of-conviction nonetheless.

(iii) An impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought or slantedness operance from a ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework perspective; which is the foundation for derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought

(iv) An impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought or slantedness operance from a ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework perspective; which generates (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion or slantedness along categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the-Good conceptualisation; pointing to the fact that impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations are rather inclined to induce vices-and-impediments given that the veridicality of reality (reflected by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation) is all the virtue enabler that there is and other conceptualisations are rather distractions that are in effect vice-ridden and an impediment, and more specifically when these undermine the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation.

Impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation lack veridical ontological-contiguity. One may query what is the meaning of good/truth/essence in a recurrent-utter-institutionalised, an ununiversalised or a non-positivistic society? And invariably the answers will be a vague totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/aksiasiatic-drag as of each registry-worldview/dimension, and it is rather the emanant insight of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion that carries the prospective transcendences which are the resolution of the successive prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments; and so by successive Being-development/ontological-framework-

Practically, however ‘good intentioned or good-natured’ a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought it is bound to rely on medieval categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of sickness like a curse or witchcraft rather
than a positivist notion like infection, and the virtuous outcome is fundamentally a question of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic understanding, and not any vague impression! Not only is impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation at best vague, ontologically speaking, it is bound to be extirpatory (temporal/circumstantial/self-interest paradigm) rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Alignment should rather be in transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing as strands-of-temporal-registries-perversions as the backdrop for prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. Further, impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation induces both ‘logical and unconscionability-drags. A drag is a vague meaningful articulation arising out of veridical incongruence due to the nonreality of initiating narratives or propositions, and subsequent structural/paradigmatic contiguity of narratives and propositions thereafter from such initial miscues and/or intermittent miscues. For instance, supposed going by the example where a psychopath had wrongly accused someone of being a paedophile (not in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of bad conviction or prelogism but rather non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented due to the inexistence of the psychopath’s implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-
assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology), suppose the interlocutor was to go on to in-conviction relay these distortions with other interlocutors, we will talk of a ‘miscue’, and where other meaning grounded fundamentally on this miscue were to develop, we talk of ‘logical-drag’, further where comprehensive generation of social meaningfulness were to arise out of this, we talk of ‘unconscionability-drag’, and finally sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic refers to the temporal mental-disposition to use conventioning thinking as alibi for temporal-motivated dispositions (over the inherent sense of ontological meaningfulness). Actually, strands-of-temporal-registries-perversions are the characteristic backdrop mental-devising-representations of superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions when we think from an ontological perspective of the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection/representation that captures the meaningful framework of a registry-worldview teleology whether regarding a society at its ununiversalisation whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, and medieval/non-positivisitic, and prospectively, we can garnered such strands-of-temporal-registries-perversions with respect to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation.

Human mental development across time validate the notion that we have consistently been in a state of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as we institutionally skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with a better grasp of reality and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling) inducing institutionalised skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-emanance-registry
involves: articulating a social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of perversion-of-reference-
of-thought defect; positive-opportunism as common interests to institutionally
skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporality; disambiguating temporal-
emanance-registries as the backdrop for new anticipatory and pre-empting categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–
ontological-preservation; and, intemporal projection superseding of transcendence-
unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-
objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic for cross-
generational collapsing/overriding of temporal/‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-
or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising’ registry-worldview/dimension (and not instant ‘argumentation convincing’
intradimensionally in a registry-worldview/dimension that is defective or perversion-of-
reference-of-thought in the first place), and so in the transversality/logical-incongruence of
temporal-emanances-registries and the intemporal-emanance-registry; as temporal emanant
registries are inclined to aside and syncretise rather than transcend or core/take-stock of the
implied perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion at uninstitutionalised-
threshold. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling) is actually the
institutionalisation/intemporalisation process at uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring
philosophising-level-of-validation/first-nature-emanance deference by temporal-emanances-
registries (to supersede totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-
straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase), and so in a pedestalled
disambiguation of ontologically veridical intemporal-emanance-registry pedestal,
slanting/postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as subknowledging impulse by psychopath pedestal and
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. These fundamental human mental-devising-representation or registry tools of candoring and decandoring points to the very nature of logic. Logic requires that all interlocutors share a same reference-of-thought with regards to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology for its sound operation, thus logic can only be operated at institutionalised/intemporalised thresholds, and not as of prospective-uninstitutionalisations where there is divergence in reference-of-thought construed meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as transversality/logical-incongruence. At uninstitutionalised-threshold, given the veridicality of human emanance as temporal-to-intemporal, logic is ridiculous because of the variance and unshared categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-logistical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology with respect to argumentation, ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. At which point no articulation is inherently more right, however, the intemporal emanance being ontological has ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework veridicality and carries a positive-opportunism that can allow it to dominate human temporal emanances dispositions reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) their registries/mental-representations perversion, and so, through social institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels in the medium to long-run. It is only after such uninstitutionalised-threshold is superseded/dominated/preceded/overridden/abjected by the intemporal emanance as an ordered construct institutionalisation/intemporalisation with corresponding human second-naturing as internalisation and formalisation that logic becomes pertinent as it now operates only on one axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives/registry-teleology that establishes
the substantive/existential-contextualising-contiguity (not formulaic-formic-projection/mimicry) and veracity/ontological-pertinence of interlocutors’ articulations.

Thus the basis for Rational-Realism which is the first institutionalisation/intemoralisation recomposure that goes beyond just articulating categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemoral-preservation by anticipating and pre-empting the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a prior/superseded registry-worldview’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as rational-realism take stock of the fundamental reality across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of a temporal-to-intemoral emanance first-nature of man and doesn’t just assume the wrong notion of an intemoral emanance with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought result that temporal emanances dispositions are not accounted for, anticipated and pre-empted beforehand/as-of-a-priori to prevent their perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemoral-preservation at their uninstitutionalised-threshold thus ensuring ontological contiguity. So with rational-realism the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation comes around as the ‘full-cycle/dynamic recomposuring’ that specifically anticipates and pre-empt priorly/ahead in its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation the notion of temporal emanances dispositions to dement/subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/mimick-and-syncretise (rather than subsequently as a transcendence). This raises two dilemma with respect to the conceptualisation of virtue [as rational-realism implies that at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, we
have to register/acknowledge priorly our inclination to subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge) positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to paradoxically then be able to anticipate and stifle this in the active construction of deprocryptic meaning, at which point the ontological-veridicality of meaning then involves not only logical operation/processing/contention on the basis of a sole intemporal-emanance-registry, but equally registries-disambiguation to account for perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/*dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ by temporal-emanances-registries):

(i) Syncretising-denial or Asiding (as being in denial of perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect) arises where a registry-worldview returns to its same categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation that have been shown to be subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and hence remains candored/integratively-aligned; contrasted with the instance of the adoption of a new registry-worldview’s (superseding the uninstitutionalised-threshold) categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation in anticipation and pre-emption of the afore perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview. This latter instance involves Stranding-dialectics or Coring (in reflection/perspectivation and acknowledgment of perversion-of-reference-of-thought) with corresponding decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and is what enables memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling whereas Syncretising-denial or Asiding at best
induces ‘memetic-inching/psychoanalytic-realigning’ which are not of an immediate transcending nature.

(ii) Conventioning Plasticity involving in a continuum on one side ontologising logic though ontological veridicality is not the sufficient reason for the social acceptance of rightness for rightness sake (as explained previously) and on the other side intemporality/ontology distractive sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic.

‘Rational-Realism as of Deprocrypticism or institutionalisation/intemporalisation full-cycle’ can thus be construed as a contiguous cumulation of successive memetic-reordering (as institutional recomposuring) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; with such successiveness due to the limitation of human mentation-capacity to be able to mimetically (across suprastructural-meaningfulnes) come full-cycle in one transcendence, explaining the recomposuring of the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivis–procrypticism, and recomposuring full-cycle towards prospective rational-realism as of deprocrypticism.

Correspondingly, due to human limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), human memetic/psychoanalytic grasp-and-fulfilment of intemporal-preservation (in devising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is limited at successive instances of transcendence/institutionalisation, due to:

(i) the reality of human emanant dispositions not being just of intemporal emanance but rather temporal-to-intemporal emanances (with temporal-emanances-registries a drawback/distractive to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at uninstitutionalised-threshold; since these induced in any given

(ii) limited memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling mentation-capacity (in devising categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for the intemporal-emanance-registry as it skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards institutionalisation/intemporalisation


Hence intemporal-preservation is a memetically/psychoanalytically evasive construct at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the pursuit of which is veridically the human species eudaemonic contemplation, construed as ‘post-convergence memetic recomposuring’;
recomposure is defined as ‘ontological-representation/ontological-memetism of intrinsic-meaningfulness [whether implying, on the one hand, an integrative/candor/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness alignment or on the other hand, a distractive/decandored/mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-non-conviction alignment] towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (as validated by veridicality/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework). This definition explains the succession of the recomposuring of institutionalisations with the notion that where intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is lost at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, a prospective registry-worldview/dimension is implied/recomposured that will ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and undermines ontological-discontiguity/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/asiding by appropriate stranding/coring representation (-of-temporal-registries-perversions) as the backdrop for the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. That is, ‘human progress/transcendence happens as a matter of fact, with no registry-worldview/dimension having any ontological and veridical claim/pretence to extricate itself from memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling/stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence once it is shown that it subknowledges-or-mimics (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought) its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, even though this from the temporal-emanances-registries mindset/reference-of-thought is always an unpalatable proposition. But then the state of being
in a transcended registry-worldview/dimension (as in our present positivist registry-worldview/dimension) arises because other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions successively underwent their own memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling/stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, at their uninstitutionalised-threshold; and so, going back to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised early men who left the caves and trees, thus any denial of prospective transcendence as articulated above is an argument which incoherence emanantly imply ‘we should go back to the caves and trees’, as we’ll seem to validate that prior registry-worldviews/dimensions should never had transcended up to our very own registry-worldview/dimension, and beyond, prospectively.

Stranding (-of-temporal-registries-persions-of-reference-of-thought) should be construed at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised/solipsistic threshold (the threshold where the registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), as the ‘base structural/paradigmatic decandored/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect reflex’ (not a straightness/candor/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/prelogism reflex), and stranding-dialectics rather points to ‘a (lack of) the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection/perspectivation’ (hence a veridical ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as operant and deterministic, and not an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness nor a veridically logically-disjointed/discretionary reflection/perspectivation). Stranding is thus articulated as non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-
demented/’dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-
thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’

slanting/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/subpar-conventioning-
logic conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing as
structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance
defect or intradimensional-defect’ (induced from temporal dispositions prelogism-as-of-
conviction act defects of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-
or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation). The memetic-reordering is in recomposuring, at the uninstitutionalised-
threshold, the non-conviction-or-existental-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-
staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-
demented/’dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-
thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ registry (registry-
worldview) elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-
implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-
assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology (i.e. categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) towards the transcending registry-worldview’s
implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-
.presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-
reference/implied-teleology (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, in re-
institutionalising the uninstitutionalised-threshold.
There is no reason for stranding-dialectics and recomposuring but for the fact that the internal coherence of a registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it provides the dynamic association for psychopathic/postlogical subknowledging/mimicking impulse leading to the vices-and-impediments of the registry-worldview/dimension from an intemporal/ontological perspective; and post-convergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation veridicality (as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) is the drive that resolves lack of human mentation-capacity for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) by stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and then recomposuring prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. The example highlighted on page 12 provides an excellent ‘logical insight’ on stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and recomposuring of a registry-worldview/dimension that is failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold …

[To grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know 2+2=4, 5+1=6, 7-3=4, etc. was to be undermine by a new human subknowledging caused by a disease wherein we tend to say 2+2=5, 5+1=7 and 7-3=3, then the traditional categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subtractivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal transversal post-convergence). Thus categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are ‘mental and institutionalisation inventions’ that are as pertinent as the extent of their preservation of intemporal reality (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation). Hence a false subknowledging/mimicking-and-protracted-
mimicking with no relationship to intrinsic reality renders categorical-
impalatives/axioms/registry-teleology null and void, calling for a
slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of mental-devising-
representation as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought arising from the
perversions-and-derived-pervations-of-reference-of-thought, and the articulation of new
recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology reflecting the intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as intrinsic reality.]

In practical terms, human/social VIRTUE is effectively articulated at ‘the crossroad of
the notions’ of intemporal emanance, ontologising/intemporal
emanance/becoming/intersolipsism philosophical deference, conventioning, animality (the
recurrent temporal-emanances-registries disposition to subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-
sound-knowledge) intemporal categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation across successive
institutionalisations) and institutional recomposing (prospective memetic-reordering).

It is important to note that an ontological construct ‘escalates’ specific/particular
instances of phenomena (in this case psychopathy and social psychopathy phenomenon) into
a universal conceptualisation which ‘knowledge principle conceptualisation’ then addresses
(percolates into) the ‘infinity of related incidental phenomena and cases’, i.e. newton
articulates the science of mechanics metaphorically from ‘an initial apple that hits his head
why under a tree’ not because the science of mechanics will revolve around an apple that hit
his head but because he’ll grasp the insight to understand the myriad and infinity of instances
requiring those laws of physics. So the intemporal-as-ontological pedestal (in its treatment) involves universal projection to grasp universal principles and is not meant to ‘equivocate and idle’ with perversion-of-reference-of-thought temporal manifestations which are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention, but rather then apply the knowledge principles so articulated to the theoretically infinite incidental instances (on the validation and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining or internal-contradictions induced by the knowledge principles ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework).

Of course, no registry-worldview/dimension thinks of itself as prospectively dialectically-primitive/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention, and as such its ‘supposed contention’ will always by reflex strive to arrive at an equilibrium in the same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, but the template of human transcendence shows that the intemporal prospective/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought takes precedence with contention construed by its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation by the post-convergence prioritisation of the relatively intemporal/universal/intrinsic, hence, ‘the inherent cumulating/recomposuring of intemporal-preservation-entropy’ going from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation,
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivis–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism. Such a subknowledging/mimicking/registry-worldview denaturing resistance is not attended to logically/by-logical-congruence since a perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-its-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging registry-worldview/dimension is circular and syncretic in its logic (as it circularly makes reference to its defective/perverted categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) but by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure through the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining induced by the ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework of the prospective intemporal-emanance-registry-worldview/dimension (with its more appropriate recomposured categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology); involving rather a cross-generational collapsing/overriding of the temporal/‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ registry-worldview/dimension (and not instant ‘argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally in a registry-worldview/dimension that is defective as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the first place), and so with transversality/logical-incongruence of temporal-emanances-registries and the intemporal-emanance-registry, as temporal emanant registries are inclined to aside and syncretise rather than transcend or core/take-stock of the implied perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview-perversion. For instance, men did not transcend from a medieval worldview to a positivistic worldview by a ‘logical exercise’ (the logical conceptualisation we have of such a transformation in today’s positive world is rather in effect an afterthought appraisal) but because the grander grasp on reality of positivism constrained and made the medieval registry-worldview untenable/internally-contradictory (the ships that set sail around the world
for spices elicit a positive commercial opportunism that is responsible for destroying the social myth of a flat world; the bacteria theory that will ensure that one lives or die if we believe in it or not coerced the destruction of a superstitious medical worldview; the scientific tools and knowledge that ensured that nation A or nation B will triumph if they believe in it or not, coerces the need to adopt a scientific worldview, etc.). It is naïve to think that such progression occurred because of cross-sectional human ‘intemporal first-nature-emanance/philosophising-level-of-validation’. Rather it is a second-natured/institutionalisation process as this notion inherently validates the anthropological-continuity by distinguishing between the notion of same human natural ability across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions and the notion more and more profound institutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions arising out of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure to the capacity bestowed by their forerunners; such that human limited-mentation-capacity is always mostly directed to the transformative of activities while taking for granted much of the bestowed knowledge heritage. Hence we can’t overrate the ‘intemporal first-nature-emanance/philosophising-level-of-validation’ development of the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human thought to wrongly imply human first-nature-emanance/philosophising-level-of-validation is inherently intemporal, for the possibilities of human progress (due to the veridicality of a human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries disposition at the uninstitutionalised-threshold across all levels of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure – ‘a lost cause’ which will never be changed with the result that temporal-emanances-registries will always dement (perversion-of-reference-of-thought inducing as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-
perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) at uninstitutionalised-threshold (unconstrained extended informalities). But this can rather be anticipated and pre-empted, ‘the central tenet of deprocripticism’ by temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation before logical processing/operation. Temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-pedestals-disambiguation being the contrasting of ‘superseding intemporal-emanance-registry deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)-pedestal-aetiologisation-or-ontological-escalation ordered construct’ known as deprocripticism over-and-stranding-of ‘temporal registries emanances pedestals which are in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ known as procrypticism or emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real, as the backdrop for ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; in the same way as the stranding-of-temporal-emanances-registries-dementing of non-positivism/medievalism provided the backdrop for positivism recomposuring or that of ununiversalisation for universalisation recomposure or that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation for base-institutionalisation recomposure. It should be noted that at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, temporal-emanances-registries potential dispositions for dementing is suppressed by formalism and internalisation involving intemporal meaningfulness social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-
phenomena, internal-contradiction, registering/stranding as sound or unsound, and alienating of unsound meaningfulness to stifle any such mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness ‘temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought’ disposition. At uninstitutionalised-threshold (extended informalities), no formalism and internalisation (generated by the intemporal-emanance-registry for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–ontological-preservation) exists in pre-emption leading potentially to dementing. Basically, such a representation of organicalism and mechanicalism can be storied or narrated as follows:

Supposed going by the case highlighted where a psychopath met a stranger talking about another stranger as molesting children; the so accused stranger was actually a guardian of the child assuming various responsibilities that come with it (this represents the deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) depth of meaning), the psychopath fully aware of this none the less proffered such hollow mimicking narratives to the other stranger who aligned in-conviction/prelogically/prelogically to the psychopath but is veridically now in effect non-conviction-or-existentia-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of- apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented/postlogical by ignorance, and goes on to miscue by articulating that the accused stranger should be reported to the police or any other relevant organisation, and possibly does that. Further still, this miscuing comes to develop into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal dispositions preservation, and sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic wherein ‘a comprehensive depth of perverted narratives’ has now been cultivated in the social environment. All such denaturing (and as are
conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing to human temporal defects of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) are a perversions-of-reference-of-thought mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness to the organic veridicality (deprocrypticism). In the bigger scheme of things, denaturing of registry (as the registry is the axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives on which logic operates/is processed pointing to a coherently systematic failure of logic at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; consider that the non-positivistic/medieval registry will coherently fail logical operation/processing/contention with regards to its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism, that’s the same emanant issue with procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocrypticism) do not simply point to an act defect but a as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect about-and-defining the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension, that abstractly apply with regards in this case not to one instance of human psychopathy and one case of social context of protracted social psychopathy but points to a registry-worldview/dimension defect that points abstractly to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/an-ontological-or-existential-defect of such psychopathic and protracted social psychopathy, in the same vain as the phenomena of witchcraft in a non-positivist/medieval
society ‘for an ontological/intemporal projecting mind’ is more than just a case of witchcraft in a given non-positivistic/medieval locale but goes beyond to define a dimensional defect of non-positivism/medievalism across all human societies that are qualified as non-positivistic/medieval with the idea that the ‘emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetiologisation in the bigger scheme of things is more than just a locale but a universal articulation of positivistic thinking as the universal resolution of the vices-and-impediments associated with a witchcraft and superstition endemising/enculturating worldview. It should be noted that however ‘good-natured an individual’ in that worldview the basic knowledge defect of that worldview as non-empirical/superstitious defines the disposition of any such individual, as they adhere to the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, to commit vices-and-impediments associated with non-positivism/medievalism, since virtue actually lies in the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of being empirical/non-superstitious/positivistic. That’s equally the problem you have with procrepticism or perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of a positivistic registry-worldview as the virtue lies in the-the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as involving psychopathic demented postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, and its corollary as social psychopathy involving conjugating/inflecting/deriving demented postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-orfailing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness by the temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously, affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
psychopathic/postlogism-slantedness, and subsequent protraction into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal dispositions preservation and sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic); such that this development is actually an instrumentalisation of the initial directed-dementing. Directed-dementing as such being a conscious and operant mental awareness of psychopathic/postlogical minds of the void of their narratives and teleology but understanding and acting by instrumentalisation on the basis that prelogical/conviction minds are disposed to elevate the hollow mimicking narratives (by ignorance and/or subsequently affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to wrongly validate the registry as veridical thus falsely implying a implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology.

Just as we work with the reality that all humans are disposed to have cancer and the virtue of curing is not denying but anticipating and pre-empting the possibility of having cancer with medicines, lifestyle, research, etc., i.e. ‘ontology is about working with what is/knowledge-driven, and not wishful-thinking/impression-driven’ to accede to intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling as it enables ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is bluntly speaking an institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-emanance-registry disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, to ‘pedestally dominate and override’ temporal-emanances-registries in the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions. Reality is
actually a ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct. Mythologies, metaphysics and hearsays while proto-conceptual in human development are out of kilter, and the use of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation is the central notion of ontologies. Insightfully, human ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism first-nature’ (as its temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries projective disposition) is ‘the-real-nature-of-man’ that can be projected with institutional recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to explain how-man-can-be/the-nature-of-man at any registry-worldview level, retrospectively or prospectively. Whereas, man, if naively perceived as a whole rather only from the angle of a specific ‘institutionalisation/second-naturing level’ which is in ‘existential immediacy’ this may seem to indicate that we are talking about ‘different species’ with ‘different ontological determinants’, which is naïve and false. The anthropopsychological approach to psychology is analogical to the development of physics which is not only on the basis of what is immediately at the conscious operational level of physicists but equally projecting into a physics conceptualisation of the macrocosm (astronomy and cosmology) as well as the microcosm (particle physics) in order to place the subject on a comprehensively sound footing. Central to such a sound footing in the post-convergence conceptualisation of the social domain is the idea of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries and institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure.

On another note, it is critical to distinguish between a true philosophical development that arises by intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism and an institutionalised development that is articulated to elicit ‘positive-opportunism’ in humans, so that the intellectual exercise doesn’t naively project a philosophical idealism where this doesn’t exist and by so doing undermine its work by naively projecting universal intemporality and
failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to articulate a realism that takes account of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal mental-dispositions (knowledge notionisation, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but pre-empting by transversality to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct; the reason we institutionalise/intemporalise and formalise with subsequent internalisation/second-naturing).

It should be noted that the use of the concepts of intemporal and temporality is more scientific than the impression notions of good and bad. Intemporality points to ‘what generates the greatest universal virtue as ontological which is universally-centred’ (and that this corresponds to reality-referencing and the ontology pedestal) while temporality points to ‘what generates the non-ontological as shallow interest that may be self-centred, at various pedestals, (and that this corresponds to totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and metaphysical pedestals)’. Intemporality and temporality as such are operant knowledge concepts while good and bad are vague and non-operant impression concepts. In fact, why good and bad are impression-driven, intemporality and temporality by their very definition above are made operant as a ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework scientific principle (without making any reference to stigmatising impression of virtue) by the denotation as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (intemporality) and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (temporality). That is, with respect to 'socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction' (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) the intemporal mind conceptually asks what is the best disposition in universal-depth that abstractly delivers the greatest good to all humans in similar 'socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction' setup across space and time; while temporal minds under the same notion (intemporality-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with the memetic-reordering directly associated with the referential entropy in institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/transcendence. Thus by intemporality as a the-Good conceptualisation as ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, that specificity (as pursued in this paper) that informs ontological understanding of not idling and articulating meaningfulness in equivalence of temporality in its various shades, but rather with intemporal purpose and intent, and an ultimate quest for validation only as a ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation will be qualified as ‘longness-of-thought’; and it strives to achieve a prospective structural/paradigmatic existential registry-worldview/dimension conceptualisation of transcendence wherein aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for prospective transcendental intemporal virtue is the underlying drive. The non-implication of an equivalence between (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness pedestalling) with temporality in its various shades will imply a knowledge conceptualisation rather from the perspective of the comprehension of human species intemporal potential rather than mere extirpation within a temporal inter-individuals-and-social-stake-contention-or-confliction context, wherein for instance the focus of a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought is not to idly engage a medieval world in medieval terms to stigmatise as a final end but rather for the virtuous human species potentiality to transcend into positivism, and on the other hand equally not to shy away from articulating, however temporally unpalatable and unintelligible-or-existentially-suprastructural for the temporal present registry-worldview/dimension, an intemporal transcendental prospection on the validation that the present registry-worldview/dimension is the outcome of a same-kind intemporal transcendental prospection with a same-kind corresponding emanance unpalatability and unintelligibility for the preceding registry-worldview/dimension, be it in
that case driven by a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction, in contrast now to a more ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding regarding deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism (with intellectual responsibility itself being defined as the spirit for authentically upholding such construing/conceptualisation and/or facilitating it as enabling further self-development together with the furthering of social/specie development).

The use of ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism human mental-dispositions/individuations’ as temporal-to-intemporal doesn’t mean ontologically that the analyst view is that some individuals are inherently/exclusively solipsistically temporal and others are inherently/exclusively solipsistically intemporal. But rather, it is an abstract construction of human temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-dispositions/individuation potential possibilities that can incidentally arise in any individual by a circumstance or circumstances across time and space; but with a strong propensity of specific dispositions being nurtured in varying profundity across different individuals as per context. This abstract and fleeting notion is known as ‘individuation’ (more like an abstract and superseding ‘hermeneutic-aetiology’ of temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism dispositions, and hence the possibility of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework or scientism), and is the more scientific notion over ‘individual’ (which is just the receptacle of individuations).

By pedestal is meant the ‘emanance-registries of meaningfulness of individuations’ whether the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal or the temporal-emanances-registries individuations-pedestals (ignorance-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal, affordability-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal, opportunism-emanance-registry
include ‘postlogical ignorance-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal’, ‘postlogical affordability-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal’, postlogical opportunism-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal, postlogical exacerbation-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal, postlogical social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation/social-discomfiture-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal, and postlogical temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-emanance-registry individuation-pedestal). While the prelogical/conviction ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ intemporal-emanance-registry-teleology is rather the ontologising individuation-pedestal as it strives perpetually to define-and-redefine categorical-imperatives (by its ontologically-veridical associated registry-teleology-mentation elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) for ‘intemporal/ontological preservation entropy/contiguity’ as it perpetuates institutionalisation/intemtemporalisation/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the natural reflex at every registry-worldview/dimension, whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, to temporally arrive at entropy on the basis of temporal-emanances-registries teleologies or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (with the associated non-veridical temporal implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) i.e. temporal preservation teleologies are inclined to forego intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation teleology (ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought) at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, which should definitely be resisted by ‘intellectual responsibility’ which for the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension holds that
the intellectual disposition is all too willing to be ‘romantic’ about the idea of human first-nature/emanant cross-sectional inclination for the intemporal-emanance-registry and that intellectual responsibility is to acknowledge the veridicality of a temporal-to-intemporal-emanance-registry disposition and be pre-emptive of the ‘non-ontological/non-knowledge/non-virtue temporal-emanances-registries mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness’ by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation based on absolute ontological-contiguity and taking account of temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-thought; just as the present positivism institutionalisation had been pre-emptive of human cross-sectional disposition for superstition by emphasising rational-empiricism, and the universalisation institutionalisation had been pre-emptive of human disposition for ad hoc social-stake-contention-or-confliction resolutions along whims and interests to imply a sense of universalisation, and base-institutionalisation had been pre-emptive of human disposition for recurrent lawlessness to imply a sense of institutionalised living with mutual expectations.

Another.

‘Unconscionability-drag’ (from an ontological/intemporal reference) refers to the comprehensive state of undisambiguation of temporal-emanances-registries individuation-pedestals which are wrongly associated to the intemporal-emanance-registry to be ontological as these conjugate/inflect/derive (in mimicking-protraction) with the psychopath’s impulsive-dementation insane-fitment/slantedness/mere-possibility narratives which are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-
ontological-preservation is construed to reflect/pre-empt the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, for ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s(deprocrypticism) new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while keeping the temporal-emanances-registries downgraded/oblongated/decandored/in-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation–or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-non-conviction, and so precedingly to avoid syncretising-denial/circularity by their straightening/candoring/elevation/prelogism.

Given that at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) or temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); it is this mental-devising-representation as the ‘unconscionability-drag’ that provides the backdrop for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (enabling ontological reference), as it achieves social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena’ with corresponding untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, in reflecting-and-pre-empting the comprehensively distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of the subknowledging dimension temporal-registries for the prospective registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s(deprocrypticism) intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation.

Unconsciousability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) also points to the fact that at any institutional registry-worldview/dimension, there can be two mental alignments; whether the registry is at the institutionalised/intemporalised threshold of meaning (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) or at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaning involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought requiring distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and in the latter case the reflex to be integratively aligned is lost across all the temporal-registries of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought dimension, and what is called for with the unconscionability-drag is a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought which will explain a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive alignment by oblongating/decandoring/downgrading. * I.e. Remember ‘mental-devising-representation’ is a devising construct of preceding/superseding abstract reality/veridicality (post-convergence) as the latter never changes, and it is mental devising that adjusts to the illumination/insight we get about abstract reality/veridicality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework!

In the bigger scheme of things, ‘unconsciousability-drag’ as a notion points to ‘ontological abstraction and mental-devising-representation of reality/veridicality defect’ whether dealing with psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or temporal-emanances-registries conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness s or simply plain temporal-emanances-registries ‘defective mental-devising-representation of ontological reality/veridicality’. The notion of ‘unconsciousability-drag’ thus extends to all mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought of all
registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the prospective transcendental as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation registry-worldview/dimension, which is the point of ontological referencing (point-referencing).

The reason why the ‘study of the social’ had hitherto been EPHEMERAL is because of the lack of contiguity in referencing the two elements of ontological meaning (reference-of-thought and logic); with reference-of-thought being hitherto undisambiguated in the social construction of meaning, thus leading to a ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of temporal-emances-registries prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought. However as articulated above, the ‘unconscionability-drag’ carries the resolution for disambiguating reference-of-thought in the ontological social construction of meaning as it is fully aligned or ‘in ratio alignment’ to ‘an emanant transdimensional (across registry-worldviews) point-referencing of intemporal-preservation-entropy’ while reflecting a social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena that shows the fallibility of temporal dimensions intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context point-referencing and as this further discomfites in the social-construct of meaning, and hence the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and elicits an ordered construct of meaning reference-of-thought (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-


Unconscionability-drag (enabling ontological reference), by which the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions teleologies of meaning is accounted for can be demonstrated below elaborating on the example highlighted before.

[Of course, this is just a most basic demonstration as ideally one can imagine a creative storied narrative should articulate the phenomenon to its utmost evolving complexities – a storying construal involving an underlying-and-superseding intemporal/ontologising emanant ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-

For instance, the storytelling construal ‘ontological/intemporal veridicality’ of non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from positivism; likewise that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation inherently-’dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corr"
emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real by emphasising ‘undermining subknowledging/mimicking’ or deprocrypticism or ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (noting that the latter institutionalisation/intemporalisation contains the previous institutionalisations up to its own threshold of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, with deprocrypticism being organically imbued with all the prior/superseded institutionalisations); all these, pointing to ‘an ontological psychoanalytic/memetic-contiguity deconstruction across anthropology’ which the present treatment of psychology doesn’t recognise):

(i) Psychopath narrative teleology: an adult psychopath meets a stranger and speaks to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children

(ii) temporal-emanances-registries narratives teleologies: a stranger not knowing the other stranger aligning prelogically to the psychopath’s narrative will have a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing ignorance-emanance-registry defect’ if it articulated the following narrative:

(a) Such a person should not be allowed to roam the streets and should be interned.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing affordability-emanance-registry defect’ will arise if another interlocutor knowing the accused for not truly being a child molester but because of expediency with respect to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(b) the guy is actually a bad person and they will not be surprise that he is a child molester.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing opportunism-emanance-registry defect’ will arise if a different interlocutor knowing truly that the accused is not a child molester but for a favour or sense-of-favour they owe to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:
(c) this guy has been going around molesting young children for quite a while now.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing exacerbation-emanance-registry defect’ will arise where another interlocutor knowing the truth about the whole thing, thinks they can have an advantage by acting likewise as the psychopath and articulates the following narrative

(d) they had actually witnessed the accused shoplifting.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing social-discomfiture/(social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation)-emanance-registry defect’ will arise where

(e) such narratives are purposefully and consistently relayed in the social sphere based on ignorances, affordabilities, opportunisms and exacerbations, and individuals come to make it a reference for their relation with the accused.

And finally, a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing temporal-enculturation (temporal-endemisation)-emanance-registry defect’ arises where

(f) individuals come to learn that by having the appropriate social relations and social support network they can then initiate such narratives if they were to have competing 'socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction' situations with others, and not only that it also includes individuals passively accepting and giving up on the principle of the intemporality and intrinsicness of meaning.

It is important to distinguish all the above ‘temporal instances conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing of the psychopath’s postlogism-slantedness as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as emanances-registries-perversion’, and is different from ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention which does not imply
any emanance-registry defect (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or the denaturing of the reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology’). With emanance-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (mental-perversion), the interlocutor deliberately (or naively in the case of ignorance) doesn’t project intemporally (i.e. projects in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or immediate-temporal-interest and not a universal ontological sense of meaning), comparatively more like a student guessing that the answer of a math question is say 5 ‘artificially’ operates an equation to yield 5 as answer. Whereas with ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention’ (which is not the case here), an interlocutor perfectly projects intemporally (i.e. projects in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or a universal ontological sense of meaning) but poorly operates/processes the logic adhocly. This latter case unlike the former doesn’t imply as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect but rather ‘an adhoc defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance whereas the former is ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect that speaks to the unprincipled-or-derived-unprincipled disposition of the interlocutor’s individuation that
is, with respect to an infinite number of cases in the same situation (i.e. comparatively the
disposition to go about answering math questions by figuring out their answers then
‘artificially’ trying to work out equations to yield the answers). Thus establishing the
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of this slantedness/postlogical individuation
defective nature ontologically, hence enabling its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. This
also requires the disambiguation of the registries (involving stranding-of-temporal-registries-
perversions which refers to mental-devising-representation of temporal emanances-registries
teleologies as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-
defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-
and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect, i.e. oblongated/decandored/mechanical-
comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness [mechanicalism/alchemy-like-
reasoning/circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/protracted-non-
conviction)/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of perversion-of-reference-of-
thought procrypticism mind as per postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’. For
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation,
strands-of-
temporal-registries-perversions implies ‘not wrongly implying precedingly the reflex of an
intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction reflex and reference on the
subknowledging/mimicking-temporal-registries but rather reflexively downgrading as
in equivalence with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation registry (since they are not contending) but rather downgraded/decandored/in-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-dementing/oblongated/logical-incongruence–or-transversality/dialectically–or–contendingly-out-of-phase and are rather manifestations of registry/mental defect or denaturing and are the subject of intemporal/ontological contention from the intemporal-emanance-registry, more like at the registry-worldview/dimensional defect level medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms being superseded and undermined with respect to positivism categorical-imperatives/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy–or–contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Very much counterintuitively with regards to ‘unconscionability-drag’, the transcendental requirement for a ‘habituation’ to a so-called ‘prospective intemporal and more veridical mental-devising-representation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is rather ‘unfathomable’ for the ‘averaging-of-thought’ of the so-called ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought dimension’; this applies with regards to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively for upcoming times, procripticism and deprocripticism. The explanation is quite simple; as individuals in any institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension are formed by the memetic-ordering/psychoanalytic-construction at that registry-worldview/dimension which is ‘all-defining of meaningfulness (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic)’ to the individuals and so right up to their subconscious mind. But then a prospective transcendental memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling is placing such a prior memetic-order/psychoanalytic-construction of their existentialism (full-existential-
depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in jeopardy, and it is only the
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework social universal-transparency-or-
understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena
of the prospective intemporal dimension inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-
incoherence/institutional-constraining with corresponding percolating impact from the
prospective registry-worldview/dimension on the overall social-construct over a generation or
two or more that allows for any such ‘habituation’ to a prospective registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence with its new recomposural categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This will explain the difficulty of medieval minds
(including institutions like the church) over centuries to come to terms with positivism and
scientism such that the positivistic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure is still ongoing. Counterintuitively, every successive
institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension naively thinks it being at
the backend of the ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process’ means it is
beyond transcendence as it doesn’t project of itself as being superseded by a prospective
registry-worldview with its new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology at the point where the former starts perversion-of-reference-of-thought its own
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and does not tend to represent itself as
oblongated/decaned/or-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-
failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/logical-
incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase from a prospective
dimension perspective [i.e. the decanolored/logical-incongruence-or-
transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase insight we think of non-
positivism/medievalism with corresponding phenomena like superstitions, witch-hunts, etc.
has never been the way they represented themselves as they are
candored/straight/integratively-aligned/‘dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase’ in their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation of themselves. Rather it is the more profound grasp of reality from positivism that initiates that decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism in the positivistic mind, and this is the case as well with all other dialectic institutionalisations across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology.]

The reason for making the above point is that we will most possibly as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism act same when it is time to imply our own decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of our categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with respect to a prospectively candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase deprocrypticism new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that is revealed by the ‘unconscionability-drag’ disambiguation of our temporal-emanances-registries-perversion associated with perversion-of-reference-of-thought in our dimension (procrypticism) including psychopathy-and-its-social-psychopathy-corollary subknowledging/mimicking!

(iii) For Deprocrypticism, ‘temporal-and-intemporal emanances-registries-ontological-escalation’/aetiologisation teleology: will involve identifying, defining, characterising, qualifying and articulating the aetiology of this individuation perversion-of-reference-of-thought dynamism endemic in the social-construct and prospective categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct for its pre-emption, more like a positive mind will do with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism social-construct reference-of-thought. (Though
interestingly it is important to grasp that such transcendence actually takes the natural form of a ‘cross-generational medium to long-term psychoanalytic-drag’ and not ‘instantaneous abject transformation’ towards ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, even such an ‘instantaneous abject transformation conceptualisation’ is equally a necessary knowledge exercise as the social universal-transparency constraining that allows for a ‘cross-generational medium to long-term psychoanalytic-drag’): (a) articulating a social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of the registry-worldview-perversions, (b) generating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview (c) registering/stranding the perversion-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging registry-worldview/dimension defect for prospective pre-emption with new recomposuring categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension, i.e. deprocrypticism (d) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/logically-incongruence with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview, inducing a ‘habituation’/‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of the prospective registry worldview cross-generational (over a generation or two) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-
faith/nihilistic; implies that the mental-devising-representation of a superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview (which is rather in ontological-decadence-integration and hence in ontological-disconuity) as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, entails it doesn’t re-join by mere logical articulation the prospective superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, as the prospective institutionalisation is rather about a registry-worldview/registry, and not logical, transformation as a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; with the notion that any such wrongly implied re-joining as logical articulation is rather totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior registry/registry-worldview reflex-defect in want of ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, in the case mentioned before with regards to B (Brackets), where B was to stick with the same temporal-emanance-registry individuation disposition that delivered the wrong results with respect to subsequent equations of a similar context (uninstitutionalised-threshold) this will be ontological-decadence-integration, as conjugated/inflected/derived from A’s defective condition which is in ontological-decadence, and the both A and B are in ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) defining the registry-worldview/dimension defect. This implies ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of B to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation is the effective backdrop for ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, and this is rather cross-generational in nature (rather than instant intra-generational registry/registry-worldview transformation) as personhoods-and-socialhood-formation are rather grounded on the superseded/transcended/unsound categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. The above analysis shows that soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or post-convergence).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) ensures the disambiguation of registries so that the psychopath’s and temporal-emanances-registries are not elevated to the intemporal level which then allows for, by reflex, a simple operation/processing of logic (whereas the fundamental defect being in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the elements of the registry, implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology of the registries, i.e. rather the unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase meaningful construct).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) is thus central to resolving the rational-realism paradigm as it accounts for the defect of temporal-registries
teleologies of meaning (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) while projecting intemporally/ontologically.

The notion of ‘unconscionability-drag’ also explain how and why banal temporal-emanances-registries are not readily ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration’ (hence no distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) to the childhood and early adolescent psychopaths but come to develop a ‘mental-unconsciousness’ (unconscionability) to be ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness’ during the stage of late adolescence and adult psychopath.

Antipodal to the idea of ‘unconscionability-drag’ is the idea of ‘conventioning’/social-temporal-thresholding. ‘Unconscionability-drag’ points to an abstract but more veridical ontological construct of the ‘social construction of meaning’ that is post-convergence, based on intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by using categorical-imperatives of the prospective superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension whether such a representation is aligned or not with the society’s collective-social-psyche or present-consciousness. (For instance, we can generate an unconscionability-drag of a medieval society on the basis of a positivistic mental projection and categorical-imperatives; wherein we oblongate the solipsistic mental-dispositions of individuations in such a society. While such a representation, with its corresponding subknowledging/mimicking, is ontologically more accurate about such a society, however, the collective-social-psyche/present-consciousness of individuations in the said society will not recognise any such decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation of themselves, rather the medieval society will represent itself as candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is then the ‘conventioning/social-
temporal-thresholding representation of the social construction of meaning’).
Conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding thus refers to the fact that in a ‘social construction of meaning’, intrinsic-reality by itself and in of itself (as may be grasped ontologically from superseding/transcendental categorical-imperatives preserving intemporality) is not necessarily the deterministic basis for human social adherence to it.

Transcended and ontological meaningfulness of reality (contrary to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding meaningfulness of reality which is rather towards totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/temporality-serving) requires a process of institutionalised/intemporalised social integration to induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining to ‘prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or (institutionalisation/intemporalisation) percolation channels’ of ‘any social construction of meaning’ for there to be collective institutionalised social adherence (and by the relative positive-opportunism elicited).
Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation channels are the institutionalised relays for human survival-and-flourishing-teleology, whether diffusely from internalisation-and/or-formalism, and are increasingly vital with higher institutionalisations, and most vital for prospective perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism, such that abstractions that will normally hardly be socially integrated going just by averaging human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-to-intemporal nature, can actually come from outlier intemporal-emanance-registry to inform social institutionalisation/intemporalisation, thus emphasising how vital percolation channels are for institutional-cumulation beyond just the consciousness appraisal of temporal-emanances-registries. Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation channels imply that the would-be intellectual analyst can perfectly uphold intrinsic reality over ‘social-and-temporal-trading’ and still impose veridicality (if truly veridical) over populist-inclined dispositions which are not veridical, just by the fact of the extendedly implied positive-
opportunism for human survival-and-flourishing imbeded in institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels. This implies that an exercise in institutionalisation/intemporalisation beyond just intemporal philosophical projection is needed for the social integration of any transcending veridicality paradigm (the latter being any notion that put in question informal or formal conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding ways of perceiving and doing things for supposedly prospective better ways). Correspondingly, the social-construct cannot be and should not be related to as a philosophical construct since it is rather ‘conventionalised from institutionalisation/intemporalisation (second-natured), and has not evolved solipsistically (first-nature-emamance) an intemporal philosophy; as it may be inclined to make references to temporal categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are demented/of-perverted-registry/subknowledging/mimicking-and-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-these. This brings forth the idea of ‘ordered construct’ between the intemporal first-nature/philosophical (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-confalatedness) and temporal-and-poorly-second-natured/institutionalised (mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, in relation to transcending meaning. Such ordered construct ensures precedence of the former as it skews (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) solipsistically towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while the latter skews (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) for temporal preservation. Anecdotally, moral philosophy as intemporal-first-nature-emanance
(deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) creates law/legal-conventions but then questions of justice cannot be attended to by populist-social-construct/temporal-first-nature-emanance which emanant/becoming/existential-intersolipsism ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism might be intemporal but temporal-and-poorly-second-natured/institutionalised (mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness since only a developed sense of moral philosophy (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) ensures sound jurisprudence as a humintemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm rather than a temporal extirpatory paradigm. ‘Prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’ that can enable the superseding of conventioning in the social integration of ontological veridicality include existing channels of formalisms/officialdom which have naturally been instituted to allow for the supersedingness of intemporal/ontological constructs and intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism dispositions. For instance, formal institutions selectivity mechanisms; and where the latter fail or are fallacious, basic positive-opportunism wherein the ontologising construct elicits positive-opportunism for the undermining of defective conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding constructs/categorical-imperatives of meaning (for instance, a natural causes disease conception leading to more cures such that positive-opportunism then undermines a superstitious-driven disease theory which leads to more pain and deaths). The big idea here is that, it is naïve philosophically to operate mainly on the basis of ‘ontological rightness of transcendence’ with respect to a species whose emanance/becoming/intersolipsism construct
is structured to be temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference to the latter. And any such ‘ontological transcendence by mere rightness’ has never been acquiesced to for the sole reason of its intrinsic rightness. For instance, round world idea never took off even though it was ontologically right (as the medieval conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding construct and strongly ingrained social dispositions). It is the generated untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining together with positive-opportunism coming from sailors sailing around the world on this idea to seek for spices and create wealth that constrained/institutionalised the medieval world into such an ontological transformation/transcendence. Part and parcel of ontological transformation/transcendence is the existential cynicism to grasp the human sense of internal contradictions and positive-opportunism to introduce and uphold these by the mechanism known as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Regarding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism undermining of procrypticism, it is doubtful that pertinent ontological constructs and generally the ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought dynamics of procrypticism’ are by themselves a sufficient basis for the direct and immediate social integration of deprocrypticism because of its ‘rightness’ over conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding. Part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to understand how to manage the mechanism of transcendence wherein new and more profound ontological constructs are introduced and upheld, particularly by way of institutional percolatiuon channels for intemporal transcendence.
However, it should be noted that the conceptualisation of ‘conventioning’ is not wholly antipodal to ‘ontologising/intrinsic-veridicality’ as the latter prospective integration in the social-construct is through the former; ‘conventioning’ is thus a dynamic conceptualisation articulating, on the one hand, how prospective temporality undermines/subknowledges-or-mimics the intemporal/ontological construction of meaning (like postlogism-slantedness, miscues, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par-conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation, with respect to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the intemporal meaning), and on the other hand, how prospective intemporality is regenerated to supersede/transcend such perversion-of-reference-of-thought and bring about new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation).

Deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as highlighted above contrasts with ‘mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness’ which is rather temporal-driven (whether ignorance at best, slantedness/psychopathy, affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation).

Deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), being intemporal-driven, with respect to transcendence points to the fact that the articulation of meaning registered differently in two registry-worldviews/dimensions, the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as retrospective and transcendental as prospective, is/should be wholly referenced intemporally from the
Superseding transcendence that upholds intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as the ‘intemporal mind’ can’t go after the value reference of both registry-worldviews/dimensions since transcendence is about ‘subverting’ perversion-of-reference-of-thought by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering. For instance, the non-positivism/medievalism value references of aristocracy/class are contrarian to positivistic value references for the possibility of equal opportunities; and the intemporal projecting positivistic mind in medieval times has no business trying to appear ‘great and wonderful’ with respect to ‘conventioned’ value reference of aristocracy/class in the medieval world even though it is the dominant and encultured collective mental-disposition. Likewise, such logic will apply regarding deprocrypticism and procrypticism requiring a reasoning that goes beyond the ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present’ mindset/reference-of-thought of our current procryptic mental-disposition, i.e. ‘the limit of ontological thought is not the banality/averaging-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension’. Otherwise no progress is possible as a dimension progresses exactly because it has defects which when overcome enables the progress to occur! So the intemporal mind cannot as such ‘be impressionable’ by the banality/averaging-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension. It points to the fact that it is ‘perfectly ok’ to be ‘unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and value-reference-wise unresponsive’ to the subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge) registry but rather alienative for its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering. The ‘apparent profoundness’ of such temporal reference of thought is rather ‘depth-of-ignorance’ rather than ‘depth-of-elucidation’. Mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness arises as a result of shallow mental-dispositions induced by temporal emanances, and their disambiguation should be called for, and not candored/straightened/integratively-aligned as if intemporal/longness in
nature but rather decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality as temporal/shortness. Mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as such is rather a ‘flatness-of-the-mind’ involving temporality, ‘mental triteness’ and ‘gullibility’ with respect to, in the case of psychopathy, insane/slantedness integration as social psychopathy; and more generally, ‘lack of intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism philosophical depth’, i.e. lack of spontaneous first-nature intemporal inclination (the-guy-who-spontaneously-stands-out-against-say-a-genocide or the milgram-experiment-guy-who-sticks-with-what-is-reality-rather-than-going-with-the-flow, etc.) not to be confused with second-naturing/institutionalisation, and as a consequence an inclination to compromise intemporality as ‘conventioning (social-temporal-thresholding) of meaning’ rather than ‘ontologising (intemporal-uncompromising) of meaning’. Overall ‘mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness’ points to the fundamental processes of ‘social temporal miscuing of meaning’ and the effective temporal consequences whether regarding defective enculturation or defective social ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. This thus requires ‘deconventioning-for-ontologising involving the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise of undermining conventioning at uninstitutionalised-threshold (due to the inescapable veridicality of human individuation temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness emanance/becoming/intersolipsism which inevitably induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought at uninstitutionalised-threshold); deconventioning as such skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) and restores ontological veridicality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.
An essential element underlying the psychopathic and other postlogical relationship with meaning has to do with the nature of attachment to meaning. A postlogical mind doesn’t view meaning articulations as ‘inherently sanctuous’ and thus is inclined to produce mechanically whatever deductions that may engage an interlocutor in-conviction/prelogically/prelogically even if these are hollow mimicking non-veridical narratives, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated). On the other hand, in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking minds with more of an organic alignment view meaningful articulations as ‘inherently sanctuous’, i.e. ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness’. Going by these two facts, the postlogical and psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought is readily inclined to call upon a broad base of vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging narratives (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) whereas the prelogic/conviction mindset/reference-of-thought is inclined to call upon just the narratives it sincerely thinks are relevant/due and intrinsically real. So it is critical not to confuse the over-articulation of postlogical narratives (vague mechanical stylising-of-locution) with an organic depth-of-thought or profoundness, given that these involve postlogism-slantedness, disjointed-logic, miscuing, inventions and platitudes from the postlogical mindset, requiring decandoring/oblongating/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Ontologically speaking, meaning is an essential construct of human mental-devising-representation meant to allow for human intemporal teleology. A postlogic-formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented
relation to such a conceptualisation is sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi to ontology and is thus regarded as ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought referencing’ that is ontologically inconsistent as it counts on the fact that others remain intemporal/ontological for it to exist parasitising/co-optingly. Worst still such vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging tend to be integrated at uninstitutionalised-threshold of conventioning/social-temporal-thresholds.

Without a sense of ‘rational-realism’ (the veridicality of meaning involving not only the logical processing/operation of narratives but precedingly temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries disambiguation, i.e. in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology), by prelogism-as-of-conviction reflex, prelogic/conviction and postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-impulsively-demented narratives will be analysed at the same pedestal towards construing veridicality/intrinsic-reality. Such an analysis is wrong as an inherently prelogic/conviction mental-disposition will rather re-accentuate prelogic/conviction constructs in contention situations whereas the characteristic of postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing, whether direct as with the psychopath iterative looping of narratives or induced as temporal-emanances-registries conjugated-postlogism in ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of psychopath’s iterative looping narratives, is about a mental-
disposition to re-underline intrinsic-reality/veridicality hence its looping nature as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic. Hence once postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-as-failing-intemperal-preservation-or-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing is established in an interlocutor, the ontological construct is not to allow it be meaningfully sound (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-registry and thus implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) to be contending but rather logical-incongruence-or-transversality to it to reflect its perversion-of-reference-of-thought. The application of the universal technique of human transcendence to procrypticism-deprocrypticism transcendence can be basically be articulated as follows (the ontological entrapment):

– prelogism-as-of-conviction ANCHORING (‘setup of conviction meaning’)

– DOWNGRADING (psychopath’s hollow mimicking narrative wrongly ‘slanting the conviction meaning’)

– MISCUING (temporal registries first aligning prelogically/in-conviction/prelogically to the slantedness of the prelogism-as-of-conviction anchoring at ignorance pedestal, and then by successive temporal pedestals of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-encultration-or-temporal-endemisation, ‘integrating/adopting deliberate postlogical dispositions with respect to the initial conviction meaning’)

– denaturing REGISTERING/STRANDING (the intemperal-emanance-registry/ontology stigmatising of temporal-emanances-registries as strands-of-temporal-registries-perversion acting as the pre-empted backdrop for categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemperal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–
ontological-preservation of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/real-shades-of-the-real registry-worldview/dimension with its subsequent psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, just as strands-of-temporal-registries-perversion of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought are what act as the pre-empted backdrop for prospective positivism and the subsequent psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that followed) – PERCOLATION [the intemporal-emanance-registry/ontology eliciting untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, medium to long term positive-opportunism, registering of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought for social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena and then its transcendence-unenabling-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith; to fundamentally undermine procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and bring about deprocrypticism, and so cross-generationally, and not instant argumentation convincing intradimensionally in a registry-worldview/dimension that is defective or perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the first place). Ontology being the intemporal-emanance-registry, the exercise of ‘directing convincing’ to temporal-emanances-registries is inherently unwarranted and is rather syncretising-denial, with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’; the latter being utterly impersonal (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms] which allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively.
By ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ (where there is no ‘intemporal social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena as well as no intemporal-to-temporal registries pedestals disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation’) is meant, the possibilities of human dispositions and acts beyond frameworks that have not been institutionalised; manifesting as (uninstitutionalisation) ‘temporal-threshold logic’ or ‘discomfiture’. So the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positive registry-worldview will refer to procrypticism (requiring deprocrypticism), to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview it will refer to non-positivism/medievalism (requiring positivism), to the ununiversalised registry-worldview it will refer to ununiversalisation (requiring universalisation), and to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised registry worldview it will refer to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (requiring base-institutionalisation).

Institutionalisation and formalisation are based exactly on the fact that we don't have a universal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality or the-good disposition, but rather according to the mediocrity principle of science we are solipsistically temporal-to-intemporal in our mental-disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Hence we tend to build artifices (institutions with their formal rules) by the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference of our collective thought process in the medium to long perspective towards emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal-preservation-entropy, to dominate and pre-empt temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism dispositions. This explains why modern man (positivistic registry-worldview) is apparently more evolved/developed than he/she should normally be compared to previous generations (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised
men, ununiversalised men, non-positivistic/medieval men, and prospectively, how he/she will be superseded by the deprocryptic man). It doesn't mean that modern man has a genetic makeup or hardware that is different from the others. The difference is the cumulated ‘software’ or institutionalisations and formalisations that have been internalised into modern man. Anthropologists know that if you were to take a newly born child from a society like those that do not have contact with the modern world, and raise the child in a modern family, there is no different outcome on average as with any other child bred in the modern world. So our faith in virtue is not in our inherent excellence/exceptionalism but the excellence/exceptionalism of the software/institutionalisation that has cumulated, and insightfully, which creative template we will prospectively develop! Incidentally institutionalisation and formalisation ensures that we take the best form of human individuation thinking/capacity potential and constrain society and individuals to that individuation thinking/capacity potential, and inherently so, by the overall positive-opportunism to the cross-section of the species since it better grasp intrinsic reality and its virtues!

By emanance/becoming/intersolipsism; solipsism means I exist alone (with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality), and this author notionally interpret solipsism as the deepest sense of existence and meaning available to an individual in its spontaneous emanance or becoming, and as it projects itself ‘purely and universally’. It is a first-nature/intemporal construct beyond and ‘inventing the possibility’ of second-natured institutionalisation, and places all humans at all times at the same pedestal of virtuous and ontological appraisal, as it is about our ‘transcendental valour’ irrespective of the level of institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposure) at which we are. It contrasts with institutionalisation/intemporalisation which is ‘a negotiated and second-natured or nurtured
construct with respect to existence and meaning around social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Institutionalisation/intemoralisation as such, by way of positive-opportunism and inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-emanances-registries, has at least the merit of allowing for the possibility for human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-emanances-registries to be skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemoral-emanance-registry, and thus enabling social transcendence which is upheld by formalisation and internalisation.

By post-convergence is meant that ‘intrinsic reality’ is one and given (ontology), and that the flaws and corrections in how we go about representing ‘intrinsic reality’ (metaphysics/temporal-human-centred) has no influence on reality’s intrinsic nature. Our mental-devising-representation of the world in 5000 BC, 2000 AD and possibly 5000 AD might be worlds apart, but the intrinsic nature of reality never changed and will never change an iota. So our knowledge construct is more of a proxying to intrinsic reality to grasp the possibilities of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and thus a better grasp of the world; hence proxying mentation-capacity level as the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. That idea that intrinsic reality is preceding/superseding is known as ‘post-convergence’ (we are converging to reality and not adding or taking away anything from it, it is us being illuminated as reality is already given). In the exercise of construing ontological veridicality what gives in when the pertinence of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is known is the human psyche (whether by
candoring/straightness/prelogism when pertinent or decandoring/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought when impertinent), intrinsic reality never gives in (that’s why we are mortals and our hope is to always give-in to intrinsic reality for the possibilities of the future). This latter point is important as by reflex a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/temporal-human-centred dimension in its flaws will strive to preserve itself by totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its registry-worldview/categorical-imperatives (asiding of perversion-and-derived-perversion-reference-of-thought) rather than psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetic-reordering (coring and superseding the perversion-and-derived-perversion-reference-of-thought) for prospective/transcending/superseding categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

By ‘intemporal transversal post-convergence’ is meant post-convergence meaning as so articulated above is ontologically veridical but that does not necessarily imply the metaphysical framework emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal mental-dispositions will recognise that (i.e. there is no nested-congruence between registry-worldviews references-of-thought as this falsely implies ‘no temporal-to-intemporal disambiguation, i.e. equivalence of references-of-thought/no alienative-hierarchisation, whereas what is warranted is ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness pedestalling’); and that it is the transversality of such constructed veridicality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework determinism and operance that will undermine other possible ‘temporal perverted-transversal conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing-meaning’ by rendering them untenable/internal-contradiction and inoperant (not a ‘convincing’ at the philosophical or emanance level, rather a ‘constraining’ at the institutionalisation/intemporalisation second-naturing level out of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework); noting that ‘temporal perverted-transversal
conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing meaning’ imply temporal meaning cannot-be-registered as/have-the-registry of the ‘intemporal-emanance-registry which is ontological’, so are stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored (dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention/dialectically-primitive or dialectically-out-of-phase’), i.e. are in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, (all along the implied registry elements: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) of the mental-devising-representation from the intemporal-emanance-registry/ontological perspective. Ontology being of the intemporal-emanance-registry, the exercise of ‘directing logical convincing’ to temporal-emanances-registries is inherently unwarranted and is rather syncretising-denial, with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which induces the positive-opportunism and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining for its supersedingness in the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’; the latter being utterly impersonal (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) and allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. This is underlying transcendent-al-enabling notion while often obscured in the social totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality due to their ‘emotional involvement’ is immediately obvious with the natural sciences whereby the physicists nor chemists nor biologists worries about convincing anyone but is rather in the business of ‘the convincing from natural truths’ which
then do not ask for human temporal validation but impose themselves because natural truths inherently supersede human egotistic/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising opinionatedness!

Post-convergence, in the bigger scheme of things, implies that knowledge has to do with the development of our ‘mentation capacity’ (an entropic-referential memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise), across ‘retrospective-and-prospective history’, in grasping ‘intrinsic reality/veridicality’ which ‘has always and will always be ontologically same’. So the concern is about ‘us’; in the appropriateness of the registries we make of intrinsic-reality across retrospective-and-prospective history or rather shifting dialectical moments! The articulation of reality, registry-worldviews/dimensions, mental strands (perverted or not), and other constructs of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisive/blunt’ by the very nature of post-convergence reality. For instance, supposed a society with a non-positivistic/medieval belief system attributes the cause of a disease to say witchcraft, that doesn’t stop the reality of bacteria causing the disease even if such a representation of reality isn’t in the present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present of that society. Such an ontological conceptualisation of reality equally applies in our times where it can be demonstrated prospectively that our mental-devising-representation of meaning regarding a phenomenon is out of kilter, and reality won’t stop to accommodate us or our banality of thought. Thus the conceptualisation of reality is rather articulated at this depth-of-thought whether it accommodates our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present or not (reality personality), and operates by an ordered construct based on ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a disposition of averageness/banality/popularity/extrinsic-attribution-of-thought recurrent in uninstitutionalised-threshold in the extended-informality- ⟨susceptible-to-effecting-
parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), allowing for the possibility of transcendental meaning, institutionalisation/intemporalisation (skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) for intemporal domination) and human progress; given a temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness human emanances dispositions. Such an articulation of reality introduces the concept of ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ over ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness’. Reasoning-through/abjection refers to the uncompromising and non-negotiable nature of reality with respect to the meaningful frames of mortal creatures that we are as reality doesn’t adjust to our beliefs, desires, wishes, whims or miscues. Reasoning-through/abjection then implies that meaning is articulated exclusively in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and anything else is defined, whether to be candored or to be decandored, at that ordered construct point-of-reference or point-referencing. Reason is thus ontologically a ‘reasoning-through’ as allowed through in a ‘pure, organic and intemporally uncompromising state’ by reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisively/bluntly’. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought refer to the human reflex to average minds or make reference to extrinsic elements rather than meaning by its inherence as can be predicated effectively, and involves ‘reasoning with’, as it introduces ‘temporal and social trading’ elements over or clouding or compromising inherent intemporal veridicality. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as such is patently wrong; as can be perceived from point-referencing superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions such that the ontological representation of the veridicality is different from the different perspectives of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised registry-worldview and the superseding
institutionalised registry-worldview, and likewise with the ununiversalised and superseding universalised registry-worldviews, the non-positivistic/medieval and superseding positivistic registry-worldviews, and prospectively the procryptic and superseding depocryptic registry-worldviews. It implies that ‘it isn’t veridically weird’ to articulate depths-of-meaning that may apparently seem idiosyncratic in our present illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldview, as the issue is not with such an articulation per se but rather ‘our defective registry point-referencing threshold’, and implying rather the need for our psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Fundamentally, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in human thinking as indicated above with the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes is superseded by reasoning-through/abjection; in transversality/logical-incongruence at-a-superseding-pedestal, and represented oblongated/decandored/mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/logical-incongruence-or-transversality, given the fact that this reflects registry defect and not logical defect.

More precisely, how can meaning be represented in a ‘prospective registry state’ which is ontologically more real contrasted to a present ‘retrospective registry’, as meaning ‘temporally seems’ to vary depending on the uninstitutionalised-threshold point-of-reference to imply at one moment it is intemporal and at another it is temporal? This fundamentally has to do with our first-nature-emanance temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness emanance dispositions irrespective of the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and calls for PEDESTALLED CONSTRUAL or PEDESTALLED DISAMBIGUATION to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference meaning towards the intemporal/longness emanance registry for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation,
institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation thus involves at a given uninstitutionalised-threshold translating the ‘apparently prelogism-as-of-conviction or prelogical-teleological finality of a temporal emanance registry into its veridical non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibility-setup-caricaturing, non-conviction or postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought teleological finality, and so successively across the hodgepodge/ontological-discontiguity relaying of temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism registries (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as strands-of-temporal-registries-perversions, referenced from the intemporal-emanance-registry teleology in construing new recomposural categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—of—ontological-preservation in anticipation and pre-emption of the backdrop of temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought of previous categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—of—ontological-preservation. Technically, pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation should involve reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting from the intemporal-emanance-registry pedestal teleology finality/questioning mental-profoundness (deep candor) the relative longness/shortness-of-teleology of temporal-emanances-registries teleologies finalities/questioning mental-triteness (light candor), starting with slantedness pedestal finality/questioning (which is the psychopath’s insane/slantedness-fitment-roaming/drifting-cycle), and as it conjugates/inflects across other temporal pedestals teleology finalities/questioning (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation points to the fact that the
social representation of meaning is transversal/logically incongruent at uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected by human first-nature-emanance temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness projection dispositions (hence the need to articulate various pedestals of ‘questioning depth-of-thought’ and ‘strands of depth-of-meaningfulness’ to reflect effective meaningful representation from the intemporal-emanance-registry point-of-reference). Where meaning is not articulated within an institutionalised/intemporalised framework, the idea of logical-congruence (a common reference of meaning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic) should be avoided due to perversion-of-reference-of-thought whether psychopathic or not, and pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is then required using distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought to establish the ontological pre-eminence of the intemporal-emanance-registry. Instances of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather point to uninstitutionalised-threshold, whether retrospectively or prospectively, as there is wrong equivalency of temporal and intemporal-emanances-registries in the articulation of meaning; instead of the pedestalled supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry as it is all about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (superseding various shades of temporal preservations). Otherwise, perversion-of-reference-of-thought induces a ‘free for all’ false equivalence wrongly construed as of ‘first-nature intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ (rather than the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions). Accounting for distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is what ends such a ‘free for all’ and is the basis of pedestals alienative hierarchisation as referenced from the intemporal-emanance-registry thus bringing about institutionalisation/intemporalisation (given the social cross-sectional eliciting of social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena, untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-

Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation explains the dynamism of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure going by a recurrent emanance template that involves:

(1) Free-for-all implying an equivalence of temporal and intemporal-emanances-registries as being all intemporal (rather than temporal-to-intemporal), with the result that meaning then becomes veridically a hotchpotch of various formic-association, temporal, existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and the intemporal-emanance, without registry disambiguation (as registry disambiguation, into the intemporal and various conjugating temporal-emanances-registries of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, allows for the establishment of contextualisation in articulating the contrast of the intemporal-emanance-registry’s deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and temporal-emanances-registries mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness – involving slanting by psychopath, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-logic – with temporal-emanances-registries in varied shades of temporal conjugation/inflection to psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-emanances-registries and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). For instance, a state of nature (recurrrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) application of the law variably making reference to circumstantial social power relations and spontaneously articulated notions of vices and virtues but no or poor universal rules (mob situations as well as social psychopathic situations will fall under such an interpretation as well).

(2) Pedestalling (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling) articulates the relative grandor and virtuous consequence of the pedestalled
supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry by its intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation that then leads to society’s temporal-to-intemporal cross-sectional philosophical/first-nature-emanance deference; whether deference with regards to a superstition/belief system/religion, essences/universal-notions, positivist idealism/principles-rationalism (and prospectively rational-realism as of deprocrypticism), involving a posture (institutionalised disposition) of the sort ‘the-say-that or it-is-said-that’ as first-nature-emanance/philosophical deference to the intemporal/longness emanance registry, for instance, ‘scientists say that’, ‘the Bible says that’, ‘it is said that one should not set foot in that forest as it will bring bad luck’, etc. This ‘the-say-that/it-is-said-that’ philosophical/first-nature-emanance deference explains why institutionalisation/intemporalisation has been happening across human history; whether deference from personalised/animists beliefs to philosophical, religious and other social belief systems, deference from haphazard application of social rules to universal notions, laws and principles, deference from spirit-and-mystical-driven notions of nature and various alchemies to a modern scientific construct system. Hence the very place of the averageness/banality-of-human-thought-and-meaning in history has been for it to defer to superseding intemporal emanance construal by ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling. There is no such thing as allowing thought-and-meaning to the whims of masses thinking but rather deference to ‘reality/veridicality predicating constructs’; as enabled abstractly and existentially by the human individuation intemporal-emanant-registry in superseding human individuations temporal-emanances-registries.

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for post-convergence intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, and hence the precedence of higher intemporal teleologies over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; and that subpar structuring of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness not for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation but rather as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of subpar categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation as uninstitutionalised-threshold is ‘perverted reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ (‘temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought’), and is ontologically-demented (dialectically-demented) whether from a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview reference-of-thought/veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference that is retrospective (like base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), present (like positivism over non-positivism/medievalism) or prospective (like deprocrypticism over procrypticism/the-‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’-of-the-positivistic-registry-worldview-or-dimension-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation). ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflicatedness pedestalling underlines the fundamental nature of institutionalisation/intemporalisation not as a temporal-emanances-registries- to intemporal-emanance-registry transformation (not emanance transformance) but rather ‘a positive-opportunism constraining construct’ involving ‘intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism philosophical/first-nature deference’ (such that jurisprudentialism is dismissive of whatever we’ll like to think of it in our social-and-temporal-trading context about the law which is rather articulated as a formal conceptualisation and constraint to be internalised as a universal construct to avoid its
‘downgrading’ by mobbish or other temporal social inclinations, likewise with many a subject-matter domain). In the same vain, the outcrop of an deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness conceptualisation of deprocrypticism over procrypticism can only be construed within a formal institutionalised articulation not opened to ‘temporal/ordinary emanance/becoming/intersolipsism philosophical/first-nature contention’ as is the case with subject-matter constructs, but rather an institutionalised percolation-channelling exercise, so as to avoid temporal-emanances-registries denaturing as is the case with all formal constructs, which rather strive to uphold the intemporal/longness-of-register-or-depth-of-meaningfulness teleology while relying on principled methods.

Prospectively, the intellectual exercise involved in articulating procrypticism-deprocrypticism and psychopathy and its corollary social psychopathy, will have to imply a first-nature-emanance/philosophical deference of the averageness/banality-of-thought (temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions) for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism/right-shades-of-the-real institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Philosophical/first-nature-emanance deference of the cross-section of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries to the intemporal-emanance-registry in order for institutionalisation/intemporalisation to take place is critical in inducing the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (in relation to the unchanging-nature/same-intrinsicness of reality) for human retrospective-and-prospective progress/transcendence; and is necessary by the inherent fact of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries, going by the mediocrity principle (if men were only of intemporal emanance, no institutionalisation/intemporalisation nor ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling will be necessary as the mere exposure-to/contemplation-of
‘rightness of thought and meaning’ will suffice for transcendence; such a complete human being doesn’t and has never existed, and not even philosopher-kings from the Socrates, Aristotles and others who explore such possibilities, even though intemporal emanance individuation possibilities will tend to accrue more to such philosopher-kings individuals). For the big picture, this point to the fact that institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposure)/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology is only possible for one reason, a continuity in the intemporal-emanance-registry institutionalisation/intemporalisation (with philosophical/first-nature-emanance deference) of the cross-section of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions. Where, and if, intemporal-emanance-registry was to possibly end or be upended (either because of lack of further human intemporal-emanance-registry mentation-capacity for higher levels-of-transcendence, in the dynamism of individual potential, i.e. the solipsistic disposition of individuals’ individuations to assume universal projection of longness-of-thought-and-meaning, or social-construct potential, i.e. where grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not confused and implied on the naivety that the institutionalised social-construct is of intemporal-emanance-registry rather than a temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions construct requiring ‘transcending any perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the averaging-of-thought’), then ‘human transcendence and civilisation will stall’ (of course, such an insight is purely from an ontological point-of-reference, and not a temporal totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness point-of-reference)!

(3) The establishment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation involves necessarily ‘delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels processes’ to uphold it thereafter with formalisms and officialdom surrounding it with respect to temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts and corruption dispositions. For instance, the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of ‘scientific chemistry’
comes with a ‘chemistry lingua’ accessible to those sharing and/or educated to uphold the meaningful frame, on the justification that they explain and account more about the material world than any other alternative. This justification goes on to make them formalism and officialdom percolating to the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) such that over time alchemic and superstitious conceptualisations of material meaning are effectively destroyed while equally seeing to it that pseudo-scientism is kept at bay. ‘Delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels processes’; because such a pedestalled supersedingness is only as valid as to when it is the grandest construal of material meaning until, and if, it is shown not to be the case. A further and nonetheless important reason for such delegation is the relative superficiality generally associated with averageness/banality-of-thought first-nature-emanance/philosophical construal of meaning, and not to speak of its discomposure to the convolutedness often required in articulating and grasping intemporal meaning as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Besides, this raises other issues related to a more or less temporal take of an ontological/intemporal enterprise with regards to articulations that are meant to have universal import (import of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation across space and time) rather than for the sake of any particular circumstantial/temporal take/extirpatory-situation in whichever locale, that is, an extirpatory paradigm. A failure to grasp the intellectual-analyst posture rather as a proxying-of-intrinsic-reality-as-ontology as per ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation and that there-is-no-discretionary-construal-of-ontology/ontological-reality since intrinsic reality is superseding of all mortals including the intellectual-analyst. Basically the issue of the intellectual-analyst exercise in grasping such an
intrinsic-reality is a proxying one superseded by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of reality ‘which in no way depends on any notion of the intellectual-analyst’s choice/luxury’ (as the intellectual-analyst might actually have by another individuation chose not an intemporal/ontological projection but a temporal posture ‘in moral/intellectual equivalence with temporal mental projections’ with nefarious temporal consequences). Basically, there is nothing like an intemporal temporality whereby there is any intemporality in accommodating human temporality. Likewise, supposedly the intellectual-analyst was to come short in its intemporal projection or other universal values by temporal manipulation, it is very naïve to ‘reason and projecting temporally’ that eliciting such ‘an inductive-limitation (the-paradox-of-a-universal-rule-that-doesn’t-apply-universally-but-to-a-specific-circumstance-to-satisfy-a-temporal-urging)/gotcha-logic/suggestibility’ should undermine the essence of ontological/intemporal meaning which is ‘above a human intellectual proxying exercise to it’ and doesn’t depend on it to exist inherently, is nothing but temporal naivety. The reality of a round world doesn’t depend on its recognition of a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought for it to exist likewise with any veridicality/intrinsic-reality regarding psychopathy and a social manifestation whether it is palatable or not. Finally, temporal emanances as eliciting temporal vices-and-impediments are in no way qualified to contend about intemporal articulation/projection. In effect, such temporal pretence are nothing but totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions meant to satisfy the ‘mortals temporal preservation’ on the basis of ‘locale context logic’ and not ‘intemporal preservation as ontological veridicality with the potential for a grander human good’ on the basis of ‘universal implications’; as inevitably, ontologically, the resolution of ontological/being perversion-of-reference-of-thought defects (and as per their manifestation and conjugation as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) are as prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions constructs that supersede the prior/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (uninstitutionalisation structurally superseded/resolved/rendered-inoperant by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism by positivism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought by deprocrypticism). Supposed the intellectual-analyst was to act temporally to the point of overlooking such ontological implications to the level of lowly temporal minds, lowly because not universal-projecting, it won’t mean that the ontological reality will evaporate. It will simply mean that the intellectual-analyst has failed in its intemporal/ontological projection, more like Darwin doesn’t have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that evolution doesn’t exist in placating any temporal mortals or Galileo doesn’t have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that the world is not round in placating any temporal mortals, and if they were to make that choice they affirm nothing more than their ‘aggrandised mortality’. The blunt/incisive reality is that they being in that position to affirm intemporality/ontology/intrinsic-reality-as-providing-future-universal-possibilities-for-the-human-species are the ‘very tip of the possibility of human civilisation’ and their moral/intellectual posture is to ‘bluntly look down’ to the ‘little mortal creatures of temporality’ and ‘shepherd the sheepishness-of-the-species’ to grander civilisational grounds. It is an ontological ‘moral and intellectual responsibility and privilege’, actually, to be in any such position, going by the eudaemonic-contemplation
which is what ‘effectively grants existential moral and intellectual superiority’ [and not naïve
temporality accommodating conventioning constructs about any such pretence which is
nothing more than temporal/the-mortals’s circularity/syncretising-denial; as any such is not the
intemporal-emanance-individuation-kind that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation),
positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-
emanance-individuation-kind that will enable deprocrypticism (to thwart
procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal
individuation as such projects in an ‘abstract eternity’ which is what allows for the
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.] Temporal-
emanance-registries may not need to understand as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism for
the pertinence of intrinsic reality to be established as it is preceding/post-convergence,
anyway, that is why it is ‘an institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise’, and ‘not human
temporal emanances transformation exercise’ into intemporality! Ultimately, like all
institutionalisation/intemporalisation construct, there is a first-nature-emanance/philosophical
defercence to such an ontological construal by way of formalism-and-officialdom as the
temporality/averageness/banality-of-thought is not allowed to imply a first-nature-
emanance/philosophical depth with respect to such ontological construal (due to the reality of
the mediocrity principle that we are not as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal
but temporal-to-intemporal, and hence the need for the artifice to skew/deferential-
formalisation-transference for intemporality) otherwise we would be working with moral
philosophy and not law, subject-matter informalities and not formalisms, etc. There is no
such thing as ‘intemporal temporality’ as mental-dispositions ‘geared to accommodate
temporality’ are doing nothing but providing the anchoring for the endemisation and
enculturation of the vices-and-impediments associated with such temporal as
structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect as perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and hence are doing nothing but totalising–self-referencing-syncretising; as the state of inherent ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation, in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation with respect to ontological-normalcy (the latter assumed to be fully conceptually completed as deprocrypticism) as successively recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation recurrence, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism and positivism/procrypticism, is an inherent as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect in want for prospective transcendence (notwithstanding that the defect-in-temporal-preservation is instigated from postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition eliciting temporal inclinations of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in upholding its temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation).

That is why psychopathy is better dealt with as ‘social psychopathy’ given that what is often and mostly overlooked is not with regards to the psychopath and its postlogical impulse to ‘hollow-constitute’/fail-intemporal-preservation as perversion-of-reference-of-thought but rather the ‘distortional effect on analysis’ arising from ‘postlogical/psychopathic elevation wittingly or unwittingly’ by prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-dispositions in conjugated-
postlogism/insane-integration (by ignorance, at best, then affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) which then wrongly provide ‘conviction credulity’ to elevate and integrate the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a ‘slanted mind’. As of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism, virtuous construal arises structurally from a universal/intemporal projection which is operant and deterministic with no room for ‘temporal discretion’ regarding the manifestation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in any registry-worldview/dimension. The coherent and recurrent manifestation of phenomenal perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect in a registry-worldview/dimension speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s disposition to endemise/enculturate it. More like we don’t have issues of sorcery and so in the positivistic society as structurally the positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology do not endemise/enculturate the notion and the social vices-and-impediments arising from it thereof. On the contrary, structurally the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology endemises/enculturate this with the consequent social vices-and-impediments. It is very naïve to think that psychopathy as a social phenomenon is limited in scope to contexts where psychopaths are involved rather than involving a much wider social basis to explain how the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension integrates, enculturates and endemises it as ‘social psychopathy’. Just as prior/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions have undergone their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence once it is established that the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are subknowledge/registry-perverted/dialectically-demented at their uninstitutionalised-threshold and thus the need for new categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, likewise the positivistic dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting/dialectical-dementing of its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation known as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implies that ‘it is not and cannot be beyond a prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence exercise’ known as deprocrypticism which highlights the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s enculturated/endemised vices-and-impediments associated with its perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and so, as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal, and not as a vague impression-driven construal. By and large, virtue is best understood as the knowledge/lack-of-knowledge ontological possibility offered in a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (whether as base-institutionalised, universalised, positivising or deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning-rules) and not vagueness based on impression of discreet human or social qualities which just serve to confuse and distort the fundamental knowledge/lack-of-knowledge/understanding issue. This is very much in line with the virtues of all human subject-matter formalisms which are the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness.

This elucidation shows that intrinsic-reality, accessible by ‘reasoning-through/transversality/logical-incongruence/avoiding-issue-of-mutual-unintelligibility-or-intellectual-bad-faith’ only at-a-superseding-pedestal that is ontologically abject and
incisive/blunt over human incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, is grasping in transcendence only
by an active transversal construal involving ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling (beyond ‘temporal-and-social trading’) by distractive-
alignment-to-reference-of-thought.

As a reminder to the fact that pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is with
respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion (non-conviction-or-
existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-
caricaturing–of-apriorising/Intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-
impulsively-dementedness defect or a defect outside the logical paradigm of the said registry-
worldview) and not logical defect (conviction defect or a defect in the operation/processing
of the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview); it is critical to note that the mental
state of the registry-worldview/dimension involved with the psychopath’s slantedness-
integration is not a ‘bad conviction’ (which is a conviction or prelogism nonetheless) but a
non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing or
perversion-of-reference-of-thought or strands-of-temporal-registries-perversion, construed by
the slanted protraction of the psychopath’s slantedness inducing a social psychopathy; and it
is these strands-of-temporal-registries-perversion including that of the psychopathy that are
the subject of every institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposure) level’s
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering. Technically, it can be said that the
underlying psychopathic phenomenon known as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction is
associated with all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by its eliciting of
‘protracted slantedness’ in human temporal-emanances-registries
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Hence, the need for first-nature-emanance/philosophical deference to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-emanance-registry, as institutionalisation/intemporalisation.

This ‘institutionalisation template’ as articulated above implying ‘a next best case approach’ in ‘construing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of human virtue’ where we are face with the reality that man is not as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal but rather temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness may be counterintuitive with respect to our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, as any present-consciousness is shaped to perceive itself as intemporal with the notion that its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology are perfectly sound. But we simply need to take a ‘post-convergence’ look of such ‘ontological strands-of-temporal-registries-perversions’ regarding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation from base-institutionalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, ununiversal from universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, non-positivism/medievalism from positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, and prospectively our procrypticism from deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference; to appreciate that such a representation is not farfetched and its implication of the need of our psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure over our perversion-of-reference-of-thought strands-of-temporal-registries-perversion at our uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism (involving our endemisation/enculturation of the protracted-slantedness of positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation along the various temporal-emanances-registries from ignorance to temporal enculturation/endemisation).
distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought (mental-slantedness or decandoring-of-the-mind or denaturing, and not soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor): refers to the technique at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ (as against the natural reflex to align-in-conviction/prelogically or prelogism) by which to align the registry to the postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness articulated by psychopathy and its corollary social psychopathy. Distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is induced at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ by the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness. It works like this, supposed by perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion (going by the two narratives highlighted above about the psychopath’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion) an interlocutor effectively integrates the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions, at this ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold i.e. procrypticism’, the normal institutionalised/intemporalised logic (involving second-naturing/supersedingness of institutionalised intemporal-emanance-registry pedestal solipsistic/emanant disposition) do no longer operate cross-sectionally socially (as mental-dispositions revert there to intemporal-to-temporal registry pedestal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism dispositions). This involves: (i) the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ (which leads to acting as if the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion projected by the psychopath is not perverted) as there is a corresponding ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena’ (in the collective human mental-devising-representation at this uninstitutionalised-threshold) about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion that would have made upholding such
positivistic categorical-imperatives’) and an aetiology of the intemporal-emanance-registry/ontologising characterisation in its depth-of-teleology as intemporal/universal-projection; (iv) in the bigger scheme of things, as explained further above ‘the abstract inherence of reality is given as it is post-convergence’ and supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjects any defective reflex of human mental devising of representation of meaning such that it is the latter, the psyche, that gives in when demonstrated to be impertinent abstractly, and hence in lieu of ‘prelogism/candoring/straightness reflex’, ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought (as decandored/oblongated) is always the mental registry alignment with regards to the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview, as positivism by stranding aligns non-positivism/medievalism distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-non-conviction, universalisation by stranding aligns ununiversalisation distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-non-conviction, base-institutionalisation by stranding aligns recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-non-conviction, and prospectively (though counterintuitive, as well) deprocrypticism by stranding aligns procrypticism distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/protracted-non-conviction; (v) in the bigger scheme of things, distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will perfectly explain how ‘apparently sound human mental-dispositions’ within the scope of

In the bigger scheme of things, the articulation of reality as referentially post-convergence enables and allow creative thought possibilities that the all too common ‘fixated traditional categorisation conceptualisation of reality’ doesn’t allow, as post-convergence referentialism has the strength of overcoming the fundamental difficult issue of ephemerality (as priorly explained with the concept of unconscionability-drag) as ‘it enables mental-devising-representation contiguity in recomposuring’ across all institutional-recomposes/institutional-cumulations. The reason this is possible is that such a referential post-convergence representation is not shaped to prioritise any registry-worldview/dimension as being inherently the absolute reference of thought, such as we unwittingly do with our representation of reality due to the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness (a massive drawback in grasping veridical ontological reality especially in the ephemeral social world). With post-convergence referentialism we place reality as an abstract construct of oneness that
is preceding-and-supersedes our-and-all temporal representations of meaning, and the exercise of articulating ontological/intemporal meaning then becomes ‘one of recomposuring how our temporal-and-all-temporal representations of meaning are recomposured to be internally coherent with the abstract post-convergence referentialism ‘sense of oneness of preceding-and-superseding intemporal/ontological meaning’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’. The insight we can thus garner is that in absolute terms veridical meaning as represented in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is ‘a hypothetical abstraction’ of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (more like attaining the abstract but veridical purity in a field of study like mathematics) in ‘unwinding’ applicative ‘colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation’ of manifest teleologic-articulations as ‘subexistence-in-existence/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency’ (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting possibilities) – subexistence-in-existence being that which holds existential possibilities or existential potency for existential reality or ontological veridicality, as allowed by referential-depth or (‘allant’ or ‘fugue’ in French) or ‘natural emanant dynamic creative vitality/drive’, i.e. ontological-normalcy/post-convergence ‘unwinding’ as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting – (more like the subconscious is that which holds existential possibilities/existential potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/post-convergence maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness consciousness reality/veridicality, or more like quantum-mechanics is actually an ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/post-convergence maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness about evasive atomic-level physical reality, more like musical and/or artistic creativity hermeneutics is the subexistence-in-existence possibilities or existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-
reverberation/existence-potency/existential-potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/post-convergence ‘unwinding’ concrete music and/or art production). Thereafter, the ontological exercise is about having ontological-normalcy/post-convergence (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) as ‘an ontologically-veridical abstract and infallible referencing/correction-tool’ enabling dynamic recomposuring [projecting-and-reflecting: on the one hand, candoring/prelogism/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness ontologising, or on the other hand, decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness], even as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation implies a continually-evasive/ephemeral social world dynamics but that is graspable in referential terms. This allows for a truly universal and dynamic psychological science (and sound foundation for grasping ‘the veridicality of meaning’). The tools for such an ontological entrapment is basically about ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications ‘transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic refinements’ as post-convergence-or-postd dicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-thought involving fundamentally the organic harnessing of the notions of candoring/prelogism, dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking, ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness, prelogism-as-of-conviction on the one hand and on the other hand decandoring, distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, non-ontological-reference, non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-
contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing, not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention, mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (mechanicalism, alchemic-like-reasoning, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, protracted-non-conviction); which allows the human mind to project beyond just its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage, and truly have a fulsome picture of universals. Postdication (as an abstract and infallible referencing/correction-tool) allows for the ‘ontological liberation of human mental-devising-representation (of meaning) from any present (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology’ (whether in the bigger scheme of reference of specific consciousness-awareness-teleologies like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation–base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation-universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism-positivism, and prospectively procrypticism-deprocrypticism) as ‘postdication doesn’t tie the mental-devising-representation process to any of the above registry-worldview/dimension habituated (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology’ (given that these consciousness-awareness-teleologies are the recomposured outcome of ‘incomplete/incremental/temporal-accommodation human brain limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)’) but ‘rather ties the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible post-convergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool’ (given that this allows for complete/abject understanding by the very nature of the post-convergence notion, of course in an ‘abstract and evasive caricature’), hence overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness inherent in any (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology representing the mentally devised state of any registry-worldview/dimension. Postdication is
all about a post-convergence institutionalisation/intemporalisation-constraining for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-extending-into-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation (existential-storying-in-contiguity). An analogical case in point will be ontological theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics wherein the abstractions go beyond our habitual mental-devising-representation of meaning as in the positivist registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology. However, the bigger picture is that if prior/superseded institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes have effectively occurred [and so, counterintuitively to their natural (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleologies, as anticipated by postdication] right up to our present positivistic institutionalisation/intemporalisation owns (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology; there isn’t any particular ontological reason for intemporal/ontological meaning not to be construed in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence (postdication) as more veridically/ontologically real, beyond and counterintuitively to the positivistic mind’s temporal (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology (even if it is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to it). Such counter-intuitiveness arises because a prospective transcendental psychoanalytic-unshackling/institutional-recompose/memetic-reordering implied by postdication places the prior psychoanalytic-construction/institutional-recompose/memetic-reordering (in this case positivistic institutionalisation/intemporalisation) existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in question/jeopardy. But then it is not reality that caves in, it is ‘the mortal’ with a renewed institutional-recompose/memetic-reordering//psychoanalytic-reorientation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold involving deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness/longness-
of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in contrast with mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness; in transversality/logical-incongruence along 3-pedestals (psychopath’s slantedness transversal pedestal, temporal-emanances-registries transversal pedestals, and the intemporal emanance transversal pedestal in ontological-escalation’aetiologisation) enabling the stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase of mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness. Even if this sounds unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural, in any case a retrospective registry-worldview/dimension is ‘existentially parochial/narrow-minded as reflected/perspectivated by its mechanical-comprehension-dementing/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness denaturing from an deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)-ontologising from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension’. For instance, where a positivist mind might see a forest as a subject of scientific inquiry/understanding, a non-positivist/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought might rather see a mentally unconscious man going into the ‘evil forest’. Such ‘existential parochial perspectives’ will arise anyway from procrypticism viewed from deprocrypticism, though of a different nature than the example expressed above. In that sense, the deprocryptic mind might actually seem ridiculous in the procryptic registry-worldview/dimension but ‘there should be no temptation to want to appear great or adjust in such a perversion-of-reference-of-thought perspective but rather to make it irrelevant’ otherwise the deprocryptic mind compromises the essence of its purpose, just as a positivistic mind going by the ‘evil forest’ comparison ‘cannot afford to compromise its positivistic stance’ by trying ‘to be
wonderful’ in a non-positivistic/medieval perspective that is rather ‘in want of transcendence’; as it is exactly because the temporal non-positivistic/medieval reference is defective that it is being transcended. This speaks to the specificity of the would-be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft; it carries the element of knowledge not only as an abstract intradimensional conceptual construct but in its fullness with existential implications and insights of the dialecticism and psychoanalytic-reorientations involved in all transcendences, requiring that such an intellectual analyst be of ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ [in transversality/logical-incongruence with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness] with the registry-worldview/dimension in need of transcendence (procrypticism) to avoid dividing its meaningful-referencing instead of taking it prospectively (deprocrypticism), for instance, medieval intellectuals like Galileo and Rousseau have to be of ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ [in transversality/logical-incongruence with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness] with the medieval registry-worldview to generate prospective positivistic registry-worldview which at their time is not intelligible to a medieval take (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) on meaningfulness! This can be further expanded on as follows. The intradimensional meaningful frame is ‘an abstraction to the structural/paradigmatic conceptual limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, which do not supersede/precede/override/undermine intrinsic-reality/ontology; and the issue that then arises is that it doesn’t carries the meaningfulness
sought for transcendentally. On the other hand, transdimensional/transcendental meaningfulness is emanance/becoming/intersolipsism precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy accruing as ‘existential psychoanalytic ontological emanance/becoming/intersolipsism form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension)’ beyond the superseded intradimensional structural/paradigmatic conception limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension (which itself had been the outcome of a preceding existential psychoanalytic ontological emanance/becoming/intersolipsism form). Memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness will refer to the abstract conceptualisation of meaning beyond and superseding an intradimensional registry-worldview abstraction scope to the scope of transdimensional/transcendental existential psychoanalytic ontological emanance/becoming/intersolipsism form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension with its existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation); highlighting as ontologically wrong any relation to intradimensional meaningfulness as (intemporally/ontologically)-sanctuous-by-reflex (as this wrongly undermines the stranding-dialectics of temporal-emanances-registries-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-subknowledging/mimicking-set-of-narratives, and wrongly leads to their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-straight/candored)’ at that registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective memetic-reordering. (As a side note, this will explain while ‘referentialism’ in contrast to ‘categorisation’ is the appropriate knowledge-cadre for such a more or less deconstructive articulation in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and suprastructural, as is the case with this paper, by the fact of the need for a requisite ‘habituation-into and repeatability-from-different-textual-
meaningfulness-perspectives’ that is necessary to get-to-and-grasp not only an explanation but critically as well the requisite psychoanalytic-state of a construed existential psychoanalytic ontological emanance/becoming/intersolipsism form, in full blossoming of the transcending dimension, as ontological meaningfulness.) Finally, it is just a matter of fact going by the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process that human cross-sectional mentation-capacity in relation to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is limited given perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as virtue is rather extended by successive re-institutionalisation in transversality/logical-incongruence (not nested-congruence) by the intemporal-emanance-registry intemporalisation skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) as deferential-formalisation-transference, going from base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocryptism.

Such a ‘post-convergence referentialism’ skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) hermeneutic-circle goes beyond a traditional hermeneutics exercise of subjective interpretation and rather arrives at an exercise in ‘universal objective (ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) ontological explanation’ as it emphasises transversally/incongruently ‘the recomposural precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of abstract post-convergence referentialism notion of reality’ in referencing meaningfulness registry (whether candored/integratively-aligned/straightness/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or decandored/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation), and so, as coming from an intemporal-emanance-individuation-kind/ontological skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-
of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) point-of-referencing. It further holds a promise that goes beyond our notions of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (as rather intradimensional or a registry-worldview constructs), and arrives at the grander notion of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising which grasp should enable greater human transcendental possibilities.

Of course, ontologically (i.e. ‘the-Good/understanding’ contrasted with ‘good-natured/impression-driven’) the bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true knowledge ‘save us from potent-temporality and its vices-and-impediments with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than how do we over-idealise ourselves and thus fail to be pre-emptive (as the ‘human cross-sectional mental equilibrium emanance/becoming/intersolipsism disposition’, at any successive transcendence/institutionalisation in the ‘human essential temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism equilibrium nature which is ontologically true’, under-accounts for ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism temporal-nature which is not ontologically true’, and over-accounts for ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality nature which is equally not ontologically true’ – the insight for this is that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure tool, it doesn’t transform emanance which is the exclusive purview of individual first-nature and by its very emanance/becoming/intersolipsism nature is ‘beyond a philosophical transformation exercise’ as the latter exercise is mainly to ‘construct articulations for second-naturing’ at best (articulate new institutionalisation/intemporalisation deterministic-and-operant possibilities for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for
relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), hence the need to refer analytically to a temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism disposition as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness highlighting the uninstitutionalised-threshold and not analytically implying by reflex solely on the basis of a human intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-disposition); and prospectively, do our part of the ‘transcendental homework’ that has brought the human species this far taking cue from retrospective transcenders.

By extension this explains how the notion of ‘knowledge problem’ is to be apprehended transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally (as a contiguous intemporal ontological construct). Commonly, intradimensionally, the knowledge problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ is an ‘intradimensional focus’ around logical operation/processing/contention based on the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension ‘towards resolution’, with the temporal defect of possible denaturing of such categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation undermining the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. However, post-convergence (preceding/superseding intrinsic reality) insight points to a depth-of-focus of the knowledge problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ on the ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ itself-and-beyond-any-set-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-implying-it (and by
extension accounting for incompleteness of human mental/brain mentation-capacity which is the reason of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) to define ‘social problem/questioning’ as implying a categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (the contiguous referential exercise of recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to perpetually enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is known as ‘postdication’, a term that is in contrast with ‘predication’ which is based on ‘constitutive categorisation elaboration on an intradimensionally affixed categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology whereas postdication refers to a transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/ across-all-institutional-recomposures/cumulations entropy as post-convergence recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction); involving avoiding making an intemporal emanance representation (with the implication of a purely logical operation/processing/contention) instead of a temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries representation (with the implication of emanances-registries-disambiguation before logical operation/processing/contention; as registry disambiguation, into the intemporal registry and conjugating temporal-emanances-registries as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, allowing for contextualisation in articulating the contrast of the intemporal-emanance-registry’s deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-
of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and temporal-emanances-registries mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness – involving slanting by psychopath, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi-conventioning-logic – with temporal-emanances-registries in varied shades of temporal conjugation/inflection to psychopathic postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-orfailing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-emanances-registries and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-emanance-registry for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation). Thus the ontological veridicality of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought at it uninstitutionalised-threshold is articulated, with contention then being about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting and aetiologising/ontologising this, even if it is intradimensionally unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and unpalatable (consider in this regard, the development of positivism from non-positivism/medievalism). It should be noted then that the paradigm is an intemporal/ontological projection referencing paradigm beyond-and-the-non-implication of an equivalence between (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling) with the intradimensional ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the temporal/‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ dimension, more like the
positivist ontological biology and medicine paradigm is beyond/supersedes-and-is-a-non-implication of an equivalence with the ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of say non-positivistic/medieval temporal value dispositions with respect to the notion of disease, that is, it’s point is to define an altogether different and superseding meaningful frame or paradigm and is not involved in an idle exercise of elevating and articulating its meaning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of and implying an equivalence with non-positivistic/medieval meaningfulness. That is equally the relation between a transcending deprocrypticism registry-worldview and the transcended procrypticism worldview.

Postdication as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (post-convergence), as a ontological-reconstituting psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully allows for a purist (candored/decandored) ontological grasp/predication of the veridicality of any institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (retrospectively to prospectively); avoiding the defect of intradimensional-referencing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and consequently a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase undermining ontological veridicality.

This transcendental insight is in line with the idea of low teleologies or temporal concerns in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, and ontologically short in a temporal 80-to-90-years-of-life-mental-project, and higher teleologies or intemporal/transcendental concerns in deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-
conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and ontologically long in an intemporal/species-possibilities/abstract-eternity-of-being-mental-projection/eudaemonic-contemplation), and their corresponding abstract individuation aetiologies (even though in effect individuals as ‘receptacles of specific individuation aetiologies’ cannot realistically be construed as absolutely tied to low or higher teleologies but rather as tending to accrue towards a specific-individuation-aetiology/characteral-disposition whether of low or higher teleology; hence any such ‘storied/articulated’ absolutely specific-individuation-aetiologies are caricatural of the realistic nature of individuals as ‘receptacles of individuation aetiologies’, though all such storied/narrated specific individuation aetiologies represent the full possibilities of any and all individuals ‘as receptacles of individuation aetiologies’).

By ‘higher teleologies’ is meant ‘emanance/becoming/intersolipsism existential disposition’ which is ‘in essence intemporally preserving solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly’ (and so, by a disposition that is beyond just one institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension and abstractly across all transcendental retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions); with the implication that the highest teleologies of Base-institutionalisation (as percolating undermining of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism to the highest teleologies of Universalisation (as percolating undermining of ununiversalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism to the highest teleologies of Positivism (as percolating undermining of non-positivism/medievalism and its vices-and-impediments) – and prospectively, equivocates as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism to the highest teleologies of Deprocrypticism (as percolating undermining of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and its
vices-and-impediments). It should thus be noted as such that ‘higher teleologies’ are ‘equivalences of existential emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries), and not equivalences of institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels. That is, being in a transcended institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension (internalisation and formalisation induced as a second-nature) doesn’t equivocate as highest teleologies to the existential emanance/becoming/intersolipsism projection that ‘had the vision’ in the prior/superseded subknowledging/mimicking/untranscended registry-worldview/dimension (‘with-no-elicited-positive-opportunism/much-more-likely-temporal-negative-disincentive’ and ‘out-of-the-blue’) to articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation the prospect of the transcended-registry-worldview/dimension-with-its-prospective-universal-virtue-over-the-vices-and-impediments-of-the-prior-registry-worldview/dimension even as it seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the prior/superseded untranscended/‘dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ registry-worldview/dimension. So in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘higher teleologies’ (emphasising the existential intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism as a seed-of-virtue over institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome, which the former enables) being in an institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic world doesn’t necessarily equivocate us to the Galileos, Descarteses, Newtons, Leibnizes, Rousseaux, Darwins … behind the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (even though together with them we all may recognise and operate within a positivistic world). That is, the ‘existential emanance/becoming/intersolipsism that enables the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation of a transcending registry-worldview/dimension’ is the higher teleology ‘over the mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft’ in such a transcended registry-
worldview/dimension. And why is this distinction critical? Because prospective (intemporality) need for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation necessarily calls upon the (intemporal) emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-kind that articulated-and-upheld-for-percolation the superseding institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence; and the condition of mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft in the untranscended registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t speak of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism disposition to prospectively articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation an intemporally requisite prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is intemporally preserving (in post-convergence), highlighting the veridicality and need for ‘human registries-disambiguation at uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and as being temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions.

The notion of higher teleologies as such is specific to the human species in holding that beyond just ‘a physical animal passing of specie generational succession’ for survival and optimising-specie-flourishing, with higher teleologies there is ‘an even more critical passing of generational succession’ as memetic-skewing-or-reordering/philo-cultural optimising of possibilities of the species towards intemporal virtue as civilisational over temporal vices-and-impediments (philo-cultural and not cultural, because philosophy notionally supersedes and defines cultural possibilities); and so, by virtue of the exceptional possibility, in time and space, of human transformation/transcendence by philo-cultural skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-denthal-enabling)/memetic-reordering with respect to the base physical animal selectivity process (genetics) of the human species generational succession.
On other issues of pertinence in the bigger scheme of things:

(i) Meaningfulness of temporal and intemporal notions of ‘existential idealism/success’ as these define mental orientations or registry-worldview teleologies. Going by the human ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ process involving variously candored/straightness/prelogism and decandored/oblongated/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of registry-worldviews dependent on which registry-worldview is considered perversion-of-reference-of-thought or transcendental/superseding; in any given registry-worldview’s social context, the notion of ‘existential idealism/success’ is averagely viewed invariably as ‘living to the ‘opportunistic ideals or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ of the inherent registry-worldview’ irrespective of whether it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought or transcending/superseding, and not necessarily by its veracity/ontological-pertinence. But then given that what allows for the institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposure)-process/transcendence/civilisation to take us from an uninstitutionalised animal to now a positivistic one and prospectively a deprocryptic one; it is difficult to contemplate ‘existential success/idealism’ from a knowledge/ontological perspective (in contrast to a temporal averaging-of-thought perspective) without identifying that intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism in contrast to temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-dispositions is what is ‘true existential success’ as the intemporal emanance is very much what allows for human transcendence and subsequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation, much as the distilling process allows for the lightness of hydrocarbons, ‘where lightness is virtue’. Basically, it can be said that without the human quality of the ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation individuation of the intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism’ we’ll still be probably in caves. Of course, such a depth-and-projecting-scale-of-thought requires an appreciation of the ‘percolative impact’ of the ‘first-nature/philosophical emanance/becoming/intersolipsism
intemporal’ (which is not readily available to the immediacy/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of minds of temporal-emanances-registries). For instance, men did not ‘by magic’ develop the possibilities of civilisations whether the stone, bronze, copper, iron ages, the antiquities, the medieval and today modern positivism; without a corresponding ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ that allowed for such a development induced by philosophical revolution, however, prosaic the philosophy. For instance, it is not by magic that science and vaccines were not developed in antiquities but were developed in early industrial Europe, as the ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ of the ideas expressed by the Descartes and Galileos ‘shaped subsequent common minds’ to be inclined to rationalise profoundly their grasp of physical phenomena like Pasteur and others. Likewise, the philosophical development in antiquities not being ‘profoundly applicative enough’ and more or less cultic (available more or less to a priestly class and poorly universalising in many such slaving-and-class society), such a psychoanalytic liberation percolating effect could hardly be obtained from say Aristotle’s writings (granted, it percolated into the medieval Arabic and European worlds), and in addition the ‘intellectualism’ was more like contained in a ‘cultic class’, and hardly the bread and butter of commoners (and even then, Athens was an outlier without scale and time and the sufficient lack of chaos and war). As the establishment of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination psyche rule of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as of phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation’ is what allows for human individual and collective orienteering–focussing–persisting of construal/conceptualisation by that transcendental-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation’ leading up to our
positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension mental-disposition. In other words
in the human totalising–thrownness-in-existence/I-exist-therefore-existence-is-
transcendental-enabling-to-my-subpotency/hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-
performance finitude of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-
worldview/dimension, we may be forgiven going by human limited-mentation-capacity by its
‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ to be unable to grasp
greater emancipatory ‘originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination psyche rules of
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as of phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-

apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context conceptualisation’ successively as of base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, positivism–procrypticism
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules,
and Deprocrypticism pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-

This highlights that our own location at the backend of the institutionalisation process doesn’t
dispense us from our own ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding
dialectics for prospective transcendental possibilities. Basically, the entropy behind such a
philosophical-driven conceptualisation of human meaning and corresponding psychoanalytic-
unshackling, percolating into an overall relaying defining the human anthropological-
continuity or anthropopsychology or institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure could be summed up this way:

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mythologies (of superstitious causations with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘inducing a human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of introducing comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation suprastructurally based around such mythologies (underlying suprastructurally the creation of superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mystical-principles (a system of the appropriate relations humans need to have with such superstitious causations with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘renewing the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of redefining comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation as rules/principles-driven though still based on mythological systems (underlying the suprastructural introduction of rules/principles in superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘universal rules of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of principles-rationalism (of principles/rules of causation-in-reflecting-ontology as not superstitious with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘redefining the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ and has as merit the superseding of superstitions based on rationalising systems of universalisation, positivism and science (underlying the suprastructural introduction of intemporal principles in the operation of social endeavours including social rules and science, and practically ‘the categorical-positivising/rational-
empiricism of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans); and prospectively


The reason for an institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence from the superstitious-religion, universal-notions/essences, principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and then rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully the human psyche is inclined/shaped/desires to find an all-in-all-encompassing-response (magic wand) to explain its world, but then realises
across institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes that successive introduction of more and more ‘realistic’ conceptualisations enable a grander ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and grasp of its world.

Further, what differentiates principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that the ‘institutionalising threshold for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ of the latter introduces the disambiguation of emanances registries in meaning construal and subsequent logical operation/processing/contention at reference-of-thought (on the basis that human emanances-registries dispositions are temporal-to-intemporal/shortness-to-longness; with human registers/registry-teleologies involving subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness/psychopath, ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). This is the peculiarity of deprocrypticism/right-shades-of-the-real institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise. The former simply focuses on logical operation/processing/contention at ‘conviction anchors’ (on a wrong reflex basis of universal human intemporal/longness register/registry-teleology disposition). Hence the present principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism unlike rational-realism as of deprocrypticism, in the exercise of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and corresponding categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, fails to account for perversion-of-reference-of-thought registries, as subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness/dementing of the psychopath, postlogically conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing by the temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.
Deprocrypticism is particular, as imbued/recomposing with the other institutionalisations and across all the institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposures), in that it addresses the fundamental issue of temporal-to-intemporal emanance-registry-teleologies perversions-of-reference-of-thought defect by recognising the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism in principle and pre-empting this in principle in its operant conceptualisation i.e. in principle the deprocryptic reflex is not to simply operate/process logic, it anticipates the verification of soundness of registry to establish that this isn’t subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slanted/psychopathy as well as the conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing perversions-of-reference-of-thought by the temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

Such ‘deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence’ (as with any other institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence) involves the development of pre-emptive and prospective categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation over the prior now dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention/dialectically-primitive) perversion-of-reference-of-thought positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation stranded-rightfully-as-decandored/oblongated, and so with the ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ highlighting temporal-emanances-registries stranding-dialectics. It should be noted that while the prior/superseded transcendences to positivistic institutionalisations have been rather
incremental-to-abject, it is likely that procryptic to deprocryptic transcendence is most probably an outrightly blunt/incisive abject construct, and why, because higher institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures imply higher perversion-of-reference-of-thought of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are ‘not readily perceived as undermining intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in their ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework and are often wrongly analysed as being intemporally preservational’ but for a very insightful ontological reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting exercise of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) ontological-escalation/aetiologising over mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness; requiring a corresponding intellectually decisive and abject articulation for procryptic-to-deprocryptic cross-generational deprocryptic transcendence, as the procryptic perversion-of-reference-of-thought is weakly graspable in the cross-section of the social-construct for the transcendence to work effectively by incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought even though such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought might later arise in social integration from institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels following an intellectually abject and decisive articulation, or possibly with successive other such intellectual articulations, of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence. Methodologically, it should draw on phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights, as with this research paper, and extending into a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ as the ‘ontologically effective, applicative
and operant articulation insight’ to this background phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights. Its highlighting of such a transcendence should be similar to say a literary work like Things Fall Apart by Chinua Achebe even though the latter is rather more about cultural-diffusion-from-Western-philosophical-transcendence which positivistic transcendence integration into the society’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels undermines-psychoanalytically/psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure the society’s existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation allowing for positivistic transcendence. But then unlike Things Fall Apart, such a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence being not a cultural-diffusion-from-another-society’s-philosophical-transcendence but rather a universal-human-intradimensional-philosophical-transcendence can be creatively devised as being in substitution to an ‘abstract cultural-diffusion-from-another-society’s-philosophical-transcendence transcendence’, for an in-depth insight. However, the latter storying will have to be more deterministic, operant and of aesthetic applicability, unlike just a simple literary work, with strong existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications insights with respect to percolation effects as predication/deferred-predication and application/deferred-application to human and social issues based on temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries conceptual articulation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework about the ‘abstract nature of man’. This will involve ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ in transversality/logical-incongruence articulated in a dynamic relationship along the three pedestals of: psychopathic characters slantedness as insane/slantedness-fitment in absolving-or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-to-last-narrative-wronglyly-allowing-interlocutors-prelogical-or-conviction-alignment; temporal-emanances-registries (of
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation)

insane/slantedness integration/conjugation in mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness


and the intemporal-emanance-registry deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) on the basis of a higher teleology complex of being more profound with respect to mechanical-comprehension-dementing/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to intrinsic-meaning/veridicality, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) reflection/perspectivation of the two prior pedestals in ontological-escalation as a registry-worldview/dimensional defect at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as backdrop for ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the construal of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and in pre-emption of procrypticism,
so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectically-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality’. And so, based on the fundamental psychological paradigm of ‘mental-devising-representation devising’ giving-in to veridicality/intrinsic-reality when shown to be perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This fundamental psychological paradigm operates by way of candoring/prelogism/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or in dementing/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to represent registry-worldview/dimensional ontological-veridicality ‘as thinking’ or perversion-of-reference-of-thought ‘as dementing’ respectively, as is implied in all the transcendences from recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism, and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism. This serves to provide the perspective/reflection to the present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought explaining while the ‘seemingly unlikely ‘dialectically-dementing’ mental-devising-representation of its mind’ at its uninstiutionalised/unintemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought so reflected/perspectivated from deprocrypticism is more veridical than its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising mental ‘dialectically-thinking’ representation. In the bigger scheme of things, such a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ on perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism re-elaborated to a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ of all the transcendences provides an even more profound and emanant-insight understanding of the anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology and the proper place of the present positivistic mind in the bigger scheme, and what is prospectively implied, as a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence).
Another ontological element of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence is that it is ‘weakly positive opportunistic’ to the cross-section of the social construct. Prior/superseded transcendences are relatively ‘strongly positive opportunistic’ with base-institutionalisation transcendence from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation being the strongest in its positive-opportunism as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of: ‘organising rules/principles’/base-institutionalisation are opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival itself, i.e. such an uninstitutionalised state with uncertainty, lack-of-knowledge about the environment and relative lawlessness ‘focuses the individual’s mind’ to adhere to any dependable organised rules/principles/laws, even where such organising rules/principles/laws are bad so long as they are predictable, be it circumstantially (and effectively, base-institutionalisation is a state where such organising/rules/principles/laws are constantly being remade competitively with respect to survival-possibilities and power relations, but on the other hand base-institutionalisation tends to have weak institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels for intemporal transcendence in the long run due to ‘holding-on-to-the-initial-proven-survival-and-flourishing-assets/tradition’ and ‘a question of power relations’, and more likely than not, in such human society in ‘clanic turbulence’ base-institutional-recomposure is a highly-diffusionary-juggling-and-reconstituting-transcending-across-clans rather than oriented towards just a singular intra-social intemporal-philosophical transcending, but also involving on the rare occasion a lopsided diffusion from an altogether different and dominant cultural grouping); those of ‘projecting rules/principles’ or universalisation are less opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival but are relatively vital and extend the ambits of the former; while those of ‘empirical rules/principles’/positivism are even less positive-
opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate for immediate/direct survival but relatively critical for flourishing (science, human rights, democracy, etc.). So these institutionalisations transcendences can elicit, in effect, a grander sense of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completenessness paradigm rather than a temporal extirpatory paradigm in their cross-section of the social-construct. However, it will probably be more facile for such a cross-section of the social-construct to be strongly disposed to adopt an extirpatory/temporality paradigm rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completenessness paradigm regarding the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries accountability as intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) rules/principles’ or deprocrypticism with regards to their temporal direct/immediate survival opportunism statistically to individuals on the cross-section of the social-construct. An intemporal/ontological projecting emanance-kind that may elicit a sense of positive-opportunism for survival itself with base-institutionalisation will not necessarily have the same adherence effect on the cross-section of the social-construct when it comes to a transcendence which temporal directness/immediacy for ‘individuals sense of survival-and-flourishing’ is not so obvious but for its abstract ontological veridicality and abstract intemporal transformation implications as is the case with deprocrypticism; but is rendered possible because of the relatively ‘strong preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels for transcendence’ (on the basis of its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generation capacity); more like
it would be fair to say that many an abstract and boring scientific efforts do not necessarily appeal temporarily but for the strongly preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels for their social integration. Basically, with transcendence as temporal directness/immediacy weaken on the one hand, the element of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (with institutional percolating channels for transcendence) in assuring prospective transcendence strengthens.

To sum up, this highlights the ‘temporal existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications practicality aspect’ involved in all human transcendences. That is, transcendence is more of a human-mentation-capacity driven construct and its mundane recognition is not inherently by its supposed virtue (given that survival-and-flourishing, and not veracity/ontological-pertinence, are the more immediate/direct basis for the human temporal drive). To the extent that transcendence highlights critically that it is what is the best enabler for survival-and-flourishing then it is a force of social transformation. Equally, an ontologically-veridical but not immediately/directly survival-and-flourishing will not, with regards to human temporal practicality, by mere ontological-veridicality be a basis for its social integration, if the insight that it provides a grander survival-and-flourishing scheme isn’t immediately palpable. As in this case human temporal practicality disposition is perfectly inclined to threshold at its registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold. But then with an increasing cerebral grasp of our nature and our surrounding world rather than just passive endurers of nature-in-action, we can fairly anticipate and supersede intellectually our human temporal practicality dispositions, in this case with regards to deprocrypticism, and attain prospective knowledge-and-virtue generally.

Meaning (defined previously as what defines/predicates value, thought and action) is actually a referential memetic construct in the referential exercise of the entropic preservation
of preceding-intemporality/intrinsic-reality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This leads in the instance of perversion-of-reference-of-thought to the notion of ‘memetic-corruption or psychoanalytic-misrepresentation of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; requiring a referential ‘memetic reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the entropic preservation of intemporality/intrinsic-reality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.

The referential memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness implying that meaning is in fact a ‘human mental devising construct’ (not inherently ontological or intrinsic-reality) and it is grounded on its validation/veridicality by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in showing it is proxying to ‘abstract and inherent ontology/intrinsic-reality/veridicality’ which is a preceding/superseding notion (post-convergence) to our mental devising of meaning; explaining why we adjust our meaning model/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored, and then mentally-oblongated/decandored with respect to new/superseding soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored) when the proxying-registry-construct is internally-contradictory and demonstrated to be flawed at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold whether from recurrent-utter-institutionalised to base-institutionalised, ununiversalised to universalised, non-positivistic/medievalism to positivistic, and prospectively procrypticism to deprocrypticism.

More than just an exercise of grasping the possibilities of human transcendence, it is critical that for future transcendence we don’t confuse the development of a ‘banal/temporal/averaging-of-temporal-thoughts’ notion in ‘our shortness of the lives of mortals’ (80 or 100 years or so) as defining what is ‘existential idealism/success’ on the basis
of such ‘mental shortness’ (which isn’t even solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly the intemporal responsibility for the transcendence that enabled its world, the positive worldview from non-positivism/medievalism, but has been rather ‘institutionalised and second-natured there’, and so is ‘philosophically irresponsible’ prospectively with respect to the bigger scheme of things regarding transcendence/prospective-institutionalisation, necessarily so when inclined to an extirpate emanance/becoming/intersolipsitism temporal disposition that is not solipsistically intemporally responsible). Intellectually and knowledge-wise, the articulation of ‘existential idealism/success’ must be the exclusive purview of the aetiological individuation of the intemporal-emanance-registry whose deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’s universal projection/intemporality keeps alive the notion of existential idealism/success as long as from its intemporal-emanance-individuation-kind that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-emanance-individuation-kind that will enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal mind as such projects in an ‘abstract eternality’ that is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation.

In the bigger scheme of things, all the vices-and-impediments of successive registry-worldviews can be directly ascribed as corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought of temporal emanances of the registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism (pointing to the fact that virtue is about ‘the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework constructs’ of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, and not ‘good-natured/impression constructs’ which are vague, as it is inevitable that there is no good-naturedness/impression-drive that exist to prevent an recurrent-utter-institutionalised mind from deterministically committing the vices-and-impediments of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, of an ununiversalised mind those of ununiversalisation, of a non-positivistic/medieval mind those of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively of a procryptic mind (as subknowledging/mimicking/perverting positivistic meaningfulness) those of procrypticism. Virtue is plainly and simply about a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct with corresponding virtuous consequences of knowledge or lack-of-knowledge thereof). It is critical for the sake of the temporal mortal that we are, not to be allowed to be our own God; that is exactly what creates transcendental possibilities, otherwise we syncretise and preserve and articulate our temporality as being intemporal!

(ii) ‘Intellectual solipsistic/emanant irresponsibility’ referring to ‘intellectual idealism’ success in conceiving intemporal meaning but failure in preserving intemporal meaning from ‘temporal mimicking, denaturing and subknowledging’ with corresponding poor temporal-emanances-registries orientations/registry-worldview over that intemporal meaningfulness in relation to the bigger picture of human/social progress paradigm. While intellectual ontological/intemporal meaningfulness may strive to articulate a universal idealism/intemporal projection, it is rather naïve to operate on the ‘romantic’ basis that universal idealism/intemporal projection is the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism first-nature disposition of humans as temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism dispositions like postlogism-slantedness (the psychopath),
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation are
endemically part and parcel of the aetiology of human first-nature
emanance/becoming/intersolipsism disposition; and so, as a matter of fact on a simple
'scientific basis of determining first principles’ and not necessarily to stigmatise, as reality
works on the basis that ‘what is, is what is!’ That then being the case, what then is the
relevant question is how do we ensure by institutionalisation/intemporalisation (based on the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and
not impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness vagueness) the supersedingness of the
intemporal-emanance-registry-worldview (as ontological and upholding virtue in the medium
to long perspective) over the cross-section of human mental intemporal-to-temporal
emanance/becoming/intersolipsism dispositions, i.e. second-naturing as formalisation and
internalisation. For instance, if men were of an intemporal first-nature we will only need
‘moral philosophy’ and ‘no law’ as the institutionalising principle of the law is a tacit
recognition that realistically we need ‘dominating/superseding artifices’ or ‘institutions and
their rules’ whether the subjects have a grasp of the ‘philosophical’ universal end purpose or
not). This is the attitude that preserves the virtue inherent in the intemporal conceptualisation
of meaning and ‘not any temporal romantic idealism’ which only leads to perversion-of-
reference-of-thought that goes on to undermine directly or by sub-par-or-formic-association-
or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-logic conjugations the virtue in knowledge, and so in
particular in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-
and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (informal settings) where the
constraining social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena (usually introduced in formal settings) is
not available. Hence intellectual responsibility warrants that the intellectual exercise (as
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) involves both a
collection of the intemporal ideal and equally a stifling of the possibilities of
subknowledging/mimicking/perversion-and-syncretising. This involves avoiding the naïvety
of articulating meaning only in the sense of the intemporal ideal but including a constraining
and temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-disambiguating realism that
upholds/preserves intemporality and stifles temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-
reference-of-thought inclinations. Such an approach is known as the ‘knowledge
notionalisation’ or knowledge as a continuum from ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions to
knowledge/intemporality which then allows for scrutinising and pre-empting ‘the
ignorances’/temporal-dispositions, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any
knowledge construct, but being transversally/logically-incongruent pre-emptive to potential
temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct).

‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ basically refers to the fact that in the
elaboration of conventioning with respect to ontological-veridicality with regards to social-
stake-contention-or-confliction both the intemporal and temporal-emanances-registries are
preservational in their finalities, i.e. temporal emanances do not transcend philosophically but
by untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, and it is
vague and naïve to intemporally/ontologically engage at the philosophical level to wrongly
imply such a solipsistic transcendental process as this should not be confused with the
formalisation effect of second-naturing and internalisation. ‘Intemporal and temporal
disjuncture’ can equally be analysed as ‘transcendental-or-transdimensional
prospective/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and
intradimensional-meaningfulness disjuncture’ given there is mutual unintelligibility between
prospective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising and
intradimensional meaningfulness for instance respectively as deprocripticism and as
procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness), just as there is mutual unintelligibility between positivism and non-positivistic/medieval meaningfulness. This mutual unintelligibility should not be ‘addressed logically’ actually by the intemporal-registry or prospective-memetism or prospective/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as this naively imply both registry-worldviews share the same categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (going from the insight of a common vantage perspective of mutually unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural positivism and non-positivism/medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); wherein it is the transversality/logical-incongruence that plays out to enable the abject superseding/transcendence of the intemporal-emanance-registry or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension over the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness. For the simple reason that intrinsic-reality being preceding as ontological-normalcy/post-convergence it won’t let the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought (as intrinsic-reality/ontology is inherently suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of the mortals that we are, in the sense that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 b.c. Will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; thus naivety will be to strive to syncretise in temporal-and-social-trading our discomfort/unpalatability in construing intrinsic-reality/ontology) to be involved in social-and-temporal-trading with the non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought as inherently all the greater possibilities of grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontology lies with ‘reasoning-through/abjection’
with the prospective memetism of positivism which actual mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism is as dementing (where the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension is the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness perspective). The validation arises from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the long-run of non-positivism/medievalism, as the more profound positivistic meaningfulness takes hold in the Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism. This ontological insight (transversality/logical-incongruence that plays out to enable the abject prospective/superseding/transcending of the intemporal-emanance-registry or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) also informs, as with all transcendences, the relation between the prospective meaningfulness/memetism or transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension as deprocrypticism and prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness/memetism as our procrypticism, with the latter superseded/transcended as of ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ and represented as demented in line with the preceding ontological-normalcy/post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology, likewise with the idea that deprocrypticism validation will arise from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of procrypticism as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism takes hold in the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism. So deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed, from the intemporal/ontological perspective, it is a cross-generational collapsing/overriding-and-superseding of temporal-emanances-registries and a registry-worldview/dimension-intradimensional-meaningfulness that is perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed in
transversality/logical-incongruence involving reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the stranding-dialectics as the backdrop of new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective institutional-recompose/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that enables prospective transcendence. Thus technically, dementing arises simply by a shift of reference-of-thought (in the strive for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein the latter reference-of-thought as a registry-worldview/dimension is shown to be more intemporally-preservational); with the dementing reflected in the mental-devising-representation fully implied by the new transcending/superseding reference-of-thought about the prior transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (and so, beyond the latter’s registry-worldview/dimension wrongful reflex to set-aside/ignore the implications of its demonstrated ontological-impertinence/ontological-discontiguity/ontological-decadence and go on to be totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag this now shown-to-be-wrong reference-of-thought). Dementing as such is easily and spontaneously reflected of a prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension like for instance a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation reflecting the dementing of a medieval registry-worldview/dimension. But then this is because the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t have to deal with any existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with. However, implying similarly the dementing of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension from its intradimensional perspective where its own reference-of-thought is superseded/transcended by a prospective reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will, this time around by the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension
existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that its personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with, lead to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension by reflex setting-aside/ignoring the prospective and veridical reference-of-thought and corresponding (post-convergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, and go on to self-reference-syncretise its transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. In concrete terms for instance, whereas a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will likely shift the reference-of-thought with regards to say a non-positivistic/medieval context of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery where A were to accuse B for being a sorcerer who caused A’s illness, the mental-devising-representation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will be that A is dementing and that a germ and biological functioning theory of the human body is the reference-of-thought for A’s disease. But then intradimensionally, A and B and their society of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications that are non-positivistic/medieval will tend to harken back to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that uphold the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought that admits to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The effective anthropological and dialectical evidence (mostly from diffusional transcendence given the relative abruptness of cultural diffusions compared to an intra-society philosophical transcendence which is rather slow in the making) shows that it is the cross-generational habituation by syncretising-denial into reference-of-thought of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) that will ultimately ‘wean’ the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance non-positivistic/medieval) from its defective non-positivistic/medieval reference-of-thought and its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology towards a positivistic reference-of-thought and its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism, where contention can then take place to establish (post-convergence) relative ontological-veridicality. Likewise, the concrete analysis from a deprocrypticism insight shows that our procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness) mindset/reference-of-thought will by reflex emanantly act the same at its own uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein the idea that positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its characteristic postlogism associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy with its overall beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought defect of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology brings about a shift to a new reference-of-thought and categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as transcending/superseding deprocrypticism, will sound unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the positivism–procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought which simply by reflex set this aside and harken back axiomatically to positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought and categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that unconsciously (as ignorance) and consciously (as affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) do not acknowledge ontological-impertinence/ontological-discontiguity/ontological-decadence of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with such positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought that is bound to directly and indirectly at the uninstitutionalised-threshold be integrating
shift in reference-of-thought and the correspondingly more profound and grander deprocrypticism ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity thereof. Another validation for the dementing mental-devising-representation of retrospective/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions has to do with the implications of the notions of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness and the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to the post-convergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality. A prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework mental-devising-representation of a retrospective/transcended/superseded impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct is always a demented construct, and so across all institutionalisations indicating that the post-convergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality as ontological-normalcy or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation effectively construes impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness constructs as rather in ontological-discontiguity/ontological-decadence and hence its dementing. This equally implies that our very own ‘good-naturedness constructs’ in the positivism/procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are of demented mental-devising-representation from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. The reason why post-convergence indicates that ‘good-naturedness constructs’ are defective is quite simple as it is based on adhering to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation,
which along the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are successively shown to be defective-as-always-being-sub-par-to-intrinsic-reality and defining the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Virtue and ontology/intrinsic-reality rather lies in the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and not its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with the latter only being pertinent in the sense where it relays intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Such a relaying is not within the ambits of good-naturedness constructs but rather the-Good as a continuous refinement of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that ensures re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework so reveals it. Thus supposed an individual shows good-naturedness following the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension that warrants that one simply gets one’s way no matter the situation even if it means committing murder to have some food for oneself and close ones; a good-natured quality that is highly rated for survival in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised setup. That is perfectly within the good-naturedness ambits of a survival-driven registry-worldview/dimension but prospectively it is the creativeness of the-Good/understandingknowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism that carries the virtuous and ontological insight to grasp that an institutionalisation as base-institutionalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules will provide a grander virtuous and ontological outcome for humans, and not a good-naturedness inclination which is stuck at the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation. This same fundamental dilemma arises with all other.
institutionalisations. For instance, the procrypticism inclination to stick to the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension viewed as deterministic by projected ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag—dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ as-to-how-others-act-in-hollow-constituting requiring a the-Good/understandingknowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework appreciation that a ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency indicating such a perversion-of-reference-of-thought implies a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview’s/dimension’s new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation to ensure intemporal-preservation as deprocrypticism. Thus it is the-Good/understandingknowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that carries the mantle of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation and not good-naturedness/vague-impression drive which temporal-mimicking (unconscious or conscious) shouldn’t be confused with preserving ontology and virtue. Thus the basic reason for this counter-intuition about the veridical nature of good-naturedness construct is that it is intradimensionally totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag with the wrong implications of inherently representing the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension as absolute intrinsic-reality/ontology without any factoring of intrinsic-reality/ontology post-convergence and suprastructural nature as the-Good/understandingknowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework does. This fundamentally explains why all prior/transcended/superseded registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/totalising–self-referencing-syncetising/mirage are necessarily demented from the mental-devising-representation of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension in the requisite ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise that enables the existentialism (full-depth-of-existential-implications) deconstructed/‘ontologically-reconstituted’ becoming of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension. The bigger insight here has to do with the post-convergence nature of intrinsic-reality. Intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and what is required to access it absolutely is not the notion of ‘any ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemtemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness initiative/effort’ from the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a reference registrying/registry-worldview/dimension that is necessarily sub-par to intrinsic-reality/ontology (this is the central idea that fundamentally explains how perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts/subknowledging/dementing-and-syncrestising arise, due to sub-par categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in misconstruing post-convergence intrinsic-reality – and so, by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect); but rather the notion of a ‘requisite and grander and grander sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ illuminating reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (which is ‘more or less ontologically-reconstituting/deconstructional’, in the sense that in the bigger scheme to absolutely grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology in cumulation/recomposuring from recurrent-
utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are, strictly speaking, of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology as-we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world, notwithstanding the fact that a registry-worldview/dimension acts more-or-less-in-abject-trust to its given categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation mainly for the compromising sake of ‘effective functioning’, and so at one dialectical moment till a better one arises at another dialectical moment, as a transcending/superseding reference/registry/registry-worldview/dimension) that simply ‘open-up’/‘throw-up’/‘reveal’ in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications of the notion of what is meant by intrinsic-reality; more precisely and effectively, as post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation as (prospective) transdimensional-meaningfulness/apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising or (prospective) existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications, i.e. the overall enterprise is about deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-towards-intrinsic-reality wherein existence-defines-essence (along Sartrean existence-precedes-essence or existence-meeting-essence), as it is existentialism which is the ‘becoming that defines essence’ with ‘essence-of-meaningfulness being-veridically-in-ontological-reconstituting’ and not a traditionally naïve ‘wrong- ‘hollow-constituting’-perception or construct-of-essence-of-meaningfulness-in-an-abstract-classification-scheme-which-is-out-of-existential-contextualisation’ that is usurpable/impostored by mere form. This is the veridical ontological depth of mental-devising-representation/psychological-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-
awareness-teleology informed by the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation. The institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as specific successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications imply their mental-devising-representation in a reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting transdimensional/transcendental dialectics enabled by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation wherein the stranding-dialectics sets prior/transcended/superseded institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as ‘dialectically-demented’ (mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) and the prospective/transcending/superseding institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase), in their successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications post-convergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting as dialectical transformation. However from their intradimensional perspectives as perversion-of-reference-of-thought, the demented institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures wrongful placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is a ‘syncretising registry-teleology-mentation that articulates the ‘intradimensional dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought and totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ successive existentialisms/full-depths-implications disposition’ with the false implication of non-transcendability of these respective institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (given their wrong circular-upholding/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of their same categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, in lieu of upholding as ‘ontological-reconstituting’ the prospective ones that should carry the mantle for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as reflected
by the fact that ‘any ‘hollow-constituting’ initiative/effort’ to grasp intrinsic-reality from the ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct and ontologically-wrong’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a reference/registring/registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily sub-par to post-convergence intrinsic-reality/onontology, and thus ‘dialectically-demented’ to enable its prospective superseding/transcending), and this is rightfully transcended/superseded by the ‘dialectically-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their rightful/veridical ‘dialectically-dementing registry-teleology-mentation that articulates transdimensionally successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications disposition’ with the rightful implication of the transcendency of these respective institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (given the rightful prospective superseding/transcending of their ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct and ontologically-wrong’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as going by the bigger scheme for absolute grasp of intrinsic-reality/onontology in cumulation/recomposuring from-utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are, strictly speaking, rather of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/onontology as-we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications paradox’ involving wrongfully intradimensional totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation and rightfully transdimensional ontological-veridicality rather in an ontological-
dementing/dialectical-dementing registry-teleology-mentation is critical in understanding how to circumvent temporal-emanances-registries circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought/temporal-preservation inclination associated with ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (psychopathy and social psychopathy), in lieu of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation inclination associated with prelogism. Fundamentally, conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness is always based on a wrong totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation in recurrent ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (psychopath) or ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex (derived social psychopathy) of hollow narratives, and wrongfully that this is reference-of-thought; and correspondingly, a rightful transdimensional ontological-representation should imply it is a dementing registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology ‘stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ and by so doing, to start with, rightfully denying it reference-of-thought which then fundamentally collapses its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as the ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-or-postlogical-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-
staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing mindset/reference-of-thought counts on the natural disposition (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’) of the ‘ontologically-reconstituting-or-prelogical-or-logical-process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction mindset/reference-of-thought to reflexively engage contendingly/logically with its hollow narratives, with the grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the hollow narratives per se but in wrongfully implying its veracity/ontological-pertinence as reference-of-thought and implying the falsely implied registry elements of its implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology; as being an even grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge of a as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ nature of registry-teleology mental-devising-representation/mentation, that speaks not only to an act defect but a registry-worldview/dimension defect. Thus this insight in transcendental analysis is that by its very nature in that it puts into question ways, assumptions and traditions of thought and practices, the possibility of truly profound insights that go well beyond more or less platitudes and inevitably requires taking stock of the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism of transcendental-meaningfulness/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup, given the need to boldly overcome intellectual dead-ends and introduce paradigm shifts often with uncomfortable and unpalatable implications to the given registry-worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation. It requires more than just a sense of professional and technical craft but often more critically a profound sense of philosophical/first-nature-emanant commitment, an attribute that is by definition of solipsistic/first-nature-emanance nature and hardly just second-natured, in thriving for an abstract sense of the intemporal beyond just functioning
within the ambiits of given categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with their intemporal preservation limitations as well as their corrupting nature as distractive/circumventive-temporal-prioritisation of reference-of-thought. Within all registry-worldviews as institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations, there is a convergence that ensures intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation by selecting as appropriate the ‘relatively ontologically/intemporally veridical’ among myriad possibilities and contradictions of human reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, turning away from human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/temporality-potency/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (wherein ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ is wrongly re-conjugated with the temporal-emanances-registries teleologies/dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, inducing corresponding denaturing of the ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect) towards profound-limited-mentation-capacity/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality-potency/registry-soundness which is behind the generation of ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ and the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process. This convergent selectivity is perpetually directed by ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ (not to be confused with good-naturedness/impression-drive) towards the validation of intemporality-potency and the dismissal of temporality-potency, and so in dialectical succession of registry-worldviews as the successive/snowballing institutional-
recomposures/institutional-cumulations. Thus establishing a human approximating/proxying/aligning relationship with the ‘potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy) which is a coherent oneness’ that can very much be anticipated as post-convergence. In this regard, it should be reiterated that ‘registry (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) establishes reference-of-thought, and acts as the basis for and defines the operation of logic or logical processing’, and it is notionally all about registry-soundness (reflected as unsoundness of thought) when we are conviction/existential-contextualising-contiguity perversion-of-reference-of-thought when we are in non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligence-setup-caricaturing as with the hollow and formulaic-formic narratives slanted by psychopath and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought mimicked by temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). Unlike the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ dealing with soundness/unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation wherein a common registry of interlocution is already established, there is no logical basis for one registry disposition as a prospective/superseding/transcending reference-of-thought like a positivistic registry-worldview to convince another registry disposition as a prior/superseded/transcended reference-of-thought like a non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview that it is the former’s reference-of-thought that is sound, other than for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be untenable with respect to the latter thus ‘collapsing’ it. [Intradimensionally within a registry-worldview like positivism, this could be construed as there is no basis for a mindset/reference-of-thought
advocating for scientific medicine as practised in hospitals to ‘logically convince’ another mindset/reference-of-thought advocating rather for traditional medicine (involving a mix of herbalism, incantations, spirits, etc.) that the former is more ontologically-veridical on purely logical terms (as the traditional medicine interlocutor operates logic according to the registry or categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind its traditional medicine meaningful-frame while the scientific medicine interlocutor operates logic according to the registry or categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of a positivistic meaningful-frame), and it is purely the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework fact in that by and large more patients survive/get-cured by going to hospitals which then collapses the traditional medicine interlocutor’s reference-of-thought in the middle to long-run to impose the scientific medicine interlocutor’s reference-of-thought as a common one, and it is only when this common reference arises that the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ with regards to logical processing is now relevant, and it is irrelevant and non-applicable before that.] The implication is that a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions (aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and so whether with regards to the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (or with respect to ontological-veridicality or issues of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness generally):

- As the ‘intemporal-emanance-registry’ disposition which is prelogism-as-of-conviction/existential-contextualising-contiguity with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-
expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)” (based on ontologically-veridical
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since its implied registry elements as implied-logical-
dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-
implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology are
ontologically-veridical), which are ‘ontologically-reconstituted/deconstructed’ and hence of
sound/veridical reference-of-thought (registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase
as ‘dialectically-thinking’.

- As the ‘consciously-slanting-(whether-psychopathic-or-other-postlogical)-emanance-
registry’ disposition which is non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-
hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-formulaic-formic-
projection/postlogism with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-
implied-meaningfulness)” (based on ontologically non-veridical categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation since the implied slanting registry elements as implied-logical-
dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-
implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology are not
ontologically-veridical but rather usurping/impostoring), which are ‘hollow-constituted’ and
hence of unsound/non-veridical reference-of-thought (perversion-of-reference-of-thought,
and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as
‘dialectically-dementing’.

- As conjugating by interlocutors deriving directly-or-indirectly/unconsciously-or-
consciously from the consciously-slanting-as-psychopathic/postlogical-emanance-registry as
‘derived-slanted-ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation emanances-registries’ dispositions thus which are parenthetically/incidentally- (by-their-specific-conjugations-to-the-slanting/postlogism) non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-formulaic-formic-projection/postlogism with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (as ontologically non-veridical categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation since their slanting/postlogism-induced-and-implied registry elements of their respective implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology are not ontologically-veridical), which are ‘hollow-constituted’ and hence are of unsound/non-veridical reference-of-thought (perversion-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as ‘dialectically-dementing’.

- As in registry-worldview terms, all the temporal-emanances-registries dispositions in their ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ paradoxically define and establish the said registry-worldview’s ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-threshold) as rather ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, and requiring the ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction of new/prospective ‘terms of expressions’ (along
new/prospective veridical-ontological categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) for new/prospective sound/veridical reference-of-thought (registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as ‘dialectically-thinking’.

As ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ implies that ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) being prospective given human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation}, the prospective registry-worldview in achieving the ontological-prospection ‘is ontologically-veridical and thus dialectically-in-phase as dialectically-thinking’ while the prior registry-worldview inherently failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct the ontological-prospection ‘becomes non-veridical ontologically and dialectically-out-of-phase as dialectically-dementing’, and in the broader sense the projective cumulation/recomposuring of limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} along such successive dialecticisms of ontological-prospections is what enables the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process by defining human mentation-capacity-limit in a prior reference-of-thought as ‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (as the new ‘dialectically-dementing’), and the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that redefines human mentation-capacity-limit by ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction (as the new ‘dialectically-thinking’). By ‘reflecting a dialectically-dementing placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation’ so as to point out the registry-defect of intradimensional asiding/passing-over/ignoring (which implies from a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence insight, the registry-worldview is rather ‘hollow-constituting’–or-failing-intemporal-
preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness defective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation and failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) and so pointing out its ‘ontological-discontiguity’, and in so doing keeping the ‘superseding–oneness-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ by recurrently implying that the profundness-of-ontology-as-a-oneness lies with the prospective/superseding/transcending reference-of-thought that re-establishes ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality by ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction in upholding the ‘dialectically-thinking’; the implication is that the successive registry-worldviews as the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are a strive for successive better profundness-of-ontology-as-a-oneness by perpetually undermining ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and upholding ontological-reconstituting as ontological-normalcy.

- As ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) highlights broadly the socially shared/common reference-of-thought and meaningfulness primarily based on language in reflection of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality, but how with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction our temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions/individuations contextually have differing relations to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, notwithstanding the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ and corresponding seemingly common categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, with the ‘ontological-
reconstituting'/deconstruction with respect to the ontologically non-veridical ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemoral-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness leading-to/enabling human institutionalisation/intemoralisation.

trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, in iterative-loops by its slantedness-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness as ‘relevant-occasions-of-opportune’ (of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) arise on the basis that the ‘copied-hollow-form-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ is mechanically deterministic of others behaviours such that they can so be swayed, and by following a teleological disposition of ‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise as the psychopath/postlogical-character or their implications should be limited to a given target or targets and not be implied universally, as the fundamental teleology/purpose for articulating them is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speaks more of a temporal motive, and in a further suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, on the other hand how circumstantially it’s interlocutors unconsciously-or-consciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly by temporal-accommodation-or-interest seemingly in-conviction/prelogically align (as conjoining) to this slanted/formic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’/postlogical-meaning-and-meaningfulness, and so recurrently in conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives to the psychopathic/postlogical-character slantedness-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts]; wherein this rather requires from an ontological/intemporal perspective a ‘non-conviction-or-existential-
veridicality instead of being in ontological-decadence/ontological-discontiguity-in-continuity (perversion of reference-of-thought/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry-worldview), as they are emphasising the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ without reference to existential reality whereas such a ‘Différence-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ operant technique reflects/perspectivates those ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ wrongly emphasised with reference to existential reality (as suprastructuring construal-{as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality’}) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of meaningfulness) and so establishing their ‘ontological-discontiguity’/ontological-non-veridicality. This technique is a proof of the Sartrean notion of ‘existence-preceding-essence’ or the Derridean notion of ‘there is nothing outside the text’ (with the text, from an overall insight of presence and absence metaphysics, rather construable as ontological meaningfulness, with the implication that there is no meaningfulness that is not in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, or by the Sartrean argument, there is no essence-of-meaningfulness outside existential contextualisation of meaningfulness); as the wrong notion of ‘non-existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ or mere form state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ (in the case where essence-of-meaningfulness is considered as definitely/absolutely given by the mere form of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology without considering whether these are in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the very first place) is the basis of psychopathic/postlogical-character and their interlocutors (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (to the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to uphold intemporal-preservation/entropy/contiguity) by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging and implying wrongly they are in a state of conviction (be it implied bad or good conviction, to falsely initiate the ‘implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement’ as logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation issue rather than the more profound issue of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought) in lieu of their true veridical state of being in a state of non-conviction-or—‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ (which speaks of perversion-of-reference-of-thought with the corresponding need rather for a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’), and thus wrongly eliciting that they are in a state of ‘dialectically-thinking’ whereas in veridicality they are in a state of ‘dialectically-dementing’ and thus dialectically-out-of-phase, wherein as well, the right notion of suprastructuring construal-(as-of—‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality’—and—‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness (as existence-precedes/defines-essence, based on
trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia Contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–
oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness keeps/upholds the ‘superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ in ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality and consequently is ‘dialectically-thinking’ unlike a ‘static or abstract unsound/virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-
flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existent-reference state of essence-of-
meaningfulness’, which doesn’t keep/uphold the ‘superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ existentially and thus is in ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-
threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) and consequently is ‘dialectically-dementing’. This latter point can be seen in context in the example priorly highlighted at the beginning:

[For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right in abstract terms but does the registry apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the registry as of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (by simply implying their ‘static or abstract non-veridical/vacuous state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ over suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality’-and-‘corresponding-
ontological-reconstituting-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
institutionalisation/supratransversality’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-
trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia Contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–
oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness) which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t
know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic, you watch out for the registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogical (outcome precedes logical process) non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s iterative looping. This is known as postlogism or insane-integration/slanting/impulsive-dementing/conjugated-postlogism (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed by ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-or-
understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ and so by way of the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration is derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect.]

The insight here is that without having at hand a ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ technique [which is able to disambiguate the underlying existential reality of the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with regards to the various interlocutors, whether unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and ‘dialectically-dementing’ as slanted/psychopathic/postlogical interlocutor as well as the various (conjugated-postlogism) temporal-emanances-registries as derived-slanted ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation interlocutors or soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and ‘dialectically-thinking’ intemporal-emanance-registry interlocutor], the natural human reflex when a contestation arises is to be ‘conviction’ as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at (without putting into question in the very first place the veridical state of the various interlocutors registry/registry-elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-
assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology with respect to contestation, and by foregoing this it wrongly attributes the implied essence-of-meaningfulness without the insight of existential-contextualisation by simply and wrongly implying that everybody must be of intemporal-emanance-registry and voiding the notion of disambiguating-and-establishing the existential-contextualisation of the-various-characters-states-of-minds/the-various-characters-registries with respect to ontological/intemporal meaningfulness in establishing veridicality in the very first place (whether of temporal-emanances-registries, (conjugated-postlogism) intemporal-emanances-registries or slanted/postlogical/impulsive-dementing), hence wrongly turning the analysis into a logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation issue, rather than an analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place, as a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’. So without existential-contextualisation, the hollow forms of the essence-of-meaningfulness are available for arrogation/impostoring by slanted/postlogical/impulsively-dementing mental-dispositions and in derivation/conjugation by the temporal-emanances-registries (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought).

- As previously explained, it is important to grasp that emanances-registries as temporal or intemporal are individuation dispositions within the receptacles that are individuals, and hence there is no contradiction in saying that all individuals potentially have both the intemporal-emanance-registry disposition and temporal-emanances-registries dispositions, with the major existential/contextual difference among individuals with regards to the existential/contextual inclination to preserve-intemporality or fail-intemporality/temporality as social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise varying with regards to the implications of graver and graver temporal consequences (wherein as an archetype elucidation for instance, Socrates or Galileo will strive to keep on preserving intemporality even when the conventional social-stake-contention-or-confliction threaten as they view the perpetuation of
the ideas and principles they stood for were more critical for human posterity, but again ‘a sense of intemporality’ may vary from an intellectual nature where for instance an ordinary person may spontaneously save from drowning or defend another or others at risk to themselves, etc., implying that individuals ‘solipsistic or second-natured philosophies’ with respect to the acuteness of social-stake-contention-or-conflicton is more critical in determining their dispositions to preserve-intemporality or fail-intemporality/temporality); thus explaining a same notional and contiguous conceptualisation (rather as a variation of degree and not different notions) construed as temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and equally explaining why institutionalisation/intemporalisation is possible, as the framework/social-construct wherein social-stake-contention-or-conflicton arise can be construed/designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards and encourage the intemporal-emanance-registry disposition to preserve-intemporality over failing-intemporality/temporal-emanances-registries dispositions of postlogism-slantedness (postlogism-as-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-instigation-at-a-given-registry-worldview/dimension, that is instigative to the turning of the prospective ‘temporal defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance into as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect), and its subsequent conjugation with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-
temporal-endemisation. Critically, this accounts for how individuals arrive at their various teleologies/finalities of the intemporal-emanance-registry as ‘logically sound acts’ or temporal-emanances-registries as ‘logically unsound acts’ or ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance (in the latter case, which are more or less incidental and salvable as just contingent). Further in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ induced when such ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance conjugate to (psychopath or other character) instigated postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness (a mental-disposition that from its instigation ‘gives-up on ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ not only in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication but is not even predisposed/inclined to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought to meaningfulness but rather relating to meaning as a hollow-form which determines how others act, so-long-as/to-the-limit-that the postlogical character can remain as of the socially-functional-and-accordant in so doing) inducing in turn temporal-emanances-registries conjugated-postlogical mental-dispositions (whether unconsciously or consciously, when aligning in-conviction to the postlogical non-conviction) conjugating with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation and leading to
ontologically speaking, temporal-emanances-registries are ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (as they are ‘postlogically-conjugated to the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions prelogical meaningfulness’, and thus in perversion-of-reference-of-thought) thus endemising/enculturating at the respective registry-worldviews ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ (uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) the (postlogical) perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts, which are the respective dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldviews as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism. That said in all the registry-worldviews, ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (as a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’) and percolation-channelling from human intemporal-emanance-registry disposition solipsism-of-thought (hence abjectly ontologising and rather acting-in-intemporal-preservation, whatever the circumstance) induces in the middle to long run the requisite positive-opportunism untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining that dislodges the ‘dialectically-dementing’ meaningfulness and induce prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation/intemporalisation as ‘dialectically-thinking’ meaningfulness as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism registry-worldviews. Without this institutionalisation/intemporalisation ‘constraining’, there isn’t really any temporal intradimensional compunction or insight to cease ‘acting-recurrently-intemporal-preservation, and-not-as-contingent (defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance), while
wrongly implying (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) they are ontologically-veridical or in intemporal’. This latter point is critical as it highlights that at the ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’, there isn’t any logical-basis of convincing but for the better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of a prospective reference-of-thought/prospective-registry-worldview established in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics, which then voids the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior reference-of-thought as ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In many ways issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought are rather with respect to ‘a-country-of-the-blind-scenario’, so to speak; wherein perversion-of-reference-of-thought necessarily imply a dialectical situation between two ontological-references with the one being prior/transcended/superseded and the other prospective/transcending/superseding. It is important to grasp that going by the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions, the institutionalisation process where this is skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) by deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal (intemporalisation) is actually an artifice (artificial conceptualisation) that is habituated for its relative positive-opportunism with regards to the cross-section of human interest in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics. However, no institutionalisation construct, going by its implied transcendence alienating ‘present as prior/transcended/superseded ontological-reference conceptualisation’ for ‘future as prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference conceptualisation’, has ever been
acquiesced to socially without resistance even in instance induced by diffusion involving the power dominance of one cultural entity over another, with such resistance being at least in the short-term of a covert nature and of a syncretising-denial nature as well. Resistance is even stronger where transcendental institutionalisation is implied within a same cultural entity. [Thus it might just be the case that the more or less itinerating clanic or tribal groups of early humans were the perfect model for a sort of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism that quickly enabled a hominid to achieve the core assets for its perpetuation of civilisation as complex meaningfulness enabled by language and culture. Insightfully as well the possibility of positivism/rational-realism arising in Western Europe was greater by this same mechanism of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism given the mutually feeding diffusionary dynamics across the constitutive feudal entities of Medieval Europe sharing a common referent Judaeo-Christian worldview of a ‘relatively weak dogmatism’; and this can be contrasted during or just before the same period with the hegemonic or near-hegemonic governance of China and of the Islamic world ultimately stifling their nascent positivistic inclinations involving the stifling of a potential Chinese age of voyage and trading as it turned inward or the stifling of Islamic learning and science respectively. Equally, anthropological examination of various cultural groups shows that human progress is not a given and that if the appropriate conditions are not satisfied there is nothing that says a given society will fulfil its potential for prospective transcendence, and this author thinks that applies to us as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview as we are not beyond ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality by mere vague egotistic/self-referential complex but rather as of a lucid contemplation and subjection to insight about prospective ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality axiomatic-construal, in much the same way positivism institutionalisation transcendence came about.] The bigger point here is that while within ‘institutionalised constructs’, there is more or less summative perception of social-
functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction on the basis of common/same/shared registry-worldview reference-of-thought priorly institutionalised by prospective-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-transcendence, however, at prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold, we should be expecting nothing less than the ‘normal’ human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions, and so at the threshold between recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, universalisation and ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively procrypticism and deprocrypticism. The implication is that naturally all prospective institutionalisations by their implied transcendence are ‘antagonistic by inducing contrariety in the temporal sense’ even though we’ll appreciate that their intemporal valor is inestimable (at least when we are looking retrospectively in appreciating that a positivistic outlook should supersede a non-positivistic/medieval outlook, and in the case where we are not uninhibited/decomplexified to equally construe that prospectively as a deprocrypticism outlook should supersede a procrypticism outlook). This insight equally highlights that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is implied in the human existentialism-form-factor, and is critical for would-be emancipative individuations in grasping the whys and hows of social reaction to transcendental conceptualisation going by the existentialism-form-factor, how temporal ‘resistance’ is superseded, the mechanism of percolation-channelling and how transcendental ideas are taken up over time and induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and second-naturing in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics. The fact is that while the social-construct is by and large a conceptualisation that determines individuals possibilities, the reality is equally that the social-construct does has ‘powerful channels’ that enable individuals to drastically redefined what is the social. [The individual, it is often ignored, is
an abstract-atomic-social-construct, as in the individual is priorly implied in the social, beyond just in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of social aggregation in implying a meaningfulness and value-reference construct relationship to the abstract summative social.]

Such insight on the nature of human transcendence will certainly highlight why the Encyclopédistes coordinated by Diderot played a relevant role in inducing a domino effect contributing in transforming medieval European societies mindsets into a positive worldview by cynically putting together all the positive knowledge they could muster and disseminating it throughout Europe, and so over the forces of obscurity of the days who understood the implications of such a venture. The fact here as well as with all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (by the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, say of a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to a prospective positivistic mindset, as implied by ontological-normalcy), is that there was obviously no mutually common/same reference-of-thought between the Encyclopédistes as positivists and many in the medieval establishment as non-positivists for any mutually intelligible logical exercise. But rather it was a case of transversality/logical-incongruence wherein the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic meaningfulness over non-positivism/medievalism ontologically imposed the positivistic reference-of-thought, as the former elicits untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the latter as well as its relative positive-opportunism from its relative ontological effectiveness such that it ends up being second-natured further by percolation-channelling. Insightfully, in an intellectual conceptualisation exercise which, though conceptually contiguous, and while not necessarily implying similar dramatisation, in
addition to its relatively diffuse implications in the sense of the contention being rather about human-mentation-capacity-furtherance and the fact that as a latter institutionalisation it is apparently less dramatic, at least as of its apparent negative social consequence given it is so focussed on human individuations as atomic-level point-of-departure of transformation but rather finding its radicalness more in the boldly implied décomplexing/uninhibitedness (suprastructuring/metaphysics-of-absence) emancipation of the positive/procryptic human, and as with all other institutionalisations, it is thus not an issue that deprocrypticism meets in the short-term and temporary with ‘resistance’ or rather criticism (possibly by and large more in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of intellectual agreement/disagreement, as obviously every notion seriously contemplated about is); such that focus should be relatively more about construing veracity/ontological-pertinence and percolation-channelling thereof, as an objectively engaged intellectual/emancipatory exercise.

instigation of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation (in self-reference-syncretising) explains ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, intradimensionally and need for prospective institutionalisation to resolve the given ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy, and transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally this further explains ontological-normalcy as being about representing successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of ‘reducing-ontological-abnormalcy’ so that the perspective is one of ‘abnormalcy’, such that the mindset/reference-of-thought in no institutionalisation including ours/positivistic should be ‘so-complexed’ as to wrongly imply a perspective of ‘its ontological-normalcy’ to be then defining itself as prospectively non-transcendable/unsupersedeable at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus being falsely ‘dialectically-undementable/dialectically-unprimitivable and dialectically-un-out-of-phaseable’ while intuitively it appreciates that prior registry-worldviews had been thus-construed in succession to deliver its own; thus speaking of an ‘intellectual-bad-faith’ for the prospective possibilities of the future.

- As it is important to grasp that the postlogical/psychopathic characters instigation of conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration in the other temporal-emanances-registries doesn’t mean postlogism characters are the causation of the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ that
induces the placeholder-setup/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview as dialectically-dementing. Rather, from a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence insight, this points to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension as the dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), which is ‘in wait’ to be revealed by the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s corresponding postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought instigation at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension. For instance, the corresponding postlogism as perversion-of-reference-of-thought instigation in non-positivism/medievalism instigating say of notions of sorcery and accusations of the type while effective in inducing perversion-of-reference-of-thought in a non-positivistic/medieval setup will not be effective in a positivistic social-setup, as the non-positivism/medievalism condition of being superstitious and non-empirical is by itself a condition ‘in wait’ for accusations and notions of sorcery to arise and be endemised/enculturated. Likewise, from a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence insight, with regards to our positivistic registry-worldview reflected/perspectivated as being dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as procrypticism at its human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) registry-worldview/dimension-level as the dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, our condition of not being in ontological-contiguity, ‘not-reflecting-absolute-ontological-pertinence’, as being involved with ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’/temporal-
accommodation as well as our peculiar conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration as psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy (that is, the conjugating of the temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation to the postlogism-slantedness associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy) specifically in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of the positivism registry-worldview’s permeating on occasion its formalities, rather than maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness where the veridical ontological-reference is an ‘abstract-sense-of-adherence-to-intrinsic-reality’ as validated by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/understanding/knowledge-driven, and not impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness meaningfulness associated with the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’ that ‘tends to reference/accommodate/orientate for a disposition to rather seek other humans ‘temporal-validation’ as rather ‘angling for the summative human mental-disposition’ with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as ‘extrinsic-attribution’ over a ‘validation by inherent-veridicality/intrinsic-reality’ of meaningfulness as ‘intrinsic-attribution’ leading to social-and-temporal-trading, and so whether consciously-or-unconsciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly’, and thus inducing ontological-discontiguity-(as-of-undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality) or ontological-decadence (postlogism) or ontological-discontiguity-in-contiguity. Insightfully again, going by the first example, it might (wrongly) be argued, by human ‘temporal extirpatory paradigm’, that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivistic/medieval setup should imply that any such accused should equally ‘make-up’ accusations in their own defence to neutralise and possibly defend their
own interests. But such a stance is a temporal extirpatory paradigm that faces human temporality with human temporality. Intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm will garner the insight that humanity-at-large at all such non-positivistic/medieval setups is rather in need (as the resolution) of a renewed institutionalisation prospectively as the positivistic registry-worldview based on rational-empiricism as the paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments that the enculturation/endemisation of the notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of inherently, together with the social-structural implications and derivations arising, with regards to the non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview. The vocation of the intemporal-emanance-registry (intemporality/an-ontological-construct/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is not-to-come-to-and-construe meaningfulness at a same pedestal as a temporal extirpatory paradigm, and this invariably means that its on-occasion/incidental insight about human temporal-emanances-registries defects (temporality) is ‘necessarily escalated ontologically at a humanity-at-large scale of projective-totalitative-implications’. This construal is what enables ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) or post-convergence, and its projective-totalititative–implications on the existentialism-form-factor across all the registry-worldviews whether retrospective, present or prospective. In other words, inherent human ontological-deficiency/ontologising-deficiency as implied by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence due to human limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) is the inherent reason why humankind has to ‘make-up-for’ (by projection as ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction) its ontological-deficiency/ontologising-deficiency by renewing its reference-of-thought/implied-registry-worldview in successions as transcendences involving a ‘placeholder-setup/mental-
devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology dialecticism’
(‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’) that involves prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview as ‘dialectically-thinking’ which is dialectically-in-phase over prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as ‘dialectically-dementing’ which is dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive. With the various registry-worldview/dimensions postlogisms/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-duenessal-operation perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts (whether instigating from physiological or enculturated basis) being incidental phenomena (associated with the existentialism-form-factor as of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries) emphasising the more fundamental issue of the dialecticism implicated in human transcendence, and with this dialecticism being the ‘suprastructural insight’ that informs the veracity/ontological-pertinence and handling of all issues of ontological-or-existential-defect/registry-defect/perversion-of-reference-of-thought/transcendental-dialecticism going by a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’. This differs from issues in relation with existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation that ‘comes only after the notion of a sound reference-of-thought is established in the first place’ and are intradimensional, and doesn’t put-into-question/imply the soundness/unsoundness of registry/axioms/ontological-reference/contending-reference/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-or-soundness-of-mind/registry-worldview, and furthermore are grounded on a same/common reference-of-thought/implied-registry-worldview. Thus if strictly speaking a postlogism phenomenon (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) like a psychopathic disposition is not the
causation of a reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought, then what is its relevance and pertinence? The fact is with or without postlogism including psychopathic individuations, human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) warrants that our temporal-emanances-registries will nonetheless still fail the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold that correspondingly mark the successive uninstitutionalisation states of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, just by the mere fact of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought involving institutionalising, universalising, positivising and deprocrypticising, with deprocrypticism ‘conceptually’ marking ontological-completeness as it subsumes-as-supplant-(as-of-the-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) all the rest). The critical thing however is that at these uninstitutionalised thresholds, without the postlogical effects including psychopathic, the corresponding requisite human transcendences will be more straightforward, direct and definite from the prior ‘dialectically-dementing’ to the prospective ‘dialectically-thinking’ as human temporal-emanances-registries are less predisposed to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation once social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or registry-worldview-perversion is established together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of that perversion, thus facilitating the registering/stranding of the implied dialecticism in the social-
psyche/collective-consciousness of what is effectively ‘dialectically-thinking’ and what is ‘dialectically-dementing’, with the latter being alienated in the operation of meaningfulness as the new institutionalisation is established. This straightforwardness, directness and definitiveness is fundamentally undermined by the iterability/iteration nature (of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference) induced by the postlogical ‘hollow-constituting’ distorting effect including psychopathic which renders establishing social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or registry-worldview-perversion together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to other temporal-emanances-registries rather obscure, and further so as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a distortional purposefulness with respect to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness of their own. Postlogically perverted/distorted induced iterability with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference (as denaturing the registry-elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-escape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology) takes the form of ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-‘set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and extrinsic-attribution with respect to successive sets of interlocutors, and as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic
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unprincipled-or-derived-unprincipled mental-dispositions in temporal-emmances-registries (which equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic situations) inducing as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect by temporal-preservation as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-of-recurrence/repeatability in principle. Postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as ‘non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’ and conjugated-postlogism can possibly be explained by the notion of pseudointemporality wherein under social-and-confliction-stake temporal-emmanance-registry individuation ‘mental-dispositional incapacity for intemporality’ induces ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, as it strives to act as if it was intemporal, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation. In that sense the postlogical/psychopathic mental-disposition will seem to be the ‘weakest human mental-disposition for acting intemporally/in-conviction or meaning as its intrinsisicness/essence/ontological-veridicality’ and so directly engages in its kind of pseudointemporality, for pathological reasons, as it takes a faulty-mentation-procedure-
shortcut to meaningfulness towards its naively sought-outcome/end-purpose as ‘meaning by its mere form as being deterministic of how others will act’, such that this is actually part and parcel of its developmental psychology. While other temporal-emanances-registries individuations come to pseudointemporality by ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfitter-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, whether-consciously-expeditiously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation. Postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as ‘non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’ instigation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought is associated with intradimensional temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold or ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), such that equally temporal-emanances-registries are effectively in derived-non-conviction or derived-existential-decontextualised-transposition or derived-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation intradimensionally. This can be highlighted by the fact that from a positivistic perspective, a truly medieval mindset/reference-of-thought at its core is fundamentally and structurally of a relative structural-being/ontological-or-existential-defect no matter how ‘good-natured’ we may conceive of it by the mere fact of the ‘spectacularly defective knowledge and virtue
positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought appear with respect to positivistic mental-dispositions from the positivistic perspective, and prospectively so, the procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought appear with respect to deprocrypticism mental-dispositions from the deprocrypticism perspective. (These can perfectly be exemplified for the other lower registry-worldviews/dimensions or our procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension with respect to deprocrypticism but for the relative unpalatability compared to speaking only of medieval cases which provides the requisite relevance and insight anyway; from the insight of a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ grounded at the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, as ontological-completeness/ontological-normalcy driven). Taking the case of a non-positivistic/medieval context as highlighted above at its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) warranting the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, we can appreciate that there is a whole gamut of seemingly genuine ontological/being/existential dispositions as social practices within the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension like alchemy, superstitions, beliefs and other similar social constructions of meaningfulness that from a ‘positivistic angle’ are perfectly caricaturable as nothing but hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing arising from the misappropriating/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of universalisation’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as intradimensional existential-decontextualised-transposition (of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of universalisation meaningfulness). This is a recurrent dynamism associated with
ontological-completeness brings an end to derived-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing social constructions of meaningfulness that are veridically-unreal. These derived-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing social constructions of meaningfulness are in effect the uninstitutionalisations requiring corresponding prospective institutionalisations/intemporalisations (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation intradimensionally); and it is important to grasp that uninstitutionalisations (however nefarious the consequences from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence appreciation) are as critical and defining in their existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications just as institutionalisations, to fully appreciate the very nature of transcendence as the most important thing/purposefulness of humanity-at-large. But then, our human intemporal-emanance-registry disposition responsible for the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process is equally inclined to focus-the-mind-more-thoroughly when dealing with phenomena that undermine ontological-veridicality and so specifically with the undermining of soundness of reference-of-thought, and so across the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. It is more likely that in this regard, more likely than not perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena as postlogical effect including psychopathic may actually have been a boost for more rapid human institutionalisation/intemporalisation as our intemporal-emanance-registry going by its own intemporal preservational individuation disposition (in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is rather prone to apprehend and deal with perversion-of-reference-of-thought issue at the humanity-at-large scale for the need of human institutionalisation as second-naturing given that with human limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) it is naïve to operate on
the basis of a ‘human transformation on the wrong dependence of our intemporal-emanance-registry-disposition as first-natureness’, thus the reason why we institutionalise as second-naturing taking cognisance of the reality of our temporal-to-intemporal-emanance-registries individuation dispositions. Just as implied elsewhere in this paper, the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) (from shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of capacity as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity, is the trascendental construct of human virtue, and so as a contiguity notion, and not of abstract analogy. This notion of contiguity is what explains the capacity for humankind to accumulate/recomposure/reorder its institutionalisation/intemporalisation capacity. This can be explained as follows. Considering the instance where for instance the target of accusations of sorcery was to equally adopt a temporal stance by making a vague accusation of sorcery as well. Seemingly, such a temporal approach will more or less be more effective in pre-empting the ‘incidental resolution of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (with respect to themselves in their specific locale) associated with the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) rather as an extirpatory/temporal paradigm in serving their purpose of a temporal mortal. In so doing incidentally it doesn’t actually pre-empt but fails the ‘universal resolution of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (at humanity-at-large scale) as it advances an argument that still enculturates/endemises the upkeep of notions of superstition and sorcery. This approach of temporal-emanances-dispositions of dealing with temporality with
temporality with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in all the registry-worldviews (institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) is what endemises/enculturates the dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive. A truly intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm warrants a transcendental posture of universal-projection/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that overlooks resolving temporality with temporality and seeks to grasp the universal implications of all such temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation inclinations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought at the humanity-at-large level of all locales and situations, and only then in transversality/logical-incongruence that all such incidentals of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation endemisation/enculturation are construed and resolved by deferential-formalisation-transference of the intemporal-emanance-registry approach as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. It is only such an intemporal approach that suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought-of-temporal-emanances-registries-dispositions) allows for the requisite base-institutionalising of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation of ununiversalisation, positivising/rational-empiricism of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticising/abject-recomposuring-ontologising of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The fact has always been that throughout the various institutionalisations this human intemporal-emanance-registry individuation disposition has always been an indispensable outlier (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction and the reason for its conceptualisations to be construed as institutionalisation-as-virtue even though going by temporal-emanances-registries
inclinations, ‘such abstract projection basically would hardly make sense’. The fact is that this intemporal inclination, while often not downright articulated for what it is but rather implied, is actually behind all formal constructs with an adoption of a ‘maximalist approach’ in the construal of social phenomenal possibilities. Likewise, the hermeneutic orientation of this paper takes up such a maximalist approach in understanding phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and more precisely psychopathy and social psychopathy in the social-construct even though from a simplistic temporal perception it may seem at times overblown (very much like in a core medieval setup a positivistic maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition such as Galileo’s or Darwin’s or Rousseau’s or Descartes’s assertions will seem overblown to the ‘core non-positivistic/medieval mindset’ going by its customary perception), since it doesn’t accommodate temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ ways of thinking and instead strives for a universal implications depth of thought. Basically, on the same token the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of formal constructs is all about construing human transcendental potential as a ‘virtue tipping exercise’ wherein for instance the seemingly overblown representation of humans as susceptible to malfeasance/offence by the construct of the Law doesn’t necessarily imply that everything about humans is how they are likely to commit malfeasance/offence but rather that the transcendental potential of the construct of Law caters for and is a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of limited committing of malfeasance/offence, just as likewise the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct of medicine of humans as likely to be diseased doesn’t necessarily mean that everything about humans is how they will get an ailment but is a human transcendental potential as a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of human health. The
reason for this deferential-formalisation-transference disposition is simple, as formal constructs ‘reason’ on the basis of intemporality/abject-ontological-veridicality in the quest for reifying abstract universal projection very much unlike everyday informal conceptualisations that are rather driven by vague impressions and good-naturedness and tend to construe meaningfulness by reflex without factoring in ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought of ordinary day to day thinking (common sense), and tend to be unsure, poorly methodical, poorly universalising, poorly insightful, and with elevated subjectivity (not only with regards to facts but with the purported reference-of-thought as well as the reference-of-thought elements or registry-elements which are implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology), and so beforehand/as-of-a-priori even without the instigating effect of any perversion-of-reference-of-thought like postlogism/psychopathy; such that such temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ reasoning is best left for inconsequential and trite matters of day to day living, as validated by the processes and procedures of our formal institutions however approximate in their success given the pervasiveness of the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} even in formal setups, with its susceptibility to undermine or overlook ‘formal effectiveness’ (which can sometimes be naively construed as weakness of formalism rather than insufficiently effective formalism or extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} disruption of formal effectiveness). Abstractly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness carries an intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
and universal coherence that incremental meaningfulness doesn’t, and thus maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is actually the drive for transcendence across the institutionalisation-process successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, with human ontological development from ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’ and hence it is ontologically-contiguous as a virtue construct that is self-sustaining. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as such is the emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-disposition to uphold ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existentia:real:ality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectically-thinking-reference-of-thought as depth-of-thought’) as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/reducing-ontological-abnormalcy avails for the development of reference-of-thought in construing intrinsic-reality/ontology, by its very intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology principle-driven nature; hence it thus regenerates new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to match developing ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/reducing-ontological-abnormalcy. Whereas incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness tends to operate as if at any one instance human meaningfulness is absolutely set (and so rather as a mere form) and thus incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is non-transcendental, and so with reference to the underlying
intemporality (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) that ontological development from ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/reducing-ontological-abnormalcy elicits, in lieu it is rather of a temporality reflex mental-disposition such that correspondingly developed categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is related to in virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) terms, whether unconsciously (ignorance), expediently (affordability) or consciously; thus as emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-disposition, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness across all registry-worldviews involves a ‘residuality of temporality’ in any prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation that induces prospective uninstitutionalisation, at that institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaking fundamentally of the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor and underlining the ‘“ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought”’ line with respect to reference-of-thought mental representations between intemporality as candored-supratransversality and temporality as decandored-subtransversality. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness wrongly construes meaningfulness (both ontology and virtue perspectives) as rather a process of additionality over the prior reference-of-thought whereas in reality (from the insight that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology develops from shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation) by way of the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’) meaningfulness develops rather as a
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness process of recomposuring towards a deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology, with recomposuring reflecting that human progress is rather an institutionalisation process (as second-naturing/institutional-design defined by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) as deferential-formalisation-transference by the intemporal-emanance-registry/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and critically without the transformation of the reality of human emanance/becoming/intersolipsism individuation dispositions as temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)–to–intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of human existential-form-factor. Thus the implication is that the institutionalisation process succumbs to prospective uninstitutionalisation due to the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of human temporality/temporal-emanances-registries as of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in inducing prospective uninstitutionalisation which can only further be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposre as transcendental-enabler. Basically, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness relation to meaningfulness as ‘a comprehensive additionality exercise’ thus fails to account for human temporality/temporal-emanances-registries as ‘not transformed’ and will tend at uninstitutionalised-threshold towards the perversion/derived-perversion of the institutionalisation reference-of-thought or categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously), involving flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought. This insight equally explains the nature of human progress as the natural mental-reflex is to think that human progress occurs incrementally as an exercise of additionality to the prior reference-of-thought and institutionalisation, which is wrong as
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ for the latter to be ontologically valid.

Furthermore, the precedingness nature of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence with respect to human existential-reference/existential-tautologisation pivoting to ontology/ontological-veridicality speaks of a ‘decentering’ to the prospective ontological-construct that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness effectively enables by placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology rescheduling (as it perpetually recomposes to the intemporal as the relative absolute in value and ontology) over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness which wrongly falls back to the relatively limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} of the temporal presencing-as-if-definitely-set in wrongly construing it as the relative absolute reference-of-thought.

Insightfully with respect to the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, the law typically operates on the basis of anticipating maximally the possibilities of criminal acts with the anticipation of the maximal possibilities of victimisation from such acts (when it regulates weapons ownership, for example) in effectively construing optimal prevention of criminality in society as a structural/paradigmatic construct that more vitally shapes human action and its ‘effective enforcement’ is actually a minor portion of the structural/paradigmatic construct of law over lawlessness; as it carries an inherent intemporality that is further summonable in improving the law with human ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness} to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation} reconstrual/reconceptualisation’.

Like all formal constructs it wouldn’t rely on incremental-dispositions or temporal-accommodation of averaging-of-thought that may lead to temporal mobbish dispositions, the fundamental point being that that element of ‘abstraction-of-thought/principled-thought’ is decisive as with all knowledge constructs. Rather the limit of such intemporal thinking is not
the averaging-of-thought but operates and is based in effect on intemporal projection emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-of-thought in an intersolipsistic relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality on the validity of the intercession of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and by extension the intercession of formal/conventioning rules as institutionalisation arising in validation of the former, and their corresponding percolation-channelling in deferential-formalisation-transference. [This notion of intersolipsism is actually the notional validation of the solipsistic argument as it frames the question in the right manner, that is, inversely (contrary to the traditional philosophical framing of the solipsism question, which by so doing naively and wrongly implies that ‘individuals precede and/or are in different existence in existence’ upon an affirmative solipsistic response, rather than the idea of becoming solipsistically in existence which subsumes their individuality and projecting of the same about others in an intersolipsistic recognition arising from individuals’ own solipsistic insights of predication-and-projection), since it priorly implies existential emanance-or-becoming validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework about a superseding-oneness-of-ontology as the intercessory basis for mutual-solipsism/intersolipsism. This author equally conceptualise of a difference between solipsism and subjectivity in that solipsism is rather purely ontological as it implies notionally the individual’s perspective in existential becoming as of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency (however effective-as-solipsistically-intemporal or ineffective-as-solipsistically-temporal such perspectival performance), whereas subjectivity refers to our animate-existential-referencing-as-subjectification which is not necessarily oriented to the ontological appropriateness/veridicality of that reference but rather is a notional construal of the reality of ‘human condition of perceived ontological appropriateness/veridicality’ irrespective of whether it can be said of such perception as being objectively right or wrong.
going by inherent ontological-veridicality. So solipsism speaks of the human projection in notionally construing ontological veridicality/appropriateness notwithstanding the perspectival effectiveness or ineffectiveness of such a construal as of solipsistic-temporality to solipsistic-intemporality and as such solipsism as of solipsistic-intemporality is the drive behind ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism. Whereas subjectivity speaks notionally of a human condition orientation with respect to perceived ontological veridicality/appropriateness no matter whether right or wrong. This possibility of distinguishing an inherently ontological foundation of existential meaning different from an ontological as human condition state of perceived existential meaning is central to a deprocrypticism mindset in enabling the most elaborate transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism construal since necessarily intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently tautologuous, and ‘human capacity to grasp the possibilities of referential relations to inherent existential tautology as of human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification’ in conjunction with ‘human construal of the inherent existential tautology’ is exactly the definition of notional knowledge. Supposed for instance a child comes to learn the rules of addition for all types of number additions such that the child understands the addition principle, but then there is a deliberate ploy by the teacher and other ‘supposed learners’ all along to constantly calculate 2+2 as equals to 5. Sooner or later the child’s solipsistic sense of meaning (as becoming into existence alone in an intersolipsistic relationship with others interceded with ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inducing projective-insights and predicative-insights) will become a self-made revolutionary and question the teacher indicating the correct answer to 2+2 as being 4; depending equally on its notional emanance/becoming/intersolipsism sense of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to
temporality, further explaining in the bigger picture why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness pursuits, apparently unnecessary from a temporal interest point of view, are intemporal-solipsistically undertaken. Insightfully despite the constant ‘social affirming’ that the correct answer is 5, unlike it might be erroneously be thought, the child’s insistence now that the answer is 4 is ‘not truly’ out of the ordinary as with respect to its construal of all other meaning including other additions, the child’s knowledge and learning has always been about confirming any such meaning by its notional sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology; but this particular solution for the addition rather becomes outlying for the child because despite the ‘social affirming’ of 2+2 as being 5, such a confirmation by a notional intemporal sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology is not forthcoming, and in lieu rather gets the solipsistic confirmation as 2+2=4! Thus this points out that our interrelationship to meaningfulness is most authentically and fundamentally by pointing out a notional intemporal ‘sense of solipsism’ in each of us to access intrinsic meaning. Such ‘intersolipsistic-pointing exercise’ is only possible because of: our common underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation (enabled obviously by language as well as any human meaning relaying medium like signs, whether
active or passive or implied or direct). By extension, our consciousness-awareness-teleology as of a notional emanance/becoming/intersolipsism/solipsistic construct is equally the result of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of our existential underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns-and-accrues projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’, and existentially so as of our ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. So there is no medium for intersolipsism but for the fact of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency accruing to each individual, implying our limited-mentation-capacity enables us at any given phase of our existence to mutually be able to ‘solipsistically reference a common sense of inherent existential-reality’, and so increasingly as of our common species, common registry-worldviews, common communities, common institutions and common personhoods and socialhood; and so, however ontologically-veridical our meaningfulness-and-teleology within institutionalised-threshold or as of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing at uninstitutionalised-threshold. This will equally explain why in the rare cases reported in the media of infants abandoned and adopted by animals like dogs and monkeys, such infants often tend to adopt behaviours of the animals as of ‘mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of reference to underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—
construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-
existence, as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially
inherent human-subpotency), as the capacity for the infant to act and behave like a human
effectively requires its personality development in a mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of
underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-
inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity, and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-
commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-
reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness
besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) with other humans from whence the
existential specificity/instantiation basis as of the family, neighbourhood, local institutions,
sociocultural context and increasingly in a globalised world social trends of all sorts whether
fashion, cultural, educational, intellectual, political, environmental, social media, etc. are now
critical determinants of its subjective and intersubjective meaningfulness-and-teleology.
Supposed again in a non-positivism social-setup a case of accusation-of-sorcery was to be
brought up, wherein as of the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-
unthought of the registry-worldview/dimension, it is a generalised certainty that sorcery and
sorcerers/sorceresses do exist (as of the non-positivism social-setup own hollow-staging-and-
performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing at their non-positivism
uninstitutionalised-threshold). This conception speaks of that registry-worldview/dimension
subjectivity and intersubjectivity as of ‘an averaging-of-thought human condition of construal
of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as knowledge’ which is the ‘indubitable reality’ as far as they are concerned. Such a subjectivity and intersubjectivity conceptualisation/construal can be implied as well as of ‘averaging-of-thought human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality supposedly as knowledge’ across all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (including the subjectivity and intersubjectivity in our positivism–procripticism) with respect to their respectively ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied uninstitutionalised-threshold. However, without a solipsistic notion of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so beyond subjectivity and intersubjectivity, arising as of purely ‘solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic insights in referencing underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed,-reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) as a potential capacity in all individuals, then the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will tend to actually be defined whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as implied by subjectivity and intersubjectivity as a ‘construct of human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as supposedly knowledge’, with the consequence that humankind construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is naively-and-wrongly interpreted as superseding ‘inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ at registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is obviously fallacious, as it is ‘the possibility of humankind being subjected to the
effective/ineffective construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is actually important because (while less critical to elucidate this in the natural sciences given the immediacy of constraint from intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling hence implicit), the implications for its comprehensive and conscious understanding in the social world (for conceptualising knowledge while superseding human temporality as ignorances, so-construed as ‘knowledge notionalisation’) is decisive as it requires both an understanding of ‘the human condition in its construal/relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ and ‘understanding of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’; and so, as a prerequisite for the organic-knowledge necessary for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview institutionalisation. For instance, the concepts of constitutedness, first-level pseudo-conflation, second-level pseudo-conflation, third-level pseudo-conflation and conflatedness of temporal-to-intemporal individuations ‘madeupness/bottomline of reference-of-thought’/‘prelogism-as-of-conviction commitment of reference-of-thought’ articulated previously as of ‘notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness perspectivation of ontologically-veridical aftereffect/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect’ in enabling a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation insight, can only be properly construed as of such a disambiguation in conceptualising not only inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but equally the human temporal-to-intemporal conditions/states of perception/relation with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This is fundamentally so because ‘inherent existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already what it is as given whether humankind knows about it or not’ but rather the point of human knowledge is an emancipatory exercise involving the need to decenter/pivot and supersede our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human condition to derive knowledge-
and-virtue, and so as human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency). Solipsism as such is truly the foundational notion of all phenomenological conceptualisations and derivation of value and meaningfulness as intersolipsistic teleological constructs from a transversal-and/or-common perceived existential-reference/existentia-tautologisation and derived-representations of existential-reference/existentia-tautologisation. It is what allows for the possibility of human construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling to supersede social-aggregation-enabling as a knowledge and virtue construct. The implication being that there is a contiguity in solipsistic insight as simplistically elucidative in the relatively more simpler experimental framework of natural phenomenon studied by the natural sciences (which practice is categorisation-driven, more like ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ but then with a high risk of inducing virtualities thus explaining the continually reshaping/re-categorisation/re-optimising of experimental content when the virtualities come to be seen as unreal or deficient or suboptimal, and so more critically with the practitioner’s experience tend to be driven heuristically actually as of pseudo-conflation or conflatedness) but such solipsistic insight extends to the more convoluted social phenomenon studied by the social sciences, as well as the phenomenal convoluted equally inherent in scientific domains like quantum-mechanics, [this author thinks should ideally be studied by referentialism, more like maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness from the most profound of conceptualisation which is intemporality or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, as of inherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology, and so on the basis of the absolute a priori, ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’–reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality, construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,—as-of-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in the apriorising of ontological/meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’, in the staggered elucidation of less and less profound but critical conceptualisations as undertaken in this hermeneutic design.] Furthermore, solipsism will equally explain why human meaningfulness-and-teleology is developed rather by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the same superseding—oneness-of-ontology as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity (whereby successive generations take a shot at superseding—oneness-of-ontology like Ancient Civilisations like Greece establishing that matter is made up of water, fire, air, earth and ether critically establishing the psyche of matter as composed of basic elements and successive recomposurings right up to our modern day quantum-mechanics recomposuring as of historicity), rather than it erroneously being construed as an incremental exercise; as it is only incremental in the literal sense but in the ‘operant sense’ it is an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of transversal overall reconstruing/reconceptualising rather than just cumulating. This insight is important for critical thought and analysis as oftentimes it is naively assumed that prospective knowledge is to be simply obtained by ‘additioning’ or ‘cumulating’ to prior works rather than the more pertinent insight of totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a same superseding—oneness-of-ontology. On the same token, this tautological insight about the precedingness of existence can be extended to the notion of nothingness with nothingness rather existing in existence as there is no nothingness or for that matter anything out of existence which is ‘conceptually’ an emanation-or-emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-giving-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession-that-is-all-defining, with
nothingness possibly a conceptual device of metaphysics-of-absence of ‘existence conceptually devised as metaphysics-of-presence’; but then with existence being its very own metaphysics-of-presence, the mutual equivalency of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence implying that nothingness is likewise tautologically the emanation/emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-giving-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession-that-is-all-defining of existence. Basically a nothingness conceptualisation is necessarily and tautologically an existential conceptualisation as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ which is necessarily ‘the absolute a priori’ (as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination in the apriorising of ontological/meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’) of superseding–oneness-of-ontology/oneness-of-meaningfulness and just as well the notion of nothingness can’t ‘conceptually’ exist out of the notion of meaningfulness which references existence and all that is in existence as ontological. Actually nothingness is rather a ‘constructive tautological device’ as is actually the case with all human knowledge (mental-devising-representation of teleological reorientation), as it doesn’t speak of any inherent change in intrinsic-reality but rather of change of human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology), just as the many conceptualisation herein like the
registry-worldviews/dimensions and institutionalisation process are actually speaking of human rescheduling of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in grasping a superseding–oneness-of-ontology/intrinsic-reality that has been so all the time; and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology is no more than about human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency’ already given as ontological-normalcy/post-convergence oneness) along the same lines with the notion of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation’ in compensation of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. That is, such ‘conceptual devices’ are reformulations arising from ‘grander/transcendental insights’ about the same question but implying a radical transformation of ontological/meaningful conceptualisation of the human mind and human teleology. The idea is that ‘intrinsic-reality/ontology is not changed’ but rather it is ‘human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that is changed’. Technically, the implication is that existence/being cannot be thought outside of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity); as a conclusion driven by the insight that human thought/limited-mentation-capacity in construing existence/being implies human meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework or contingent. However the disavowal rather than renewal/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity will imply its dissolving into a ‘nihilism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the alternate logical outcome, but then with this latter construal/conceptualisation being rather ‘an unequal measure alternative’ since it has the drawback of ‘putting an end to contemplation itself’, of ‘misunderstanding that contemplation is a human growth activity and not an absolutely achieved activity’, besides abandoning the notion of human existentialism/thrownness/facticity behind human strife itself thus contradictorily undermining again the assumption of such an alternate logical outcome as itself a ‘contemplated strife’ construed as arising only by the implication of such existentialism/thrownness/facticity, and further failing to factor in that deepening human thought/limited-mentation-capacity increasingly narrows the framework of human existential contingency/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘enabling human existential development as less and less a question of fate’ on the basis of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalitative-implications’. Thus the bigger issue is not existence/being in itself as it is given, whatever it is that is given. Rather the bigger issue of concern is our human thought/limited-mentation-capacity in apprehending existence/being as of our ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/contingent reconstruals/reconceptualisations of existence/being as of human deepening thought/limited-mentation-capacity so enabled by our capacity for ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics behind the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures narrowing the framework of human existential contingency, with the further possibility of prospective totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as deprocrypticism as of ontological-
normalcy/post-convergence.] Such maximalist intemporal projection reasoning doesn’t entertain banal ordinary logic (that is all too readily incremental, ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) of the sort: she deserves to be raped because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc. The intemporal reasoning maximalist approach (non-incremental, non-‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and striving for the ontologically-abject) that permeates many a formalised construct does not entertain meaningfulness within the sphere of temporal-and-socialTrading and is rather transcendental inherently, as it simply supersedes and skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the universal/intemporal as of implication. In other words, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is construed as of the apparently least possibly perceived constraining context in order to truly affirm the universalism of rules or any ontological-constructs; as the test of incrimination with respect to the above apparently least possibly perceived constraining specific crimes contexts is effectively what validates the universalism for all other contexts of such specific crimes. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, is effectively the projective mechanism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism that reinvents new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as a metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation in further human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination and opening up new institutionalisation possibilities behind the
successive transcendences of an animal of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries
dispositions in need for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’,
for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-
formalisation-transference towards the intemporal to induce an institutionalisation-as-virtue
that very much elevate it beyond its temporality which left to its own device will strive for
Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is an intemporal
solipsistic framework of first-natured organic-knowledge ‘inventing’ of prospective human
institutionalisation possibilities allowing for their percolation-channelling as of second-
natured institutionalisation. It is behind ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-
totalitative–implications’ whether in early times as of non-universal and universal
metaphysico-theological creeds or as of metaphysico-worldviews nature and practices in later
human history marked by the structural/paradigmatic emphasis of
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over ordinariness/averaging-of-thought
mental-disposition within the second-natured institutionalisation of such percolation-
channelled meaningfulness-and-teleology marked by temporal extirpatory paradigm. This
latter point is pertinent as invalidating any implied equivalency of reference-of-thought of
meaningfulness-and-teleology between a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness mental-disposition and an ordinariness/averaging-of-thought
mental-disposition going by their different existential paradigms; as the
ordinariness/averaging-of-thought mental-disposition will emphasise a registry-
worldview/dimension closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in a temporal
extirpatory paradigm as of human existential physical lifespan as if such closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology arose all by itself whereas a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition emphasises the human existential tale as of the succession of opened-structures of meaningfulness-and-teleology that account for the possibility of our present and prospectively opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology for enabling future possibilities. Even when it comes to the social integration of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms, it is often the case that such meaningfulness-and-teleology is bound to the denaturing in many ways as of human ordinariness/averaging-of-thought temporal extirpatory paradigm concatenation to it, if the requisite percolation-channelling institutionalisation and formalisation constructs are not priorly attended to. Even such that notions like exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. associated with maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-dispositions, as recognised by the Niezschean imagination are more often than not construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought as ‘derogation to the fact that such maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms can hypothetically be incumbent of all humans as to their choice of intellectual-and-moral orientation and their specific focus’, and thus paradoxically implying as of the blurriness of the social domain that such so-called exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. are ‘abnormal’ with the paradox that their implied ontological-veridicality is ‘abnormal’, thus by that same token falsely upholding the ontological-pertinence of ordinariness/averaging-of-thought as a non-decenterable closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology! Actually the paradox is that, no transcendentally implied construct is effectively a ‘grounded knowledge-construct commitment’ inherently as it inevitably and fundamentally puts into question the underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework notion,
construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-
thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and defines 
successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes uninstitutionalised-threshold 
explaining why institutionalisation becomes stuck at that level until the corresponding 
threshold is superseded for a prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation) for 
prospective transcendental possibilities. On the basis of such ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-
intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness circularity, one may 
perfectly argue that any of the institutionalisations are just as good so long as people are 
relatively satisfied but such an argument is never made of lower/prior institutionalisations 
with the implications that its elicitation within a registry-worldview as present is nothing 
more but an act of ‘intellectual-bad-faith’, but then a maximalising-recomposing-for-
relative-ontological-completeness approach is one that doesn’t reason in temporal-
accommodation but provides the opportunity for prospective institutional possibilities. 
Maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness was what was in the 
minds of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaus, Darwins and the enlightenment 
Encyclopédistes led by Denis Diderot in cynically vouching for the possibilities of the future 
of positivism over a non-positivistic/medieval worldview. Such that vague arguments of the 
type we’ve been living well without such ideas are nothing but avowals of temporal-
emanances-registries dispositions poor emanance/becoming/intersolipsism grasp of how their 
present institutionalisation came about and future institutionalisation possibilities; since we 
can project that all humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation were recurrent-utter-
institutionalised, all humans in ununiversalisation were ununiversalised, all humans in 
medieval non-positivism were non-positivistic, and by extention (but for the complexes 
arising from our metaphysics-of-presence) all humans in our procrypticism are procryptic and 
it is no use turning around to our fellow mortals to do social-aggregation-enabling; with the
more critical issue being how does the prospective institutionalisation process comes about! Such temporal dispositions are characteristically draggy across all registry-worldviews/dimensions explaining why all transcendences meet with temporal resistance going by the human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor which take the form of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect).

- As the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’ disposition tends to wrongly define the reference-of-thought of a given prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as the absolute framework of ‘dialectically-thinking’, and so by reflex, as if the successive prior institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures were geared to end at its own registry-worldview as the absolute registry-worldview that doesn’t incur perversion-of-reference-of-thought (in our case, the positivistic registry-worldview) without any notion of a prospective registry-worldview by which, where our own perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises, we will be ‘dialectically-dementing’ as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, at our dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation); as our ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought endemises/enculturates the denaturing and generally explains the vices-and-impediments of any registry-worldview/dimension as of its given limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). As by reflex ‘the-averaging-of-
thought’ wrongly ignores the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, such that when there is a need to achieve ontologically-veridical meaningfulness by prospective reference-of-thought with new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’ simply engages in ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ to its prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought with its prior/old categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that are failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation due to their temporal-preservational nature with respect to their own perversion-of-reference-of-thought threshold. It is only the ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework and positive-opportunism of the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics that will induce its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and the collapsing/overriding of the prior/transcended/superseded (as ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and so going by their ‘relative ontological-effectivity’. This explains why a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised, an ununiversalised, a non-positivistic/medieval, or prospectively a procrypticism mindset, by totalising–self-referencing-syncretising, cannot correspondingly ‘dialectically-think’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-thought of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, going by the existentialism-form-factor of human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative
constitutedness towards relative conflation) and its temporal-to-intemporal disposition with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction in all registry-worldviews, thus rather requiring the corresponding institutionalisation at the corresponding dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation).

However, contrary to the ‘non-maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’ disposition, it is only solipsism-of-thought by its emphasis on intrinsicness (I come to reality alone solipsism) that has the requisite and socially-uncompromised backdrop for construing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘at such uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective transcendence’, by the possibility for its adherence to ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, and hence the requisite transcendental limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) to put the prior/transcended/superseded into question (including and priorly, the transcendental emancipator own’s mentation) for the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; and so, with the notion that the prior/transcended/superseded is dialectically-dementing as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, with no place for its ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ which is no more than its ‘internal myth/metaphysics’ that has nothing to do with ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. As such, solipsism enables the requisite ‘moulting’ of human limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions to allow for successive transcendences; and as a social conceptualisation operates as ‘a relation of intersolipsistic mindsets in transversality/logical-incongruence led by the preceding/superseding intercession of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as validated by ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework’. (Noting that beyond this point of solipsistic contemplation is the end of ontology, as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework/contingent-projective-and-predicative-validation, and metaphysics arises though metaphysical constructs tend to harken back towards ontology in trying to explain the metaphysical-as-of-existential thus explaining the blurring that often arises between metaphysics and ontology as there is hardly any metaphysical construct that doesn’t strive to be existentially relevant as of the present, thus carrying ontological implications of conceptualisation whether it is demonstrably ontologically-veridical or not; and this latter point answers the fundamental philosophical quest to escape metaphysics for ontology as of the very institutionalisation process which is rather about ‘successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights as the successive transcendental-enabling rules of the institutionalisation process yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence construed as the successive institutionalisations as implied by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence’ towards the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension which is what then achieves ontology as ‘attained ontological-normalcy/post-convergence’. Likewise, since in effect there is hardly any ‘present pure ontology’ as one that is beyond existential implications contentions about the purity/absoluteness/unassailability of its veracity, this rather validates a novel and positive construal of metaphysics as that which is subject to present existential implications contentions such that all supposed present ontologies are metaphysical constructs as of their non-elucidations. Hence even science itself despite its positive perspective is a metaphysical construct.) Hence, from a maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of ‘non-maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’ disposition is rather the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought to be construed as dialectically-dementing
and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with respect to a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that is ‘dialectically-thinking’ as dialectically-in-phase.

thus the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness) revealing, in the bigger picture, the alterities/alterations of the the-individuations, the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and the-interdimension/transcendental’. The insight here is that the spontaneous and generalised human prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/‘conviction-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex is wrong when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)-(registry-worldview/contending-reference/ontological-reference/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry) arising due to human temporal-compromises/temporal-accommodation/incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously) and particularly so at thresholds where there is no deferential-formalisation-transference as institutionalisation (uninstitutionalised-threshold), and this fundamentally undermines the ‘ontological validity and veracity’ of such a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as supposedly of prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/‘conviction-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex.

Beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising positivistic registry-worldview perspective, we can grasp that the lower registry-worldviews ‘mentally projected prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/‘conviction-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex’ are flawed at their uninstitutionalised-threshold, and the same applies to us in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. The nature of this ‘conviction-reflex flaw’ is that it actually defines ‘a threshold of
ontologically-non-veridical alterity/alterations of same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)', as Différance, that is critical in defining temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries disambiguated teleological-differentiations. It is the dynamic-extension of this Différance-suprastructurally-disambiguated-mental-dispositions-meaningfulness-as-the-various-emanances-registries in ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (as slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) at the-individuations level to registry-worldview level and the-transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level that explains the ‘altering iterability dynamism’ at these three levels; [whether at the-individuations level involving the ‘hollow-constituting’-alteration’ by temporal-emanances-registries as ‘slanted-and-formulaic-formic postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts of meaningfulness’ of the postlogical disposition or ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of the slanted-and-formulaic-formic perverted meaningfulness as the conjugated-postlogical disposition, meted with the ‘ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction compensating-alteration or realteration of meaningfulness’ of the intemporal-emanance-registry), as the basis of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation processs at registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level, and ultimately explaining the-transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level successiveness of institutionalisations (as recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism, and perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism); and so, by ‘a human limited-

In the bigger picture and as with all natural iterations, this ‘alterations-iterability dynamism’ at the-individuation-level takes the form of an existential-flux (‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’) of recursive/recurrent alterity/alterations which tend to be perpetuating (like the pathological psychopath’s disposition out of a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/‘urge’/entitlement-folie of postlogism-slantedness effect) or progressive alterity/alterations which could be regular (like an exacerbation or opportunism interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism) or regressive alterity/alterations which could be momentary (like an ignorance or affordability interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism). The notion of iterability as ‘the induced effect of alterity/alterations (by the temporal-emanances-registries ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness and the intemporal-emanance-registry compensation-alterity/alteration by ‘ontological-
reconstituting'/deconstruction) in the repeatability/recurrence of same-terms-of-expressions or same-implied-meaningfulness’, implies that temporal-emanances-registries being just as preservational as the intemporal-emanance-registry thus inducing the circular recurrence of iterability (as prospective successive institutionalisations and prospective uninstitutionalisations), the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not about transforming temporal-emanances-registries as an emanance or first-nature transformation exercise but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation or second-naturing, which is about ‘skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/constraining towards’ the intemporal-emanance-registry for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to enable the given prospective institutionalisation. Thus the fact is that this iterability (of meaningfulness and ontological-reference) is not a property of ‘intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance’ but actually the result/effect of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) coming-into-grips with intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance, and so in the succession of institutionalisations. The implication of this iterability (due to temporality-preservational-ality/alterations in distraction/circumvention of intemporality-preservation-iteration for construct of intemporal/ontologically-veridical meaningfulness) is that all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as opposed to issues of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation), can only be construed as implying ‘a perpetual construct for upholding intemporality-in-preservational-compensation-ality/alteration over temporality-in-preservational-distorting-ality/alterations’ hence validating the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy/post-convergence; and that the ‘illusion-of-definitiveness-of-ontological-construal-on-the-basis-of-an-intemporal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-
presently and prospectively, going by a human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) institutionalisation/intemperalisation process. Such an insight points out that a non-positivistic/medieval ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ will ‘wrongly be contending’ on the basis of a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought with regards to issues of sorcery and so and so, instead of the requisite ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ as a suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection into positivistic (rational-empiricism) mindset/reference-of-thought that supersedes the ‘flaws-and-manipulations’ or vices-and-impediments involved in such a non-positivistic/medieval setting reference-of-thought; in need of deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion/‘ontological-reconstituting’ into prospective suprastructuring positivism reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness, and so, ‘as the suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-uninstitutionalisation-circularity/subtransversality’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness that is not actually spoken-of by non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought wrongly contending’; with the Derridean (existential)-trace being the suprastructuring positivistic reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness with respect to intrinsic-reality. Such an insight can certainly be grasped with respect to procrypticism and deprocrypticism as well, with the associated postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena. The ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referentialism perspective inherently carries the requisite suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection for fulfilling the promise of ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ as postdication. Paradoxically, postdication (as metaphysics-of-absence)
highlights that ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is rather conceptualised more effectively with the present-considered-as-being-in-ontological-abnormalcy-perspective-(‘dialectically-dementing’-reference-of-thought)-and-hence-suprastructurable by ‘metaphysics-of-absence’-perspective-(‘dialectically-thinking’-reference-of-thought) which is then actually prospective (to-resolve-the-ontological-abnormalcy); and not ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ conceptualisation which ‘wrong pretence of being in ontological-normalcy’ is actually stifling the prospective orientation by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising. This posture is validated by the decreasing ontological-abnormalcy nature of the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures from retrospective to present to prospective, whereby there is decreasing ontological-abnormalcy as the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process veers towards ontological-normalcy (from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism). With respect to the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction perversion-of-reference-of-thought (reflected as mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, the Derridean (existential)-trace as the suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection (metaphysics-of-absence) reference-of-thought, wherein there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as procrypticism/emanant-wrong-or-dementing-shades-of-the-real, in need of deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion/ontological-reconstituting into prospective suprastructuring deprocrypticism reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness, and so, ‘as the suprastructuring as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology that is not actually spoken-of by our procrypticism and postlogical/psychopathic mindsets/reference-of-thought wrongly contending’; as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology being (metaphysics-of-absence) suprastructuring deprocrypticism reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness with respect to intrinsic-reality. Such temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation iterability-(of-ontological-veridicality)-by-(‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness)-alteration/alterity associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy takes the form of absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic wherein the postlogical mindset/reference-of-thought is all about parasitising/co-opting the conviction reference-of-thought (registry/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/contending-reference/ontological-reference/registry-worldview) by simply projecting and implying false forms of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that are not in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and so in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology, with the fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge being the wrongful validation as conviction of its reference-of-thought in the very first place as in reality the reference-of-thought reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview will be suprastructural to it (or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of the procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought as reflected/perspectivated as
temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’
(slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect), and so, as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in grasping the importance of social and formal institutionalisation percolation-channelling in the construing of institutionalised deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to long-run as with other perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in prior institutionalisations (for instance a scientific worldview over notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in medieval times).

The insight from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises by the mere fact that the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as it is thus ‘in-wait’ for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, upon instigation of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction by conjugating to human temporal-emanances-registries inducing ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional’ as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This is the abstract foundation that defines registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective uninstitutionalisations, and so, as fundamentally imbued in the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries dispositions which is structurally/paradigmatically susceptible to ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, up to deprocrypticism which when effectively achieves escapes prospective uninstitutionalisation by the mere fact that deprocrypticism psychologism is one that factors in in its (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor. Thus issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought including postlogisms are more-than-just-and-beyond an issue of a temporal frame of contemplation as this requires an overall registry-worldview/dimension transcendental structural/paradigmatic resolution, as abjectly-ontologising deprocrypticism with respect to procrypticism, notwithstanding the further conceptualisation of the necessity of the resolution at temporal frames of issues of psychopathy in the present positivistic registry-worldview. Thus psychopathy and social psychopathy should rather be related to suprastructurally (as dementing consciousness-awareness-teleology which reference-of-thought is invalid in the very first instance, going by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). The nature of perversion-of-reference-of-thought structural-resolution is very much in line with the existentialism-form-factor which represents that any transcendence is an institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining on human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries mental-dispositions ‘induced by social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness with respect to that of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s and the positive-opportunism thereof’, and thus undermining human temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation behind the prior uninstitutionalisation and
institutionalisation/intemporalisation second-naturing; and not as may wrongly be construed as an emanance transformation exercise from temporal-emanances-registries as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to intemporal-emanance-registry as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. This latter point is to highlight that ontological focus should rather be placed on the ‘abstract conceptualisation that enables institutionalisation-as-virtue and not any naïve purported emanance-transformation-as-virtue arguments, as in the bigger scheme of things the latter is delusional (for an animal whose potency under social-stake-contention-or-confliction is temporal-to-intemporal in emanance as of the existentialism-form-factor but needing its skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling as deferential-formalisation-transference to the intemporal for its transcendence) and that’s why society and more specifically formal organisations ‘operate on the clairvoyance of institutionalising principles and rules’, and ‘not the purported good-naturedness first-nature emanance-insights of the one or the other’, as this is an unsustainable construct and is simply a call for institutional failure in the middle to long run. A human second-naturing institutionalising construct is a requisite because, at best even the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation in individuals purporting prospective emancipation comes from and are from the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality is a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct as second-naturing that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any naïve inherent intemporal first-nature emanance intrinsickness in individuals. By that token there is no base-institutionalised individual in recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individual in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individual in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individual in procrypticism, as at best such emancipating intemporal individuals are ‘mouling’ their emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal individuations and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.

- As the notion of ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of ontology and temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect),’ is rather an operant conceptualisation that highlights the need for an operant conceptualisation of psychology in grasping human dynamics. But then psychological science as we know today in many ways mainly takes the form of an adjunct construct in grasping the social as is equally the case with social psychology; as the focus of can mostly be resumed to ‘identity’ of individual dispositions such that psychology tends more to have a subjective intercessory practice nature involving intersubjective valuation).

Thus, as with all such approaches it is hardly surprising that we haven’t got an academic ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as an ontology-driven ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation); but rather a ‘psychology of qualifications’ as is equally the case with social psychology. The author as previously implied
with the notion of a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ perceives the need for defining human psychology from a transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism and thus operant perspective of ontologically-dynamic-and-coherent construal/conceptualisation, as a profound superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This is implied in ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, and should be more precisely invigorated in the construal/conceptualisation of the ‘reference-of-thought as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as metaphysics-of-absence of the positivism/procrypticism reference-of-thought metaphysics-of-presence’; implying an ontologically-driven conceptualisation of ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as the prospective psychoanalysis, implying the ontological-abnormalcy perspective (‘dialectically-dementing’ reference-of-thought) of the prior positivism/procrypticism with respect to ontological-normalcy perspective of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism (‘dialectically-thinking’ reference-of-thought). With ontology-driven implying that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is just a ‘placeholder-setup’ that doesn’t have any inherent ontological validity, but is rather as valid as its representation/schedule of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, such that with the insight of more profound ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, the ‘placeholder-setup’ as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is accordingly rescheduled psychoanalytically (‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), validating and explaining why our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology has been developing all along from the mindset/reference-of-thought of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised, base-institutionalised, universalised and positivised, with the implication that the latter’s mindset/reference-of-thought is not beyond prospective transcendence where such prospectively more profound ontology is demonstrated to imply a renewal of human reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (as deprocrypticism), and with the further implication that all along it is essentially about a same species of a same underlying existentialism-form-factor (of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries) induced dynamism of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness} to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation}. [In fact, psychoanalysis is actually a natural existential human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology process with the difference that such comprehensively conceptually-directed constructs as is implied with deprocrypticism with respect to the present positivism/procrypticism are relatively more focussed and thus potent where ‘ontologically-pertinent and so-demonstrated to be ontologically-pertinent’; and by and large form part and parcel of the human psychoanalytic experience with regards to passive to conceptually-directed constructs of human teleological projection.] Transcendence (prospective) as a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology effectuation, is not technically achieved as may naively/counterintuitively be implied by construing directly of a prospective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (from the present) but rather, on the basis of ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, it correspondingly implies ‘construing the present as metaphysics-of-present as the transcended/superseded/prior placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation’ to be represented as ‘dialectically-dementing reference-of-thought’, and so implied by the ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, such that the prospective (transcending/superseding) placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect as ‘dialectically-thinking reference-of-thought’ is naturally implied as being the new and prospective suprastructuring, (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) of the ‘old present’/retrospective as prior. That is it is critical to grasp that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of ‘dialectically-thinking’ and ‘dialectically-dementing’ is never about generating a prospective ‘dialectically-thinking’ (with respect to the present as ‘dialectically-thinking’), but such stranding-dialectics is rather about decentering and dementing/oblongating the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the present as ‘dialectically-dementing’ which becomes ‘old present’/retrospective as prior’ and dialectically ushering contrastively from that backdrop a new and prospective ‘dialectically-thinking’. This is actually about maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the implied prospective meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference/contending-reference, rather than attempting its ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ which will ‘wrongly make reference to and wrongly elevate’, and so by mix-up, the prior reference-of-thought as veridical. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness being about optimally rescheduling the ‘placeholder-setup’ (as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, on the ontological backdrop of a more profound superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional defect’. Basically, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness creatively puts into perspective temporality in non-veridical/vacuous/‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness terms as ‘shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’, and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in existentialist/‘ontologically-reconstituting’ terms as ‘deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ veering towards transcendence. [That is, by transcendence is meant dispose to construe the ontological resolution of as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, as needing a prospective registry-worldview/dimension; for instance, capable of putting in question medieval intradimensional superstition in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally by implying the need for positivising rather than a usual temporalities-drives reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question positivism–procrypticism postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally by implying the need for deprocrypticism rather than temporalities-drives reciprocal equivalence of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.] Further the notion of deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation and shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation, central to a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, can be demonstrated as follows: supposed A has the (existentially veridical) mental projection with respect to say a housing project and undertook the initiative of bringing together and obtaining advanced payments from prospective buyers for the project, and B was to by non-veridical/vacuous/‘hollow-
constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness mental-disposition spread stories of the scheme being a scam (not to the buyers who have all the documentations validating the genuineness of A’s housing project) but rather other interlocutors mainly to undermine A’s business credibility, and so whether B is pathological/psychopathic or postlogically-enculturated, and supposed some other interlocutors, not only by ignorance but affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation further engaged in such vilifying (as social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of their mental denaturing disposition is socially opaque); engaging meaningfulness at a same reference-of-thought will wrongly imply that there is an issue of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ at hand rather than in veridicality one of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, requiring instead a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that is ‘dialectically-thinking’ from the ‘deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ as existentialist/’ontologically-reconstituting’ of A as intemporally-preservational, (in a pointedness of deprocrypticism prospective reference-of-thought which maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness then ‘upholds in contiguity’ the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions-and-meaningfulness implied by intemporal/conviction deprocryptic mental-dispositions, postlogism/psychopathic procryptic mental-dispositions and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration procryptic mental-dispositions’ as universal and aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and reflecting in transversality/logical-incongruence as both B’s postlogism ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought disposition’ ontological/being-construal-defect together with B’s interlocutors’
postlogical/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration mental-dispositions as purely non-veridical/vacuous/’hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness. [Effectively, reality/existence/being as becoming is actually an ‘unwinding elucidation’ model construct. However, since meaningfulness involves an interceding placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as reference-of-thought in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology and given our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), there thus tend to develop a mix-up of our representation (with ‘unsound/’hollow-constituting’/vacuous denaturing of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) when reflecting/perspectivating ontologically-veridical existential reality, such that there is a rule of recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology defined by the uninstitutionalised-threshold which arises structurally and accounts for vices-and-impediments. This is more than just a question of acts-execution/logical-processing defects but as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existentia-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect, that speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s inherent ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as it is thus ‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. That is at the basis of the totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasia-drag nature of a registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediment. This is equally why epistemologically-speaking categorisation schemes tend to be incomplete and requiring further re-categorisations and
readjustments as rather construed/conceptualised on a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag basis of organisation that isn’t in the full potency for grasping intrinsic reality and requiring further adjustments all along (the whole exercise actually being ‘ad-hoc referentialism’), and why referentialism as previously articulated, though ‘relatively abstract as a notion of representation’ is a conceptualisation basis needing constant insights, it is actually a better conceptualisation scheme of prospective being/becoming notions particularly of an ephemeral nature.] Just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as abjectly dementing and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and being as of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ with it will wrongly imply the ontological-veridicality of its meaningfulness, a deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought will rather be abjectly dementing and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural of ‘our procrypticism terms of meaningfulness’ and will equally avoiding ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ recognition of the soundness of our procrypticism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivistic-meaningfulness [at the (deprocrypticism) unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation] in other to effectively and adequately reflect the requisite metaphysics-of-absence necessary to act as the registered-psychical-backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective
deprocrypticism, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-suprastructuring stranding-dialectics that is the mechanism that enables ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. *The fundamental ontological/meaningful question is: which is the ‘superseding reference-of-thought, from where meaningfulness is aligned as ‘thinking and contending’ over the ‘perverting/superseded reference-of-thought’ aligned to as ‘dementing and not-contending’? ‘Anchoring-of-meaning as base-institutionalisation’ over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘anchoring-of-meaning as universalisation’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-base-institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation, ‘anchoring-of-meaning as positivism’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-universalisation-as-non-positivism/medievalism or ‘anchoring-of-meaning as deprocrypticism’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivism-as-procrypticism. [A ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ will actually be about a novel construal of the social as ‘metaphysics-of-absence’/postdication of the individual as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’; with the implication that the concepts and conceptualisations of the individual of the current ‘psychology of qualification and qualification schemes’ are actually and effectively construed by the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of a postconvergent/ontological-normalcy cadre and as becoming into the social, for its analytic purposes and framework. ‘Possibly’ this won’t imply ‘doing away’ with concepts and conceptualisations of the current ‘psychology of qualifications and qualification schemes’, but will however be uncompromising with respect to being ontology-driven, and thus ‘possibly’ enable the reconstrual of such psychology concepts as the self, ego, id, etc. in their metaphysics-of-absence/postdication (as the existential social) articulation. Insightfully, a
‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather mobilises maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as is necessarily the case with all metaphysics-of-absence/postdication conceptualisations (which must avert the mix-up induced by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising as metaphysics-of-presence) in ontologising/ontological-conceptualising. This thus validates and operates on the fundamental assumption that the individual is an abstract-atomic-social-construct-capable-of-and-as-the-basis-for-social-effectuation-and-institutionalisation/intemporalisation. What is then qualified as social phenomenon being ‘atomically’ grounded on human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions; deconstructible/ontologically-reconstitutable from the dynamism of that human existentialism-form-factor, and in construing/conceptualising the ‘transcendence and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling)/deferential-formalisation-transference’ of meaningfulness-(and-value) towards the intemporal-emanance-registry (ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology – tautologically construed as ontology-in-the-advancement-of-intemporality or institutionalisation or intemporalisation) of that abstract-atomic-social-construct or individual. At all registry-worldview/dimension-levels, for there to be transcendence prospectively as the ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’, the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions nature implies that the ‘determination of the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ of the human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of the
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology involving iterability-by-alterations-and-realterations as ‘ontological-reconstituting’ realterations over ‘hollow-constituting’ alterations in upholding ontology over ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology’ and so beyond-intradimensional-institutionalisation-limits/transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, is what effectively allows for the ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that sustains the possibility for human-cross-generational prospective institutionalisation transcendence towards ontological-normalcy. As previously indicated, a registry-worldview/dimensional ontological/being-construal-defect (as its temporal-dragging-of-ontology) is ‘not caused’ by non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism, whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, (as this is priorly due to the inherent registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘in wait’ for such non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism instigation, for instance, the state of being superstitious in non-positivism/medievalism is itself ‘in wait’ for notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery to be instigated in such a social-setup by corresponding non-positivistic/medieval non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing–of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism), whereas the positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought has the
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for the instigation of such a notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery not to arise. However, as highlighted again previously, the subsequent temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporal-dragging-of-ontology is largely due to the perpetuating recurrence, as an intradimensional dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pathological/psychopathic-and-enculturated non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing-of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration that blurs recurrently intemporal-emanance-registry dispositions to induce social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness and the positive-opportunism thereof’ for prospective institutionalisation transcendence and leading to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold endemised/enculturated temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. This aspect of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation endemisation/enculturation is thus the more salient construal for the de-endemisation/de-enculturation of ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness, as defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendability’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; (in contrast with either a state of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation that doesn’t speak of ‘recurrence of perversion/unsoundness of reference-of-thought’ or an ‘abstract’ state of inherent uninstitutionalised-threshold but which is ‘transiently transcendable’ as it is not in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation instigated by postlogism-as-of-non-conviction). Thus it is the condition of ‘recurrence’ and ‘non-transience’ transcendability
arising from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration that is ontologically
relevant for ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction for prospective transcendability (as it
conceptually defines the successive uninstitutionalisations of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism),
and it basically encapsulates the phenomenon of ‘protracted postlogism-as-of-non-
conviction’ as postlogism and temporal-emanances-registries-conjugated-postlogism
construed as ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness/intemporality’ (and so reflected of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
social-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries-dispositions at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendency’).
Thus temporal-dragging-of-ontology is induced as ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition
of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’/postlogism-and-
conjugated-postlogism leading to temporal-preservation, and so at a registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold defined by recurrence and ‘non-
transient transcendency’. The ‘maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness construct’ for prospective institutionalisation transcendence is thus
fundamentally grounded on the ‘backdrop’ of the construal of the ‘existential-
decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness/intemporality’ which is reflected and superseded postconvergently by the
existentially-veridical ontology as shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology
construal/conceptualisation. So derived, ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of
ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ is actually the central tool of
suprastructuring or a conceptualisation that can integrate both relevant metaphysics-of-
presence and metaphysics-of-absence, with the capacity of easily reflecting both dialectical-
dementing and dialectically-thinking as implied from a renewed human mentation
transcendental insights (tautological) about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ implies that at registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold at which they are prospectively reflected/perspectivated as being in ontological-abnormalcy (as shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation) with respect to ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation), correspondingly the ontological-veridicality of human emanances-registries disposition is construed as requiring a temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries disambiguation of reference-of-thought (rather than naively, an assumption of universal human intemporal-emanance-registry disposition as reflected/perspectivated within a functional institutionalised registry-worldview’), with the implication that the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ are actually of disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries reference-of-thought and meaningfulness. This broadly sums up the importance of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ when it comes to registry-worldviews/dimensions construed as an uninstitutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions or being in ontological-abnormalcy, as it enables the conceptual articulation of meaningfulness that the ‘perspective of a functionally institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ doesn’t permit beyond its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage limits at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The suprastructuring effect of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ is what actually enables the prospectively reflected/perspectivated perversion-of-reference-of-thought and as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive at the uninstitutionalisation thresholds marking recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation from base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation from universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism from positivism and procrypticism from deprocrypticism; thus enabling the requisite ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by which prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction is undertaken to supersede (as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation) the drawback or vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview/dimension as now dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase. Thus the reality of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ implies that virtue shouldn’t naively be perceived in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘a universal human intemporal-emanance-registry nature or intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism nature’ since the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions speaks otherwise (even though such an axiom of ‘a universal human intemporal-emanance-registry disposition’ is only surreptitiously implied, as a necessary ‘functional pseudo-conceptualisation’ which functionally assumes intemporality to avoid the need for disambiguating reference-of-thought of meaningfulness into temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries ‘within established institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ but not beyond the uninstitutionalised-threshold, that is, as the result of intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation second-naturing, for instance, we can broadly argue that the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension implies more or less a ‘universal positivistic intemporality’ as a functional pseudo-conceptualisation of intemporality ‘as people do not act medieval by and large’ but at its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein procrypticism arises it can only be qualified as temporal-to-intemporal in
its emanances-registries since the requisite intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation as deprocrypticism second-naturing is wanting), but virtue should rather be construed as the superseding/transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation design/conceptualisation that by inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and second-naturing in the long run enables the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation; it is this focus on institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is effectively institutionalisation-as-virtue given that in the succession of human institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, no institutionalisation effectively transforms human temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism nature into an absolutely intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism nature, but rather reduces human ontological-abnormalcy towards ontological-normalcy as deeper and deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisations. The bigger point being that it is by effectively grasping that any human intemporal-emanance-registry individuations that can ‘spontaneously’ arise in whatever concern there is should be directed/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) (as deferential-formalisation-transference of meaningfulness) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue from second-naturing, and not a wrong implication of functionally grounding virtue on human ‘temporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism philosophical/first-nature disposition’ which will inevitably bring about temporal-and-social-trading with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The fact is that our institutional and organisational constructs at their very core, unspokenly do imply this notion of institutionalisation-as-virtue (in tacit recognition of our temporal-to-intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism/first-nature mentation disposition), however, the notion of ‘consciously-spoken’ as herein
highlighted in this paper is that it enables the necessary uninhibitedness/decomplexification that allows the requisite ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure required in fully assuming the reference-of-thought of any prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Actually, it could be argued that the more critical element of medieval emancipators/enlighteners had to do often not with their specific discoveries, which were more or less debated issues as well in their societies, but critically the idea that they were ready to imply ‘a new psychological orientation as positivistic’ that in itself structured the possibilities of a new worldview and many other positivistic discoveries once it became mainstream. Insistence of making mainstream such ideas as a heliocentric solar system by Galileo a century after Copernicus based on observations, the evolution of living things by Darwin based on research analysis, universal rationalism by Descartes based on methodical thinking, universal human rights by Rousseau based on thorough analysis of the human condition, principles explaining physical phenomena by Newton and Leibniz based on physical observation, etc. all speak of a new mindset/reference-of-thought as a paradigmatic shift that has no complexes and is uninhibited with respect to notions of the old notions of dogmas, alchemies, essences and myths. The fact is that (unlike we may naively reason by reflex from our relatively vantage position at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) this is not spontaneously given, when we consider that many of such emancipators were equally relatively enmeshed with the old psychology like Newton’s involvement with alchemy, for instance. This point to the critical importance of the psychological state of the mind for the very possibility of prospective ontologically-veridical transcendence to occur; as ontology is already given as a oneness and it is up to the human psyche to ‘moult itself’ (psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) towards a more profound construal/conceptualisation
as of that superseding–oneness-of-ontology, however strongly we might naively believe in our ideas in any given epoch as of its metaphysics-of-presence. Thus metaphysics-of-absence notion of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (substituting, to induce ‘a dialectically-dementing mentation reflex’ in sync with the ontological perspective, over the same notion as ‘temporal-dragging of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ as metaphysics-of-presence, which rather induces ‘a dialectically-thinking mentation reflex’ out of sync with the ontological perspective, thus is subject to totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) effectively arises from a maximalist construct in grasping the salience of a transcending/abject conceptualisation that mirrors the uncompromising nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness/notional-procrypticism-or-notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as the natural intradimensional summative temporal mental-disposition (which speaks of a registry-worldview/dimension ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as it is thus ‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and the need for ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation), which incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness/notional-procrypticism-or-notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought however represents the enculturation/endemisation that is defining of given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, without a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition no prospective institutionalisation transcendence will be possible, as base-institutionalisation is the ultimate maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct over a summative mental-disposition of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation enabling the latter’s transcendence, likewise universalisation is the ultimate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct over a summative mental-disposition of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in ununiversalisation enabling the latter’s transcendence, so too with positivism over non-positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism/as-the-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. An ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition (hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing defect) of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality conceptualisation’ is equally critical, along with the implied psychological uninhibitedness/décomplexing for a prospective registry-worldview/dimension as deprocrypticism, with respect to the central concept of ‘knowledge notionalisation’ wherein understanding is much more than about grasping the ideals but equally pre-emptively construing the possibilities of ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions as part and parcel of knowledge construct, not for an idle temporal motive, but to better skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue, as a specific necessity for a deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocrypticism. Ultimately the purpose of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as an intemporal conceptualisation of transcendental implication should be of ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ and is not for the sake of ‘immediate intelligibility’ within a given uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension
in want for a prospective corresponding institutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension, as such a purpose will wrongly and paradoxically imply that the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence of the uninstitutionalisation is sound as its reference-of-thought is prospectively defective (for instance a positivistic implied transcendence cannot be logically intelligible to a medieval setup that harkens back to medieval categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its logic, i.e. ‘issue of articulating chemistry rules and principles for the evaluation of an alchemist not logically cognisant of chemistry rules and principles, in the very first place’), but rather it is a middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics instigation of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (though we can mostly grasp such an insight not from instances of ‘natural intra-society transcendence’ since this takes a longer time to occur and is relatively obscure, but transcendence by cultural diffusion associated with conquests where the dominant is at a more advanced stage of institutionalisation or in the rare cases where it is the reverse like Ancient Egypt or Ancient Greece, with the dominated actually relatively dominating or in parity with the dominant culturally as of divergent aspects). The implication here is that transcendental maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness is rather grounded on a relatively intemporal-and-deeper existential-reference-of-meaningfulness with the positive-opportunism of the prospective institutionalisation ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over its corresponding uninstitutionalisation to put in question the latter’s categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the ones of the prospective institutionalisation, and it is only after that that the notion of mutual logical intelligibility arises (it is only after the alchemist ‘psychoanalytically-unshackle’ into a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-
thought with respect to appreciating notion of natural cause-and-effect and experimentation as well that the notion of mutual intelligibility of chemistry rules and principles makes sense, until then there cannot be much of intelligibility without such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise from the perspective of the prospective chemist). That explain why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct are meant to be detached and universalising so as to act as a backdrop for prospective institutionalisation, and not to necessarily make sense in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘the now temporal mental-disposition reference-of-though’ which, it is contended, is in want of prospective institutionalisation with its corresponding psychologism. In the bigger scheme of things, it is inevitable that suprastructuring (the conceptualisation that renders ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation relative-mutual-construal of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation over the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation by (suprastructurally) reflecting/perspectivating, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of the prior/superseded/transcended, respectively the ‘dialectically-thinking as dialectically-in-phase’ and the ‘dialectically-dementing as dialectically-out-of-phase’), is rendered operant by the notion of ‘existential-decontextualising-transposition (hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing defect) of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ in operantly grasping such suprastructuring transcendence/transdimensional/interdimensional construct; as it perpetually upholds ontological-veridicality by its ‘existential-reality’ (not non-veridical/vacuous ‘hollow-
constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness) on the basis of, first and critically, the validity of the reference-of-thought so-reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought if valid and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought if invalid (before even recognising whether the ‘implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement’ or ‘of logical-processing’ arises) to determine the ‘dialectically-thinking and dialectically-in-phase’ over the ‘dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive’. It is critical to grasp that the notion of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ is rather a conceptual metaphysics-of-absence (meant to ensure a natural maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness to avoid mix-up of reference-of-thought) arising from totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag whether wittingly or unwittingly with the notion of ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/intemporality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) as metaphysics-of-presence. So both notions are conceptually the same but implying different approaches with respect to the temporal undermining of ontological-veridicality; with ‘temporal-dragging’ referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, with ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, thus the latter enabling an appropriate disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries with respect to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought, and by extension it is the concept of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ that is appropriate in all instances of implied uninstitutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions as metaphysics-of-absence perspective since it avoids totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-
consciousness/mirage that is inevitable when reason by a metaphysics-of-presence (temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/intemporality). Besides even within the intradimension contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, it is equally the best approach with respect to the construal/conceptualisation of the instigating of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness mental-disposition that will induce temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation in temporal-emanances-registries as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration (by ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness on the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension) and by so doing inducing the prospective uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension. That is an construal/conceptualisation approach that construes the institutionalisation process as of reducing-ontological-abnormalcy. Effectively, such a highlight of how human second-naturing within institutionalised construct implies a pseudo-conceptual universal human intemporal-emanance-registry mental-disposition as metaphysics-of-presence in contrast to a human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries mental-dispositions highlight at uninstitutionalised construct as metaphysics-of-absence is effectively the unspoken psychoanalytic conceptualisation which needs to ‘be registered/consciously-recognised’ as the backdrop for superseding into deprocrypticism. Such a psychoanalytic insight about the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ grasps how postlogism instigates the temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation inclination of temporal-emanances-registries that enculturates/endemises the various uninstitutionalisations [even though the state as dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-emanances-registries is in ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-
and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy’] by ‘undermining social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena for ontological-veridicality’; wherein the postlogical mental-disposition is recursive in eliciting temporal-preservation, the conjugated exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions are progressive in upholding temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation and the conjugated ignorance/affordable mental-dispositions as largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, are geared towards upholding or undermining temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation by conviction inclination whether naively conjugating to postlogism as misconstrual or good conviction when the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism of ontological-veridicality is established from an intemporal-emanance-registry mental-disposition, in which latter case as being largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect it leads to the collapsing of postlogism mental-disposition recursiveness and exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions progressiveness with respect to temporal-preservation, and thus orienting towards intemporal-preservation/intemporalisation and the possibility for prospective institutionalisation, itself subjectable to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus this is the underlying ‘residuality principle’ in the psychoanalytic dynamism of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances dispositions across all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes as human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination explaining the alternation of prospective institutionalisation (as ontologically-reconstituting) and
mental-conceptions teleologies’; from the perspective of a suprastructural superseding/transcending/deeper/intemporal superseding–oneness-of-ontology mental-conception teleology.]

- As beyond the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, as it provides a peculiar perspective for insight on human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ implies pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocrypticism. Insightfully, ontological-normalcy/post-convergence establishes beyond human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} that there is a potent and overall oneness/contiguity of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness which transverses and supersedes all other conceptualisations of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (which are therefore approximates) by mere ‘ontological-consistency’ whether with regards to virtue conceptualisation (as highlighted with the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) or second-level ontological constructs as is the case with subject matters conceptualisations. Ultimately, the capacity for philosophy to further clarify such an ‘ontological-consistency’ will be a further critical foundation for broadening the efficacy of all second-level ontologies (as the veritable job of philosophy). Inherently, ‘ontological-consistency’ as superseding–oneness-of-ontology is by itself the complete rationale for explaining human possibilities with regards to knowledge and virtue as so reflected/perspectivated by the very potency of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, as the latter is ‘the potency for all the text-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness that can exist’. Ontological-consistency in the inherent intemporalisation/institutionalisation orientation of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence
validates virtue conceptualisation not as a discreet notion of choice, but rather a necessary disposition as ‘intemporal projection’ (or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for human-mastery-of-reality or knowledge, as inherently implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). The reason is simple. It is impossible, for instance, for an utter-ununiversalisation setup ‘to access’ the emancipatory ontological possibilities available to a prospective base-institutionalisation setup without the ‘requisite solipsistic insight’ of intemporal-emanance-registry individuation within the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview that ‘projects’ that rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) as a paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments inherent to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is a necessity-for-its-own-and-by-extension-the-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘moulting’ in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics into a base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. Such solipsistic insight is the effective ‘transcendental virtue conceptualisation’ that drives ontological-normalcy/post-convergence across all the successive institutionalisations and by that token coincides with ontology as a necessary ontological development driver in an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). This analysis is very much in line with the notion of virtue as a ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context construal, representing virtue ‘contiguously’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in the intransience of ontological-normalcy (from shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology). This ontology-driving nature of virtue characteristic of the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor points out that it is rather such intemporality solipsistic ‘transcendental virtue projection’ that enables the superseding of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions as institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes. In other words, it is the necessary ‘transcendental virtue projection’ for a prospective registry-worldview superseding the vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview that enables the ontological possibilities for such prospective registry-worldview to even arise existentially; as the temporally-inclined recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation is non-cognisant of any such thing as base-institutionalisation and the ontological possibilities availing to it, likewise with the temporally-inclined ununiversalised individuation with respect to universalisation and its ontological possibilities, the temporally-inclined non-positivistic/medieval individuation with respect to the positivistic and its ontological possibilities, and prospectively the temporally-inclined procrypticism individuation with respect to deprocrypticism and its ontological possibilities, and all such possibilities as allowed by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence. A question that arises will be how can a society deliver an Einstein or a Bohr respectively that will articulate the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics without it having the necessary institutional-recomposure (orientation and capacities) and memetic-reordering (of the individual mindset/reference-of-thought and associated other contributing mindsets) that allows for the possibility of such discoveries? In other words what was the possibility for the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics to be
delivered in the Middle Ages, for instance? Rather improbable. [As a side note, such an insight equally attends to such a debate we currently entertain with respect to coming into contact with an advanced alien civilisation. A transcendental virtue conceptualisation will hold that in the very first place such a civilisation won’t be able to exist without the necessary virtue construct (as successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence as implied by ontological-normalcy/post-convergence) that enables it to come into being; as necessarily they will be base-institutionalising, universalising, positivising and probably deprocrypticising, such that it will be untenable and inconsistent to have cosmic travellers that are savage-inclined or of a medieval age, for instance, going by the mere existentialism-form-factor.] Insightfully thus, while ontological-normalcy/post-convergence expands human ontological possibilities (comprehensively), it also leads to a growth in human institutionalised virtue disposition in equivalence which sustains such ontological development. However wary we should be with the possibility of nuclear annihilation, we equally can recognise that the ‘better’ registry-worldview/dimension-level, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its relative transcendental virtue conceptualisation, to handle such weapons is the present one (positivistic) with regards to the possibility of averting a global annihilation compared to say feuding tribal or medieval setups (that is, if by some imaginary circumstances they could have access to and utilise such weapons). This points out that virtue is rather an inherent and necessary construct of ontology, existentially speaking; as the transcendental construct that enables the expanding of the ontological possibilities of an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) by enabling ‘solipsistic moulting’ (as ‘intemporal-emanance-registry individuation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold states, with a human temporal-to-intemporal-
emanances-registries mental-disposition due to lack of social universal-transparency about virtue inducing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’) and the second-naturing of the social-construct (as institutionalisation-as-virtue) including the requisite human psychical pivoting/decentering. In another respect, ontological-consistency as highlighted previously is in coherence with the notion of the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries, and as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology with the implication that ‘the reflected/perspectivated temporal-and-intemporal-disambiguated-mental-dispositions’ (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, underlines the iterability/iteration nature of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, grasped from the perpetuating intemporal-emanance-registry ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction realteration over the perpetuating ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness alteration by temporal-emanances-registries. Fundamentally, a normally institutionalised functional disposition warrants that there is ‘a common/same ontological-reference of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ but this is voided at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where temporal-emanances-registries become temporally-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation whether by recurrence as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought), as may arise with postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism, with the effective consequence of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-disambiguated-mental-dispositions’ wherein the ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-
preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of temporal-emanances-registries are reflected/perspectivated as rather in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’, with their meaningfulness ontologically being suprastructured (as perverted beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleology) by the intemporal-emanance-registry in construing the ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitionaling-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This disambiguated-mental-dispositions as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitionaling-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology develops, with changing contextualisation, at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level as the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect), and is equally characteristic across registry-worldviews; with the implication that this is an attribute of the human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor. That is, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is characterised by the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions as temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework. It is mainly a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ that can establish the ontological-veridicality-of-meaningfulness precisely by disambiguating the effective ontological-references of the various temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations, and so not only at an instant or act or specific circumstance or context (which is rather an act construal and not a being/ontological
construal) but projectively in their retrospective-to-present-to-prospective existentialism-deambulation/meandering which provides the full emanance/becoming/intersolipsism insight of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations mental-dispositions/meaningful-references/ontological-references/contending-references as ontological-entrapment. Such a being/ontological basis, as described above, of a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ is in line with and further elucidates the ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ technique. Going respectively by the Sartrean and Derridean principles for establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘existence precedes/defines essence’ or ‘there is nothing outside the text’ in evaluating ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with respect to their veridical-ontological categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in various instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. What is critical to understand here is to distinguish between: (i) recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness that is grounded on grasping that categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are deterministic by virtue of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their recurrent context of reality and thus subjects them to ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction in upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and (ii) an ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ basis of meaningfulness that is purely and wrongly grounded on grasping that categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ are by themselves abstractly deterministic, even as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity{-as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation} by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication, and thus subjects meaningfulness to ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness. Intemporal-emanance-registry as conviction disposition (whether appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-bad conviction) are construed as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness on the ground that successive-instances-of-’existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness requires their subjection to ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction to establish the existential context of reality thus establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. On the other hand, the postlogical/psychopathic disposition (and by extension temporal-emanances-registries conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration dispositions) adhere to an ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ basis of meaningfulness on the ground that plausibly construing a false-premising to an existential-context-of-reference-narrative ‘provides licence’ to then
('recursively’ in concurrence – in the case of the postlogical/psychopathic character, progressively – in the case of a conjugated-exacerbatory and conjugated-opportunism characters, and regressively – in the case of a conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters) comprehensively articulate any possible existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives (on the basis of a conceptualisation of mere ‘hollow-constituting’ static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and hence failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) by exploiting the plausibility derived from the concurrently-false-premising existential-context-of-reference-narrative. So the latter disposition, and so particularly with the postlogical/psychopathic mindset, is to induce or generate or exploit any plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative to then unleash slanted-and-formulaic-formic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract narratives by concurrently-false-premising on the plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative. In other words, the postlogical/psychopathic individuation character gets that there is a human mental-reflex to grasp ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness on ‘static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state (abstract categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of essence-of-meaningfulness terms, so long as their existential basis is established, including and critically for its purpose, where it is so deceptively implied’, to artificially or opportunistically construe a plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative which then ‘provides licence’ to articulate existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives in ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness concurrently-false-premising on the initial plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative, with the idea that that human mental-reflex will by reflex naively-and-wrongly imply the existential/contextualisation ontological-veridicality of its generated slanted-and-formulaic-
formic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives; and so, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology as highlighted priorly. This non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing mental-disposition to reference-of-thought is in contrast with that of a conviction mindset/reference-of-thought (be it of inappropriate/bad or appropriate/good conviction) which is always inclined to ensure that the succession-of-narratives it propounds are tied to successive-instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completenesseness. Thus, the reason why the ontological construal (ontological-entrapment) of the postlogical/psychopathic individuation characters and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration individuation characters is rather as an intemporal/ontological suprastructuring (implying ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation) of their ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Going by the example of a medieval setup again as effectively in ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-
predicative-insights-of-existentia-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and not analogy (ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existentia-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insightfully implying all institutionalisations/registry-worldviews/dimensions are about ‘construing the same underlying ontology’, though yield different but more and more accurate representations of ontology, due to different but improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of constitutedness towards conflation) from shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposing,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination with the succession of institutionalisations, but with the non-positivistic/medieval as being lower from our positivistic perspective, thus providing a sound basis of transcendental analytical insight since the positivistic present is in metaphysics-of-absence with it, in contrast to our more or less blurred disposition to totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when analysing transcendental issues within our present positivistic/procryptic registry-worldview/dimension as its own metaphysics-of-presence problem), if say a totem was to be presented as proof that a targeted individual was a sorcerer (as existential-context-of-reference-narrative) for establishing plausibility for subsequent comprehensive articulation of existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives accusing the target of sorcery, a transcendental/abject/intemporal conceptualisation will imply rather a prospective ontological-reference of essence-of-meaningfulness as positivism, with the post-convergence implication of construing not only the accuser as being
of ‘medieval mental-perversion/perversion-of-reference-of-thought but the temporal-
emanances-registries and overall social-enculturation of that inclination abstractly with
respect to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locals/aetiologically/ontological-
escalation as a fundamental ontological/being-construal-defect of such a medieval reference-
of-thought; noting as well that there is no need ontologically/intemporally for such a target to
adjust to such accusation but rather a dismissive disposition with respect to such pervention-
of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/dementing and its defective ontological-reference of
meaningfulness, as acting otherwise like ‘being logical’ with such implied meaningfulness by
saying for instance it is not its totem or it doesn’t know about it or it is somebody else’,
wrongly validates that the reference-of-thought of such medieval accusation is valid and is
thus rather contributing then to upholding its temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation,
as where there is pervention-of-reference-of-thought there is no logical-dueness and from
thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-
or-logical-implicitation) to start with in the very first place but rather a
superseding/transcendental representation of such pervention-of-reference-of-thought as
unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing and actually implying a
suprastructuring (beyond its consciousness-awareness-teleology) at the said (non-
positivistic/medieval) uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism registry-worldview
reference-of-thought institutionalisation. Thus unlike in a case of defect of logical-
processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance
the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-
or-logical-implicitation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with
perversion-of-reference-of-thought as as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as
being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-
construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality/logical-incongruence wherein the superseding (and ontologically-veridical) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and ontologically unsound) as dialectically-dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance, before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. Certainly this same reaction is what is warranted in the example highlighted before (if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about,...) In the bigger perspective with regards to the institutionalisation of deprocrypticism for instance, it is such an existentialism construal from a transcendental intemporal reference-of-thought over temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought that allows for the superseding of vices-and-impediments as prospective registry-worldview/dimension structural-resolution of procrypticism/emanant-dementing/wrong-shades-of-the-real. It should be noted that as earlier articulated, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (in contrast to a temporal extirpatory paradigm) can only be transcendental as superseding (by implying an altogether different reference-of-thought as ‘dialectically-thinking’), and not incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ (wrongly operating on the same temporal as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect reference-of-thought which is actually ‘dialectically-dementing’/oblongated and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase). Taking the previously
articulated case of sorcery in a non-positivistic/medieval setup, it has no ontological structural-resolution by reciprocity of sorcery accusations on the same reference-of-thought terms but rather by the transcendental undermining of such non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought with an altogether superseding positivistic reference-of-thought that is in transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing with a non-positivistic/medieval ontological-reference (registry-worldview). Even though, inevitably (and as in the ‘present as-present-consciousness’ of all registry-worldviews with regards to their own corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena), there is bound to be more or less a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social acquiescence to a superstitious mindset/reference-of-thought in a non-positivistic/medieval setup, that will in the short term temporal perspective be a drawback to such a transcendental projection of positivistic mental-disposition/reference-of-thought, and likewise there will inevitably be more or less be a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social discontentment where a transcendental deprocrypticism mental-disposition/reference-of-thought is implied in a procrypticism setup. This shows that going by the existentialism-form-factor, in all registry-worldviews/dimensions the more or less summative mindset/reference-of-thought is bound to be incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and not transcending such that would-be emancipating individuation’s projection (that is, if ontologically pertinent) is necessarily the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics percolation-channelling for the necessary ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure accompanying such prospective transcendental institutionalisation. [That is, by transcendence is meant dispose to construe the ontological
resolution of an intradimensional ontological/being-construal-defect transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally; for instance, capable of putting in question non-positivistic/medieval intradimensional superstition as of the registry-worldview defect in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a usual attendant/incidental reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question procrypticism/perversion-of-positivistic-meaningfulness with its corresponding postlogism- and-conjugated-postlogism of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of the registry-worldview in the very first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a temporally reciprocal equivalence. Basically, such an intemporal-emanance-registry/ontologically-veridical transcendent dispositions storied-construct will be of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as existential-tracing of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness reflecting temporal-emanances-registries rather in ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. The fact being that, in the short term, the temporally-minded recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) notion’ (for base-institutionalisation) of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded ununiversalised individuation (in base-institutionalisation) has no place for the ‘transcendental rules universalising notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded non-positivistic/medieval individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental positivising/rational-empiricism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; and likewise, prospectively, the temporally-minded procrypticism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental deprocrypticising/rational-realism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; rather as the temporal-dragging-of-ontology moves
from slantedness-effect, miscuing towards sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic in all the different registry-worldviews/dimensions, ‘for intradimensional functionality sake a transcendental articulation is beyond the intradimensional summative mental-disposition of value-referencing’, as the summative mental projection of individuals is more of an earthily life-span conceptualisation rather than transcendental or poorly appreciative of the transcendentalism that is structurally responsible for present reference-of-thought to project to the structural/paradigmatic need of prospective transcendence. This further points out that with regards to ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ projection (in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising), across all registry-worldviews from prior to prospective there are basically two ways by which the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology works with respect to the same intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness; for the ‘intradimensional reflex’ sake of having a coherent functioning by sharing a common/same reference-of-thought [as it is obvious that if one was to drop in a thoroughly non-positivistic/medieval setup and insisted absolutely to articulate meaningfulness in positivistic terms, there will be no mutual understanding, at least at the (positivistic) uninstitutionalised-threshold of that medieval setup], whether at one moment or another it fails intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, any registry-worldview/dimension as prior wrongly represents that such its as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect is non-transcendable/unsupersedable by its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ thus upholding its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-
thought by ignoring the as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect while the prospective registry-worldview/dimension implying a new reference-of-thought that structurally resolves the prior’s as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect represents the prior as prior/transcended/superseded and hence unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing suprastructurable (at that uninstitutionalised-threshold). The bigger point here is that just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as abjectly dementing and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured, a deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of procrypticism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivistic-meaningfulness mindset/reference-of-thought will rather be construed as decentered and dementing, unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured with respect to ‘our positivism–procrypticism terms of meaningfulness’ [that is, at the (deprocrypticism) uninstitutionalised-threshold] in order to effectively and adequately reflect the requisite metaphysics-of-absence necessary to act as the registered-psychical-backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation as uninstitutionalised-threshold-suprastructuring stranding-dialectics that is the mechanism of a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective institutionalisation. This latter notion is important as with all psychoanalysis whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/registering the as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect is central to superseding it, and so the idea of implying ‘dialectically-dementing’/out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive is ‘beyond the notion of an idle denotative exercise’, be it validly so, and the meaningfulness of such conceptualisations certainly do not carry the poorer connotations of temporal/banal mental-dispositions, but rather it is technically a necessary and useful ontological conceptualisation in the memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from our shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness} to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation).

Thus psychoanalysis is actually in effect an existentialism process of human skewing towards intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism as we construe meaningfulness and value-referencing, and so beyond the Foucauldian referenced critique of a relatively ‘economic/traded/exchange/battered’ conceptualisation of psychology we know of when we talk of psychoanalysis in the subject matter of psychology, but rather construed as a natural ontologically-driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ behind human second-naturing across the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes of the institutionalisation process. [As a side note though, it is important to grasp that the registry-worldviews as the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes are actually broad categorisations and that actually human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness varies (though not varying in terms-as-of-
axiomatic-construct of the central defining conceptualisation of each registry-worldview/dimension) within each registry-worldview/dimension from its early to later spectrum, given human more or less passive continuous psychoanalytic readjustment to ‘ontological experience’. For instance, there is certainly a marked difference in scope and depth between the positivistic construct in the 19th century with its nature in the late 20th and early 21st century.] Further to the two elucidations made of postlogism/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration distortion/perversion of essence-of-meaningfulness that go on to endemise psychopathy and social psychopath with reference to with the ‘Diffèrence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ and its ‘Diffèrence-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ technique as well as plausibly concurrently-false-premising to an existential-context-of-reference-narrative providing licence for postlogical narratives, a third elucidation provides an even more profound insight of the distortion/perversion of essence-of-meaningfulness and the implications at the comprehensive existential level. This basically has to do with the ontological consequences and implications of the ‘existentialist’ and ‘non-veridical/vacuous’ conceptualisation of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, and so with respect to perception of registry-soundness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and ultimately the disambiguation of ontological-reference (trace) with respect to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration individuation characters, and conviction/intemporal mental-dispositions individuation characters. Basically the ontological-veridicality of meaningfulness is construed in ‘non-veridical/vacuous’ terms of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology ‘supposedly’ in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and this ‘supposedly-ness’ is only validated if ‘existentially real’ as ontologically-veridical. However
there is an ‘existentialist-shortfall’ of the human conviction mind with respect to assuring the ‘existential-reality’ in the face of ‘non-veridical/vacuous terms of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ has to do with the fact that it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology – of every interlocutor, and so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and can be undermined and usurped, but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is relatively inconsequential where interlocutors are mutually of prelogism-as-of-conviction or existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and even better when mutually of good conviction (than when one or the other is of bad/poor conviction even though the latter is relatively circumspect and ad hoc in its misrepresentation of reality, and so its consequence with respect to the ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is rather limited as ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance rather than as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect associated with postlogism, whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, and conjugated-
postlogism). However, with the psychopathic/postlogical and social psychopathic case where non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'/postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness is the underlying principle as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging, this ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is highly consequential as it is the basis of the induced as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect; by wrongly and so comprehensively implying the ‘existential-reality’ of ‘non-veridical/vacuous ‘decadent/teleologically-degraded—wooden-language of temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasia-drag–dementing-narratives-as-of-denaturing’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology articulated in ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or otherwise by the rather non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought or otherwise by the non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought based on inductive limitation nature [or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be universalised, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speak more of temporal motive.] In other words meaningfulness and reference-of-thought is only veridical as an ‘ontologically-veridical construct’ validated in the construal of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness that establishes ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. The human ‘existentialist-shortfall’ with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness and reference-of-thought thus allows for an overall existential/being framework/cadre of ‘non-veridical/vacuous distortion/perversion’ of meaningfulness as ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness induced from postlogism/psychopathic and temporal-emanances-registries-conjugated-postlogism which is wrongly projected as of the recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness as ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, and particularly so as the postlogism/psychopathic disposition is basically recursive (recursive denaturing alteration of the essence-of-meaningfulness and so ‘pathologically iterative’, in the form of ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-‘set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, based on absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and extrinsic-attribute with respect to successive sets of interlocutors, and as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic situations), and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration dispositions are either progressive (with conjugated-opportunistic/conjugated-exacerbation) or regressive (with conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-affordability) in their ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives
looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts; and thus leading to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. It is critical to understand this underlying thread of concurrently-false-premising by its non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-caricaturing—of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or-postlogism instigation as a ‘false-sense-of-good-to-bad conviction’ postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration in psychopathic and social psychopathic situations. Thus unlike in the instance of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought as as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality/logical-incongruence wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and non-veridical) as dialectically-dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. [The nature of how ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ arises can equally conspicuously be understood at childhood psychopathy situation wherein the childhood psychopathy blatantly attempts to initiate a dereifying narrative like in the case of spilling water on a chair highlighted before to which if concurred
to by the interlocutor will be the basis for the child to assume apparently normal logical contentions but fundamentally based on this distorted deceptive high-point of concurrently-false-premising as of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is basically the same process with an adult psychopath but for the fact of the highly opaque nature of adult psychopath mental-disposition unlike a child psychopath, and as previously explained is ‘maturated’ in its theme on issues that are rather of serious import, ‘spatialising’ (to confound by not acting postlogically/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness within the same spatialisation of relevant social interlocutors, which may raise the hollow nature of its narratives from cross-examination), being ‘indirect’ (by increasingly appearing neutral and unmotivated unlike at childhood), increasingly ‘credulous’ (by effective but unreal instigation of existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness as temporal-dragging-of-ontology miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-conventioning-logic/temporal-enculturation where its ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology are all false) and ‘crafty’ (with increasingly greater staging and performance: as the psychopath perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not essentially in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogical acts in its personality development into adulthood, as a conviction/prelogical mental-disposition will, but rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its failure in performing the postlogical acts well with the idea of how to further
confound/muddle hence the reason it is recursive as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking remorsefulness or acting as a victim as long as fundamentally its ‘interlocutor is in a prelogism-as-of-conviction relation to its postlogism-formic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance- or-caricaturing--of-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-or- perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition’ in order for the interlocutor to go on to conjoin the psychopath’s postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts). Paradoxically, the basis of the adult psychopath ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaningful thread/tracing’ is the disposition of a conviction mindset/reference-of-thought to be open-minded in wrongly granting conviction (be it good or bad conviction) to a non-conviction mental-disposition for its deceptive high-point of concurrently-false-premising for producing ontologically non-veridical narratives (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-logical-dueness-or- implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied- arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology).] This ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ can be construed as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or- iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness wherein ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness is established by reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dialectically-thinking (as-in-intemporally-preservational) narratives over unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of- thought/dialectically-dementing narratives. Critically, this ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ explains how temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation occurs operantly and how by intradimensional cumulative-dynamic-aftereffect it
instigates the endemising/enculturating of prospective uninstitutionalisation in the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness dynamism, as it further extends to explain how and why ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction on the one hand and ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness on the other hand drive the dynamism of successive prospective institutionalisations and prospective uninstitutionalisations respectively; as postlogical/psychopathic-individuations hollow postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration individuations conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives to the hollow postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts, as ‘hollow-constituting’ to categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (but then failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation and undermining transcendence) of ‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ inducing prospective ‘uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ (as prospective reducing-ontological-abnormalcy), eliciting the intemporal-emanance-registry disposition to ‘ontologically-reconstitute’/deconstruct the new ‘uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’… and so on, circularly up to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalised registry-worldview as abjectly-ontological (ontological-normalcy) as ‘it can’t be hollow-constituted’ by its mere ontological-completeness or ontological-abjectness or as-ontological-normalcy. This further highlights the existentialism-form-factor reality of a ‘universal human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries nature’ as validating the requisite ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure decomplexifying/uninhibiting
paradigm for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, in contrast to a ‘wrongly misconstrued universal human intemporal-emanance-registry nature’ (which is rather a ‘functional construal/conceptualisation’ arising from intemporalisation/institutionalisation within an institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension as second-natured but not beyond its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as it will fail to account and register for the ontological/being-construal-defect of the present as procrypticism which should enable superseding for the prospective transcendent institutionalisation second-naturing as deprocrypticism. 

This explains how a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ gives ontological-anchoring for a Derridean metaphysics-of-presence (due to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)) propped up by a metaphysics-of-absence (rather as human projection in ‘making-up for’ its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), and so beyond a Derridean pessimism, ‘making-up for’ with the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/post-convergence referencing/correction-tool as postdication, which upholds intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), to paradoxically transcend and supersede towards deeper ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality, as so enabled by the dialecticism of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in construing the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of ‘the prospective’ (of a more intemporal-potency as it further deepens the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness over ‘the prior’ in the strive for ontological-normalcy (potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) along with disambiguating the existentialism-form-factor as the pathway towards intrinsicness/essence,
reality, truth and virtue. Such a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ is rather about the ontological-veridicality of reference-of-thought. It should not be confused with the more familiar issue involving existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation, and this doesn’t put-into-question the soundness/appropriateness or unsoundness/inappropriateness of reference-of-thought. Thus unlike in the instance of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought as as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality/logical-incongruence wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and unsound) as dialectically-dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention). It is based on perpetuating the precedence/supersedingness/ascendency over reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of the intemporal-emanance-registry as ontological over the temporal-emanances-registries; as the latter, going by the existentialism-form-factor are inclined to ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness averaging-of-thought’ (implying
incremental/temporal-accommodation meaningful dispositions of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ as ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance, and worst still when conjugated to postlogism become temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation or conjugated-postlogism as of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia/contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology in contrast to ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance, and rather implying a ‘structural or paradigmatic as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existentia-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect that defines a registry-worldview/dimension as dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality going by its ‘hollow-constituting’ or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (take the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted previously where the other characters simply went along calculating without factoring A’s defect), such that where there is induced derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought when such ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance dispositions are conjugated to postlogism (which directly perverts reference-of-thought), temporal-emanances-registries are rather then construed as in as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ in line with a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as being in a dialectically-out-of-phase state which is thus dialectically-dementing, while the intemporal-emanance-registry is inclined to ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal projection emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-of-thought’ (implying deprocrypticism in its pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ intrinsic-reality and thus with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought is inclined to solipsistically-put-into-question/ontologically-reconstituting of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought and imply a prospective/superseding/transcendental registry-worldview that is the new dialectically-in-phase and thus the new ‘dialectically-thinking’ as the prior registry-worldview becomes dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive and ‘dialectically-dementing’. A ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ in registry-worldview terms is rendered operant by ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction over ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness (with ‘ontological-reconstituting’/deconstruction more like ‘a making-up for projection’ in transcending as a metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation over ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness as a ‘failing, due to limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative
conflation),’ metaphysics-of-presence conceptualisation), forming the very backbone of the human institutionalisation/intemporalisation process that is behind the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as it dialectically leaves by the wayside human temporality and temporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness. Critically, the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology implications are utterly different between such a familiar logical-processing- or logical-implicitation and a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ as the latter calls upon ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation in setting up two dialectical reference-of-thought, wherein the one as prior/present/transcended/superseded is dialectically-dementing and the other as prospective/transcending/superseding is dialectically-thinking. In other words, ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ is dealing with perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) is all about articulating the ‘dialectically-in-phase reference’ (which is relatively sound ontologically/intemporally) over the ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive reference’ (which is relatively unsound ontologically/intemporally). In registry-worldview terms of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries dispositions ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’, this establishes ontological precedence/supersedingness/ascendency. The grander insight and answer to the elusive Derridean conundrum is that the full projective-totalitative–implications of a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ renders our presencing-as-positivistic meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-
construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive’ as dialectically-dementing to a prospective-as-deprocryptic reference-of-thought, which is ‘dialectically-in-phase’ as dialectically-thinking. The latter (as with all relative dialectically-thinking references) can only be ‘habituated’ over the former, and so ‘by virtue of its more profound intemporality-potency’ validated by its greater ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the middle to long-run with respect to the dialectically corresponding prior meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview. For instance, there is no logical basis for a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought to convince a non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought that it reference-of-thought is better but for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be ontologically untenable thus ‘collapsing’ the non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought. This is the only basis for establishing the relative ascendency of divergent reference-of-thought (not to be confused with ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation convincing’ as this by definition will instead make circular references to a prior reference-of-thought that is already established and uncontested in the very first place; thus highlighting the notion that it is the veridicality of the prospective reference-of-thought that precedes and defines the pertinence of an exercise of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation convincing’ whereby interlocutors already share this common reference-of-thought, and not the other way around). Such a dialectically-thinking over dialectically-dementing habituation (at their respective ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’) with regards to the dialectically-thinking and dialectically-dementing dialecticism of
meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview’ developed as base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism. It should equally be noted that just as no reference-of-thought will recognise itself as rather dialectically-dementing (from its own present placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of itself as dialectically-thinking) as we may appreciate from our relative vantage point being at a higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, we will equally have a hard time recognising a dialectically-dementing placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of our present positivistic registry-worldview as rather dialectically-dementing (as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as in both instances, the ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ highlights that the prior dialectically-dementing reference-of-thought faces a ‘Heideggerian (engaged)-destruktion’, as it is not about substituting our species but enabling the further development of our same species as institutionalisation/intemporalisation, articulated as a Derridean deconstruction involving ‘ontological-reconstituting’ of the prospective dialectically-thinking reference-of-thought over the ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness of the prior dialectically-dementing meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference. So our natural ‘argumentation reflex’/new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’ with respect to the more familiar existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of
relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation do not apply with respect to ‘‘Difference-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’; as the latter is more about an engagement between a prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought say in registry-worldview terms like non-positivism/medievalism (which harkens back to its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) as rather ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness to its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology whether these are failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation and a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought like positivism (which develops new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, no matter what. Such a ‘Difference-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ equally takes cognisance of the fact that a reference-of-thought construal is simply as of a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect conflation, and with perversion-reference-of-thought involving a temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporalty [rather indirectly as a comprehensive socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) arising from the ‘cumulative effect’ of the various temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations dispositions with respect to intradimensionally operant projective-totalitative-implications of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as the various ‘human temporal-emanances-registries individuations’ will, at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold, betray ontologising/ontological-depth-of-
analysis/intemporal-preservation by ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness at their specific temporal-emanances-registries individuations thresholds (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation).] Thus providing the basis for a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ of ontological-reconstituting not only at a registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level of ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness but also at temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations level of ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness, which then allows for disambiguated ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to individuals teleologies as being of any of the various temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations (for instance, psychopath postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts-as-reflex-fleeting-logic, psychopath’s or postlogic interlocutor conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-reflex-cohering-logic, etc.). This effectively allows for ‘différance conceptualisation’ of ‘hollow-constituting’ and ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction analysis’ of intradimensional phenomena, and rather construed as of the conflation of the corresponding registry-worldview reference-of-thought transcendental dialectics. Such a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ thus goes on to encompass the ontological-articulation-as-extending-into-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation marking a registry-worldview reference-of-thought. The underlying idea here being that faced with incidental issues arising in various effective social contexts, the ‘ontological/intemporal paradigm approach’ is to have at hand a ‘universal cadre’ that conceptualises and is geared towards attending-to/resolving all such and other incidental issues as it is suprastructural to all such
incidentals. That universal cadre with regards to issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought pointing to “‘Différence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’, and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, is the existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal human emanances-registries conjugating with respect to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness requiring re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation in successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes, cumulating/recomposuring along various ontologising-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as institutionalising, universalising, positivising and fully/abjectly-ontologising into deprocrypticism). The existentialism-form-factor as such is ontologically a preceding and defining construct that provides insight on ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications issues’ across all the institutional-recomposes since ‘it grasps the temporal-to-intemporal form-factor of human existentialism as it recomposes across all the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes’; due to the inherent/permanent nature of human shallow to profound limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) (temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries individuations dispositions) along the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposes with respect to the succession of recomposured human meaningfulness-and-action based-on/given this same form-factor. This implies individuality is then simply ‘the unique incidence’ of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations dispositions (as form-factor)’ in the ‘receptacle’ that is an individual in a given ‘recomposured-existentialism contextualisation’, and as such a given ‘recomposured-existentialism contextualisation’ harbours other individuals (as receptacles) of their own ‘unique incidence’ of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations dispositions’. A further implication is that going by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation) that is behind the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling) of the existentialism-form-factor (as human temporal-to-intemoral-emanances-registries individuations dispositions) towards the ascendency of the intemoral-emanance-registry’s meaningfulness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as institutionalisation/intemoralisation, this highlights that ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ [which is rather about perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as the existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemoral-emanance-registries individuations teleologies of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ ‘conjugate with and thus pervert intemoral/ontological meaningfulness’ requiring ‘ontological-reconstituting’ over their ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemoral-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness) contrasted to ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’], is a permanent construct for the ontological/intemoral resolution of the existentialism-form-factor, and in registry-worldview terms ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ is the mechanism of transcending the registry-worldview reference-of-thought as ‘ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction’ articulates better and better categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and is geared exclusively for prospective intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and
thus recomposuring-in-a-snowballing-effect base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism. It also points out that the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not an exercise of human emanance transformation from temporal emanances to intemporal emanance (as we wrongly imply by intuition) but an institutionalisation or second-naturing exercise, explaining why we are continually the same species from utter-institutionalisation to prospectively deprocrypticism. This point can be demonstrated by the fact that when a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview is institutionalised, our same temporality of the existentialism-form-factor will now rather conjugate temporarily as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought (conjugated: postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) to the new categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at the new institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, and thus eliciting the need for prospective intemporalisation/institutionalisation. The need for successive institutional-recomposuring thus leads to deprocrypticism which specificity going by the increasing ‘rational-realism’ of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process is to recognise the veridicality of this human existentialism-form-factor (of temporal-to-intemporal-emanance-registries individuavations teleologies of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) and construct prospective knowledge factoring it in, as ‘knowledge notionalisation’ or knowledge construct not only based on intemporal idealisation but that also factors in how the temporalities will relate to meaning, and be conceptually pre-emptive of human temporality since the existentialism-form-factor can’t be emanantly/becomingly/solipsistic transformed as ‘of intemporal emanance only’ (it’s a lost cause as that is not our nature since we are effectively temporal-to-intemporal) and avoid articulating knowledge as if the human mentation is by reflex only intemporal of emanance reference-of-thought when in reality it is of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries, and so by way of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. Effectively given that going by the existentialism-form-factor, the determinant nature of intemporal/ontological constructs induced by institutionalisation with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction is always bound to elicit two classes of human mental-dispositions with respect to it whether as a temporal extirpatory paradigm or as an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and knowledge notionalisation is grounded on addressing meaningfulness insightfully in these two respects. The veridical insight to the reality of a human existentialism-form-factor (of temporal-to-intemporal-emanance-registries individuations teleologies) lies in the fact that the cross-section of humans at any institutionalisation is institutionalised at its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-threshold or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness; as basically intemporality is
a pathway from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism as the fulfilment of ontological-normaley/post-convergence potency, and any pretence at a positivistic registry-worldview to be non-transcendable (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘ ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’) is untenable as the same could be implied at base-institutionalisation and universalisation, which obviously we won’t recognise and acquiesce to, implying the temporal-difficulty of dealing with the transcendental implications of the institutionalisation process often lead to intellectual-bad-faith as a human existentialism-form-factor as of our temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries! The grander insight being that ‘institutionalisation devising and devices’ already speaks a lot about human potential and capacity (and are basically our virtue with no need for ‘false idealisation’ that just induces ‘vain-temporality passing for intemporality’), and just as previous institutionalisations prospered, due to increasing realism, because they did away with deities and spirits in recognising that human potential lies in what humans can do themselves, and strived even more by doing away with essences in recognising that understanding effectively what happens in the world is what gives power and effectiveness over nature, a further extension of rational-realism is to do away with the ‘false feel good’ naivety of construing man by reflex in intemporal terms (not recognising or rather taking full cognisance of the implications that we have temporal to intemporal emanances registries dispositions as shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought teleologies) which failure only leads to unrealistically grounded reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (characterised by the readiness to overlook vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension as side notes rather than the idea that these point to our deficiencies and ‘that these are actually the necessary pathway for superseding/transcending’ for prospective paradigms, just as preceding registry-worldviews had to deal with their
paradigms that led up to our positivistic registry-worldview) and aspiring for the intemporal while factoring in the temporal. In a further elaboration, there is no pathway for prospective base-institutionalisation without a recognition of recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective universalisation without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-base-institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective positivism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-universalisation-as-non-positivism/medievalism for its superseding, and there is equally no pathway for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-positivism-as-procrypticism for its superseding. However, such an intemporal emanance of transcendental depth of thought, it must be acknowledged is hardly the panacea of an averaging-of-thought temporal mental-disposition that is more predisposed to project mainly in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘temporal lifespan of living scale’ rather than ‘humanity-at-large spatial and timeless scale’ of intemporal projection emanance/becoming/intersolipsism-of-thought mental-disposition; with the inherent moral and intellectual superiority of the latter warranting an uncompromising stance over the former, in transversality/logical-incongruence, as has always been the case all along the institutionalisation process, and so ‘looking down’ at temporality effects of ‘country-of-the-blind effect’ and ‘crowd effects’. Already with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, our formalisation mechanisms acknowledge unspokenly/tacitly/by-mere-intuition the veracity/ontological-pertinence of our potential ‘perverting temporal emanances inclinations’ by its ‘abstract pre-emptive mechanisms’, the bigger prospect though lies in fully unleashing such a potential for a knowledge notionalisation emancipation that is consciously aware of the full implications and thus paradoxically uninhibited/decomplexified in dealing with this
notionalisation’ is rather based on the fundamental notion of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology with respect to knowledge-and-virtue conceptualisation such that so-construed it is rather a ‘referential-as-natural’ conceptualisation of knowledge that consciously tautologically subsumes temporal and intemporal emanances-registries-dispositions (as opposed to our present ‘categories-as-artificial’ conceptualisation of knowledge often predisposed to overlook the temporal, and critically so, with respect to understanding the social as of the human condition together with inherent ontological-veridicality in naively assuming the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology by reflex focussed mostly on inherent ontological-veridicality, and whose artificially-demarcated subject-matters and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology/philosophy is by itself a structural/paradigmatic shortcoming with respect to our understanding possibilities, given that our artificial subject-matter categories-schemes do not precede nor define intrinsic-reality as ‘knowledge-in-its-oneness-and-entirety’), and is postconvergent in its ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptualisation of reality in a unison of second-order-ontologies with the first-order-ontology/philosophy wherein second-order subject-matters aren’t discontinuously hollowed out from the first-order-ontology but rather their inter-relational and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology (philosophy) is subsumptive with the latter as superseding–oneness-of-ontology and the place for elucidating epistemic disagreement (with the practical desire for an appropriate proportion of subject-matter experts directly studying and understanding the first-order-ontology/philosophy elucidations and the possibilities implied for their subject-matters), and as the first-order-ontology/philosophy furthermore is the ‘abstractly inventing conceptualising construct that construes the requisite overhanging knowledge psychical-orientation/psyche’, as the fact is it was a philosophical orientation whether explicit with Descartes’s ‘I think therefore I am’ establishing the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology
so excellently, with the later requalification of Hume, Kant and others of that same mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology and actually ‘in complement to it’ than truly criticisms (which is often philosophically misconstrued, as Descartes’s ‘thinking proposition’ is so profound that it is the very ‘transparent pillar or social universal-transparency for the tenability of the supposed critiques of rationalism, which are actually in complement to it, by latter philosophers, and it is rather the failure to compare what the ‘thinking proposition’ implies with respect to the prior as the core-medieval mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology of essences, alchemies and superstition as an altogether different totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology, together with the naïve predisposition for categorisation of knowledge in artificial human categories undermining the ‘natural referentialism ontological-normalcy/post-convergence nature of knowledge’ that is at the basis of misapprehending the complementing as criticisms, as in fact these will actually be better construed as Extended Rationalism – rationalism, empiricism, subjectivism, realism, idealism, phenomenology, as the fact is none of the latter claims to be ‘irrational’) or less-explicit with Copernicus, Galileo, Darwin, etc. scientific endeavours/postures that ‘invented-and-upheld’ the positivistic psyche/psychical-orientation for our present-day positivistic knowledge form, as the fact is Descartes ‘abjectly-thinking-proposition psyche’ is not a given as of its epistemological and ontological implications, and in the same token there is a case to be made that suprastructuralism as a meaningful-frame ushered in by post-structuralism will be the requisite human mindset/reference-of-thought/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought for the prospective knowledge-form/meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with deprocrypticism as ontological-normalcy/post-convergence; as ‘different institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures have their knowledge-
form/meaningfulness-and-teleology psyches (psychologisms) which is a difficult notion to grasp when operating only within a same registry-worldview/dimension psyche, but this can be elucidated by an ontology-driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ highlighting the defining stage by stage psychical development as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism to positivism–procripticism, and prospectively deprocripticism psyche. Suprastructuralism ultimately reflects the entire institutionalisation process by bringing to the ‘collective-human-psyche-and-consciousness as a transparent-pillar or social universal-transparency the insight of a lockstep relationship of ‘the-thinking-proposition-by-the-dementing-proposition’ in grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality across all human retrospective, present and prospective institutionalisations, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics with a corresponding comprehensive grasp of the implications of a human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor with respect to institutionalisation possibilities and more precisely and prospectively, pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocripticism-and-its-potential-for-prevailing-over-or-superseding-human-vice-and-impediments-as-arising-from-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as well as knowledge notionalisation undermining the prospective denaturing of institutionalisation possibilities as subknowledging. Going by our mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness we will possibly think otherwise, but this rather points to how our forerunners felt psychologically when their worlds built of deities and later essences were being put into question by ‘an increasing realism insight’ of an intrinsic-reality that is ontologically given and in post-
convergence with respect to us, with the implication that it is our psyche that ‘gives-in’ to intrinsic-reality and not the other way around.

- As central to an overall Suprastructuralism conceptualisation that subsumes all the transcendental concepts highlighted with regards to grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality, and corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to ushering in the requisite pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules that should define and conceptualise the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension (as the effective attainment of ontological-normalcy), is the idea of a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’. Basically, a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (in defining individual, summative intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness reference-of-thought), renders suprastructuralism and associated transcendental concepts comprehensively operant (as well as rendering ontologically-pertinent a storied-construct enabling a more profound intuitive elucidation of the phenomena reflected by the conceptualisations in this paper) as such a conceptual-scheme effectively construes the reality of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect in its failing-and-succeeding representation of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality grasped as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reality/ontology as of inherent ontological-normalcy/post-convergence teleology. Thus, such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ involves, mobilising an ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (like a hermeneutics-derived psycho-ontological, bio-ontological, econo-ontological, mathematically-ontological, etc.) construed as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence teleology thus postdicatory (as metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation), is of ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of ontology/intrinsic-reality/of-referential-nature/of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency’, as the given subject-matter in a full-blossoming unison of second-order ontology with first-order ontology [Insightfully, superseding–oneness-of-ontology points out that human ascription of knowledge into various categories as science, humanities, arts, etc. is actually an unnatural differentiation that has to do with arbitrary human categorisation out of practicalities of division of labour and organisation, while equally leading to confusions. Actually knowledge as a whole imply the two basic elements: its conceptualisation and the causal effectiveness thereof of the conceptualisation. Knowledge conceptualisation and causal effectiveness can successively be construed in three respects; specific, intermediary and general, with all aspects of conceptualisations being notionally philosophical as providing meaningful insights while all aspects of causal effectiveness provide confirmatory and predicative-insights to meaningful insights. (Interesting it is important to note that empiricism speaks of the possibility of knowledge revelation by the inherent nature of the subject-matter and not an abstract approach as often naively construed; with the implication that empiricism can be construed as deriving from a confirmatory analysis of a mere insight, observation or experiment depending on the inherent nature of the said subject-matter, so
long as this then allows for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.) Thus notionally speaking all human knowledge is philosophical knowledge as being about meaningful insights. For practicalities, the general basis for establishing conceptual pertinence as of the more general abstract notions of knowledge is attributed to the philosophical disciplines (involving philosophy and the philosophies of subject-matters including sciences, and its extension in the humanities and social sciences) even though in further practical terms such construal will be punctually undertaken as well when relevant to specific disciplines of immediate cause-and-effect construals/conceptualisations. This equally practically partakes in the denotative and connotative disambiguation of subject-matters. The practical basis for intermediate conceptual pertinence has to do with the inter-relation and delineating of subject-matters with a lesser direct implication of the philosophy, and even less so when it comes to the practical basis for specific conceptual pertinence as practised within subject-matters/specialisms themselves. Thus in human practical terms, knowledge can be construed as a wheel made up of three parts with the central part viewed as the hub of the wheel (philosophical) that provides control (as asking the most basic notional questions of meaningfulness and logic), the outer part of subject-matter (tyre) that connects with the ground (as causal effectiveness asking the more immediate questions of specific domains of nature and reality) and the middle part as the rim and spoke of the wheel holding the other two parts together (providing logical coherence, construed both within subject-matters/specialisms and philosophical disciplines). For practical purposes though, any of these conceptualisation – logical-coherence – causal-effectiveness dispositions can be overemphasised or underemphasised, but it is critical to grasp that any such underemphasising or overemphasising doesn’t speak of a change of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality but a human practicality purpose (conventioning) which pertinence lies in not losing sight of and ultimately recovering the superseding ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality. This basic conception of knowledge fundamentally explains what to expect of the philosophical as first-order ontology or the sciences including all other applied studies of second-order ontology. Often times, issues are raised which underlying presumption/presupposition/premise should actually be wholly or partially of fundamental philosophical conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology but naively purported to be answered wholly as of a second-order ontology terms. Broadly speaking philosophy as the first-order ontology (acting as a cog) has been more about providing the overall scope for meaningful insights and the broader conceptual background for other subject-matters while science and other second-order ontology disciplines (as the wheel that meets the ground) draws on a sound and broad philosophical conceptual background to articulate causal effectiveness (as of the inherent nature of their subject-matters). It is rather naïve to depart from a philosophical angle and try to imply causal effectiveness of a science nature (rather than effective validation techniques relevant to philosophical conceptualisation) just as the same holds true the other way round. The reality is that if science was the best method to answer philosophical questions as of its subject-matter, then it would have already taken over from philosophy as practised and the reverse holds true as well, as in reality it is all about human practical organisation in construing a superseding–oneness-of-ontology while dealing with our given limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). The fact is science is structurally bound to construe causal effectiveness as of the inherent nature of its domains of reality and philosophy is fundamentally conceptualising by its very nature and providing the broad conceptual background for all human knowledge with the implication that without such conceptualisation the historical insight for the need and upholding of the sciences and scientific method wouldn’t have come about while equally defining the limits of what science can achieve. Insightfully and beyond their practical differentiations, with all knowledge actually being conceptually philosophical, a lot of
science is actually a sort of impromptu and punctual heuristic philosophy at sciences subject-matter level. So it is rather critical here to distinguish between a human denotative and segmenting exercise (as not determining inherent reality) which is conventioned knowledge and the inherent connotation of the reality of knowledge as the superseding knowledge ontology inherent structure. In that sense, one often misconstrued notion with respect to notional philosophy is that it is not as successful as the sciences, which is a naïve conceptualisation as the very idea of such notional philosophy is its conceptualising irrigation of second-order ontology with the more immediate and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework success being not only a success of the second-order ontology but a percolated success of notional philosophy as of its historical development of human conceptualisation in inducing the second-order-ontologies and irrigating them with meaningful-insights, whether we talk about the sciences, jurisprudence and law, ethics, engineering, aesthetics, etc. (This insight means that the classical conception we have of philosophy as mainly about great philosophical thinkers is incomplete as we equally need to understand the ‘organic-knowledge’ as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism of other thinkers as they were developing second-order ontologies, and analyse such thoughts in philosophical terms and make these part and parcel of philosophy without necessarily going deeply in their concrete ‘operant mechanical-knowledge’ except where this clarifies their ‘organic-knowledge’. That’s why the work of such transcendental thinkers like Newton, Galileo, Einstein, Bohr, Pasteur, etc. are ‘more than just technicalities’ as these involve a certain commitment as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism which needs to be properly relayed not only in the further development of the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ they advanced but equally about elucidating the profundity of knowledge itself. This insight is equally valid with respect to great artists like Michelangelo, among others. While critically, highlighting how human emancipation has been associated with such ‘organic-knowledge’ brought by
scientists, artists and philosophers as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism across various epochs, such that the history of philosophy is much more than just biographical and analytical accounts of past masters but further involves the active relation of these in construing the ‘becoming-and-emancipating human psyche as of individual and social implications then and now’.) ‘Notional philosophy’ as articulated above is the very profundity behind the human (‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’) imagination, projection, development, articulation and conceptualisation-resourcing possibilities for all second-order ontologies; not so as an instant present development (of philosophers and philosophy-impacting scientists and artists) but rather as of its historical development, accrual and drive into today’s second-order ontologies, as inventing the overall knowledge psyche and their perspectives in the very first place. A notion that is often hardly grasped because of the poor imagination of the notional philosophical work across epochs inducing human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and psychically and institutionally bringing about our present conventioned knowledge being naively related to as if our present mentation-capacity and insights are simply a given, lacking a full appreciation of prior notional philosophical transformations of mindsets/references-of-thought/psychologisms and human developments of knowledge construal/conceptualisation, and correspondingly lacking a full appreciation of prospective overall human knowledge development possibilities of future philosophical totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a prospective mindset/reference-of-thought/psychologism for the construal/conceptualisation of all human knowledge. It should be noted that this articulation about the role of notional philosophy speaks of the ontologically philosophical beyond just conventioning/classical sense of conceptual philosophy. That is, a scientist that develops insights about issues of philosophical import is ontologically contributing to philosophy even though qualified as a scientist by conventioning (as the natural ontological construct of
knowledge as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality doesn’t recognise our artificial delimitations of knowledge organisation), just as the reverse equally holds true as well. Consider that Aristotle set out as a philosopher but in many ways has turned out to be the true father of science. Notional philosophy in the bigger framework construed of organic-knowledge itself as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as the superseding drive behind the ‘inventing/creating’ of all human technicalities/mechanical-knowledge refers to the mental-disposition to break from ‘ordinary apathy and constraining framework of second-natured institutionalisation’ to rearticulate first-nature emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal projection underlying the ‘inventing/creating’ of prospective second-natured institutionalisation possibilities as prospective knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Ultimately and beyond shallow technicalities/professions of presences as has been variously and decisively the case throughout humankind history, the most important philosophical work is the preservation of the human existential tale in prolongation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism by ‘maintaining a contemplative distance/detachment from ordinary human blithe’ susceptible to render meaningfulness-and-teleology a closed-structure (as merely-exploiting-Being-as-of-its-presence-state-with-poor-regards-for-Being-underdevelopment-and-development-potential-construed-as-nihilism-or-closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its temporal totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by adopting a ‘presencing consummated/forfeiting posture’ as ‘looking down upon the value-reference constructs of all successive presences construed as conventioned-aberrations of pure-ontology’ in order to ‘keep agape’ an opened-structure (as developing-Being-potential-over-mere-exploiting-of-presence-state-of-Being-construed-as-anti-nihilism-or-opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology; as
no registry-worldview/dimension ‘as a product of second-natured institutionalisation’ should be construed as defining itself ‘in its self-referencing/nombrilism as being the ultimate grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, be it at the backend of the institutionalisation process. That is the most important work of all human jobs whether it is done as of ‘institutionally second-natured construed technical/professional philosophy’ or not, as second-natured institutionalisation by itself doesn’t guarantee such a ‘requisite first-nature emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal projection’ even though the latter does ensue in any case as of notional philosophy. Such ‘first-nature emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal projection notional philosophical dispositions’ in keeping an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to enable prospective institutionalisation as assumed by the Socrates, Aristotles, Avicennas, Mansa-Musas, Zheng-Hes, Buddhas, Copernicusises, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, Darwins, etc. as-‘inventing’-or-‘creating’-or-‘upholding’-new-intellectual-paradigms-of-societies, are the ‘most social of human acts’ as keeping up by renewing-apriorising of prospective conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence behind the possibility of prolonging the human existential tale for prospective civilisation, and so not on the same pedestal with ‘nombrilistic presences of registry-worldviews/dimensions in their totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag disposition’ as closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology blithe to such retrospective-and-thus-prospective insight by their temporal extirpatory paradigms in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as of ontological-abnormalcy.] This is enabled by the tautological/referential/existential-reference nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/existence allowing for ‘predication or predictive-insight’ and ‘postdication or projective-insight’, the latter very much attached with the arts and aesthetic forms but hardly hitherto associated with the predicting of the former like in scientific constructions, though such postdication-as-predictive can possibly be enabled as
‘metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisations’ in domains concerned with predication as introduced (besides the ‘projective intemporal-preservation-contiguity/referential analysis’ of this author in this paper taking cognisance of metaphysics-of-absence as the need to supersede our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage) in the form of conceptualisations based on ‘creative-spaces-of-metaphors’ (or for that matter the jargon as can reasonably be expected of the thoroughness of all inherently analytical subject matter especially in this case by the highly exploratory nature of such analysis, as such writing are not ‘story writings’ nor should the artificial excuse in the case of core post-structural writings like quoting Einstein in saying that good science is associated with beautiful equation as obviously just as E=MC^2 is beautiful but the underlying physics is a head-scratcher one can equally say ‘there is nothing outside the text’ is a beautiful statement but don’t expect the underlying Derridean deconstruction and implications to be child’s play, nor should the fact that the meaningfulness of the social ‘being closer to us emotionally’ compared to the natural sciences that this should preclude its analysis if and when we are temporally uncomfortable with it, as that is part and parcel of our human development as our forerunners had taken their responsibilities about that to usher in our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension and we can’t exclude ourselves from prospective transcendence), which ultimate knowledge-credential is not in the ‘metaphors themselves’, as misunderstood by naïve critics, since these are just a ‘conceptualisation detour’ with respect to apprehending a fleeting-perception of reality but rather ‘as-of-the-implied-or-derived-elucidation’ which is the actual ‘product of ontological import’, by such thinkers as Deleuze, Guattari, Lacan, Rory, Derrida and others, and so, as pertinent and as so-validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and insight. Central to such ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ is the idea of superseding–oneness-of-ontology, as obviously there can’t be any predication-and-
thought where intemporality//longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology has been more or less second-natured, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as this fails to reflect the fact that the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness have various temporal-to-intemporal conjugations of meaningfulness with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness when truly reflecting the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries nature unlike a naïve foundation wrongly based solely on an intemporal human nature conceptualisation specifically at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, and that in all instances, to ensure ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, this is deduced of recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that is readily available in construing the ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness’ and ‘ontologically-reconstituting/upholding-intemporal-preservation’ trace-of-transitioning-in-existence that ensures perfect grasp of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness from non-veridical/vacuous constructs of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology representation of meaningfulness affirmations (and, specifically with a perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon like a psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic-situation, it is never about bringing up or falling back to the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation but in the first place, rather the preceding/superseding ontological notion of the appropriateness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of implied reference-of-thought in establishing what is ‘dialectically-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and in-phase’ and ‘dialectically-dementing/dialectically-primitive/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/slantedness and dialectically-out-of-phase’; from whence logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation then arises in derivation in an altogether different construction only if
appropriate/soundness/authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness is established, dismissing ‘hollow-constituting’-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness/non-veridical/vacuous constructs of categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as pervention-of-reference-of-thought with the registry-elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology as non-existent and bogus). With respect to social-and-confliction-stakes ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ have different implications with respect to whether the interlocutor is a conviction/intemporal interlocutor or postlogical/psychopathic/postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts interlocutor or conjugated-postlogical/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, and is what makes it a requisite to construe as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. We can’t be certain about the ontological-veridicality of ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ themselves as the 3 different interlocutors can all express ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ going by their mental-dispositions with the latter two, postlogical/psychopathic/postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts interlocutor or conjugated-postlogical/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, being deceptive by their mental-dispositions (recursively with postlogical/psychopathic, progressively with exacerbation/opportunism and regressively with ignorance/affordability). However, we can ascertain the true motive and ontological-veridicality of the 3 types of interlocutors by the ‘trace of their dots as separate narratives’ in revealing their true mental-dispositions and motives, as of the
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology’ quickly reveals that however coherent and sound each separate narrative of the postlogical/psychopathic/postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts interlocutor or conjugated-postlogical/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor (particularly as recursive and progressive), the ‘perception-together-in-succession or as-a-trace’ of their ‘expressed dots as separate narratives’ reveals ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogism/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism interlocutors as well as the hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing nature or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of their narratives (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously) whereas the same exercise with conviction/intemporal interlocutor will show a coherence of the trace-of-dots-as-narratives and actually in the case where a conviction interlocutor is actually the target of such postlogism-slantedness inducing ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ about the latter, that trace-of-dots-as-narratives from the conviction and the postlogical/psychopathic and/or conjugated-postlogical interlocutors will reveal the ontological nature of the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’. The reason why ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ lead to postlogical and conjugated-postlogical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is that their extrapolation is actually an extrapolation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought of ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness as if conviction/intemporal’ whereas retracing of the mental-disposition foregoes ‘elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ of separate dots as separate narratives, and thus is existentially involved in construing the reality to the point of revealing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation in the trace-of-successive-dots-as-(hollow)-narratives that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogical and/or conjugated-postlogical interlocutor as well as the hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-formic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of its narratives. That’s why spatialisation, indirectness and craftiness are critical to postlogical and conjugated-postlogical mental-dispositions so as to avoid their prospective interlocutors ‘putting one and one together as will arise in an existentially veridical context and so that their interlocutors should rather undertake ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ the purely abstract meaning as seemingly sound separate dots as separate narratives but which are non-existentially real, rather than existentially trace the successive dots as separate narratives. This is what enables the establishment, as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’, at the ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), defining the typical hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing psyche of successive uninstitutionalisations
(beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation intradimensionally, and so-construed from the perspective of their corresponding superseding/transcending/prospective institutionalisations) as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation dementing-psyche, ununiversalisation dementing-psyche, non-positivistic/medieval dementing-psyche and our prospective uninstitutionalisation as procrysticism/disjunctedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dementing-psyche. This equally reflect how the childhood psychopathy psyche is dementedly perceived though at childhood temporal-emanances-registries-conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration to psychopathy is not significant as its perversion-of-reference-of-thought is still universally transparent as delirious and thus it doesn’t elicit temporal-preservation by conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration, since it is not spatialising, maturing, and being sufficiently indirect, credulous and crafty to be non-transparent by its motives and acts. Ultimately, this highlights generally that at ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation)s or uninstitutionalised-threshold, ‘hollow-constituting’/extrapolating/infering to derive essence-of-meaningfulness is not a credible notion with respect to an human animal of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries mental-dispositions wherein ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ is bound to be perverted by temporal-emanances-registries, though within institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation this is second-natured, for instance, with respect to the fact that a medieval postlogical phenomenon like witchcraft cannot be credibly implied both in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of eliciting abstract/‘hollow-constituting’/extrapolating/infering nor existential-transitioning/iterability-
tracing-of-dots-as-(hollow)narratives in our present institutionalised positivistic registry-worldview. Vitally, with regards to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism, it is always about ‘falsely and parasitising/co-optingally’ staking a claim to the reference-of-thought in order to wrongly elicit its implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology to a prospective interlocutor, and so recursively (psychopathic/postlogical-character), progressively (conjugated-exacerbation and conjugated-opportunism characters) and regressively (conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters). Generally, this insight harkens back to the previous elucidation with regards to the BODMAS characters where the pure arithmetic operation as a deductive/inferring/extrapolation exercise is no longer valid when the fundamental axiom is breached due to a pathological condition, and with the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency resulting in other temporal characters, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, operating arithmetic as if the condition never existed; and thus there is a need for a retracing to establish the existential reality of the breaching or non-breaching of axiomatic rules, before determining the ontological-veridicality of the results of the arithmetic operations. In a further elucidation of psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness representation, this further confirms the fact that temporality (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and intemporality (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) are both basically the same notion of intemporality, but with temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) being rather in various grades of poor execution of intemporality (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but that in so doing such temporal-emanance-registries individuations
dispositions ‘falsely retaining their teleology/purposefulness’ as if of intemporal-emanance-registry leading to their ‘pseudointemporality’ (and so with respect to their registry-elements as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology), inducing structural/paradigmatic as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect where such false-retention construed as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation is rather in conjugated-postlogism; with the idea that this ‘false-retention’ by temporal-emanances-registries individuations results in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation with respect to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness as meaningfulness become ‘an exercise in hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’ (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously), as can be so established as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existent-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This conceptualisation of temporality as being about failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporality (which perfectly syncs intemporality and temporality as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, beyond just a qualification notion but rather a ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existent-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context-construct), equally perfectly renders the notion of temporality and
intemporality operant for a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-
dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-
existential-reference conceptual-scheme’. The notion of temporality as actually
‘pseudointemporality’ provides a deeper insight to such traditional notions as bad, evil,
wicked, etc. that we attach to temporal-dispositions (specifically, in the moral sense as
temporality is much more than morality as derived from intemporality which is about ‘full
potency of ontological-and-virtue effectiveness’) by de-emphasising the naïve but wrong
intuition that these notions have their own ‘mental-dispositional drives-as-teleology’ (to be
bad, to be evil, to be wicked, etc.) by rather highlighting that ‘mental-dispositional incapacity
for intemporality’ of such individuations induces ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’
misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level as
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-
flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-
of-thought, as it is thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which when taken into preservation, as
temporal-preservation, is rather in pseudointemporality, while with respect to a traditional
conceptualisation it is wrongly ‘vaguely imbued with a dispositional-drive-as-teleology’ as
bad, as evil, as wicked… etc. Now, the consequences of pseudointemporality individuations
(postlogism-slantedness, postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
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reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) are reflected developmentally in the social fabric which is a ‘framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ as the transference, in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pseudointemporality individuations into ‘individual personalities dispositions and social dispositions’ induces correspondingly temporal-dragging in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, on ‘social ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ and is the basis, in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of given registry-worldviews/dimensions vices-and-impediments, and how these can be superseded/transcended, because the reality is that humans have transcended retrospectively to the present and there is no particular reason to think that there can’t be prospective transcendence going by the human existentialism-form-factor. Such a ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ will further highlight in contrast to the present ‘psychology of qualification/qualification-schemes’ that human psychology is actually much more of a becoming dynamic construct, rather than static, which wholly readjusts to human deepening grasp of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality/existence as a retrospective, present and prospective development; that collectively-and-inclusively-individuals-and-their-social-constructs do have latitude for the choices they make in existence more than and beyond the limits of personality traits and social character, and further that the human mind is ‘not irresponsible’ with respect to given personalities dispositions (whether with respect to
abnormal psychology or functional psychology) with the idea that such stances taken by a
‘psychology of qualifications/qualification-schemes’ induces a confounding-effect with
respect to individual personalities themselves in assuming their self-emancipation
possibilities and what they can aspire for together with their interveners/relators, whether
social or clinical. Such insight do arise when we factor in that all along human
institutionalisation process, human second-naturing is actually the very central ontologically-
led developmental element as the critical tool of human psychological renewal that enabled
‘an animal in many ways’ to emancipate itself developmentally across epochs such that the
‘insightful depth’ of such a developmental understanding of human psychology is necessarily
much more than ‘a cultural universe of several decades of modernity’, as it conceives that
human psychology is an ongoing active construct such that a ‘dialectically-thinking-
psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather
captures the ontological undercurrents that constantly redefine human placeholder-
setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as it
recognises that (and explains why) the mental-disposition/consciousness-awareness-teleology
of a recurrent-utter-institutionalised mindset/reference-of-thought varies from that of a based-
institutionalised/ununiversalised mindset, the latter from that of a universalised/non-
positivistic-or-medieval mindset, the latter from that of a positivistic/procrypticism
mindset/reference-of-thought (our own mental-disposition), and the latter from that of futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism
mindset, while not ignoring as well the intradimensional spectrum of variation within each
mindset; and wherein ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics is
the central concept for such a succession of human ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or
psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ renewal
retrospectively, presently and prospectively, with ontological-normalcy/post-convergence
teleology being the central determinant driving and defining human psychology construed by its metaphysics-of-absence as reducing-psychological-abnormalcy. Interestingly, psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference as a human disposition for correspondence/equalisation/squaring-off with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology, as of sub-potency-to-full-potency as qualified by recomposing from shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation), speaks of the mind as an abstract ‘teleologically imbricated tautologisation/existential-reference’ (‘teleologically imbricated tautologisation/existential-reference’ implying: striving for ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, in-lockstep/intertwining of success-and-pseudo-success/failure as institutionalisation-and-pseudo-institutionalisation/uninstitutionalisation/hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing), as the teleological driving-seat of the body validating dualism as ‘imbricated dualism’; the human mind being rather ‘an abstract imbricated transcendable/maximalisable placeholder-setup-of-tautologisation/placeholder-setup-of-existential-reference for prospective ontological-normalcy/post-convergence superseding the human body, as entailing human existence’. This points out that the potency for ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is tautologically inherent in our being construct, and that abstract tautologisation/existential-reference as human teleology is the mind as ‘human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency’, as our being construct is more than just ‘constituted-matter’ but rather ‘being within the contextualisation potency that is existence’ and thus imbued with existential tautological/existential-reference supotent-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning in sync with existence ‘speaks of threaded-or-intertwined subsumed referencing of all in existence’ beyond just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, thus validating philosophically such approaches in physics as string-theory concepts lending support to the string phenomenology approach. This conceptually implies that the ‘all-in-one/oneness’ (of ontology) implied of existence supersedes our ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ conceptualisations, and while these are ‘mental tools of analysis’ we have in grasping knowledge, as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity these are rather ‘sub-par to the full grasp of existential reality’ (given that our limited-mentation-capacity- ⟨as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation⟩ as of our ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, will often fail to reference the underlying being-construal/existentialex-reference/existentialex-tautologisation ‘for a contextualising-contiguity of imbricated-becoming-transitioning that syncs with existential reality’. For instance say in the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted before, where the other characters ignore the given pathological condition in simply operating arithmetic rules, however, the inherence of existential reality will not be superseded simply by such elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of arithmetic rules in derivation as ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’, as such arithmetic rules of extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring will have to
be adjusted-in-a-‘threadedness/imbricatedness/recomposuring’ like subtracting 1 to A’s results to sync with the existential reality implications of A’s pathological condition of wrongly adding 1 to the correct result of arithmetic operations), and as metaphysics-of-presence (i.e., ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’) metaphysics-of-absence is rather the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence correction-tool of postdication, as-of projective-insight for predication, which is equally construed as ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction (i.e. implying ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning(dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’). This is more of a simplistic though conceptually correct demonstration, and the implications to meaning and meaningfulness can be much more elaborate [and as explained further below, with the notion of ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as ontologically-veridical only as abstract-construal (such as the abstract arithmetic operations) but its wrong ontological derivation in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation is ontologically wrong/non-veridical as it leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (wherein the ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ in derivation of the abstract arithmetic operations wrongly overlooks existential-reality as of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation given by the existential pathological condition), instead of ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as the ontological-veridicality of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation (which in the face of the ‘existential pathological condition’ as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation upholds existential-reality by way of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring by subtracting 1 from A’s result to existentially account for its pathological condition).] It is thus not a coincidence that a Deleuzian approach and string phenomenology approaches intuitively develop the same insight about the need for ‘creative-spaces-of-expression/metaphors’ to be able to conceptualise by projective-insights on topics that critically highlight this more fundamental nature of existential reality as a contextualising-contiguity of imbricated-becoming-transitioning so-construed from the perspective of our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as of our ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, in order to avoid ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ inducing ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. It is important to grasp here that ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ are not ontologically wrong concepts in themselves as of abstract-construal but are ontologically wrong when implied in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation as this leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. Philosophically, this critically brings up the reality of how the ontological-veridicality of an ‘abstract-construal’ and a ‘being-construal’ can be established;
in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation intradimensionally);
construal/existental-reference/existental-tautologisation makes reference to the comprehensive implications existentially with respect to mental-dispositions along the registry-elements/anchoring-of-meaning-elements of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology, and involving the potency of both consciousness-awareness-teleology representations and implications, for instance, the difference of the reference-of-thought as an alchemist and a chemist is much more than just an on-occasion/incidental difference (difference in abstract-construal) with respect to ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existental-contextualising-contiguity’ of meaning but carries derived being-construal/existental-reference/existental-tautologisation differences with respect to their consciousness-awareness-teleologies and registry-worldviews/dimensions projective-totalitative-implications. In fact, ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction which always refers rather to the issue of reference-of-thought is actually of ‘projective-insights of imbricadedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existental-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning/dynamic-cumulative-aferffect/aftereffect’ nature and it is about implying a prospective reference-of-thought, rather than just a différance (differentiation) as within the same prior/given reference-of-thought as of a basic abstract-construal. This is one of the reasons for its misapprehension as it implies an overall change in the reference-of-thought of appreciation which ends up putting everything ‘of old/of prior’ into question, contrary to the traditional analytical expectation of selective-or-limited critique/contestation usually of a non-transcendental nature. Insightfully, the overall relation of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting to the existential framework of ontological-veridicality should further allay the
confusion. Deconstruction is actually tautological with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality because it is always about the same existential reality being dealt with by improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity ontological-reconstituting; generating differing consciousness-awareness-teleology outcomes of the same existential reality whether talking of deconstruction at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level or individuation-level. Since it is always about the same existential reality, in effect the readjustment for intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is actually a human ‘changing-of-the-psyche’/psychical-readjustment (psychoanalytic-un shackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with its increasing-ontological-completeness or reducing-ontological-abnormality as implied by an ontology-driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, wherein placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology scheduling ‘is not inherently sanctimonious’ (the naïve way every registry-worldview tends to relate to its mental-disposition) but is determined and shaped (by way of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’) by construed ontological-veridicality. Since it is always about the same existential reality but improving-rather-as-accumulating/recomposuring human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) in ‘engaging the same existential reality and drawing implications thereof’ as human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-
construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology, equally clarifies why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) takes precedence over ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ (as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality). With regards to logic and by extension mathematics, this equally points out that logic as well as mathematics (and for that matter all other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue like time, space, virtue, historicity, instantaneity, cogency, methodology, etc.) are abstract constructs that underscore the ‘underlying underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying ontological-commitment—construed, reifyingly-and-empoweringly-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence,-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projective-totalitative–implications and not any notion of innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency) which as of derivation by pseudo-conflation or conflatedness ‘intuitively-assign projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology. That is, these are notions that reflect existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency as of the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, thus reflecting the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ontological-performance as of its ontological-aesthetic-tracing as so-analysed as from notional-deprocrypticism! (It is important in this regard to distinguish what is implied by ‘incidenting’ not to be confused with ‘instantiation’, as incidenting implies an ‘abstract construction’ of the implication of logic or any ‘knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ that may or may not be of existential-instantiation, whereas instantiation refers actually to ‘actual existential instance’. It is critical to uphold this distinction with respect to the existentially contingent nature, as of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing, of human limited-mentation-capacity grasp of all ‘intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions’/knowledge including our grasp of logic or mathematics. As ‘abstractly-speaking’ there is no absolute certitude that in say a million years from now ‘a given as of yet unelucidated notion’, as a further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing, will invalidate in a million years from now the ‘existential-instantiations’ validity of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue including logic and mathematics as we know of them today. Such distinction as of more immediate concern is to point out the subsuming precedence of existence as of its inherent intrinsicness beyond-and-over human construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology about it as at best the latter can only achieve as of its upper limit ‘a correspondence of construal/conceptualisation of existence’; noting here as well for coherence sake that such a statement cannot be made about existence itself as the absolute a priori, simply because any
arising existential-instantiations no matter the strangeness or abnormality to what is traditionally thought or expected however imbricated/threaded/recomposured or unimbricated/unthreaded/unrecomposured is of the inherently valid scope of existence itself as of its superseding–oneness-of-ontology and precedence, thus meaningful.) Logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) are only as meaningful as when reflecting a ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of a given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality whether as of a science, a social science or social study, or even abstract logic ontology or abstract mathematics ontology; otherwise the naïve use of logic or mathematics (and/or any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) become a relatively sub-ontological exercise qualified more pertinently as ‘conceptual patterning’ as of constitutedness in any such totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality rather than actually conceptualising a ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of a given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of conflation. Pointing out that there must necessarily be an exercise in developing the requisite ‘ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct of a totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ to which logic and mathematics (and any knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) can then contribute in furthering its elaboration (as of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency), but it wouldn’t
work out the other way round on the basis of simple methodological mimicry starting out from the mimicked construal/conceptualisation of logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) on the naïve goal of then grasping a ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of a given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. For instance, the need to develop a ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of the specific biology totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as DNA-based genetics that explains genes and genetic principles is ontologically preceding and defining of how the knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of mathematics, logic, information processing, etc. can further contribute in elaborating DNA-based genetics but it is rather naïve to think mathematics, logic, information processing or for that matter any other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue like ‘mere research methodologies lacking critically the requisite ontological cogency’ can by themselves develop a ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of a given totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by such vague methodological mimicry. The latter at best induces a vague and blurred ‘conceptual patterning’ particularly in such domains-of-study where the positive or negative sanctioning by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling is
not immediately perceptible but rather remote like in the human sciences and to some extent as well with some studies in the natural sciences (where for instance the overall cogency of the whole experimental framework relative to the conclusions advanced of many a research study is dubious as not pertinently unconfounded). Supposedly a mathematical and/or statistical methodological analysis was to be introduced with regards to the underlying articulation herein and based say on an ‘arbitrary historiality grounded methodology on the basis of just vague impression’ it will rather be conceptual patterning. What is required is an underlying ‘reference-of-thought categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as implied by this author herein, as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it elicits temporality-to-intemporality existentialism-form-factor projective-totalitative–implications’).

The contention being that studies and research that do not develop their conceptual formulations validly and succinctly as the underlying framework of the totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but simply expect to dangle/associate methodologies including statistical and mathematical analyses are rather involved in vague conceptual patterning as of reference-of-thought constitutedness. This insight is critical with respect to the validity of interpretations and conclusions in many experimental and study frameworks in the social sciences often ‘under-elaborating the ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct of their study’ to which the implications of statistical and mathematical methodologies and analyses are naively brought to bear. This further speaks in the bigger scheme of things, of the need for the articulation of what will be a ‘fully intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling constraining social science’ as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview psychologism should fully enable
Basically, the induced social universal-transparency-or-understanding-as-ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena’ of meaningfulness from
‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-
becoming-transitioning/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ of its deeper being-
construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation (as of intemporal-emanance-
registry/ontological-veridicality) in superseding-and-representing-as-dialectically-dementing
‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-
veridical-existential-reference’ (of temporal-emanances-registries perversion-of-reference-of-
thoughts), will reflect the reality of temporal-emanances-registries as of postlogism-
slantedness (psychopathic-or-postlogical) or
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomftute-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (at the point
where the social universal-transparency is lost or at uninstitutionalised-threshold) and the
consequent ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/existential-
decontextualisation-transposition’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-
misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-
drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi-
conventioning-logic/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation-effect as the bigger
dynamic framework of the human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal-
emanances-registries, and so across all uninstitutionalisations. Thus, basically ontological-
reconstituting/deconstruction as ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
suprastructurally (beyond the positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview consciousness-awareness-teleology, as it is dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase). This ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct conceptualisation’ can be extended ‘correspondingly as of positivism, universalisation and base-institutionalisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-existential-reference-ortautologisation’ as these reflect/perspectivate/highlight the corresponding postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism uninstitutionalisations perversion-of-reference-of-thought as ‘non-positivistic-or-medieval–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’, ‘ununiversalisation–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ and ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’; and the correspondingly reflected/perspectivated/highlighted suprastructural construal of each of the corresponding uninstitutionalisations (as beyond their respective corresponding consciousness-awareness-teleology) which we will readily acknowledge from the vantage backend of our positivistic prospective registry-worldview position of analysis equally speaks of the validity of such a corresponding suprastructural construal of deprocrypticism as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of our present ‘procrypticism–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. Thus it may be useful for ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (as we are more likely to have complexes about our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as untranscendenable) by articulating the same aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct at a ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against procrypticism-
virtuality’ as well as ‘positivism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against non-positivism-or-medieval-virtuality’ wherein from our vantage positivistic position we’ll recognise the suprastructurally implied dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase state of non-positivism/medievalvirtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal putting us in a paradox with respect to recognising the same from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism about the suprastructurally implied dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase state of our procrypticism–virtuality; and so, introducing the grounds for our prospective ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure wherein deprocrypticism is the structural-resolution for the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as the structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments of our positivistic meaningfulness. The fact is all constructs as transcending or implying transcendence are always by definition in confliction with the constructs being transcended. The reason is rather straightforward as there is a ‘mental/psychoanalytic investment’ behind the construal of meaning and meaningfulness in a given way within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought defining its ontological-capacity with respect to inherent intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. Where its ontological-capacity is limited is known as its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-constral-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, and includes the following registry-worldviews/dimensions recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism. At the point of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-constral-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought or uninstitutionalised-

(i) the phased storied articulation of procrypticism uninstitutionalisation hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as being a social-construct ‘uninstitutionalisation mirroring development of the fundamental insane-fitment of the childhood-psychopath/cinglé perversion-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition structure’ (which is very much socially universally transparent at childhood and thus does not start to elicit protracted social postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration by temporal-emanances-registries at that point, as it is frowned upon and the childhood-psychopath is socially dysfunctional with its postlogism),

(ii) and creatively protracting this fundamental phased storied articulation in ‘successive phased phases of integration with the social construction’ (wherein the ‘increasing shrewdness and selectivity’ of the growing-and-developing childhood-psychopath postlogism lessens the social dysfunctioning of its postlogism as it learns from past experience and is
now select and targeted as per social circumstances and interlocutors), and obviously at this point the social integration as conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing is rather ‘storied-construed/conceptualised from a broader society-at-large/humanity-at-large angle-of-perception as of a creative dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/contextualising-contiguity of imbricated-becoming-transitioning aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations and social-circumstances phenotyping elucidation in the social-construct, wherein the-social-dynamics-of-individuation-phenotypes-of-individuals is a construable metaphysics-of-absence of the social as metaphysics-of-presence’ (arising because of the decreasing social universal-transparency of the cinglé’s postlogism-slantedness/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness as well as increasing temporal-emanances-registries enculturation and thus endemisation of conjugated-postlogism-slantedness in a social atmosphere where it is not universally transparent to be the denaturing of reference-of-thought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction), as postlogism-and-its-conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration is upheld by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing of the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation, and thus is temporally integrated by conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-affordability/conjugated-opportunism/conjugated-exacerbation/conjugated-social-chainism/conjugated-temporal-enculturation, of course, with the broader point and purpose for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation here being that ‘our virtue is not inherent’ but rather our ‘understanding/knowledge/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construction’ is what creates our virtue in superseding our vices-and-impediments, just as for instance, ‘medieval vices-and-impediments’ weren’t inherently because they were a different human species to us but rather due to their lack of positivistic understanding/knowledge which
creation-and-accrual led to our relatively grander state of virtue and knowledge, likewise the
point here is about articulating such prospective understanding/knowledge/ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework and its corresponding ‘institutional-designing by
deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling’ as our virtue and
knowledge potential),

(iii) and so subsumed and articulated in a creative ‘psycho-ontological-
tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme of insightful ‘tone-as-
temperament and thematic construal of temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries
individuations teleologies/teleological-differentiations (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
relative-ontological-completeness covering the concepts articulated in this paper on social-
construct and social institutions teleology and value-reference as of deprocrypticism
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring with regards to the ‘implications of postlogism-
and-procrypticism mental orientations’,

(iv) and further, the possibility of a remaking of the above storied-construct
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as elaborated in i, ii and iii above) rather as of
‘positivism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-existential-
reference-or-tautologisation’ reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting ‘non-
positivism/medieval uninstitutionalisation hollow-staging-and-performance-or-
apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-
of-phase in pseudointemporality’, to contrastively provide the revealing retrospective insight
of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as
uninstitutionalisation as an existentialism-form-factor construable from the perspective of
ontological-normalcy/post-convergence and so paradoxically provide the
décomplexage/uninhibitedness (induced by our metaphysics-of-presence or illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage) of the afore deprocrypticism-procrypticism articulated prospective storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation construed from the perspective of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, wherein we are then in a position to appreciate the ‘hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ representation of the present positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivistic-meaningfulness-and-teleology categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, even though such an appreciation is rather counterintuitive.

implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology. Such a defect as a ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect or intradimensional-defect’ having to do with the defect of reference-of-thought and ontological-incompleteness is utterly different from ‘a defect of logical-processing-or-logica implicitation or defect of incidenting-as-social-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance which doesn’t bar a new logical-processing-or-logica implicitation as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’ as the latter is with regards to wrong logical-processing-or-logica implicitation which might be well/soundly-be logically-processed or effectively-executed upon reengagement, so long as the reference-of-thought for the reengaging is not unsound/perverted and not undermined by ontological-incompleteness. A ‘as structural/paradigmatic denaturing construed as being/ontological/existential-defect or the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–axiomatic-construct-of-social-functioning-and-accordance defect’ or ‘intradimensional-defect’ on the other hand having to do with defect of reference-of-thought needs a more fundamental transformation as a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the reference-of-thought, and so a decentering of meaningfulness; the projective-totalitative–implications being more like what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-
or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought is in a state of totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag of a medieval worldview will grasp that that unique demonstration of medieval-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as accusation of witchcraft) is not to be construed naively as an adequate basis for a new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging mental-reflex’ that re-engages with non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought, given the possibilities of further accusations of witchcrafts or by-and-large the vices-and-impediments potentially arising from such a non-positivistic/medieval worldview as of the ‘local community dynamism of individual interests involved’ that endemises and enculturates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. It is rather the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure transforming of the non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that is ontologically-speaking to be construed as the structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments arising from a non-positivistic/medieval worldview with respect to such notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The same applies with respect to our positivism–procrypticism worldview and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprypticism worldview. This explains why ‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is more than just an issue of an act or acts, but is ‘reconceptualised rather as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of denaturing’ in implying that inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology is in the bigger picture revealing an inherent problem as of the prior human reference-of-thought conceptualisation of inherently given intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and that the ‘occurred event of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is simply ‘pointing to an altogether deeper underlying human ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue, in this case as of psychopathy and its conjugated-postlogism at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of positivism–procripticism as well as providing a revealing overall understanding of the human uninstitutionalisation-by-institutionalisation process with deprocripticism prospective institutionalisation projective-totalitative–implications, which are then the-entire-reconceptualised-problem as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ as the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; just as an apple falling on Newton’s head under a tree is simply ‘pointing to an altogether deeper underlying human non-positivistic ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue which is then the-entire-reconceptualised-problem as of the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in producing the science/laws of physics and equally inspiring other such similar positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework approaches in human conceptualising of the natural world as the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Hence contrary to what we may think from our totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective the mere fact of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is structurally/paradigmatically associated with a perversion-or-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought by the very inherent nature of ontology/intrinsic-reality as preceding/superseding our reference-of-thought conceptualisation as of its shallow limited-mentation-capacity such that where our ‘reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of
notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as in both cases ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology exists beyond their closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the respective deprocrypticism as preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and positivism reference-of-thought that carry the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Ultimately, the very transversality/logical-incongruence between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the paradox of transcendence’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic projective-totalitative–implications arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior reference-of-thought. This further explains ‘the socially conflicted nature of all implied transcendental constructs’ whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transcendence, and so as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of surperseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. This is exactly what underlies the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics in that ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ‘is not a logical issue/problem’ but ‘a Being/existential/ontological/axiomatic-construct problem’ with
temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as a grounding for the social extension of ‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Thus at that uninstitutionalised-thresholds which highlight ‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporality in concatenation with ‘conflatedness’ as intemporality, it is only a renewed ‘conflatedness’ as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism that induces a prospective ‘universally-transparent constraining mechanical-knowledge as new bare categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as axiomatic-construct’ and ‘its social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism as the creating-and-essence-attributing drive for knowledge-and-virtue’ that brings about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; construed as ‘ontological-resetting’ of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of relative ontological-abnormalcy for relative ontological-normalcy as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dynamics ‘which is effectively the concatenated mechanism that engenders human histoiriality towards prospective notional-deprocrypticism’. Thus this further explains the very thorny difficulty of dealing with psychopathy and social psychopathy, because more than just an individuation phenotype and incidental/on-occasion phenomenon, it speaks of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s our dimension, ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in endemising/enculturating it, thus in need of deprocrypticism as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as an overall structural/paradigmatic resolution to the vices-and-impediments of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension.
That is, with acts of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology ‘it is vague to consider just arriving at ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality construal of such acts as of the paradox of their universally implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the latter by itself becoming the grander problematic, more like the relative non-positivism/medievalism ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought itself is the grander problematic with respect to the endemisation/enculturation of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery acts/occurrences, and so more than just an act or acts of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, as revealing of the grander framework of vices-and-impediments inherent to the relative non-positivism/medievalism ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather it is about articulating the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as ‘Being correction’ as of base-institutionalisation institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold, universalisation institutionalisation over ununiversalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold, positivism institutionalisation over non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold, and prospectively depocrypticism institutionalisation over our procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold. Obviously a traditional approach of analysis of psychopathy (as so construed from this papers holistic/nested-congruence insight including psychopathy and social psychopathy) will tend to be just as palliative as a non-positivistic/medieval world’s postlogism of say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery were individuals will equally be wary of non-positivistic/medieval perversion-of-reference-of-thought and will equally be inclined to palliation regarding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery depending on circumstances;
though obviously the ontologically structural/paradigmatic resolution in both instances is with respect to the necessary ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in overcoming totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by prior/transcended/superseded non-positivistic or procrypticism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with prospective/transcending/superseding positivistic or deprocrypticism categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. So perversion-of-reference-of-thought has always been recurrent across the institutionalisation process because institutionalisation is not emanance transformation of temporal-emanances-registries as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology into the intemporal-emanance-registry as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards the intemporal-emanance-registry, such that where institutionalisation reaches its design limits given human limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation}, the possibility for perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises with its corresponding enculturation/endemisation as prospective uninstitutionalisation in want for prospective institutionalisation as the ontologically-veridical structural/paradigmatic resolution. When that insight avails (a Derridean event), it is properly time to ‘trample’ the melee of common sense disposition for self-preserving extirpation/temporal paradigm with the elicited intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, as has been the case along and defining
specifically with the psychopathic/postlogical induced pre-valuation/pri-individuation/de-individuation/commitment perversion-of-reference-of-thought; wherein this process is reversed (but beyond a temporal equivalence and rather for an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of the universal implications as metaphysics-of-absence) in re-establishing ontological-veridicality of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of existential-reality’ reference-of-thought, wherein the ‘induced de-individuation reference-of-thought’ is rather reconstrued in its veridical existential-reality of narratives by SUPRATRANSVERSALITY (ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought of ‘deprocrypticism preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative–implications’ of psychopathy and social psychopathy along all implied thematics of the social-construct whether as of phenomenal/criminal/social/corporate/value-structure/social-structure/registry-worldview insight for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence with the subtransversality; and so by way of the-transcendental-enabler-that-is-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality as against ‘social-aggregation-enablers undermining of prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ with perverted use of such notions as differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, intellectual-bad-faith, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extirpatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake and thus of temporal disposition, etc.), while the ‘induced pri-individuation reference-of-thought’ of psychopathic postlogism
and conjugated-postlogism in its virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) of narratives is construed as SUBTRANSVERSALITY (in perverted-or-derived-perverted-reference-of-thought procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought extirpatory-and-temporal incidental construals of meaningfulness-and-teleology wrongly striving to equivocate its extirpation/temporality by using ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ in undermining the transcendental-enabler-that-is-of-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality upheld by the deprocrypticism supratransversality preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought projective-totalitative–implications). The disambiguation of the transversality into a ‘supratransversality reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over a ‘subtransversality reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ can equally be understood by comparison with the notion of apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising as reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as there can’t be common reference-of-thought of contention (mutually intelligible measuring/aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising as mutually intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology) between a flawed apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (subtransversality reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as ‘dialectically-dementing’ from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) and a correctly functioning apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (supratransversality reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as ‘dialectically-thinking’ from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective). It is the idea of the ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework of the latter over the former that will existentially/ontologically impose the latter, and not common/mutual logical-processing as
logic is then ‘a lower, inappropriate and inherently defective level of meaningfulness-and-teleology processing’ in relation to ‘appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness processing’ (just as there can’t be logical intelligibility between a non-positivisit/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with a positivistic one); by its ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining as the correct functioning apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics. This process can be qualified as the ‘blunt act of existence over the human temporal egotistic/self-referential complex to prospective transcendence/superseding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality reference-of-thought’, and is the actual basis for all transcendences for prospective institutionalisations since the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes do not arise because of the reality of a ‘human intemporal-emanance philosophical acquiescence’ but rather by ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of existential reality as a constraint for the second-naturing of institutionalisation, without transforming the underlying reality of a human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries individuations. That is while the implied measurements-as-of-aposteriorising/intelligising/measuring/logicising-purpose (implied meaningfulness-and-teleology) imply speaking the same language but the existential/ontological/being realities are utterly different with the correct apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (supratransversality) being real and the defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (being unreal as of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-
caricaturing), without mutual intelligibility of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation but for the effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the correct apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (supratransversality) appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness that collapses the defective apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising (subtransversality) perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as of the consequences in a comparative use of both measuring-instruments/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising after a while (crossgenerationally). Thus issues of defect of reference-of-thought (measuring-instruments/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising defect issues) cannot be resolved by mutually intelligible logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation (mutually intelligible measuring), but rather by the existential-superseding of the supratransversality reference-of-thought (as-of correct measuring-instrument/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup) in intemporal/universal projection for aetiology/ontological-escalation over the subtransversality reference-of-thought (as-of defective measuring-instrument/apriorising/intelligibilitysetup) of temporal extirpatory paradigm incidental construal in wrong equivalence to the supratransversality reference-of-thought. This equally validates the notion of transversality as logical-incongruence of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This is structurally the most elevated construct for the production of human knowledge as transcendental knowledge and as implied in its dissemination along formal constructs based on a structuring for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards intemporality, and not wrongly averaging of human thought in equivalence as logical-congruence of temporality and intempolity/longness-of-meaningfulness, such that knowledge is not constructed as a ‘human mutual agreement
exercise for its construal/conceptualisation/discovery/invention/development’ since solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly we are of temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness mental-disposition and this cannot be averaged to get transcendental knowledge which is rather the outcome of an enabling process as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling’ that allows what is intemporal as of mental-disposition to be effective by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological and virtue constructs, and be imposed as knowledge. Thus it is critical to understand that the exercise of reconstituting ontological veridicality is a wholly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in grasping ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality’, even when it would seem weird due to metaphysics-of-presence, and is creatively grounded on ‘on phased phases construed in mirroring the fundamental insane/postlogism-fitment of the childhood-psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition structure as it induces conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration later on and most effectively at adulthood psychopathy’. This fundamental structure of the denaturing nature of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/insane-integration can be demonstrated with the blatantly obvious case of the childhood-psychopath even though the denaturing of its mental-disposition is relatively socially-universally-transparent (enabling an understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-the-underlying-phenomenon). In the case were in a ‘dereifying act’ water is spilled on a chair, and a visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) not aware of the mental-disposition of the childhood-psychopath coming into the scene after the event and sitting unknowingly on the soaked sofa, and was to frown and remonstrate against or possibly smack the innocent brother, such a stranger is in ignorance-conjugated-postlogism or conjugated-
ignorance as its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought led it to align in-conviction/prelogically (as-of-pseudointemporality) to the childhood-psychopath’s postlogical narrative, and so in ‘ignorance-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’, that it was the brother that spilled the water on the chair on purpose (noting that even at this level, for all practical purpose the visiting stranger’s meaningfulness is ‘supposedly in prelogism-as-of-conviction (as-of-pseudointemporality) but is rather effectively ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ with respect to the ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-‘set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, of the childhood-psychopath’s meaningfulness is effectively in conjugated-postlogism and has ‘joined the childhood-psychopath in hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing and is dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase’ with respect to ontologically-veridical existential-reality as construed from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence, and further it state of ignorance speaks of its ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which can’t be overlooked for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conceptualisation by the fact that the visiting stranger or more precisely an individuation of the type expressed by the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) might act the same way he acted in ‘metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales’ as
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and this particular example symbolises why virtue is a ‘The-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework construct’ and not ‘impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct’ as reality is above all ‘effectivity’ by its manifestation). But then given the relative social universal-transparency at this childhood stage, it is more likely that the whole situation will be explained to the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) and will assume mostly an incidental/on-occasion conjugated-postlogism effect in the contingent social space. The fact is at this childhood stage conjugated-postlogism will tend to be incidental and mostly arise as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism. (Such a construal can further be articulated not only in the case of ignorance as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism but equally as the child-psychopath develops into adulthood and is less and less socially-dysfunctional and social universal-transparency of the postlogism is lost socially with its maturation/spatialisation/indirectness/credulity/craftiness, giving rise to the conjugated-postlogism cases of conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and conjugated-temporal-enculturation by temporal-emanances-registries where the effect is ‘more than just benign and incidental/on-occasional with dramatic social consequences and as there is further eliciting of enculturated postlogism as social psychopathy, however ad hoc and opportunistic’. At the grander transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level as dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality’ reflects/perspectivates/highlights this comprehensively as
the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalisation threshold highlighting the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as temporal-preservation-in-pseudointemporality-preservation as of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality, going by the dynamism of our temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor). The example with ignorance is however the ‘fundamental atomic mental-disposition characteristic of psychopathy and social psychopathy’ as it develops more and more shrewdly into adulthood with a further loss of social universal-transparency of the underlying postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mental-disposition wherein with development of childhood psychopathy into adult psychopathy, ‘social expansion-and-gravity of tones-as-temperament and thematic implications with regards to temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuations teleologies/teleological-differentiations (as postlogism and conjugated-postlogism in pseudointemporality/dementing, and conviction/intemporal/ontological in non-pseudointemporality/thinking) ensue. It exclusively requires on an ontological paradigm involving maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness, as the explanation given to the visiting stranger about its error and the childhood-psychopath mental state as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain-of-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectically-thinking-reference-of-thought as depth-of-thought’) (child-psychopath of unsound-mental-disposition in a ‘dereifying act’ poured water on chair, you mistakenly sat down on the chair, he told you his brother did it on purpose, by conviction reflex you acted in
belief – and so, as an ‘unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of elucidation’), and no ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporal) wrongly did (as the latter only arises where ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ are ontologically-veridical as implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology, even though the natural reflex to be conviction/prelogical-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at means that we rather tend to assume by reflex that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of every interlocutor we engage with or by extension of the referenced interlocutor(s) of the interlocutor with whom we are engaging with is sound, thus by default validating all the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, which is the psychopath foundational faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge as first-order level of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, as it further enables an infinitely expansive second-order level deception arising from wrongful logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation once we wrongly go on to operate the fundamental first-order level of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge logically/’elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ wherein we end up ‘hollow-constituting’ inducing the virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, and
teleologically-perverted (conjugated-postlogism) mental-dispositions and so as of bad faith, where such is not unconscious/unwitting as arises with ignorance-conjugated-postlogism. It is this ever-perverting effect on ontological-veridicality of subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities) reflected by the ‘contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality)’ as instigated by postlogism/enculturated-postlogism in derivation as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation that tends to generate hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing inducing the prospective uninstitutionalisations at institutionalisations’ uninstitutionalised-threshold. Basically, from a transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the supratransversality contends about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the subtransversality which is in protracted-pseudointemporality; more like a deprocrypticism, positivism, universalisation or base-institutionalisation supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) contending correspondingly about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the procrypticism, non-positivism/medievalism, ununiversalisation or recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporality). The implication here is that from a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, just as a positivistic supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) will imply a deeper intellectual-and-moral ontological construct (in a projection of a positivistic worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a non-positivistic/medieval setup are construed as prospectively questionable) of non-equivalence over that projected by a non-positivistic/medieval subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporality) as a ‘distractive looping-alignment-of-narratives’ in distraction to the former, with the positivistic supratransversality rather a maximalising/transcendental
firmament for obtruding the subtransversality as of ‘hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’, reflected by the subtransversality ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect; the same analysis will be drawn for a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to deprocrypticism supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) and procrypticism subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporality) in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of their implied intellectual-and-moral implications (in a projection of a deprocrypticism worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a procrypticism setup are construed as ‘prospectively questionable’). Such a supratransversality over subtransversality insight can transcendentally be grasped in the archetype characters of say a Socrates or Rousseau. Wherein within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising/transcendental mental-dispositions in projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future conventioning, as supratransversality (as the grander intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions) is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather dementing hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing reference-of-thought in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextirpatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-emanances-registries do not appreciate that there is a more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-contemplation of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that then ‘invents/creates’ the possibility for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft as there isn’t any inherent emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but for the disposition for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness out of the apathy of the ordinariness/averageness of any institutionalised-being-and-craft setup. Hence such intemporality as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness needs its totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism given that the-succession-of-institutionalisations/the-institutionalisation-process is ‘not a human emanance transformation of temporal-emanances-registries/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology into the intemporal-emanance-registry/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather is solely a second-naturing to supersede the prospective uninstitutionalisation’. The implication is that
and-teleology) in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving base-institutionalisation, maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving universalisation, maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving positivism, and prospectively maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in positivism–procrypticism inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving deprocrypticism, are the most important effort available at every corresponding registry-worldview as defining the institutionalisation possibilities and psyches that second-natured as institutionalisation as their corresponding institutionalised-being-and-craft setups even though paradoxically the ordinariness within such institutionalised-being-and-craft setups may be impervious to what is behind this very creation/invention in the first place as it fails philosophically to appreciate the need for transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal in the elucidation (as institutionalisation and psychical-reorientation) of meaningful-and-teleological pertinence within its own registry-worldview/dimension but equally in ‘inventing/creating’ the institutionalisation possibilities and psyche for the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup. Thus it is generally not surprising that the transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal by an ascetic intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness Socrates will be passed by the ordinariness/earthliness of thought in that institutionalised-being-and-craft setup as vague while upholding its shallow notion of value with the true worth and value of such implied transcendence grasped, at least expediently, mostly in the prospective institutionalised-being-
and-craft setup it ushers, the same could be said of a an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Copernicus, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Rousseau, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Galilei or an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Darwin, and so as a fact of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor. But then mental-dispositions that come to intemporal notions by expediency cannot truly have the pretence of engaging such on the basis of shallow temporal extirpatory paradigms as of institutionalised-being-and-craft setup whose temporal-emanances terms are alien to the intemporal-emanance-kind required for transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness first-order-ontology/ontological-construal required for ‘creating/inventing’ the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup! That failed test of understanding the transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness not in a prospective appreciation, but rather possibly as of retrospective appreciation and expediency, speaks of the social-construct as more of a second-natured institutionalised-construct rather than an intemporal-emanance-registry construal, and therefore assertive pretences that naively imply the latter should necessarily be suspect of their hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibility-setup-caricaturing without the corresponding demonstration of the requisite salient philosophical insight of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (that goes beyond temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality as slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-logic, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect); and the
fundamental issue that will then arise in that instance is one of ‘irrealism and corresponding virtualities’ that will undermine analytical pertinence, as man has to be understood exactly for what man is in effective reality, to then articulate effective knowledge constructs that are actually most efficient because of their realism, and that is paradoxically our virtue, not a wrong or false idealism (which metaphorically ends up hiding things under the table beyond the analysis required for their understanding and resolution)! It equally speaks of the ‘requisite specialness of the discipline of philosophy as a first-order ontology’ among all subject-matters (or-as-it-protrudes-into-subject-matters-or-second-order-ontologies), as the one that can least afford to be of normal trade, as it starts with a commitment of the mind (rather like modern day religion) rather than just a normal craft, and further requiring the central quality of transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism of thought, postures and teleology above anything else (not even the value of institutional recognition as Socrates, Rousseau, Sartre and others intuitively understood, necessarily so, since it is what is of a priori definition and can’t be compromised in institutional-constructs-and-setups)! The blunt fact here is that, with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction within a given registry-worldview, the everyday averaging-of-thought/banality-of-thought doesn’t necessarily as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism appreciate ‘the need for prospective transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over the extirpatory/temporal/expediency paradigm with respect to its registry-worldview/dimension’ (even though it does appreciate this retrospectively with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions), but for effective second-natured institutional devising. Inevitably an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation construct is rather about intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm which is necessarily antipodal to the everyday temporal extirpatory paradigm mental-disposition, ontologically justifying ‘subtransversality(as-of-pseudointemporalities)/suprastraversality’ point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought technique of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought given its applicative pertinence and validation to the ontologically-veridical but counterintuitive notion of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality underlying all uninstitutionalisations, and so beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleologies; with the implication that (from a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) the subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities) is ‘unprofound’-or-of-a-non-transcendental/extirpatory/impostoring/disjointing-of-narratives-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition while the supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) is ‘profound’-or-of-a-transcendental-intemporal/universalising-ontologically-hegemonising-narrative-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition. We would possibly appreciate this argument from a retrospective insight of how the retrospective institutionalisations came about to the present, but it will certainly be alienating to think the same of our present in those transcended terms from a prospective transcending reference, even though the ontological insight points in that direction. This ‘subtransversality/suprastraversality technique of transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing alignment’ is further rendered operant as the teleological structure of the storied-construct aetiolisation/ontological-escalation based on the underlying principle involved in the example of the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) or generally the BODMAS
characters. This underlying principle is one of ‘decentering’ wherein apparently the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) was of ‘sound registry-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought)’ in its circumstantial/existential relationship with meaningfulness but it turned out that its ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought’ (as lacking deprocrypticism from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) arising from its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as social universal-transparency about the child-psychopath’s postlogism wasn’t available to it) implied an existential-reality of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring that ‘decentered’ (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) its meaningfulness as ‘effective non-conviction-or-derived-non-conviction-(as-in-this-case)/intemporal-failing/non-transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness registry-teleology/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview’, as subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities), of the visiting stranger rather as a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference given the visiting stranger’s (as-of-pseudointemporality) ignorance-conjugated-postlogism, such that it was actually in ‘hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’. This ‘decentering drive’ rather construed by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that then reveals the true center as ‘deprocrypticism conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-reality’ (while undermining
construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought (procripticism, i.e. the corresponding uninstitutionalisation), such that the postlogism dynamism in its derivation elicits derived-non-conviction/temporality/non-transcendence/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in corresponding conjugated-postlogisms of temporal-emamances-registries with the protracting effect of 'significant others basis of logic', as subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities). Such that grasping and superseding of psychopathy and social psychopathy ontologically requires 'avoiding to construe the generality/averaging of the social-construct as being of the sound/appropriate ontological cadre/framework' but rather ontologically adopting deferential-formalisation-transference (as all formal constructions whether the law, subject-matters, formal institutions, etc. have always been conceived) to 'abstractly reference prospective institutionalising as a second-naturing that is of universal implications/aetiology/ontological-escalation for all times and all humans' by factoring-in the requisite conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct that transcends/supersedes subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities), as supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality). Such a technique for articulating supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) in aetiology/ontological-escalation with respect to ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic as deferential-formalisation-transference involves ‘construing supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) over subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities)’ wherein the differentiated-conjugated-postlogisms are construed as interlocking with iterative looping postlogism (as the conjugated-postlogisms conjoin to and elevate iterative looping postlogical narratives) in the ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic framework/cadre. The fact is this thematic construal is further compounded by the varying tone-as-temperament associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy wherein the non-conviction-or-derived-non-
conviction/temporal/non-transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition of postlogism/conjugated-postlogism means that it is ‘ontologically wrong to be engaged solely on the basis of a conviction tone as temperament’; as the ‘consciously eluding/circumventing’ psychopathy as postlogism mental-disposition adopts various ‘hollow tones as temperaments’ on the basis of its perceived position of weakness/disadvantage or strength/advantage, with implications on soundness of reference-of-thought, whether acting (hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing) by ‘imploring, contesting, affirming, condescending, rebelling or self-victimising’ depending on what it perceives as advancing its postlogism/perverted-purpose at one moment or the other, and this mental-disposition is naively (where ignorant-conjugated-postlogism) or consciously adopted by conjugated-postlogisms mental-dispositions particularly when exacerbatory or opportunistic. This ‘contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality (as-of-non-pseudointemporality)’ is central in articulating a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that further elucidates the conceptualisations herein. The conceptual background for this tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological conceptualisation (for the storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) lies in the notion that human construal of meaningfulness/memetism defines and structures its teleology/teleological-differentiation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations whether in ‘temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries individuation terms’ and as this in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect defines individuals actions intradimensionally or transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/maximalisingly. For instance, in the latter case a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on spirits as causes-and-effects
will fundamentally be predisposed to a defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of animism practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns; likewise a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on a grand religion will fundamentally be structured on the basis of such religious practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live pattern (depending on the degree of religious absolutism) as its defining teleology/teleological-differentiation, and likewise a meaningfulness/memetism that is mostly secular-inclined will be predisposed to the defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of down-to-earth interests including utilitarianism and practical knowledge/scientism, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns. [Going by the defining temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries mental-dispositions of individuals action intradimensionally (and as recurrently affirmed by the institutionalisation process across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, giving rise to prospective institutionalisations and prospective uninstitutionalisations), this establishes that there is a deterministic existential-tautologisation/existential-reference of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries mental-dispositions with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ highlighting a teleology/teleological-differentiation at the individuation-level in a continuum from pseudointemporality (involving the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ of postlogism-slantedness and the derived-by-conjoining temporal-accommodation-of-this-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as conjugated-postlogisms/insane-integration, grounded on ‘extrinsic-attribution involving inducing sociologically significant others basis of meaning and logic’) as it induces the uninstitutionalisations—to—non-pseudointemporality (of intemporal mental-disposition inclined to account for pseudointemporality as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation operating on a teleology/teleological-differentiation of ‘intrinsic-attribution based on solely eliciting intersolipsistic understanding of intemporally/universally valid meaning and logic’,
inducing the institutionalisations; with the implication that futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism teleology/teleological-differentiation by its deprocrypticism-or-pre-empting-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning-rules in pre-emption-of-rational-empiricism/positivising-rules-dementing-hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing-as-procrypticism is necessarily construed to stall the possibility of any prospective uninstitutionalisation). This then validates the idea that teleology/teleological-differentiation is not a discrete construct but rather deterministic as of existential-reference/existent-tautologisation/ontology/ontological-veridicality of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (as a naïve free-willist conceptualisation may construe teleology/teleological-differentiation solely as discrete, as such a conceptualisation of discretion of teleology is valid rather by ‘emanance /becoming/existent-intersolipsism mental-disposition orientation made’ with regards to reference-of-thought (as conviction/prelogical from whence logical-processing-or-logical-implication arises whether the conviction is appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-bad, or as non-conviction/postlogical in a state of mentarchy/mental-anarchy logical-undueness as reflected by postlogism and conjugated-postlogisms) but from whence/which-point the teleology/teleological-differentiation attached to that as of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism mental-disposition orientation made, whether as of various temporal-emanances-registries as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
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with the more ontologically-complete emerging at the centre as ‘conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectically-thinking-reference-of-thought as depth-of-thought’)) is what ‘decenters/drives-out’ by ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of an uninstitutionalisation (like non-positivism/medievalism) to ‘center’ the corresponding and prospective institutionalisation (like positivism) reference-of-thought, and ultimately reflects/perspectivates/highlights the uninstitutionalisation/decentered as of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality, from the perspective of the succeeding institutionalisation/centered. Thus, decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalisations as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’, while ‘centering’ divulges all the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism; and so with their ontological possibilities and limits as well as corresponding ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ or registry-worldview/dimension orienting/pivoting/decentering psyches (by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), reference-of-thought and teleologies/teleological-differentiations. Insightfully from metaphysics-of-absence, we’ll certainly grasp that a non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought ‘is not
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context-imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’) and a disposition for our metaphysics-of-presence as totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, and thus the ‘rational need’ for our own psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to supersede the vices-and-impediments associated with a positivism–proscriptivism mental frame, even though we’ll possibly carry-complexes/complexé about the blunt fact, as all registry-worldviews/dimensions prior to ours had equally done. Decentering thus fundamentally speaks of human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation capacity recomposuring from ontological-normalcy/post-convergence point of reference maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposesures. The notion of pivoting/decentering as fundamentally psychoanalytic actually extends to the construal of understanding itself with regards to the underlying rescheduling of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, as the idea of pivoting/decentering extends to the notions of the ‘self’s own pivoting/decentering for understanding’. It is an aberration to construe ‘transcendental text’ which puts into question the reference-of-thought itself in non-transcendental terms ‘as the transcendental reality (divulged by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring,-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination with corresponding recomposuring of ontological import) that is being implied given the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence nature of transcendental text doesn’t concede to a human temporal complex of its established metaphysics-of-presence conventioning/traditional-ways of understanding as superseding but rather superseded, and having to cave in’. In other words the aporetic nature of a Derridean deconstruction text
doesn’t speak of the poor writing of Derrida, it speaks of the reader’s ‘complex of understanding’ that fails to recognise its need to psychoanalytically-unshackle, construed in interdimensional transcendence terms as akin to a positivistic laden text articulated in a non-positivistic/medieval setup implying a necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling as requiring the pivoting/decentering of the reader for its understanding as it is more than an explanation in the terms of the old as non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology but more critically an invitation into the new as of a positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology; having to do fundamentally with the human mind complex and reflex of failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to acquiesce to prospective transcendence and so all across the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of the institutionalisation-process, even though it will readily acquiesce from a standpoint of retrospectively implied construal of transcendence. Such a pivoting/decentering of understanding itself is what is implied by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence; further explaining the underlying notion of suprastructuralism as the ability to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness across different ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, our present positivism–procrypticism or futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism, with the necessary ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding dialectics involved in such a pivoting/decentering as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Suprastructuralism as such will also explain the underlying logic of Bruno Latour’s famous criticism of the notion that scientists reported discovery of TB as being the cause of Pharaoh Ramses II death together with the organisation of an official ceremony in full honours in celebration of Ramses II corpse and the discovery, as being an entanglement
of references-of-thought between the modern frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology and the Ancient Egypt pharaonic era frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology (a mix-up that must not occur for history itself to conceptually exist ‘since history wouldn’t deny its object of study its very own frame-of-reference, as being oblivious here to the notion of TB’, for an exercise of understanding the past and projecting to the future); as if it were ‘possible and desired’ that the modern frame-of-reference equally carry modern weapons back in time in Ancient Egypt and fight pharaoh Ramses II wars (which is obviously ridiculous). Suprastructuralism as such highlights the ‘mental complex of all present mindsets as metaphysics-of-presence’, and going by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence is equally what can enable our own prospective transcendence in grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as deprocripticism which is deeper than our present positivism–procripticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought. As implied in this paper, the implication of pivoting/decentering for understanding itself is that our metaphysics-of-presence traditional/conventioning categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is put into question, and the notion of understanding itself is pivoted/decentered such as implied by the referentialism approach of this hermeneutic design (as opposed to a categorisation constituting elaboration basis for understanding). As the referential harkens to the most profound concept (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation also construed as ontological-normalcy/post-convergence) and ontologically-reconstitutes/deconstructs lesser and lesser profound concepts in relation to the most profound concept by a referencing understanding. The implication is that the entirety of the text is a unity in contiguity perceptible from the subtexts fusion with the unity. Hence the organisation of the text can only be cross-referencing (and not, wrongly, an organisation based on categorisation constituting elaboration) to retain its cross-referencing coherence of
prospective meaningfulness. The recognition for the need to disambiguate human emanances-registries mental-dispositions as temporal-to-intemporal is not an exception here as all our formalisations implicitly operate on this basis as deferential-formalisation-transference, tacitly confirming its veracity/ontological-pertinence. [It should be noted that the representation as of ‘hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions about their uninstitutionalisations based on their respective ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought while most ontologically-veridical from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, such a suprastructural-meaningfulness/memetism is rather unordinary and suprastructural (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought) to the given uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought; since in our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (which is procrypticism), ‘abject-ontologising/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectically-thinking-reference-of-thought as depth-of-thought’) will reflect/perspectivate/highlight procrypticism to be rather of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality [thus pivoting/decentering/‘psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring’ into deprocrypticism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving
uninstitutionalisation (which is non-positivism/medievalism), maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of non-positivism/medievalism core meaningfulness of reference’ is reflected/perspectivated/highlighted as rather of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality (thus pivoting/decentering/’psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring’ into positivism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the given maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness). Thus suprastructuralism as such validates the reality of an underlying ontology-driven human ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ in rescheduling (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposuring) the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation, as of our temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries existentialism-form-factor. The fundamental point about a transcendental conceptualisation as implied in a positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation by the ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetical-reordering/institutional-recomposuring’ into deprocrypticism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of abject-ontologising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’, is not about logical nested-congruence but as with the transcendence of all prospective institutionalisations rather the ‘transversality or logical-incongruence (avoiding-issue-of-mutual-unintelligibility-or-intellectual-bad-faith-or-flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought)’ of the transcendental suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology/teleological-differentiations known as supratransversality over the transcended meaningfulness-and-
projective-totalititative–implications at the individuation-level is that with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations, there is an underlying meaningfulness-and-teleological differentiation of human mental-dispositions as of non-pseudointemporality/conviction and pseudointemporality/non-conviction (including as derived/conjugated pseudointemporality/non-conviction), and so in contrast to the social/normal reflex of naively-and-wrongly construing and falling back to the idea of meaningfulness-and-teleology (as of reference-of-thought) rather essentially of non-pseudointemporality/conviction. For pseudointemporality/non-conviction and by its derivations (consciously, expediently or unconsciously), the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology are set/formulaic-formic and the fundamental essential/intrinsic/inherent attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology are irrelevant, and a parasitising/co-opting association that is alien to the fundamental essential/intrinsic/inherent/intemporal attributions of meaningfulness-and-teleology is just as valid; basically due to the fact that our fundamental ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought at all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether as recurrent-utteruninstitutionalisation/ununiversalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism/procrypticism, is bound to lead to human integration of the corresponding postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold that speaks of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought. Thus a non-pseudointemporality mental-disposition re-affirmatory (as maximalising) of the essential/intrinsic/inherent/intemporal
attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology will put in question the reflex idea (in instances of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the corresponding projective-totalitative–implications) to naively operate logic and its axioms as of a sound human universal mental-disposition for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, in order to account for such ‘parasitism/parasitising/co-opting-meaningfulness’ by parasitising/co-opting association with the essential/intrinsic/inherent attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation enabling prospective categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that override such ‘parasitism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporal arrogation/disjointedness/impostoring/extirpation/misappropriation whether consciously/by-expediency/unconsciously. This is the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation decentering mechanism with respect to ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level that brings about prospective institutionalisations by rescheduling the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to construed prospective ontology/ontological-veridicality (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) explaining why we are able and do transcend; or else as in all prior registry-worldviews, the pseudointemporality logic will tend to become one of conscious or unconscious intellectual-bad-faith that construes of the present (by its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether being usurped/disjointed/impostored/parasitized/co-opted) as of absolute reference-value
regardless, failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to register that the grandest value as ontologically-coherent (as a principle sustaining its perpetuation) is the transcendent/axiom-maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality that accounts for the becoming from all the priors to the present to the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations, thus not wrongly implying an equivalence between such a meaningful construct of universal import with temporal extirpatory paradigm contentions (more like metaphorically an apple falling on Newton’s head and his projection of this in grasping the universal implications of the laws of motion being wrongly equivocated in the terms of say an apple merchant and other interests in extirpatory/temporal fear of the idea that understanding the laws of motions will be ‘temporally’ undermining in one way or the other). Critically, it isn’t idle idealism but rather a realistic insight, as just as articulations of notions of positivism like evolution, universal human emancipation, rationalism, empiricism and science cannot be sustainably intelligible in a mindset/psyche that is non-positivistic/medieval and has not been pivoted (psychoanalytically-unshackled/mimeticly-reordered/institutionally-recomposured) to a positivistic mindset/psyche thus explaining why their proponents actively undermined the overall ordinary meaningful-frame of non-positivism/medievalism including such effort as the Encyclopédistes, likewise it is naïve to think that deprocrypticism (by its deprocrypticism-or-pre-empting-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality) is an inherent meaningfulness that is perfectly construable within just a positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition and the latter’s many compromised assumptions as articulated in this paper, as
deprocrypticism is priorly implying futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism psyche/mindset. This equally raises the fundamental issue with post-structuralism, does it fully make sense in a ‘modern mindset’ of reference or reference-of-thought or rather it is implying priorly a prospective ‘postmodern mindset’ of prospective reference or reference-of-thought as its existential-reference/existential-tautologisation wherein human ‘deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’ pivots/decenters to reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology, most critically marked by suprastructuralism/meaningfulness-as-beyond-temporal-consciousness-awareness-teleology as a knowledge construct grounded on the ontological-veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries nature and the implications for the derivation of meaningfulness (a progression from just a positivism mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology grounded pre-eminently on a human intemporal nature construct thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-axiomatic-construct to appropriately factor in the dynamism of human temporal-to-intemporal-emanances-registries mental-dispositions prospectively, with focus wholly on positivistic construal and logic grounded solely on an intemporal construct (overlooking the implication of ‘parasitism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporal arrogation/disjointedness/impostoring/extirpation/misappropriation whether consciously/by-expediency/unconsciously, coming from the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)) in inducing defect of reference-of-thought as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought). Critically, ontological-normalcy/post-convergence points out that paradoxically the transcendental mindset/reference-of-thought associated with a ‘knowledge construct of intrinsic-reality’ should priorly be established (‘centered’ over the prior meaningful-frame which is ‘decentered’) for the knowledge construct to take hold by the continuing ‘moulting’
of its proponents and corresponding social construct, as intrinsic-reality doesn’t adjust its inherent meaningfulness to us but rather humans need to achieve a given psychical development to have-access-to or be-able-to-register the knowledge construct of the more profound existential-reference/existentia-tautologisation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that that psychical development allows for, in meaningfulness-and-teleological terms. This is rather a difficult task as it implies ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation stranding-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ behind the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and no registry-worldview/dimension sees itself as dementable prospectively, as being decentered for a prospective centering, even where it acquiesces to the notion retrospectively up to its own institutionalisation; pointing that ontological-normalcy/post-convergence is the genuine perspective for construing the dynamism of knowledge-and-virtue or meaningfulness-and-teleology. The fundamental point of a knowledge construct (which is necessarily tautological as intrinsic-reality/ontology is already given) is rather an exercise of ‘human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-the-new-referencing-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency’ wherein we pivot/decenter (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) for redefined meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for a storied-construct aetiologytion/ontological-escalation in ‘grasping the uninstitutionalisation reflecting procrypticism involving postlogism and conjugated-postlogism’, the knowledge construct will assume this same fundamental goal of ‘human totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising-psychologism/intelligibilitysetup-as-
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breaking undermines existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality thus eliciting virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal) is what induces prospective uninstitutionalisations mental-anarchy/mentarchy at the individuation-level of conceptualisation, and which in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of ‘hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ accounts for the uninstitutionalisations of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation/ununiversalisation/non-positivism/medievalism/procrypticism. Thus insightfully, the same notion as Uninstitutionalisation, Hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase and Mental-anarchy/Mentarchy (the latter which emphasises the state of ontological-veridicality implying an equivalence between-entitlement of both the temporal-emanances-registries and the intemporal-emanance-registry, unlike an ordered-construct-of-deferential-formalisation-transference or an-institutionalised-construct that rightfully assumes the longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporal-meaningfulness of the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation as ‘the superseding second-naturing construct’), respectively reflecting the transcendent/transdimensional/interdimensional, intradimensional and individuation-levels; providing the necessary dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect grasp for storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought, with no ‘elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ allowed as this induces virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-
construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference. Mentarchy/Mental-anarchy (as inducing ‘hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase’ and prospective uninstitutionalisation) can also be construed as a disposition for temporal-finitude on the basis of referencing ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ by the temporal-emanances-registries references-of-thought (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously) in order to undermine the referencing of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler as intemporal reference-of-thought (thus implying a mental-representation-devising/mentation/placeholder-setup of the ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as ontologically dementing from the perspective of the transcendental-enabler as ontologically thinking). Insightfully, for a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, such a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of individuation/intradimensional/transcendental-or-transdimensional-or-interdimensional levels of conceptualisation’ ontologically validates ‘a deterministically teleological-differentiated storied-construct’ of projectable/predictable-relative-existential-implications of the various ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness temporal-emanances-registries incremental/shortness-disposition-relative-finitudes’ and ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-emanance-register superseding/longness-disposition-to-finitude’; finitude being the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism arising when acting (as-being/as-existing) with regards to one’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness/ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought. As a side note, such a notion of mentarchy in its dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect should be able to highlight the peculiarity of reference-of-thought associated with human languages from ancient ones to modern ones (as of the
registry-worldview/dimension-levels of the corresponding societies), facilitating the
deciphering and understanding of ancient languages, as well as the reconceptualisation of
meaningfulness-and-teleology across history, which conceptual exercise tends to be rather
biased towards a modern perspective metaphysics-of-presence. Finally, a storied-construct
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to take cognisance of the very peculiar nature
of the social world (in contrast to the natural world) that makes the social ‘susceptible to
incorrect understanding and analysis’ particularly at a practical and operant level by the fact
that it is highly emotionally-involved/politically-driven especially so with disturbing issues,
and this is further compounded by the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabler’, and
finally from a transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-
completeness perspective human mental-disposition with regards to the social can be poorly
ontological with unconscious, expedient or conscious emphasis on significant others basis of
logic as well as averaging-of-thought mental-dispositions (social-aggregation-enablers)
derminating the solipsistic relationship with intrinsic-reality required for
veracity/ontological-pertinence (transcendent-enabler). In this regard, it will actually be
naïve to assume that an articulation of veracity/ontological-pertinence as with the natural
sciences is all that is necessary in achieving effectiveness. With the weaknesses highlighted
above with regards to grasping the social, it is important that such veracity/ontological-
pertinence is effectively emphasised within the ‘realistic social contexts of mental-
dispositions and actions’ driven by social-aggregation-enabling, wherein for instance the
transcendent-enabler that is intrinsic-reality/ontology grounded on intrinsic-attribution can
easily take a backseat over social-aggregation-enabler grounded on extrinsic-attribution
driven by such ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendent-enabler’ as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status,
significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, intellectual-bad-faith, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extirpatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and so, including intellectual milieu as well. The implications for a truly ontologically effective social science can be construed as follows; say for instance an accused miscreant was to articulate a credibly demonstrable notion in physics or chemistry, the ‘promptness of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ will easily allow for such veracity/ontological-pertinence to establish itself without undermining of the transcendental-enabler that is intrinsic-reality/ontology by any social-aggregation-enabler (perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, intellectual-bad-faith, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extirpatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake, etc.). The ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ makes this altogether a more difficult proposition in the social sciences particularly with issues that are highly emotionally-involved/’interested’/politically-driven wherein even in intellectual circles arguments of differentness/subtle-infamy-implications/status/significant-others-basis-of-logic/repute are often easily advanced in undermining inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence. One such notorious argument with regards to poststructuralists involved the notion that French post-structuralism was developed by peripheral intellectuals of French
society but then failing to equally say that a lot of the good science and social science in many Western countries have generally had the same personalities attributes. Of course, such a narrative will not be countenanceable in the promptness of effectiveness driven natural science of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, for instance, holding that Einstein’s theory-of-relativity is flawed with the non-substantive argument he was a peripheral intellectual to German or Swiss or American society. The bigger point here with respect to a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, is that veracity/ontological-pertinence by mere articulation of sound ontological conceptualisations as transcendental-enabler-of-intrinsic-social-reality in the social contextualisation especially where blurry is often not sufficient purely by itself but that it needs to be creatively construed in facing off ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ with the transcendental-enabler-of-intrinsic-social-reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This weakness actually takes a turn for the worst when it comes to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as this phenomenon is actually the quintessence of active extrinsic-attribution ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ as driven by postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts and corresponding conjugated-postlogism conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of such postlogical-backtracking iterative-looping-set-of-hollow-narratives-and-acts, respectively in recursiveness (psychopathic), progressiveness (opportunistic and exacerbatory) and regressiveness (ignorance and affordability). So a storied-construct aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to demonstrate veracity/ontological-pertinence of the conceptualisations highlighted in this paper not purely by themselves as transcendental-enabler-of-intrinsic-social-reality but rather such conceptualisation in a
supratransversality should be over-and-face-off a subtransversality of temporal undermining by ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ such as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, intellectual-bad-faith, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extirpatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and this is the realistic developing social contextualisation within which psychopathy and social psychopathy manifests itself. Further the social-aggregation-enabler mechanism is what brings about social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation as well as the temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of psychopathy and social psychopathy by eliciting of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, intellectual-bad-faith, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extirpatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation, etc., to induce temporal-dragging-on-ontology/ontological-veridicality/intemporality or existential-decontextualised-transposition. Ontologically, thus the construal/conceptualisation of the Social paradigm is necessarily a construct that harkens to the intemporal-projection enabling the thoughtfulness as the imbued intemporal-preservation consciousness-awareness-teleology with the corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology as institutionalisation-process/institutional-design inducing the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness enabling the development and endemisation/enculturation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition) of base-institutionalisation (rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup, universalisation (universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup, positivism (positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup and prospectively deprocrypticism (pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-if-of-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup. The implication being that the Social is much more than aggregativity (social-aggregation) wherein a mental-disposition of ‘overt aggregative social disposition’ that conceives that a social-setup categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation are simply ‘perceptively-and-formically deterministic’ for ‘its purpose of temporal extirpatory paradigm relating with the categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought)’ that undermines the imbued intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of the social-setup ‘is not ontologically social’ (as aggregativity construals and mental-dispositions about social relations of extirpatory temporal dispositions are perfectly construable as of varying covert to overt ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-prospective-uninstitutionalisation’). Likewise a mental-disposition of ‘overt non-aggregative social disposition’ conceiving the social-setup categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation ‘as of inherent essence and to be upheld and maximalisingly recomposured’ (as appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) ‘is ontologically social’. The Social as such is an abstract construct not about the ‘equability in mutuality of the mortals that we are’ but rather the opportunity for transcendental construal of our potential for intemporality. Paradoxically and across all registry-worldviews this has always imply sociologically that prospective uninstitutionalisations are in a
transversality/logical-incongruence/mutual-unintelligibility/disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising-as-of-thinking-and-dementing of these two divergent mental-dispositions with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whether conceptualisation of the transcendental as defining prospective social ontology in a sense of intellectual solipsistic fulfilment driven by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling or conceptualisation in aggregativity/social-aggregation as of averaging-of-thought driven by social-aggregation-enabling, explaining the underlying confliction implied by any prospective institutionalisation as transcendental. This insight can be grasped from a ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective, when we garner that the ‘equability in mutuality of temporally-disposed minds as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup doesn’t supersed the ontological-veridicality of a social ontology insight providing anchoring for prospective positivistic institutionalisation construed reference-of-thought. Plausibly most likely the ‘developing consciousness-awareness-teleology mindset’ of such a ‘social ontology insight about prospective positivism’ (as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) may lead to its very own circumspection with the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology and possibly non-aggregativity. Consider the instance of such characters as Galileo and Newton, at the crossroad of ‘what is to be considered as valued meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to the prospective as the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension and the prior as the non-positivistic/medieval world, as consciously-or-unconsciously they register that the prior needs to be ‘decentered’ and the prospective ‘centered’, even though by reflex the prior will construe of itself as undecenterable center of meaningfulness-and-teleology. [This may go a long way in explaining such biographic accounts about Isaac Newton as unsocial wherein a
naïve conceptualisation of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construal as virtue (in lieu of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in its ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/axiomatising-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context-of-intemporality) will not factor in the inherent deficiency in value judgment of a non-positivistic/medieval inclined ordinary mindset/reference-of-thought from which such accounts are coming from (given such a society’s state of paradox of transcendence of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought) about a figure involved in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as partaking in the ‘inventing/creating’ of the structural/paradigmatic possibility (and the corresponding psychologism) for prospective positivism institutionalised-being-and-craft, more like biting a hand that intemporal-solipsistically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism provides the opportunity for prospective structural/paradigmatic human flourishing, with the underlying fact being that inherently such a personality type rather as of a solipsistic-intemporality individuation disposition, by its contemplative reappraisal, is exactly what can provide the opportunity for such transcendental possibilities (when we come to grasp that the true profoundness of knowledge is more than just ‘mechanical as something construed soullessly’ without a more complete appreciation of knowledge as ‘organic as something construed with a profound sense of emanance/becoming/intersolipsism intemporal philosophy’ with the idea that the type of knowledge construed as of first order transcendental-enabling is not based on an
ordinary notion of ‘intelligence as we’ll normally think of as simply technical’ but rather on such a sense of intemporal philosophical projection and more than just a ‘product’ for a materiality purpose but a driven sense of human emancipation). In fact, this equally points to a major flaw of the inherently implied value judgement in a lot of what passes for social sciences today explaining the vagueness, platitude and emptiness of little or no relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-verbatim and transcendental-enabling implication as a totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag circular exercise, wherein the unabated recourse to naïve feel good averaging of thought mental-dispositions are equated with ontological-verbatim uncritically, rather than construing that the animal that we are is in want of knowledge as a construct that enable it to supersede/transcend itself rather than a vain exercise of nombrilism, in which case one may argue that each registry-worldview/dimension averaging-of-thought ideas should be the basis for construing its social science! In fact, technically Newton might be the most inclined person for social engagement but then will he as of intemporal projection be inclined to ‘go along as social’ where he construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought ‘the medieval social’ as in want of its further development (this highlights a contrast between a stigmatic/mented psychology of the present, as of any ‘present registry-worldview/dimension’, with value references related to as absolute without or poorly factoring in that the animal that is the human is rather a becoming animal in constant psychological development of its limited-mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as determining its value reference and defining its underlying placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, and hardly addressing such a
more fundamental question as implied by ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). In this respect, this makes many such so-called ‘social science approaches’ ‘poorly grounded on a social relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling’ more or less sciences of methodological mimicry, as we know that much of the ‘true sciences’ (including the natural sciences and many a true social science are not grounded on a totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construal but identify objective reality by its naturally constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, as differing from sovereign constructs, as the determinant of pertinence (and such profound transcendental-enabling basis of knowledge are then bound to further redevelop sovereign constructs and conventions, with the sovereign constructs and conventions not becoming intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in of themselves but rather as of social, institutional, cultural, moral or historical reality of the human condition); though much more easier for the natural sciences as hardly any or nobody feels impinged today with scientific discoveries and inventions given that their transcendental-enabling as of a positivism outlook psychologism of the world had taken place both in philosophical and practical scientific terms with the Descartes, Hobbes’s, Kants, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, of the past. Whereas a lot of present day social science is relatively pulled back in many an unsuspecting manner, by elicited emotional involvement and underlying constraints of their institutional setups.] Such can equally be implied with regards to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism insight, wherein positivism–procrypticism is decentered and deprocrypticism is centered, and so in comprehensive psychologism terms; with the idea that the possibly unsavoriness is not of this author’s or anyone’s chosen but rather that the test for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism
transcendence set by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality requires us coming to terms with it, no lesser than the test set by positivistic transcendence in the non-positivistic/medieval epoch intrinsic-reality required them to come to terms with this, however unpalatable to many then, and this underlying vitality across all epochs as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, induced by prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is what counts as true knowledge beyond the blurriness-in-reflecting-and/or-coming-to-terms-with-implied-transcendence that often tends to arise with all institutionalisations institutionalised-being-and-craft erudition! More fundamentally, as previously highlighted with the mediocrity principle of science as it applies to humankind as well (as the notion of metaphysics-of-absence is pushed to its full implications over metaphysics-of-presence as our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage), the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor may actually more objectively (and so beyond-our-consciousness-awareness-teleology) point to the idea that institutionalisation (the institutionalisation process) as intemporalisation is actually ‘a maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposed abstract-construction/institutionalisation-designing’ which ‘in its operant effectuation (due to limited-mentation-capacity as of ‘pseudo-conflation’) defines its very own prospective interspersing with prospective uninstitutionalisation’ articulated as ‘socially-functional-and-accordant temporalisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as from idiosyncratic individuations frame-of-reference at childhood to full-blown hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing individuations frame-of-reference at adulthood’; that is, the institutionalisation process or institutionalisation design construed rather as about reducing-human-temporalisation-(shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as
prospective uninstitutionalisation, with such a notion of prospective uninstitutionalisation being the central notion of conceptualisation/construal for a thorough the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct (however counterintuitive from our natural thinking reflex metaphysics-of-presence ‘based on reasoning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of cumulating institutionalisations’). Such a construal/conceptualisation of ‘institutionalisation as of prospective uninstitutionalisation’ will explain why with regards to ‘all the successive institutionalisations formal constructs’ as of their respective ‘comprehensive abstract setups of deferential-formalisation-transference institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology’, there is a tendency associated with their corresponding extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) wherein there is ‘parallel construed extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination’ of a subpar and occasionally of a superseding practical applicative bearing/effectiveness over the supposedly formal construct. By and large, this will often arise within the scope of blurry institutional setups not construed for operant effectiveness. Strangely enough we do actually tend to elicit such extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) construal as more determinant when the principles of formal constructs are rearticulated operantly in extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination terms; and often contributing to institutional inefficiencies and failures of all sorts whether with respect to mismanagement, misappropriation, incompetence, etc. from a modern perspective of analysis. Further, the fact is such extended-informality-
effect can be more than just about the operant effect but equally protracted as ‘designed-formalisation-ineffectiveness’ in ensuring the ascendency of extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) meaninglessness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination over formal constructs. By and large, this can be construed as the residual temporalisation effect arising from the fundamental reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor with respect to all the successive institutionalisations; with the notion of deprocrypticism requiring registering the existentialism-form-factor reality of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries without any complexes and psychically pivoting/decentering (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) over its deprocrypticism-or-preempting-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising (just as the ‘positivistic mindset’ arose from registering the reality of defective essences, alchimic, spirits, etc. Universalising-rules and psychically pivoting/decentering for rational-empiricism/positivising-rules, just as the ‘universalising mindset’ arose from registering the reality of vague, sporadic, incidental, and animistic rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) and psychically pivoting/decentering for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level pseudo-conflation’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising), and just as the ‘base-institutionalised mindset’ arose from registering the reality of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising) and psychically pivoting/decentering for rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level pseudo-conflation’
logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology being utterly unfounded as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge potentially enabling an infinite possibility of second-order level deception if re-engaged as of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation. Where the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness/formulaic-formic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’, as the statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a conviction mind). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ of implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology such that the mere
fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implication on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogical-mindset is to be seen as being conviction/prelogical even if it is a perception of bad-conviction (and not to be seen as being non-conviction/postlogical) since that will validate the ‘implied-reference-of-thought-elements/implied-registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implication that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question and imply the denaturing of reference-of-thought as perverted categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and thus to wrongly re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implication turning the issue into one of ‘notion of agreement or disagreement’ instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought ‘dementing manifestation’ implying and requiring intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence in transversality/logical-incongruence). This equally applies in the instance of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as conjugated-postlogism by temporal-emanances-registries of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’. Summarily, instances of such ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’ could be exemplified in dereifying context as: in the case of child psychopathy, - pour water on chair, - point stranger to sit on, - accuse brother, - when found out,
postlogically retreat with delirious statement accident happened, etc.; in the case of adult psychopathy (including the conjugated-postlogism acts involved in protraction of postlogism), - commit offence, - act as morally ascendant, - when the postlogical and conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions are ontologically undermined, ‘falsely contend’ by extrinsic-attribution of ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ averaging-of-thought as ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards the ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’ in order to undermine the intrinsic-attribution/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler, - when further undermined claim in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’, things have moved on, on the basis of ‘sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers’ over and undermining intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental enabler as a civilisational/institutional-being-and-craft setup creating mental-disposition. The fundamental issue, going by the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought is then one that at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-level defines the prospective uninstitutionalisation vices-and-impediments construct of the registry-worldview/dimension, more than just on-occasionally/incidentally. From an intemporal/ontological perspective that speaks of ‘modern savage mentality’, whether as postlogical or conjugated-postlogical, as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in need for prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism, not as an on-occasion/incidental issue but about ontologically appreciating the how and why of the institutionalisation process as it undermines prospective uninstitutionalisations arising from perversion-of-reference-of-thought for the recurrent intemporal emanance/becoming/intersolipsism totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought possibility of further prospective civilisational
institutionalising construct is a requisite because, at best even the intemporal-emanance-registry individuation individuals, purporting (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) prospective emancipation come from and are of the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality is a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any implied inherent emanance intrinsicness (though the meaningfulness as articulated as such, and as the meaningfulness in this entire paper, is rather of an intemporal register validation and not of any temporal register validation, since an authentic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is what underlies transcendence as a ‘deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’ existential-tautologisation/existentia-reference pivot/decenter to reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology; more like a jurisprudential maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness contention for rehabilitation is not of the same meaningful-framework as a temporal mental-disposition of illicitness for shifty expectation of rehabilitation which it should necessarily anticipate and pre-empt). By that token there is no base-institutionalised individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individuation in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individuation in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individuation in procrypticism; as at best such emancipating intemporal individuation are ‘moulting’ and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.]
The notion of hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as defining the registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalisations is rather a most real idea from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective wherein we can very much fathom out that the successive ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought as the successively reducing-ontological-abnormalities of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, unununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation and procrypticism uninstitutionalisation effectively speaks of their hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing as the respective uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the superseding–oneness-of-ontology which as existential-reality isn’t changed but rather the respective cumulating/recomposuring uninstitutionalisations are due to ‘changes in human meaning and meaningfulness and the teleological implications thereof’ confirming by extension that their hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing nature is veridical or a most real idea with implications on psychical-orientations/mindsets as structured by the ontology-driven ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. However apparently logical this idea, it is an altogether different to mentally register the idea of such a hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing construct and perception about our own registry-worldview uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism just as it would be by reflex difficult in all the successive registry-worldviews, often requiring a generation or more for transcendentnal implications to sink in. This hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing conceptualisation of ‘the social as at its uninstitutionalisation threshold’ wherein the representation as ‘being in hollow-staging-and-
performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing’ is more real (from an ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective) than the actual placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect of conscious mindsets within the given uninstitutionalisations registry-worldview/dimension (as the hollow-staging-and-performance-or-apriorising/intelligibilitysetup-caricaturing insight is suprastructural to it or beyond-its-consciousness-awareness-teleology); is an ontological validation of Derridean hauntology/hantologie conceptualisation of the social in cinematographic terms of meaningfulness (and will seem very much akin, from an ontological perspective, to the central notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the superseding referential conceptualisation of ontology and inherently imbued with ontological-reconstituting as a centering/decentering mechanism’ as implied in this paper, though hauntology/hantologie is not quite articulated in such more precise ontological terms but imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring notion of existential-reality in there can be grasped), and equally highlights the fundamental ‘paradox of post-structural deconstruction by its transcendental implications’, in that the mental-disposition/psychical-orientation of the present registry-worldview/dimension as positivism–procrypticism is not developed enough (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) to grasp its implications (in want of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective depcrypticism-or-preempting-procrypticism-or-abject-recomposuring-ontologising imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning as-rules-that-remain of-existential-reality’ categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), just as the core non-positivistic/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought wasn’t developed enough to grasp the implications of created-and-accruing positivistic meaningfulness and redefined mindset/psyche inducted by the Descartes, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, Kants, Rousseaux and it had to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure over generations ‘for what were outlier ideas to become the defining ideas of modernity’. Thus the apparent issues today raised with post-structuralism have as much to do with the psychical orientation (as underdeveloped) of its critiques as well as the requisite effort required to further develop, elucidate and focus it; and in this regard why there have been many serious and constructive criticisms of post-structuralism as required for any subject-matter, most of the ‘popular criticisms’ levied against post-structuralism fail to past the test of intellectual criticism and have mostly been populist and media-driven attacks, gaining traction by social trending than genuine intellectual validity. The most popular being an initiative on an unrecognised social science journal which by that mere token disqualifies the so-called criticism but has turned out to be the most populist ploy by all accounts for condemning post-structuralism. Furthermore and critically, the intellectual exercise as with all institutional processes operate fundamentally on a basis of mutual trust. However, the methodologies, theories, concepts what can be articulated as new knowledge is not necessarily assessed on the basis that any peer review mechanism is absolutely full-proof particularly as the new knowledge is often at the margin of what is understood, and thus much of peer reviewing is not really an approval of the knowledge but rather an admission into the body of institutionally or formally acknowledgeable perspectives for further elucidation. Even then many a study not approved with peer reviewed journals have later on down the years ended up becoming dominant theory. So there isn’t any inherent sanctity in peer reviewing but for its practicality in formal knowledge organisation (and not even so with
approval). Technically the majority of all new knowledge down the years will be found wanting in many ways, and the objective of the overall peer review process is to channel potentially admissible and debatable knowledge towards further elucidation in the overall scheme of establishing overall human knowledge as of veracity/ontological-pertinence. Review of new knowledge doesn’t end with a journal’s peer review though that point tends to be a ‘highly political point nowadays’ as of the increasing bean-counting institutional reflex of funding implications and sometimes at the detriment of novel approaches to knowledge. The abstract notion of reviewing goes well beyond journals approval and extends with the continual critiquing of knowledge whether dominant or outlier. Ultimately, the more fundamental test in such a negotiated process is a strive for consistency and validatory clues with no guarantees of effectiveness but for the overall consistency, as of the very cutting edge of peer reviewed knowledge. Just for the sake of perspective here, it might equally be argued that peer-reviewing and by extension all epistemological and their corresponding methodological activities are not natural knowledge activities as of inherent pure ontology in of itself but derived activities as of human norms, practices and policies for establishing thresholds that then enable articulated qualifications as of pure ontology; in other words, any such epistemological and methodological activity is irrelevant if pure ontology can be arrived at without it. Consider for instance that mathematicians hardly make use of experimental designs or that many secret research by corporations and government aren’t peer reviewed, at least not publicly. Besides at a more fundamental level the question can be asked what are the metaphysics-of-absence implications of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering as to the weightier construal of the successive human ontological developments involving increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated with the overall institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of the institutionalisation process, beyond just an intra-positivism registry-worldview/dimension
illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage conceptualisation of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering naively articulated-and-implied-as ‘universally applicable’, à la Kantian positivism registry-worldview/dimension intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractioneness-of-presence however remarkable, to all registry-worldviews/dimensions particularly since such a conceptualisation doesn’t factor in ‘transcendental implications’ as structurally/paradigmatically overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prior/old registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as a decentering subsumption; along the same line as the medieval ‘dogmatic scholastics’ insisting that the now established positivism registry-worldview/dimension knowledge constructs, which were then transcendental, should conform to their ‘institutionalised dogmatic scholasticism methods and processes of reviewing’. By extension the question can be asked whether beyond our ‘totalising–self-referencing-syncretising institutionalised positivism conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ whether such is truly in a ‘requisite contemplative-and-Being position as of the prospective transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism’ of ‘evaluating a construct of prospective transcendence’ as herein implied about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology which paradoxically structurally/paradigmatically entails overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a decentering subsumption; when we factor that such a contemplation-and-Being as from a positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is being called upon to evaluate as to ‘a meaningfulness-and-teleology world beyond its ordinary contemplation’
with the mental tools for such a prospective projection mostly of abstract projective contemplation for grasping the prospective organic-knowledge implied, and so beyond an ordinary evaluation within an implied same reference-of-thought. It should be noted here that the more pertinent quality for such implied transcendentalism as of its implied organic-knowledge beyond just a mechanical construct is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism explaining the disparate nature of the development of human knowledge. This author as previously articulated points out that there is a more profound basis for how and why new/prospective knowledge whether outlier or main stream is socially integrated in driving ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism instigated human institutionalisation process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalititative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism projective-totalititative–implications’ across all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as the very temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor implying that human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s have institutionalisation-thresholds and uninstitutionalised-thresholds broken only in the medium to long-run beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought ‘by a power relations dynamics structurally ingrained in the social universal-transparency; and so as of ‘relative cause-and-effect-predicative-effectivity/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling’, and thereafter the eliciting of positive-opportunism, deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling as of the transversality/logical-incongruence of opposing axiomatic-constructs/references-of-thought that allows for the more ontologically-veridical to supersede as inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining. This is the more profound suprastructural-construct of ‘human validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge’ applicable across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of ‘a notional futural différance’ construed as of a ‘dialectically-
thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, notwithstanding the more superficial constructions of ‘human validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge’ within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation whether base-institutionalisation/animistic–universalisation shamanism, universalisation–non-positivism/medieval dogmatic scholasticism or our positivism–procripticism ‘categorisation epistemes’; but also the conflatedness of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocripticism ‘referentialism as epistemological’ (as of notional-deprocripticism which reflects ontological-construal along the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency). Such a notional futural différance as a suprastructural construct appreciation of epistemological implications about social integration of knowledge certainly informs a commitment to outlier ideas as being ultimately validatable in effect as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, if that is as of what they truly are, in the medium to long-run. Basically the transcendental as originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination to a knowledge and its knowledge system however remote the origination, in the very first place, speaks of the notion of totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought associated with ‘dialectically-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ behind any retrospective or prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge/ontological-construal. Ultimately, the very transversality/logical-incongruence between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the
paradox of transcendence’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic projective-totalitative–implications arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought not factoring its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But this is simply valid on the fact that a more profound axiomatic-construct on a given domain of reality as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is of intemporal-or-ontological prioritisation as of its conflatedness relative to a less profound axiomatic-construct on that same given domain of reality as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its constitutedness, as the latter is rather in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness to the former as of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology—including-virtue-as-ontology. Consider for instance Einstein’s theory-of-relativity and Newton’s laws of motion with respect to the same given domain of physics reality, wherein the former’s prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the latter implies the former’s utter ‘ontological-resetting’ in the conceptualisation of that given domain of physics reality as of transversality/logical-incongruence with the latter; as henceforth the logical-dueness of the latter doesn’t even arise but rather as it maybe subsumed/implied/is-non-contradictory as of the former or for educational insights purposes! Of course, this comparison differs from a construal of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism associated perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought ; in that as of a human
and-teleology’ over the prior reference-of-thought ‘effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; just as the introduction of chemistry science carries an organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology over a non-positivism/medievalism alchemic material construal. This further explains ‘the socially conflicted nature of all implied transcendental constructs’ whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transcendence, and so as of human temporal-to-intemporal existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of superseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. A second weakness of many critiques is by naively misrepresenting post-structural meaningfulness, and going on to criticise this. For instance, such arguments about post-structuralism as a theory that has no worldview are not made by poststructuralists who in their transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism have been rather questioning openly what the reality of the meaningfulness they construct implies, as a basis for further intellectual development. This explains the convoluted responses of say Derrida because that is the intrinsic-reality insight at hand, and the issue is rather how to further develop. This will be tantamount to criticising early quantum physics for contending that the fundamental particles are rather like waves and evasive without yet establishing an advanced basis of the science. Knowledge is not an exercise of one set of individuals arguing against another nor is it a popularity contest but rather it is all about finding out what constitutes intrinsic-reality as it permits ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; intrinsic-reality being the superseding transcendental enabler, and not any humans no matter their statuses. A third weakness has been by relating to poststructuralists as if they have got to get
all their ideas right on by the instant, as if the theoretical framework isn’t in development like
all theoretical frameworks (by the same token imagine all the unanswered questions that
underlie quantum physics for over half a century that are still being elucidated, for instance,
string theory which is so highly speculative but is still credibly a basis for research and
analysis). The purpose of a theoretical framework is not to provide an immediate answer for
everything but rather to provide a framework for constant critical development of ideas.
Otherwise, it will be best to develop a correlational construct that may statistically be
coherent with many arguments at any given point in time but is of little predicative or
projective value because it hasn’t got a profundity as a genuine theoretical construct which
may actually be mostly incoherent with many arguments at its earlier stage but provides a
wealthy framework for the continuous articulation of ideas and resolutions, and this is
actually the point of a theory in the very first place. It is thus no accident that many other
disciplines have found post-structuralism as a relatively ideal tool for invoking much needed
insight. A fourth criticism has to do with the ‘political nature’ of human affairs obviously,
and even the intellectual is not beyond this especially with ideas of ‘socially-perceived
disturbing implications’ (as has been the case throughout human history) and further so in a
social domain that is not immediately amenable to relative-cause-and-effective-predicative-
effectivity as with the natural domain even though the latter equally faces similar issues but
to a lesser extent. When we come to reflect that the leading poststructuralist of his time had
an entire school, rather than focusing on developing research criticisms of his work and other
poststructuralists (which would have been the more impressive thing to do) instead taking a
‘political stance’ for the denial of his recognition with an institution of higher learning. Thus
it is obviously, naïve for anyone to think that intellectualism and ideas occur in an absolute
neutral environment particularly when of socially-perceived disturbing implications. While it
is generally recognised that knowledge is determined on its own merits as an interest-free
principle, the fact is in the real world of ‘socially-perceived-value, social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations, human mental-disposition is not that intemporal and principled, whether wittingly or unwittingly, and extra-intellectual meaningfulness becomes fair game. Fifthly, the argument of unintelligibility of post-structural meaning is outright ridiculous with respect to the exegetical aims of its authors, and no less so as expecting advanced chemistry, biology and physics writing to be popularly intelligible. Jargon is rather a mechanism of deferential-formalisation-transference permeating all subject-matters and disciplines, which speaks to the idea that the ‘ordinariness of thought’ is not the sound basis for construing issues raised in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of profoundness of contemplation. The institutionalisation process by its deferential-formalisation-transference is an exercise of shrinking the melee of common sense wherein spheres previously opened for common opinionatedness are shoved away as ‘deferred to’ specialisms whether institutional or subject-matters by the mere effectiveness, with ‘informed common and individual opinions’ being the panache for the expression of sovereignty whether about the polity or individual choices, but not to be confused as a sign of inherent knowledge as of popularity. The idea that there is a common sense social science is a falsehood no more than there is no common sense natural science, and intellectuals are irresponsible when peddling the notion that readers shouldn’t acquire the requisite ‘intellectual elevation’ to grasp the profundity of meaningfulness and rather expect that they should be able to satisfactorily engage at the same intellectual level (reference-of-thought) involving advanced studies and research on the basis of ordinariness of thought. This should not be confused with a popularising exercise meant to stir popular interest like popular science, though in fact there is no truly popular science for that matter but serious/candid science. Such a confusion can hardly arise in the natural sciences because of the ‘promptness of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendentential-enabler’ in constraining
veracity/ontological-pertinence of thought by the immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions wherein a flaw thought proposition will be proven wrong by its ontological ineffectiveness with relatively little concern for third-party convincing over the transcendental-enabler that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, whereas the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler’ in the social sciences allows for propositions to crop up that are hardly constrained by immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions, such that such propositions will often border on popular thinking or the political (technically) or a concern priorly driven with garnering support and agreement, rather than of genuine intellectual strife for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabler. In this regard, the central tenet of poststructuralists with respect to their pursuit has been transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-process-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism/anti-nihilism with respect to their reflections, studies and research at all cost, even at the cost of many poststructuralists not recognising explicitly that they are poststructuralists or not recognising similarities in their works with other poststructuralists, so because fundamentally they can only vouch for their authentic reflections and analyses without a ‘surreptitious pretence’ for such amalgamation which will undermine their authenticity with regards to conceptualising intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with the idea that the notion of a commonness of their ideas and as a movement will take care of itself if they are truly articulating an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that reflects that commonness; more like the Indian story of blind men who came across an elephant and each one sincerely/authentically said what their capacity enabled them to say, no more no less, with the idea that if what they say is of-the-reality of an elephant, that notion will take care of itself but their first posture is to say
authentically what is in front of them. This speaks of the essential nature of all sciences wherein the researcher considers the most determinant element to be not itself or other humans (who are together mortals; mortal because they/humans don’t really invent any rules of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality but rather at best discover them or utilise them as ‘supposed inventions’ – and the scientist is all about a validation by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality-as-the-transcendental-enabler in contrast to a mental-disposition of social-aggregation-enabler where the emphasis is naively about convincing the other mortal or mortals over a validation by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabler thus leading to temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation, rather than the supersedingness/precedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabler) but the superseding transcendental-enabler which is intrinsic-reality/existential-reality/ontological-veridicality as reflected by effectiveness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and projection; with the latter wholly the focus of intellectual contention. The medical researcher involved in seeking a cure by reflex is concerned about what the transcendental-enabler that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence ‘naturally and best construed/conceptualised’ in the crafted jargon of biomedical sciences will make available as cure as the ‘superior party’ over whatever they themselves or for that matter any other humans no matter their statuses may ‘soveraignly’ want to think or imagine. This same notion applies in the construct of knowledge in the social sciences, the pursuit of the social scientist as the study of social reality is ‘not about convincing people or making sense to people’ (that can be accessory) but rather about grasping/conceptualising the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of the social as the transcendental-enabler whatever the jargon required for that purpose; the social education/enlightening exercise that arise thereafter just as a popular science exercise is an altogether different exercise of education
and not first-level scientific engagement, and even then such education exercise will still call for a degree of intellectual elevation of the general public. It is critical that in the natural competition of intellectual ideas, intellectuals do not fall in the pattern of using debased or social feel good basis of non-intellectual logic in eliciting ‘mass thinking’ in order to advance their postures but rather fairly and squarely engage at the transcendental-enabler of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality level in proving or disproving those they agree or disagree with as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ontological implications of existence as the absolute a priori. Sixth, thus the idea of deferential-formalisation-transference behind formal predicates of institutions and subject-matter specialisms is all about construing meaningfulness in a depth-of-thought (intemporality) that is not available to ordinariness of thought, wherein there is a disambiguating of the supratransversality as a construct of formalised reference-of-thought that is of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/universalising/maximalising/transcendental over the subtransversality informal reference-of-thought as melee of common sense of temporality/non-universalising/non-maximalising/non-transcendental constructions. The idea is that such a disambiguating is a necessity going by the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries nature requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the ontological construct that institutionalises (intemporalises). Hence such a skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling) in the institutionalisation process of shrinking the melee of common sense involves developing institutional and subject-matter specialisms as supratransversality narratives (for instance, the developing sciences and institutional specialisms) that induce corresponding untenability/internal-
contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining by effectiveness on the subtransversality as the melee of common sense inducing the latter’s ‘deference’, for instance, such deference as such postures as the law says that..., physicists say that..., etc. and not a common sense posture of the sort I think that..., thus relegating the melee of common sense out of the construal and conceptualisation of institutional or domain specialisms which hitherto had been free-for-all opinionatedness. Such an exercise is not just retrospective but prospective as well in the expansion of human formalised constructs and including in this case the relatively profound insights of such social science as post-structuralism which sadly get undermined paradoxically by some critiques not by a same-level supratransversality intellectual criticism but raising subtransversality narrative to wrongly imply that post-structuralism should be as intelligible as common sense thinking, which is paradoxically never the case with say the jargon of law, natural sciences, etc. exactly for the reason highlighted above. The fact is the melee of common sense as subtransversality hasn’t got the requisite intemporality in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of universal projection of reference-of-thought and the logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology that arises from such a formal reference-of-thought (for instance, as the universal/intemporal proposition underlying this paper’s purported construct for aetiology/ontological-escalation in grasping the phenomenon of postlogism in general and the general background human science conceptualisation; together with its exposure for falsifiability/validation from subsequent critical analyses). Such that there will tend to be ‘confusion of reference-of-thought’ where such subtransversality melee of common sense was apparently to act assumingly/presumptuously rather than ‘to defer’, or otherwise the instance where individuals assume the requisite intellectual elevation (whether by corresponding education and reflection) for a first-level engagement with such specialisms. As our melee of common
sense defers when it comes to the natural sciences, it defers when it comes to the legal science, it shouldn’t expect otherwise but to defer when it comes to rigorous post-structural and other social science constructions however their approximations, and so as the best construction potential of human meaningfulness and teleological possibilities. On that same token the notion of validation of supratransversality with respect to subtransversality is not one of contending/argumentative validation at a same contending pedestal but rather as a validation of the supratransversality reference-of-thought as intellectually-and-morally institutionalising and not implying its equivalence with subtransversality melee of common sense reference-of-thought, wherein for instance a consistent demonstration of a chemistry science (as supratransversality) effectiveness earns chemistry science the deferential-formalisation-transference of no longer being engaged at a same contending pedestal as the melee of common sense with respect to human social contention about material constitution in order to avoid the circular drawback of constantly making arguments in averaging-of-thought terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, such that social deference is now institutionalised as ‘chemists say that/it is said in chemistry that’ rather than a social melee of common sense equivalency of ‘chemists think that but I also think that going by my common sense’. This argumentation is not idle as the social sciences as ‘being closest to human conscious sense of sovereignty’ tend to be most affected by such fallacies as highlighted that should be superseded by all knowledge whether natural or social-construct, and while such notion are often intuitively grasped with other formalisms whether institutional, legal or in the natural sciences subject-matter specialisms, for the social sciences there is a need to actively bring this notion to the consciousness-awareness-teleology in order to circumvent such nature of knowledge fallacies with regards to an emotionally charged domain that is the social. This equally explain why the studies of the social are easiest prone to intellectual-bad-faith, whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-
existential-unthought, as even where contending intellectual postures are of relative elevated formal knowledge paradigm, it is quite easy for a muddling with averaging-of-thought mentality in order to advance one intellectual posture, and so as intellectual politics rather than genuine intellectualism. Seventh, as advanced by this author the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence of intrinsic-reality as reflected by the human institutionalisation-process validates and restores the notion of essential meaningfulness (the notion of a center – be it conceptualised as an ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context imbricated-becoming-transitioning that is-of-existential-reality’) to post-structural thinking as its scholars had rather previously mostly focussed on disambiguating/clarifying the certitude/lack-of-certitude of human meaningfulness and thought. Even then the practical application and conceptualisation of post-structural meaningfulness has always been one that has tended to restore a sense of re-equilibrium with respect to perceived vested interest and skewed power relations whether with regards to its articulation in feminist studies, postcolonial studies, power relations in social settings with regards to appropriate deliverance and more responsive public services, etc. as post-structuralism has often been a framework giving weaker and subjected meaningful frames public voice. Thus the so-called ‘human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation of post-structuralism’ has been in real and practical world terms more a question of abstract speculative thinking since such practical applications have tended to be effective further highlighting the need rather for more decentering contemplations. Besides, post-structuralism practical emphasis has mostly been methodic rather than dogmatic. In the bigger scheme of things, this author further highlights that post-structuralism by implying ‘decentering’ is implying transcendence or an ‘existential-reference/existential-tautologisation
pivoting/decentering’ such that ‘the center’ as the new basis of analysis/knowledge-construct has moved to the prospective/transcendental/superseding reference-of-thought putting into question the now-and-present way of thinking as prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. [What has been misconstrued is exactly the idea of ‘existential-conversion’ that is actually central to all subject-matters wherein the abstract articulation of principles are of existential-tautologisation/existential-reference neutrally. For instance, physics principles can be used for either aggressive and warring applications or peaceful and life-enhancing applications, and to say that physics principles are wrong because these can be construed as applicable for non-peaceful purposes is to misunderstand the fundamental nature of theoretic knowledge as fundamentally construing the possibility of existential-reality. Hence human application of knowledge as ‘human existential-conversion’ implies human self-preservation disposition in redefining meaningfulness-and-teleology from existential-tautologisation/existential-reference as of human subpotent existential-teleology within the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency. In other words, abstract post-structural construct as any other theoretical constructs have no commitments to upholding any value-disposition and teleology but rather construe the ontological possibility conflated as of existential reality. The idea of eliciting value-disposition and teleology is a secondary exercise of human social application, and specifically with regards to the practical application of post-structural thought as a re-equilibrium exercise derived from the ‘theoretic reshuffling-of-the-cards/putting-into-question’. Thus post-structuralism being so construed as ontologically-driven (having a center as of ontological-normalcy/post-convergence grasable by ‘the dynamics of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication insight with respect to metaphysics-of-presence’ involving reducing-ontological-abnormalcy/increasing-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–in-recomposuring.-as-of-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-by-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation) development’) effectively heralds post-ideology as ideas and notions are validated/invalidated by their demonstrated ontological-veracity/ontological-pertinence. In order words the supposed ontological-terms of notions and ideas are the basis for their analysis as ontologically-pertinent or impertinent, and so more than just perfunctory analyses constrained by the limiting framework of institutionalised-being-and-craft constructs and setups but at an existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-imPLICATIONS level highlighting the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of ontologically-driven analysis over ‘habits’, ‘conventions’ and rights-of-precedence/entitlement fallacies. Post-structuralism as such should posit to remedy and supersede the inherent ‘conceptual hyperbole’ imbued in the often ‘poorly-ontological, non-ontological or metaphysical constructions permeating ideologies’ and projected as worldviews, to ‘restore existential veracity/ontological-pertinence as the central notion behind worldview construction and representation’, and so beyond just ‘present-driven conceptualisations’ of ideologies, but of an insight derived from a historical and anthropological depth with respect to human mentation, meaningfulness and institutional-development as implied by a suprastructuralism highlighting of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication. Such a grounding of post-structuralism provides the underlying ontological outlet of analysis with regards to issues and conundrums of veracity/ontological-pertinence faced by earlier poststructuralists like Sartre (not often recognised as a poststructuralist but whose work interpretively does fit the mould, just as the works of many ‘seriously engaged’ critiques of post-structuralism like Gadamer and Habermas have been
highly beneficial to post-structuralism), Foucault and Derrida when it came to draw out veracity/ontological-pertinence from such hyperbolic traditional ideologies including Marxism as constructs highly laden with metaphysics/non-ontology, on the one hand, while addressing, on the other hand, the imbued liberal and neoliberal dogmas of their times wrongly upholding that its ‘dogmatic practices and conventions’ are beyond ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction, and pertinently so by highlighting their underlying ontological failures with recurrent just about decadal institutional crises and social malaises, speaking of the ontological-wobbliness of a liberal thought that has become highly contradictory as marked by its very own perpetual second-guessing. Eighthly, it is this author’s ‘suprastructural contention’ that the human existentialism-form-factor of temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries and a social world is inherently hampered by a blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabler’. Thus approaching a scientific study of the Social on the same operational basis as that of the natural world is necessarily deficient as the latter’s immediacy of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabler as well as the fundamental pivoting/decentering of understanding involving the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that took place starting over 500 years ago in establishing the positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought by the Galileos, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins, etc. of the world, such that an Einstein could perfectly articulate the idea of the-theory-of-relativity that would normally make no sense even to the majority of the scientific community at the time but for the ‘very strength’ of the established positivistic/rational-empiricism psyche (operating on the basis that what predicates on rational-empirical basis takes precedence) already established which ensured its transcendentental enabling. The positivistic/rational-empirical psyche today, it is this author
opinion, is not strong enough (of sufficient ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context for the further development today of the study of the Social as of its fleeting nature (on such terms of what predicates should take precedence). It must be said that the notion of transcendental enabler with regards to the Social today is rather relatively weak such that critically a lot of the basis for the social sciences today is influenced rather by practice, authority, and more or less intellectual-politics driven beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought, rather than truly ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework deterministic ontological ‘projected constructs’. Consequently despite the projected candour, the study of the social is inevitably permeated with ‘intellectual-bad faith’ (unconsciously or consciously), and by this is meant it will be naïve to think that all issues of intellectual disagreements with respect to the study of the social are necessarily in purely logical terms without factoring the possibility of ‘intellectual perfidy’. What the blatant constraining of the natural world can do to thinking by mere ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework under the rational-empiricism paradigm is often weakly possible with the Social particularly where there is perceived interest to act otherwise. This is particularly the case with regards to the undermining of social criticism and especially post-structuralism with the intellectual standards of such criticisms strangely enough falling incredibly so low (and mostly finding credibility by ‘pride of place’ of intellectual engagement often beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought abused as objective bases of intellectual criticism get discarded easily for highly subjective ones); and this author equally holds that a ‘fully emancipated social science’ will only prevail with the requisite pivoting/decentering of understanding as abject-recomposuring-ontologising-of-reference-of-
thought psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, which should enable the attainment of a suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-ought level of social thought involving deprocrypticism as pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. More like in many ways the level of thought in the natural sciences is wholly divorced from our consciousness-awareness-teleology and is fully transcendental-enabling by confirmatory existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with little or no social-aggregation-enabling but say for human organisational issues and wrong preconceptions induced by social-aggregation-enabling. This arises because it is inevitable to have conscious or unconscious intellectual-bad-faith just going by human temporal-to-intemporal nature without an inherently strong transcendental-enabling. While in the natural and mathematical sciences the subject-matter by itself is highly transcendental-enabling this is not the case with the subject-matter of the social due to its high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/totalising--self-referencing-syncetising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction requiring rather a further strengthening of ontologising rules as of knowledge notionalisation and abject-ontologising-recomposuring (deprocrypticism as pre-empting-procrypticism or pre-empting-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) beyond the present just positivistic/rational-empiricism striving social science bringing together profound insight with causal effectiveness. This doesn’t necessarily imply a naive mimicry of the experimental approach as is often the case it can be argued as prevalent in the psychological sciences, and even in the natural sciences there is need for thorough insight when experimenting like say much of quantum physics is often based on elaborate abstractness of thought that is merely validated by critical confirmatory experiments. In fact, this author will contend that the overall ‘insightful empirical’ conceptualisation of this paper is actually more profound than catches the eye in a naive empirical sense that cannot see
beyond our positivistic registry-worldview to recognise human successive transcendental states like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism; as even empirical conceptualisations requires insight and it is more than just a matter of obtaining results because an experiment has been made which is certainly simplistic as the very existential state of things when disambiguated is actually a more profound notion of experiment. It is interesting to note that this argument on the specific basis of (conscious or unconscious) intellectual-bad-faith for the requisite condition of a ‘fully emancipated social science’ is more than just of circumstantial and idle implication but is rather construed as a structural/paradigmatic notion much like saying it is impossible to have a fully emancipated science in a transitory non-positivistic/medieval to positivistic social-setup still emphasising essences and supranatural causations over a transcendental-enabling of rational-empiricism/positivising based knowledge of intrinsic-reality, as transcendental-enabling positivistic contentions will still be undermined with such a discrepancy/ontological-discontiguity in the apriorising/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising of reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct. Likewise, the positivism–procrypticism meaningful-frame is not sufficiently beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extirpation-as-of-existential-unthought of social-aggregation-enabling with respect to its social reality subject-matter as of its spurious/remote nature, for a more profound transcendental-enabling (unlike the relative case with the physical reality subject-matter as immediate) as required for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion as of prospective deprocrypticism intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical transcendental enabling. Thus, the only credible logic this author can think of is that post-structuralism as one of the major critical theories given its potential ontological vigour has been seen as a threat with a deliberate covert non-intellectual
effort to stifle it and limit its influence often having to do with misrepresenting the ideas and implications of the ideas of its main proponents (as in fact, one of the central issue with regards to post-structural thinking with respect to other intellectual postures has had to do with the unusually high level of accusations of its proponents of misrepresentation of their ideas by many of their critiques whether with respect to such accusations of nihilism or untruth, with a central characteristics of many of such critiques being a failure of recognising exactly the central point of post-structural thinking as rather ‘a putting-into-question/shuffling-of-the-cards for a more profound perspective for ontological analysis’. Consider in this case one media-driven and popularised argument that Karl Rove ‘we make our own reality’ quote during the Bush mandate, is due to post-structuralism. Such arguments are revealing of the ‘non-intellectual spirit’ of many such critics, and in this instance wrongly intimating that Karl Rove considered himself a poststructuralist whereas a sincere take will garner that this is nothing other than a Machiavellian, opportunistic and unprincipled statement than ‘truly post-structural theory inspired’ as with or without post-structuralism it is no less likely that the same statement would have been uttered. And the pseudointellectual exercise of linking the two is revealing not only of such out-of-the-way criticism but equally the ‘wayward mindset’ that is often brought into supposedly rigorous social science on the basis of such anything-goes-rhyming-logic! Post-structuralism generally occupy a relatively sound position when it comes to all the practical applications of post-structural thought which, to say the least, have always highlighted a sense of re-equilibrium rather than the bogus and insincere criticisms of nihilism or untruth which this author construes as ‘in-effect intellectual-bad-faith’ of ‘parodying’ of poststructuralists positions and analysing the ‘parody’ in usurpation as against a genuinely candid critical intellectualism of their true postures in authenticity. Post-structural exposition of the realities of the social are not value judgements in themselves just as natural sciences exposition of natural and physical reality
doesn’t carry any value judgements. For instance, discovering that bacteria cause disease is a simple objective truth then giving rise to human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification inducing the teleological meaningfulness to pivot/decenter that knowledge into avoiding disease and finding cure for diseases. This is no more different with post-structural thought which is not an advocacy but telling the social reality for what it is, with human pivoting/decentering to apply that knowledge for its defined teleological meaningfulness. One of the serious consequence of such a weakened social criticism driven by such a targeted and induced atmosphere of quasi-anti-intellectualism is the result that the domain of the political economy and corresponding economic interests have been spared from the critical analysis of such powerful ontological tools; specifically going by the issues of misallocation and inequality we face today based on axioms of models that remain critically beyond analysis, as effectively an anti-intellectualism with respect to social criticism including post-structuralism is cultivated in favour of a default socially uncritical political economy practice (with the cover-up of an ‘intellectually platitudinal’ media) to protect them. Notwithstanding the impressive theoretical conceptualisations of an ever second-guessing economics science, the ‘underlying liberal political economy axiomatic constructs’ on which it rests are massively arbitrary, flawed and degenerate; and this is one area in which developed social criticism including post-structuralism could do an excellent job in debunking the ‘underlying mysticism’, as the domain of the political economy beyond competition of ideas at such a fundamental level is the very foundation of the uncritical preservation of such axioms. Such issues as political choices for bailouts, reallocations and remuneration practices are strictly speaking not economic science issues but political economy issues that require a criticism with respect to social choice about the political economy, but this has been usurped uncritically as if of a natural economic allocation mechanism (a falsehood). This author makes this latter point on the belief that knowledge is
an existential exercise and that the intellectual should sincerely put their ‘hand in fire’ at the risk of being proven wrong, as the intellectual exercise is not one of self-veneration but discovering the truth (even at the risk of sounding/looking ridiculous). If there is one area of speculative thinking allowed to this author in this paper, it is such a proposition together with the idea that it is incredible to think that a lot of the criticisms directed to post-structuralism since the 1980s arises out of such (this author contends) ‘intellectual triteness’ by such critics particularly going by the ‘frivolous arguments’ advanced compared to the high intellectual standards they have been able to show elsewhere, together with the notion that these have tended to be unusually media driven in inducing a populist effect. Imagination will point to the idea that something much more ‘cynical and non-intellectual’ must be at work but passing for legitimate intellectualism; or is it, more like the medieval scholasticism erudition establishment more or less grasping the true implications of a non-medieval positivistic thinking on the whole intellectual, belief system and social-construct, and cynically upholding notions they knew better to be wrong but for their overall sense of preservation of their present and their present interests. [This impression can be extended as well with respect to the idea of the social implications of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as of its ontological-resolution (aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) in all the successive registry-worldviews given the existentialism-form-factor of human temporal-to-intemporal emanances-registries dispositions. As we can grasp that an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as resolution for non-positivistic/medieval world postlogism which is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance, but rather construing the whole non-positivistic/medieval registry-worldview/dimension ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought (as of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-
instances-and-locales as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and other vices-and-impediments of the state of non-positivism/medievalism and thus requiring structurally and comprehensively a positivistic ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a non-positivistic/medieval world sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and ontologically unwarranted universally (which we know was actually the case, with the ‘establishment’ idea being that the masses didn’t need to know about such ‘positivistic stuff’ even if such stuff was ontologically-veridical), to ensure its ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’. Likewise an articulation as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (ontological-resolution) that is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with respect to the notion of psychopathy and social psychopathy with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of psychopathy and social psychopathy but by pointing to the bigger picture to the procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought (as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales as well as other vices-and-impediments of procrypticism structurally and comprehensively requiring a deprocrypticism ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a human procrypticism sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of psychopathy and social psychopathy with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and
construing/conceptualisation of ontological-veridicality.] Now the criticism of populism-driven critiques of post-structuralism is not raised idly, as an exercise that purports to articulate such breadth and depth of novel ideas as this paper does necessarily requires that the authorship effectively assume the profile and presumption that the implied knowledge construct warrants (which obviously every truly intellectual spirit will appreciate for what it is, if not agree with the arguments). Such an articulation is driven by the idea that knowledge as a transcendence-enabling construct is more than just about its craftiness/technique but part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to articulate meaningfulness by its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications. And just as faced with the evasive nature of quantum theory the physicists never said reality is wrong since it is difficult to understand, likewise it is naïve to imply that the reality reflected by post-structuralism is wrong because it doesn’t quite fit into our ordinary everyday way of thinking (that is exactly the point, our ordinary everyday way of thinking is in want of its further development, just as all prior ordinary everyday ways of thinking had to be psychoanalytically-unshackled)!